Contents

Pr	refac	e to the first edition	xiii
Pr	efac	e to the second edition	xix
ı	Int	troduction	1
	0.1	Symbolic computation and classical computing	3
	0.2	Logic: Formal, symbolic, deductive, and classical	5
	0.3	Computational logic and its subfields	8
	0.4	Classical deductive computing and its assumptions	10
II	\mathbf{M}_{i}	athematical foundations	15
1	Mat	thematical notions	17
	1.1	Basic notions	17
		1.1.1 Sets, relations, functions, and operations	17
		1.1.2 Binary relations and ordered sets	25
	1.2	Discrete structures	30
		1.2.1 Algebras and models	30
		1.2.2 Lattices	34
	1.0	1.2.3 Graphs and trees	42
	1.3	Mathematical induction	46
Ш	Cl	assical computing	49
2	Fun	damentals of classical computing	51
	2.1	Formal languages and grammars	52
		2.1.1 Regular languages	60
		2.1.2 Context-free languages	64
		2.1.3 Recursively enumerable languages	76
		2.1.4 The Chomsky hierarchy (I)	78
	2.2	Models of computation	81
		2.2.1 Finite-state machines	81

Contents

	2.3	2.2.2 2.2.3 2.2.4 Compu 2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.3 2.3.4 2.3.5	Pushdown automata	. 121. 135. 136. 140. 147. 148
IV	Cla	assical	deduction and classical logic	167
3			ries: Formal logic, deduction, and deductive	
		putati		169
	3.1	0	l form I: Logical languages	
		3.1.1	Alphabets, expressions, and formulae logical	
		3.1.2 3.1.3	Orders	
	3.2		l form II: Argument form	
	3.3	_	l meaning: Valuations and interpretations	
	3.4		l systems, logics, and logical theories	
	J.T	3.4.1	Logical consequence, inference, and deduction	
		3.4.2	Syntactical consequence and proof theory	
		3.4.3	Semantical consequence and model theory	
		3.4.4	Adequateness of a deductive system	
		3.4.5	Logical theories	
	3.5	Deduc	tive computation $\dots \dots \dots \dots \dots$. 230
		3.5.1	Logical problems and computational solutions	. 230
		3.5.2	Taming FOL undecidability	. 233
			3.5.2.1 Finite satisfiability and ground extension	ns 233
			3.5.2.2 Finite models and prefix classes	
		3.5.3	The complexity of logical problems	. 240
4	The	syster	m CL and the logic CL	247
	4.1	The la	nguage of classical logic	. 247
		4.1.1	The language $L1$	
		4.1.2	Substitutions and unification for L1	
	4.2		cal logical consequence	
		4.2.1	Classical \heartsuit -consequences	
			4.2.1.1 Classical syntactical \heartsuit -consequences	
			4.2.1.2 Classical semantical \heartsuit -consequences .	. 258

	4.3 4.4 4.5	The lo	Classical \blacklozenge -consequences	262 265
5	Clas 5.1 5.2 5.3	The na	\mathcal{L} consists a consistent \mathcal{L}	283
6	Clas 6.1 6.2 6.3	Tarski Herbra	models an semantics	299
V	\mathbf{Cl}	assical	deductive computing with classical logic	315
7	Cla	ssical l	ogic and deductive computation	317
	7.1		omputational problem of classical satisfiability, or	
	7.2		uterizing CFOL	
			Literals and clauses	
		7.2.2	Negation normal form	
		7.2.3	Prenex normal form	
		7.2.4	Skolem normal form	
	7.0	7.2.5	Conjunctive and disjunctive normal forms	
	7.3	_	uting the SAT	
		7.3.1	The different forms of the SAT	335
		7.3.2	The SAT and unsatisfiability I: The DPLL procedure and model finding	227
		7.3.3	The SAT and unsatisfiability II: Herbrand theo-	331
		7.0.0	rem and refutation	340
8	Aut	$_{ m comate}$	d theorem proving	347
	8.1	Resolu	tion	348
		8.1.1	The resolution principle for propositional logic	348
		8.1.2	The resolution principle for FOL	
		8.1.3	Completeness of the resolution principle	
		8.1.4	Resolution refinements	
			8.1.4.1 A-ordering	
			8.1.4.2 Hyper-resolution and semantic resolution	
		8.1.5	Paramodulation	377

Contents

	8.2	Analyt 8.2.1 8.2.2	Analytic tableaux as a propositional calculus	. 383 . 391 . 393
9	Pro	gramm	ning	399
	9.1	Logic 1	programming as deductive programming	. 400
		9.1.1	Query systems and programming systems	. 400
		9.1.2	LP programs and their meaning	. 405
		9.1.3	Resolution and LP computations	. 414
		9.1.4	Negation as failure	. 425
	9.2	Declar	ative + procedural interpretation: Prolog	. 433
		9.2.1	Prolog and Prolog	. 433
		9.2.2	$\label{eq:logic} \mbox{Logic} + \mbox{control:} \ ! \mbox{and} \ \mbox{fail} \ . \ . \ . \ . \ . \ . \ . \ . \ .$. 440
		9.2.3	Negation in Prolog: The predicate not	
	9.3		declarative interpretation: Datalog	
		9.3.1	Relational languages and databases	
		9.3.2	Deductive databases and Datalog	
		9.3.3	Semantics for Datalog DDBs	
			9.3.3.1 Herbrand semantics	
			9.3.3.2 Fixed-point semantics	
		9.3.4	A proof system for Datalog definite programs: SLD	
			resolution	
		9.3.5	Datalog with negation: Datalog	. 480
$\mathbf{B}^{\mathbf{i}}$	blio	graphy	7	493
Bi	bliog	graphic	al references	495
In	dex			505

List of Figures

1.1.1	A partially ordered set	26
1.1.2	Hasse diagram of a poset	29
1.2.1	Join table of 2^A	36
1.2.2	Meet table of 2^A	37
1.2.3	The lattice $(\mathcal{S}, \cup, \cap)$	37
1.2.4		38
1.2.5	A simple graph with five vertices and seven edges	43
2.1.1	Derivation tree of the string $w = acbabc \in L(G)$ with the corresponding partial derivation trees	68
2.1.2	1 01	69
2.1.3	-	71
2.1.4		72
2.1.5	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	73
2.1.6	An algorithm based on the Chomsky hierarchy for deciding	
		80
2.2.1		84
2.2.2	9	85
2.2.3	1 0 0	87
2.2.4		92
2.2.5	Schematic diagrams for finite automata accepting (i) $L_1 \cup$	
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	94
2.2.6		96
2.2.7		98
2.2.8	A PDA M accepting the language $L(M) = \{a^m b^m m \ge 0\}$.	
2.2.9	Proving the equivalence of $L(M) = N(M)$	06
2.2.10	NDFSR recognizing the viable prefixes for the CFG of	
	Balanced Parentheses	15
2.2.11	A Turing machine computing the function $f(m, n) = m + n.1$	
2.2.12	The encodings $\langle M_T \rangle$ and $\langle M_T, z \rangle$	
2.2.13	A Turing machine that computes the function $f(n,m) =$	
	2n+3m	29
2.2.14	Program for a Turing machine computing the function	
	$f(n,m) = 2n + 3m. \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots $	30

List of Figures

2.2.15	A combination of Turing machines
2.2.16	A Turing machine
2.3.1	A combination of Turing machines
2.3.2	The Chomsky hierarchy and beyond: Decidable, Turing-
	recognizable, and not-Turing-recognizable languages 147
2.3.3	The hierarchy of complexity classes with corresponding
	tractability status
2.3.4	Typical structure of ${\bf NP}$ -completeness proofs by polynomial-
	time reductions
3.1.1	Formalizations for English by means of the language of
0.1.1	classical propositional logic
3.1.2	Formalizations for English by means of the language of
011.2	classical FO logic
3.2.1	Some classical formally correct arguments 192
3.3.1	Truth table for the connective \rightarrow in the 3-valued logics L ₃ ,
0.0.2	K_3^W , and R_{13}
3.4.1	Adequateness of a deductive system $L = (L, \Vdash)$ 226
4.1.1	Unifying the pair $\langle P(a, x, h(g(z))), P(z, h(y), h(y)) \rangle$. 253
5.1.1	Proof of $\vdash_{\mathcal{L}} \phi \to \phi$
5.1.2	Proof of $\{\phi, \forall x (\phi) \to \chi\} \vdash_{\mathcal{L}_q} \forall x (\chi) \dots \dots$
5.2.1	Proof of $\vdash_{\mathcal{NK}} ((A \to B) \land (A \to C)) \to (A \to (B \land C))$ 286
5.2.2	Proof of an argument in (extended) \mathcal{NK}
5.2.3	A FO \mathcal{NK} proof
5.3.1	Proof in \mathcal{LK} of a FO validity
5.3.2	Proof in \mathcal{LK} of axiom $\mathscr{L}2$ of the axiom system \mathscr{L} 293
7.1.1	A tableau for the Turing machine $M.$
7.2.1	Tseitin transformations for the connectives of L 333
7.3.1	A DPLL proof procedure
7.3.2	Closed semantic tree of $C = \{C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4, C_5\}$ in Example
	7.3.3
7.3.3	A closed semantic tree
8.1.1	A refutation tree
8.1.2	A propositional argument as input in Prover9-Mace4 350
8.1.3	Output by Prover9: A valid propositional argument 351
8.1.4	Output by Prover 9: A valid formula
8.1.5	Output by Mace4: A counter-model
8.1.6	A refutation failure tree
8.1.7	Input in Prover9-Mace4: A FO theory
	_

8.1.8	Output by Prover9
8.1.9	Output by Prover9
8.1.10	Schubert's steamroller in natural language
8.1.11	Schubert's steamroller in FOL
8.1.12	Proof of Schubert's steamroller by Prover9 361
8.1.13	Hyper-resolution of $\Xi = (C_3; C_1, C_2)$
8.1.14	Theory of distributive lattices and commutativity of meet:
	Input in Prover9-Mace4
8.1.15	Proof by Prover9 of the commutativity of meet in a dis-
	tributive lattice
8.1.16	A linear-resolution refutation
8.1.17	Theory of commutative groups: Input in Prover9-Mace4 380
8.1.18	Output by Prover9
8.2.1	Analytic tableaux expansion rules: $\alpha\beta$ -classification 386
8.2.2	A propositional tableau proof
8.2.3	Analytic tableaux expansion rules: $\gamma \delta$ -classification 392
8.2.4	A FO tableau proof without unification 395
8.2.5	A FO tableau with unification
9.1.1	The abstract interpreter Ψ with input (Π, G) operating
	with ground reductions
9.1.2	A LI-resolution proof on a LP program 417
9.1.3	A LI-resolution proof tree
9.1.4	A SLD-resolution proof
9.1.5	A complete SLD-proof tree for a Prolog program 421
9.1.6	SWI-Prolog answering a query and outputting traces for
	some "true" instantiations
9.1.7	SWI-Prolog traces of a "true" and a "false" instantiation 424
9.2.1	A SLD-proof tree for a Prolog program with ! 442
9.3.1	Table for $BIRD$ ($SPECIES, NAME$) 453
9.3.2	The EDB Avian_Center_EDB
9.3.3	An instance of the Datalog database Avian_Center_DDB
	with respect to the program Avian_Sick_Prog 465
9.3.4	$Cn(Avian_Sick_Prog \cup E_{Avian_Center_DDB}).$ 466
9.3.5	A Datalog proof tree
9.3.6	Datalog definite program Avian_center_Quarantine 476
9.3.7	A SLD-resolution proof of a Datalog query 477
9.3.8	Dependency graph $\vec{\mathfrak{G}}_{\Pi_1^{\neg}}$ of the Datalog program Π_1^{\neg} 483
9.3.9	Dependency graph of a non-stratifiable program 487

Preface to the first edition

It is often the case that computer science is considered merely a branch of mathematics. This (still) often motivates the belief that logic is required for computer science just because it is required for mathematics, namely for proofs. However, logic in computing goes well beyond the context of mathematical proof, being present today in fields such as artificial intelligence and cognitive science, and having significant engineering and industrial applications. This impressive plethora of computational applications of *logic* could not be possible without a large variety of *logics*, which for our purposes can be elegantly—i.e. by means of the English connector and—segregated in two major classes: classical logic(s) and non-classical logics.

Yet another, but perhaps not so elegant, segregation must be contemplated when speaking of computing today: classical computing or non-classical computing. While in the latter kind one can include a large variety of computation models and computers (e.g., quantum computers, artificial neural networks, evolutionary computing), we shall consider classical computing to be the processing of information carried out by the von Neumann, or industrial-scale digital computer, which has as a major theoretical foundation the Turing computing paradigm. This paradigm, concretized in the Turing machine, sees computation as a spatial-temporal discrete business over symbols that can best be carried out in binary code. While this paradigm does not take into account the resources available for computation, the von Neumann computer is in fact constrained by physical—i.e. spatial and temporal—resources, which means that classical computing has more or less clearly established limitations.

When logic, whether classical or non-classical, is applied in computing, either classical or non-classical, we speak of *computational logic*. This is an important label in at least two senses. Firstly, it captures the fact that there is a subfield of formal logic that can be applied in a computational setting. This subfield might be obtained by imposing restrictions (for example, on the sets of operators), but also by extensions or just plain variations. Secondly, it helps us to distinguish clearly between computation carried out with a *logical language* from computation carried out with *other* formal languages. In effect, while the latter

typically is concerned with preserving the legality of symbol strings (legal strings are processed into further legal strings), the former often aims at truth-preservation. Say that we have a theory and wish to know whether some assertion follows logically from it, i.e. belongs to it, or is true in it. The deduction theorem allows us to express this logical following in a single symbol string, known as a logical formula, and our question is notoriously best concretized in the validity and satisfiability problems, which ask whether a logical formula is always true, or is true in some interpretation, respectively. When these problems—in particular the latter—are posed in a computational context, we accordingly speak of deductive computation. When the computational solution is to be found by means of classical computing, we then speak of classical deductive computing.

In this book we elaborate on classical deductive computing with classical logic, and we do so without a specific regard to the field of application. Our foci are first and foremost two main subjects in which classical deductive computing with classical logic has a prominent role: automated theorem proving and logic programming.

This is thus a book on applied logic. Furthermore, this is a book on applied mathematical logic. We take here the label mathematical logic as synonymous with formal logic, and this in a very narrow sense: formal logic is logic whose foundations lie in mathematical objects and structures. Although these mathematical foundations may be inconspicuous at the object-language level, at the metalanguage level they do become more conspicuous or even explicit. Interestingly enough-though not surprising anymore—, the mathematical structures and objects usually required in mathematical logic are precisely those needed for classical deductive computing; we talk here of lattices, graphs, trees, etc., all known as discrete structures and objects. This accounts for a whole chapter (Chapter 1) dedicated to the topics of discrete mathematics required for a satisfactory grasping of the material in this book. More specifically, we restrictively provide the mathematical notions that are foundational for both the theory of classical computing and classical deduction. Chapter 1 constitutes Part II of this volume, Part I being the Introduction.

Were this book on formal logic alone, there would be no need for a chapter on the *theory of computing*. Although logical languages are first and foremost formal languages, outside a computational context no issues of computability or complexity arise–certainly not in the usual treatment of logic for philosophy courses, but not even in pure mathematical logic textbooks. These issues arise when we need to compute with logical languages (e.g., Turing-completeness of programming lan-

guages). Because these issues arise here, we need to approach Turing machines, which, in turn, require the fundamentals of formal languages and models of computation, in order to be satisfactorily understood. We thus provide the basics of the *general* theory of classical computing, which includes the study of formal languages and grammars, models of computation, and computability theory. As a matter of fact, we provide more than the basics, doing so in the belief that such knowledge often comes in handy for anyone interested in computational logic. This material constitutes Chapter 2, which is Part III.

This book is one—the first—of two volumes addressing the topic of classical deductive computing. In it we focus on computing with classical logic. Although new technologies have opened a path that led to a proliferation of *new* logics, the so-called non-classical logics, classical logic remains as the standard logical system which the other, newer, systems extend or from which they diverge. This would be reason enough to justify this volume, but the fact is that, despite the many technological advances witnessed in the last decades, classical logic is still the logical system of choice for many technological applications requiring what in this book we call deductive computation.

Although the literature on classical logic is prolific, with many good introductions to the subject, with self-containment in view we provide a whole chapter (Chapter 4) on classical logic. This follows a comprehensive discussion on formal logic, deduction, and deductive computation carried out in Chapter 3, in which such fundamental notions as logical language, from the viewpoints of both form and meaning, and logical consequence, in relation to inference and deductive systems, as well as to computation, are thoroughly discussed.

The decision problem in computational logic is overwhelmingly tackled by checking for (un)satisfiability, namely by means of the so-called SAT testers or solvers. However, we thought that a working knowledge of classical validity testing methods is also required. These—the classical calculi—we present in Chapter 5, which is followed, in Chapter 6, by the different semantics that provide a foundation for meaning in classical logic.

Chapters 3 to 6, constituting Part IV of this book, comprise our discussion of classical deduction and classical logic.

In Part V, we begin by elaborating on the *(classical)* satisfiability problem, already introduced in Chapter 2, and by providing the means to computerize classical logic with a view to finding computational solutions to this problem. This satisfiability testing is extensively discussed in the remaining Sections of this Chapter 7. We then proceed with extensive treatments of the aforementioned main fields of computational

logic, to wit, automated theorem proving (Chapter 8) and logic programming (Chapter 9). With respect to the former, we give an equal weight to resolution and analytic tableaux. This is uncommon, as the resolution calculus has all but obliterated the analytic tableaux calculus in the context of automated theorem proving, but we think this obliteration is not justified and hope to contribute to the reassessment of the pay-offs of further automating the analytic tableaux calculus. Precisely due to this imbalance our treatment of this calculus is not as comprehensive as our elaboration on resolution. As far as logic programming is concerned, we naturally focus on Prolog, as this is the major (family of) language(s) in this programming paradigm. It is our belief that by mastering the essential aspects of Prolog related to its deductive capabilities, as well as the general theory of logic programming, the reader will be well equipped to tackle most tasks involving this programming paradigm, as well as other (sub-)languages thereof, such as Datalog and Answer Set Programming.

We restrict our elaboration on classical computing to first-order predicate logic, which is known to be adequate (i.e. sound and complete) and as such provides us with a reliable means for classical deductive computation. This by no means entails that we disfavor higher-order logics, but we leave their inclusion in this text to possible future editions thereof.

As said above, this is the first of two volumes. Born in the late 1960s / early 1970s, computational logic has quickly grown to have many subfields or subjects; many, indeed (see Introduction). Clearly, this proliferation cannot be covered by a single volume, and we decided to divide the material we find essential in two volumes, the main segregation between both being that we dedicate this (first) volume to computing with classical logic, and we shall elaborate on computation with non-classical logics in a second volume. This segregation is justified not only by the fact that classical and non-classical logics have very different computational assumptions and applications, but also by the sheer quantity of topics that need to be addressed; a single book would certainly be too voluminous and readers may be interested in only one of these, classical or non-classical logics.

An advantage of this project over other works in the field is the breadth of its covering: the reader has in it far more content on computational logic than is usually the case in a single monograph or textbook. This, like any advantage, comes at a price, though: depth had to be relinquished. This is, however, remediated by bibliographical references to works of a more limited breadth but with greater depth of treatment. Moreover, this work contains a large selection of exercises on all the approached topics. Having in mind both that most specialized mono-

graphs and handbooks lack any exercises and the large variety of topics here approached, this is indeed yet another advantage, at least for the reader of a more practical persuasion. In our selection of exercises we included novel material (e.g., theorems not given in the main text), so that the reader is expected also to approach problems in computational logic in a creative way. Exercises asking the reader to reflect on some statements or passages, as well as to engage in research, are also included. These latter exercises are meant to complement the main text with some topics that, while not being secondary, would require some extended discussion, making of this a much larger volume.

Some final remarks: Some of the material in this volume draws on two books of ours also published in College Publications, to wit, Augusto (2017a, b). This material either is as was first published, or has been submitted to some, often substantial, revisions and extensions. As was or revised/extended, it is mostly to be found in Chapters 1, 7, and 8, as well as in all Chapters of Part IV, though not in all Sections thereof. Chapters 2 and 9, as well as many Sections in Part IV (e.g., Sections 3.1-3), are completely novel, drawing only from folklore or from works by other authors. These are orthodoxly cited and indicated in the bibliographical references, but not always did we see it necessary to do so, especially with respect to material that has to some extent already acquired the character of mathematical or logical folklore.

Being a book on computational logic, this is, as said,—also—a book on mathematical logic. This explains the usual distinction in the main text of statements into definitions (abbreviated **Def.**), propositions (**Prop.**), and the odd undistinguished paragraph that for ends of internal reference is referred to as "§"; these are all given a number indicating the Section (two digits separated by a dot) and the order in the Section. For example, **2.1.3** (**Def.**) indicates Definition 3 in Section 2.1. Theorems, as well as their companion lemmas and corollaries, are numbered in the same way but separately from the other numbered statements, and the same holds for examples. Exercises are numbered according to not only Section, but also Subsection.

It is usual to provide the reader with a schematic guide for the reading of a book in the fields that are our foci. With this in mind, but not wishing to direct the reader more than the Table of Contents already is expected to do, we think that in order for the lay reader to have a minimal satisfactory grasping of classical deductive computing with classical logic the following topics are essential: The system of classical logic CL and the logic CL (Chapter 4), Herbrand semantics (concentrated in Sections 6.2 and 7.3.3), and Sections 7.1-2 for the satisfiability problem and for the necessary means to make logical formulae of CL

amenable to computation. These are *sine-qua-non* requirements for a good understanding of automated theorem proving (Chapter 8) or logic programming (Chapter 9), or both. The novice reader wishing to gain a full grasp of our main topic cannot eschew the reading of the whole volume. It should be remarked, however, that some Chapters are self-standing in the sense that they can be used independently from the rest of the volume. This is particularly true of Chapter 2, which is largely conceived as a condensed treatment—with the usual selection of exercises—of the theory of classical computing, and thus can be of use for readers whose interest might fall exclusively on this topic.

For reasons to do with time, we do not include solutions to any of the exercises in this edition, but sooner or later they are expected to be provided, either online or in later editions. Readers wishing to contribute with original solutions to problems other than the most basic ones (e.g., proofs of theorems) are welcome to contact me for this end.

My thanks go to Dov M. Gabbay for including this work in this excellent series of College Publications, and to Jane Spurr for her usual impeccable assistance in the publication process.

Madrid, June 2018

Luis M. S. Augusto

Preface to the second edition

The first edition of the present work was rather hastily completed for many reasons. This hastiness contributed to addenda and errata lists longer than I feel comfortable with, as well as to the omission of some contents that I consider important in a comprehensive introduction to the large field of classical deductive computing with classical logic. Thus, this second edition improves on the first by both eliminating (hopefully most) addenda and errata, and including the mentioned contents. These are largely constituted by Datalog, on which I elaborate at length in a wholly new chapter (Chapter 9.3) for mainly two reasons: Firstly, Datalog has an intrinsic interest from the viewpoint of databases, thus expanding on the applications of logic programming; secondly, it provides an important illustration of the equation Algorithm = Logic in computational logic, to be contrasted with the case of Prolog, which concretizes the equation Algorithm = Logic + Control. On a more personal level, Datalog is a highly rewarding topic to research into; more specifically, how such a frugal logical language as Datalog can call for impressively complex formal semantics promises to keep researchers busy for a long time to come.

A few more exercises, in particular exercises aiming at connecting Part III and Parts IV-V, were added in this edition. Further minor improvements were made by redrawing some of the figures and by making minor changes to the main text.

Madrid, January 2020

Luis M. S. Augusto

Bibliographical references

- Abiteboul, S., Hull, R., & Vianu, V. (1995). Foundations of databases. Reading, MA, etc.: Addison-Wesley.
- Abiteboul, S. & Vianu, V. (1991). Datalog extensions for database queries and updates. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 43, 62-124.
- Apt, K. R. (1996). From logic programming to Prolog. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Apt, K. R., Blair, H. A., & Walker, A. (1988). Towards a theory of declarative knowledge. In J. Minker (ed.), Foundations of deductive databases and logic programming (pp. 89-148). Los Altos, CA: Morgan Kaufmann.
- Aristotle (ca. 350 BC). *Metaphysics*. Trans. by W. D. Ross (1908). Available at http://classics.mit.edu//Aristotle/metaphysics.html.
- Augusto, L. M. (2017a). Logical consequences. Theory and applications: An introduction. London: College Publications.
- Augusto, L. M. (2017b). Many-valued logics: A mathematical and computational introduction. London: College Publications.
- Augusto, L. M. (2019a). Languages, machines, and classical computation. London: College Publications.
- Augusto, L. M. (2019b). Formal logic: Classical problems and proofs. London: College Publications.
- Baaz, M., Egly, U., & Leitsch, A. (2001). Normal form transformations. In A. Robinson & A. Voronkov (eds.), *Handbook of automated reasoning*, vol. 1 (pp. 273-333). Amsterdam: Elsevier / Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Bachmair, L. & Ganziger, H. (2001). Resolution theorem proving. In A. Robinson & A. Voronkov (eds.), *Handbook of automated reasoning*, vol. 1 (pp. 19-99). Amsterdam: Elsevier / Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

- Beckert, B., Hähnle, R., & Schmitt, P. H. (1993). The even more liberalized δ-rule in free variable semantic tableaux. In G. Gottlob, A. Leitsch, & D. Mundici (eds.), Proceedings of the third Kurt Gödel Colloquium KGC'93, Brno (pp. 108-119). Springer.
- Beth, E. W. (1955). Semantic entailment and formal derivability. Mededlingen der Koninklijke Nederlandse Akademie van Wetenschappen, 18, 309-342.
- Beth, E. W. (1960). Completeness results for formal systems. In J. A. Todd (ed.), *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians*, 14-21 August 1958 (pp. 281-288). Cambridge: CUP.
- Biere, A., Heule, M., van Maaren, H., & Walsh, T. (2009). *Hand-book of satisfiability*. Amsterdam, etc.: IOS Press.
- Blum, M. (1967). A machine-independent theory of the complexity of recursive functions. *Journal of the Association for Computing Machinery*, 14, 322-336.
- Boole, G. (1847). The mathematical analysis of logic. Being an essay towards a calculus of deductive reasoning. Cambridge: Macmillan, Barclay, and Macmillan.
- Boole, G. (1854). An investigation of the laws of thought, on which are founded the mathematical theories of logic and probabilities. London: Walton and Maberly.
- Börger, E., Grädel, E., & Gurevich, Y. (2001). The classical decision problem. Berlin, etc.: Springer.
- Ceri, S., Gottlob, G., & Tanca, L. (1989). What you always wanted to know about Datalog (and never dared to ask). *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, 1, 146-166.
- Ceri, S., Gottlob, G., & Tanca, L. (1990). Logic programming and databases. Berlin & Heidelberg: Springer.
- Chang, C.-L. & Lee, R. C.-T. (1973). Symbolic logic and mechanical theorem proving. New York & London: Academic Press.
- Chomsky, N. (1956). Three models for the description of language. *IRE Transactions on Information Theory*, 2, 113-124.
- Chomsky, N. (1959). On certain formal properties of grammars. *Information and Control*, 2, 113-124.

- Church, A. (1936a). A note on the Entscheidungsproblem. *Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 1, 40-41.
- Church, A. (1936b). An unsolvable problem of elementary number theory. American Journal of Mathematics, 2, 345-363.
- Clark, K. L. (1978). Negation as failure. In H. Gallaire & J. Minker (eds.), *Logic and data bases* (pp. 293-322). New York: Plenum.
- Cleave, J. P. (1991). A study of logics. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Curry, H. B. (1963). Foundations of mathematical logic. New York, etc.: McGraw-Hill.
- D'Agostino, M. (1999). Tableau methods for classical propositional logic. In M. D'Agostino et al. (eds.), *Handbook of tableau methods* (pp. 45-123), Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Date, C. J. (2004). *Introduction to database systems*. 8th ed. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- Davis, M. (2001). The early history of automated deduction. In A. Robinson & A. Voronkov (eds.), *Handbook of automated reasoning*, vol. 1 (pp. 1-15). Amsterdam: Elsevier / Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Davis, M. & Putnam, H. (1960). A computing procedure for quantification theory. *Journal of the ACM*, 7, 201-215.
- Davis, M. D. & Weyuker, E. J. (1983). Computability, complexity, and languages. Fundamentals of theoretical computer science. Orlando, etc.: Academic Press.
- Davis, M., Logemann, G., & Loveland, D. (1962). A machine program for theorem-proving. *Communications of the ACM*, 5, 394-397.
- Deransart, P. & Małuszyński, J. (1993). A grammatical view of logic programming. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Digricoli, V. J. & Harrison, M. C. (1986). Equality-based binary resolution. *Journal of the Association for Computing Machinery*, 33, 253-289.
- Doets, K. (1994). From logic to logic programming. Cambridge, MA & London, England: The MIT Press.

- Enderton, H. B. (2001). A mathematical introduction to logic. 2nd ed. San Diego, etc.: Harcourt Academic Press.
- Etchemendy, J. (1999). The concept of logical consequence. Stanford: CSLI Publications.
- Fitting, M. (1996). First order logic and automated theorem proving. 2nd ed. New York, etc.: Springer.
- Fitting, M. (1999). Introduction. In M. D'Agostino et al. (eds.), *Handbook of tableau methods* (pp. 1-44). Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Frege, G. (1892). Über Sinn und Bedeutung. Zeitschrift für Philosophie und philosophische Kritik C, 25-50.
- Gabbay, D. M. & Woods, J. (2003). A practical logic of cognitive systems. Vol. 1: Agenda relevance. A study in formal pragmatics. Amsterdam, etc.: Elsevier.
- Gabbay, D. M., Hogger, C. J., & Robinson, J. A. (eds.) (1998). Handbook of logic in artificial intelligence and logic programming. Vol. 5: Logic Programming. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Gallaire, H., Minker, J., & Nicolas, J.-M. (1984). Logic and databases: A deductive approach. *Computing Surveys*, 16, 153-185.
- Gallier, J. (2011). Discrete mathematics. New York, etc.: Springer.
- Garey, M. R. & Johnson, D. S. (1979). Computers and intractability: A guide to the theory of NP-completeness. New York: W. H. Freeman and Company.
- Gelfond, M. & Lifschitz, V. (1988). The stable model semantics for logic programming. In R. Kowalski & K. Bowen (eds.), *Logic programming: Proceedings of the 5th international conference and symposium* (pp. 1070-1080). MIT Press.
- Gentzen, G. (1934-5). Untersuchungen über das logische Schliessen. Mathematische Zeitschrift, 39, 176-210, 405-431. (Engl. trans.: Investigations into logical deduction. In M. E. Szabo (ed.), The Collected Papers of Gerhard Gentzen (pp. 68-131). Amsterdam: North-Holland.)
- Gilmore, P. (1960). A proof method for quantification theory: Its justification and realization. *IBM Journal of Research and Development*, 4, 28-35.

- Gödel, K. (1930). Die Vollständigkeit der Axiome des logischen Funktionkalküls. *Monatshefte für Mathematik*, 37, 349-360. (Engl. trans.: The completeness of the axioms of the functional calculus of logic. In S. Feferman et al. (eds.), *Collected works. Vol. 1: Publications 1929-1936* (pp. 103-123). New York: OUP & Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1986.)
- Gödel, K. (1931). Über formal unentscheidbare Sätze der *Principia Mathematica* und verwandter Systeme, I. *Monatshefte für Mathematik und Physik*, 38, 173-198. (Engl. trans.: On formally undecidable propositions of *Principia Mathematica* and related systems, I. In S. Feferman et al. (eds.), *Collected works. Vol. 1: Publications 1929-1936* (pp. 144-195). New York: OUP & Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1986.)
- Gödel, K. (1964). Postscriptum to Gödel (1934). In *Collected works I* (pp. 369-371), Oxford: OUP, 1986.
- Greco, S. & Molinaro, C. (2016). Datalog and logic databases. Morgan & Claypool.
- Grune, D. & Jacobs, C. J. H. (2010). Parsing techniques: A practical guide. 2nd ed. New York, NY: Springer.
- Hähnle, R. & Schmitt, P. H. (1994). The liberalized δ -rule in free-variable semantic tableaux. *Journal of Automated Reasoning*, 13, 211-221.
- Henkin, L. (1949). The completeness of the first-order functional calculus. *Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 14, 159-166.
- Herbrand, J. (1930). Recherches sur la théorie de la démonstration. Thèses présentées à la Faculté des Sciences de Paris.
- Hilbert, D. & Ackermann, W. (1928). Grundzüge der theoretischen Logik. Berlin: Springer.
- Hintikka, J. (1955). Form and content in quantification theory. *Acta Philosophica Fennica*, 8, 7-55.
- Hopcroft, J. E., Motwani, R., & Ullman, J. (2013). *Introduction to automata theory, languages, and computation*. 3rd ed. Boston, etc.: Pearson.
- Hurley, P. J. (2012). A concise introduction to logic. 11th ed. Boston, MA: Wadsworth.

Bibliographical references

- Jaśkowski, S. (1934). On the rules of suppositions in formal logic. Studia Logica, 1, 5-32.
- Kleene, S. C. (1952). *Introduction to metamathematics*. Princeton, NJ: D. van Nostrand Co.
- Kleene, S. C. (1956). Representation of events in nerve nets and finite automata. In C. E. Shannon & J. McCarthy (eds.), *Automata studies* (pp. 3-42). Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Leitsch, A. (1997). The resolution calculus. Berlin, etc.: Springer.
- Letz, R. (1999). First-order tableau methods. In M. D'Agostino et al. (eds.), *Handbook of tableau methods* (pp. 125-196), Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Libkin, L. (2012). *Elements of finite model theory*. Berlin, etc.: Springer.
- MacKenzie, D. (1995). The automation of proof: A historical and sociological exploration. *IEEE Annals of the History of Computing*, 17, 7-29.
- Makinson, D. (2008). Sets, logic, and maths for computing. London: Springer.
- Martin, N. M. & Pollard, S. (1996). Closure spaces and logic. Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Mendelson, E. (2015). *Introduction to mathematical logic*. 6th ed. Boca Raton, FL: Taylor & Francis Group.
- Minker, J. (1997). Logic and databases: Past, present, and future. *AI Magazine*, 18, 21-47.
- Minsky, M. (1974). A framework for representing knowledge. Report AIM, 306, Artificial Intelligence Laboratory, MIT.
- Newell, A. (1973). Production systems: Models of control structures. In W. G. Chase (ed.), *Visual information processing* (pp. 463-526), New York: Academic Press.
- Newell, A. (1990). *Unified theories of cognition*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

- Nieuwenhuis, R. & Rubio, A. (2001). Paramodulation-based theorem proving. In A. Robinson & A. Voronkov (eds.), *Handbook of automated reasoning*, vol. 1 (pp. 371-443). Amsterdam: Elsevier / Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Prawitz, D. (1965). Natural deduction. A proof-theoretical study. Stockholm: Almqvist & Wiksell.
- Przymusinski, T. C. (1989). On the declarative and procedural semantics of logic programs. *Journal of Automated Reasoning*, 5, 167-205.
- Quine, W. V. O. (1938). Completeness of the propositional calculus. *Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 3, 37-40.
- Rahwan, I. & Simari, G. R. (eds.) (2009). Argumentation in artificial intelligence. Dordrecht, etc.: Springer.
- Reiter, R. (1978). On closed world data bases. In H. Gallaire & J. Minker (eds.), *Logic and data bases* (pp. 55-76). New York: Plenum.
- Reiter, R. (1984). Towards a logical reconstruction of relational database theory. In M. L. Brodie, J. Mylopolous, & J. W. Schmidt (eds.), On conceptual modeling. Perspectives from artificial intelligence, databases, and programming languages (pp. 191-238). New York: Springer.
- Robinson, A. J. (1965). A machine-oriented logic based on the resolution principle. *Journal of ACM*, 12, 23-41.
- Robinson, G. & Wos, L. (1969). Paramodulation and theoremproving in first-order theories with equality. *Machine Intelligence*, 4, 135-150.
- Shepherdson, J. C. (1984). Negation as failure: A comparison of Clark's completed data base and Reiter's closed world assumption. Journal of Logic Programming, 1, 1-48.
- Siekmann, J. H. (ed.) (2014). Handbook of the history of logic. Vol. 9: Computational logic. Amsterdam, etc.: North-Holland, Elsevier.
- Sippu, S. & Soisalon-Soininen, E. (1990). Parsing theory. Vol. II: LR(k) and LL(k) parsing. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer.

- Smullyan, R. M. (1968). First-order logic. Mineola, NY: Dover.
- Stepney, S. et al. (2005). Journeys in non-classical computation I: A grand challenge for computing research. *International Journal of Parallel, Emergent and Distributed Systems*, 20, 5-19.
- Sterling, L. & Shapiro, E. (1994). *The art of Prolog.* Cambridge, MA & London, England: The MIT Press.
- Stone, M. H. (1936). The theory of representation for Boolean algebras. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 40, 37-111.
- Tarski, A. (1930). Fundamentale Begriffe der Methodologie der deduktiven Wissenschaften. I. Monatshefte für Mathematik und Physik, 37, 361-404. (Engl. trans.: Fundamental concepts of the methodology of the deductive sciences. In A. Tarski, Logic, semantics, metamathematics: Papers from 1923 to 1938 (pp. 60-109). Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1956.)
- Tarski, A. (1935). Der Wahrheitsbegriff in formalisierten Sprachen. Studia Philosophica, 1, 261-405 (Engl. trans.: The concept of truth in formalized languages. In A. Tarski, Logic, semantics, metamathematics: Papers from 1923 to 1938 (pp. 152-278). Trans. by J. H. Woodger. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1956) (Originally published in Polish in 1933.)
- Tarski, A. (1994). Introduction to logic and to the methodology of deductive sciences. 4th ed. J. Tarski (ed.). New York & Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Troelstra, A. S. & Schwichtenberg, H. (2000). *Basic proof theory*. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Tseitin, G. S. (1968). On the complexity of derivations in the propositional calculus. In A. O. Slisenko (ed.), *Studies in constructive mathematics and mathematical logic. Part 2. Seminar in mathematics* (pp. 115-125). Steklov Mathematical Institute.
- Turing, A. (1936-7). On computable numbers, with an application to the Entscheidungsproblem. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society, Series* 2, 41, 230-265.
- van Emden, M. H. & Kowalski, R. A. (1976). The semantics of predicate logic as a programming language. *Journal of the Association for Computing Machinery*, 23, 733-742.

- van Gelder, A. (1986). Negation as failure using tight derivations for general logic programs. In *Proceedings of the Third IEEE Symposium on Logic Programming*, pp. 137-146.
- van Gelder, A., Ross, K. A., & Schlipf, J. S. (1991). The well-founded semantics for general logic programs. *Journal of the ACM*, 38, 620-650.
- Walther, C. (1985). A mechanical solution of Schubert's Steamroller by many-sorted resolution. *Artificial Intelligence*, 26, 217-224.
- Wójcicki, R. (1988). Theory of logical calculi: Basic theory of consequence operations. Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Younger, D. H. (1967). Recognition and parsing of context-free languages in time n^3 . Information and Control, 10, 189-208.

\mathbf{A}	Axiom, Logical, 228
Abstract interpreter, 411	Axiom, Non-logical or proper, 228
Adequateness of a logical system,	Axioms, Blum, 150
225	Axioms, Particularization, 454
Adequateness of a program, 411	
Adequateness of a query system,	В
402	Backtracking, 419
Algorithm, 11	Backus-Naur form, 176
Algorithm, Analytic tableaux, 383	Big-O notation, 152
Algorithm, CYK, 165	Bivalence, 7
Algorithm, DPLL, 339	Boolean algebra, 31
Algorithm, Robinson's, 251	Boolean expression, 310
Algorithm, Tseitin transforma-	Boolean function, 197
tion, 332	
Analytic tableaux, 383	\mathbf{C}
A-ordering, 365	Chomsky hierarchy, 79
Argument, 188	Chomsky hierarchy, Extended, 136
Assumption, Closed-world (CWA),	Church-Turing Thesis, 138
427	Clark completion, 428
Assumption, Complete database	Clark formula, 428
(CDB), 427	Clause, 326
Assumption, Completion, 454	Clause, Definite, 326
Assumption, Domain-closure, 454	Clause, Dual-Horn, 326
Assumption, Unique-name, 454	Clause, General, 430
Automated theorem proving (ATP),	Clause, Horn, 326
347	Closure operation, 211
Automaton, Finite, 86	Closure system, 208
Automaton, Linear-bounded (LBA),	Closure, Existential, 177
131	Closure, Universal, 177
Automaton, Pushdown (PDA),	Compactness, 211
101	Compactness of propositional logic,
Axiom, 214	341
Axiom system, 280	Completeness, 224

Completeness theorem, 267	Database, Indefinite deductive (ID-
Complexity classes, 153	DDB), 462
Complexity, Combined, 243	Database, Intensional (IDB), 455
Complexity, Computational, 153	Database, Relational, 452
Complexity, Data, 243	Database, Temporal deductive,
Complexity, Expression, 243	462
Complexity, Space, 150	Datalog, Semi-positive, 481
Complexity, Time, 151	De Morgan's laws (DM), 262
Computation, 3	Decision procedure, 232
Computation (for a machine), 82	Deduction theorem (DT), 223
Computation, Deductive, 13	Deduction, Computational, 232
Computation, Symbolic, 3	Deduction, Resolution, 349
Computation, Truth-preserving,	Deduction-Detachment theorem
13	(DDT), 227
Computational yield, 402	Deductive system, 210
Computing, Assumptions of clas-	Denotation, 296
sical, 12	Derivability, 214
Computing, Classical, 10	Derivation (in a grammar), 57
Configuration, 82	Destructive dilemma (DD), 192
Consequence operation, 207	Determinacy (of a programming
Consequence operator, Immedi-	system), 401
ate, 468	Determinism (of a programming
Consequence relation, 207	system), 401
Consistency, 216	Diagonalization method, 21
Constructive dilemma (CD), 192	Distributive laws, 331
Contingency, 220	Domain of discourse, 200
Contradiction, 220	DPDA (Deterministic pushdown
Contraposition, Law of, 262	automaton), 109
Cook-Karp Thesis, 156	DPLL procedure, 337
Cook-Levin Theorem, 160	-
Counter-model, 219	${f E}$
Counter-proof, 215	Equality, 273
Cut operator, 440	Equality substitution, 377
- ,	Equisatisfiability, 329
D	Evaluation (Datalog), 478
Database, Datalog, 458	Evaluation, Bottom-up Datalog,
Database, Deductive (DDB), 457	478
Database, Disjunctive deductive (DDDB), 472	Evaluation, Top-down Datalog, 478
Database, Extended disjunctive	Ex contradictione quodlibet (ECQ),
deductive (EDDDB), 462	192
Database, Extensional (EDB), 454	Ex falso quodlibet (EFQ), 256
zacasas, zacanianian (zzz), 101	

Excluded middle, Principle of (PEM), 257	Ground substitution, 249
Existential distribution, 178	Н
Explosion, Principle of, 196	Herbrand base, 301
Extensionality, Principle of, 197	Herbrand instance (H-instance), 301
\mathbf{F}	Herbrand interpretation (H-interpre-
Fact (in LP), 406	tation), 301
Fail operator, 443	Herbrand model (H-model), 302
Finite satisfiability, 234	Herbrand model, Least, 425
Finite transducer, 96	Herbrand model, Minimal, 425
Finite-model property (FMP), 239	Herbrand satisfiability (H-satisfia-
Finite-state machine, 98 Finite-state recognizer (FSR), 81	bility), 302
Finite-state recognizer, Nondeter-	Herbrand universe, 300
ministic (NDFSR), 86	Herbrand's Theorem, 341 Hilbert's Tenth Problem, 146
Fixed point, 469	Hintikka set, 390
Fixed point, Least, 469	Hintikka's Lemma, 390
Function (symbol), 174	Hypothetical syllogism (HS), 192
Function, Extended transition, 82	11y position of 110gion (110), 102
Function, Transition, 81	I
Functional completeness, 198	Identity of indiscernibles (IdI), 273
G	Identity, Law of, 262
Generalization rule (GEN), 281	Induction, Mathematical, 46
Goal (in LP), 406	Induction, Structural, 46
Goal clause, 416	Inference, 210
Goal clause, Empty, 416	Inference operation, 210
Grammar, Ambiguous, 67	Inference relation, 210
Grammar, Context-free (CFG);	Inference rule, 213
Type- $2, 64$	Inference system, 210
Grammar, Context-sensitive (CSG);	Instance, Database, 463
Type-1, 65	Interpretation, 200
Grammar, Formal, 52	Interpretation of a LP program,
Grammar, LR(k), 111	Declarative, 406
Grammar, Regular; Type-3, 63	Interpretation of a LP program,
Grammar, Unrestricted (UG); Type-	Procedural, 406
0, 76	Invalidity, 219
Graph, Dependency, 482	17
Ground expression, 171	K
Ground extension, 235 Ground instance, 249	Kleene's Least Fixed-PointTheorem, 472
Ground instance, 249	472

Kleene's Theorem for regular lan-	Löwenheim-Skolem Theorem, 240
guages, 93 Knaster-Tarski Theorem, 472	\mathbf{M}
Timester Tarbir Theorem, 472	Matching, 473
L	Mealy machine, 96
Language, Context-free (CFL),	Meaning, 194
64	Meaning of a program, 411
Language, Context-sensitive (CSL), 65	Meaning of a program, Intended, 412
Language, Decidable, 140	Meaning, Principle of composi-
Language, First-order (FO), 175	tionality of, 197
Language, Formal, 52	Metalanguage, 169
Language, Logical, 170	Meta-variable, 434
Language, Object, 169	Model, 219
Language, Propositional, 175	Model, Computer, 82
Language, Recursive, 135	Model, Herbrand (H-model), 302
Language, Recursively enumer-	Model, Herbrand least, 425
able (REL), 77	Model, Supported, 472
Language, Regular, 61	Modus ponens (MP), 192
Language, Relational, 452	Modus ponens, Universal (UMP),
Leibniz's law (LL), 273	411
Lifting lemma, 362	Modus tollendo ponens (TP), 192
Lindenbaum's Theorem, 229	Modus tollens (MT), 192
Lindenbaum-Tarski algebra, 307	Monotonicity, 210
Logic (of a logical system), The,	Moore machine, 96
217, 221	Myhill-Nerode Theorem, 93
Logic programming (LP), 399	
Logic, Classical, 7	N
Logic, Classical first-order (CFOL),	Natural deduction calculus, 283
248	Negation by failure (NBF), 427
Logic, Classical propositional (CPL),	Negation distribution, 178
248	Negation law, Double (DN), 257
Logic, Computational, 8	Negation, Cut-failure, 446
Logic, Deductive, 6	Non-contradiction, Principle of
Logic, Formal, 5	(PNC), 257
Logic, Informal, 5	Non-monotonicity, 427
Logic, Mathematical, 4	Normal form, Chomsky, 64
Logic, Truth-preserving, 6	Normal form, Conjunctive (CNF),
Logical consequence, 206	329
Logical equivalence, 199, 202	Normal form, Disjunctive (DNF),
Logical system, 207	330
Logics, Non-classical, 7	Normal form, Greibach, 107

Normal form, Negation (NNF), 327	Problem, The Acceptance (ACPT), 141
Normal form, Prenex (PNF), 327	Problem, The Boolean satisfia-
Normal form, Skolem (SNF), 329	bility (SAT), 319
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Problem, The Busy Beaver, 146
O	Problem, The Circuit Satisfiabil-
Ogden's Lemma, 74	ity (CIRCUIT-SAT), 159
One-literal rule, 348	Problem, The Clique (CLIQUE),
P	160 Problem The Creph Colorabil
P = ? NP, 155	Problem, The Graph Colorability, 159
Paramodulation, 377	Problem, The Graph Isomorphism,
Paramodulation, Ordered, 379	160
Paramodulation, Simultaneous, 379	Problem, The Halting (HALT),
Post's Correspondence Problem,	141
146	Problem, The Hamiltonian Cy-
Predicate (symbol), 174	cle (HAM-CYCLE), 160
Predicate, Built-in, 434	Problem, The Hamiltonian Path
Prefix classes, 239	(HAMPATH), 149
Problem for 2-CNF formulae, The	Problem, The maximum satisfi-
satisfiability (2-SAT), 335	ability (MAX-SAT), 337
Problem for 3-CNF formulae, The	Problem, The Null-Value, 462
satisfiability (3-SAT), 335	Problem, The Relative Primes,
Problem for DNF formulae, The	158
satisfiability (DNF-SAT),	Problem, The satisfiability (SAT),
336	318
Problem for dual-Horn formulae,	Problem, The Shortest Path, 158
The satisfiability (DUAL-	Problem, The State-Entry (STEN-
HORN-SAT), 337	TRY), 143
Problem for Horn formulae, The	Problem, The Subgraph Isomor-
satisfiability (HORN-SAT),	phism, 159
335	Problem, The Subset-Sum (SUBSET-
Problem for k-CNF formulae, The	SUM), 160
satisfiability (k-SAT), 335	Problem, The Traveling Salesman
Problem for quantified Boolean	(TSP), 160
formulae, The satisfiabil-	Problem, The validity (VAL), 230
ity (QBF-SAT), 336	Problem, The Vertex Cover (VER-
Problem, Computational, 149	TEX-COVER), 159
Problem, Decision, 138	Production rule, 56
Problem, Function, 149	Program clause, 415
Problem, Hilbert's Tenth, 146	Program, Datalog, 458
Problem, Logical (LOGP), 230	Program, General, 431
	₩ a a

Program, Logic, 408 Resolution refinement, 364 Program, Prolog, 408 Resolution with rule NF, SLD Programming system, 401 (SLDNF), 431 Resolution, Binary, 354 Prolog, Pure, 405 Prolog, Real, 433 Resolution, Hyper-, 369 Resolution, LD, 376 Proof, 214 Resolution, LI, 375 Proof calculus, 214 Resolution, Linear, 375 Proof system, 214 Resolution, Macro-, 369 Provability, 214 Pumping lemma for CFLs, 70 Resolution, RUE, 382 Pumping lemma for regular lan-Resolution, Semantic, 370 Resolution, SLD, 376 guages, 62, 95 Resolution, Unit-resulting, 356 \mathbf{Q} Rice's Theorem, 146 Quantifier (symbol), 175 Rule (in LP), 406 Quantifier axioms, 281 \mathbf{S} Quantifier duality, 202 Quantifier reversal, 178 Satisfiability, 218 Savitch's Theorem, 155 Query, 400 Schema (of a Datalog program), Query system, 400 Query, Meta-safe, 449 Schema, Extensional, 460 Query, Restricted Prolog, 449 Schema, Intensional, 460 \mathbf{R} Search, Breadth-first, 422 Recursion, 425 Search, Depth-first, 419 Reducibility, 142 Semantical correlate, 197 Reducibility, Polynomial-time, 158 Semantics, 219 Reductio ad absurdum (RA), 262 Semantics, 3-valued, 492 Reduction (in LP), 414 Semantics, Fixed-point, 468 Reduction, Ground, 414 Semantics, Inflationary, 492 Reduction, LR(k)-grammar, 111 Semantics, Least-Herbrand-model, Refutation, 215 470 Refutation completeness, 416 Semantics, Perfect-model, 492 Reply, Conjunctive, 404 Semantics, Stratified, 481 Reply, Consequentially strongest Semantics, Well-founded, 492 correct, 403 Semantics, Stable-model, 492 Reply, Most general, 404 Semi-decidability, 140 Sentential form, 57 Reply, Provably correct, 401 Representation theorem, 308 Sequent calculus, 288 Resolution principle for FOL, 354 Skolem constant, 329 Skolem function, 329 Resolution principle for propositional logic, 348 Soundness, 224

State diagram, 83 Statement (in LP), 406 Stratification, 484 Substitution, 249 Substitution principle (SubP), 273 Substitution rule (SUB), 215 Syntax, 53, 169 Syntax, Ambivalent, 407

Tableau proof, 383 Tarski-style conditions, 255 Tautology, 220 Theorem, 214 Theory, 228 Theory, Scapegoat, 267 Trace, 414 Tractability, 156 Transition relation, 86 Transition table, 85 Tree, Derivation, 66 Tree, Formula, 180 Tree, Parse, 66 Tree, Proof, 415 Tree, Refutation, 349 Tree, Semantic, 342 Tree, SLD-resolution, 419 Truth function, 195 Truth table, 195 Truth value, 195 Truth-functionality, 7 Truth-preservation, 258 Turing machine, 121 Turing machine, Non-deterministic,

Turing machine, Total, 135 Turing machine, Universal, 126 Turing paradigm, 12 Turing-completeness, 10 Turing-decidability, 138 Turing-recognizability, 147

125

Turing-reducibility, 142

\mathbf{U}

Ultrafilter theorem, 312 Unicity of decomposition, 172 Unification, 250 Unification problem, 251 Unifier, Most general (MGU), 250 Unit deletion, 356

\mathbf{V}

Validity, 219 Valuation, 195