

This sentence does not contain the symbol  $X$

Samuel A. Alexander\*

*Department of Mathematics, the Ohio State University*

To appear in *The Reasoner*.

In order to formalize the Liar's Paradox, one approach is as follows. Work in the language of Peano arithmetic extended by a unary predicate symbol  $T$ , and use Gödel's diagonal lemma to produce a sentence  $\lambda$  such that Peano arithmetic proves  $\lambda \leftrightarrow \neg T(\ulcorner \lambda \urcorner)$ . One then refers to  $\lambda$  as a liar sentence, glossing it as "This sentence is not true."

A surprise may occur if we use a similar strategy to formalize

This sentence does not contain the symbol  $X$ .

Work in the language  $\mathcal{L}$  of Peano arithmetic extended by a new symbol  $X$  (for example,  $X$  can be a constant symbol, this is unimportant). Let  $\phi \mapsto \ulcorner \phi \urcorner$  be an effective Gödel numbering of the  $\mathcal{L}$ -formulas, such that (for convenience) every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is a Gödel number of some  $\mathcal{L}$ -formula. By the Church-Turing thesis, there is a total computable function  $h : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  such that for every  $\mathcal{L}$ -formula  $\phi$ ,  $h(\ulcorner \phi \urcorner) = 1$  if and only if  $X$  occurs in  $\phi$ . It follows that there is a formula  $\psi$  with one free variable  $x$ , in the language of Peano arithmetic without  $X$ , such that  $\mathbb{N} \models \psi(\ulcorner \phi \urcorner)$  precisely when  $X$  occurs in  $\phi$ . By Gödel's diagonal lemma, there is a sentence  $\lambda$ , not containing  $X$ , such that Peano arithmetic proves  $\lambda \leftrightarrow \neg \psi(\ulcorner \lambda \urcorner)$ . Following the liar's precedent, we feel tempted to gloss  $\lambda$  as "This sentence does not contain the symbol  $X$ ." The main difference is that unlike the liar's sentence, the sentence we've just constructed is entirely syntactical, not depending on the semantics of  $X$ .

The surprise is that this  $\lambda$  we have constructed is, in fact, true (at least if Peano arithmetic is true). This is surprising because the English sentence, "This sentence does not contain the symbol  $X$ ," certainly does appear to contain the symbol  $X$ .

---

\*Email: alexander@math.ohio-state.edu