Formal Logic Classical Problems and Proofs

Luis M. Augusto

© Individual author and College Publications, 2019, 2020 All rights reserved.

ISBN 978-1-84890-317-3

College Publications Scientific Director: Dov Gabbay Managing Director: Jane Spurr

http://www.collegepublications.co.uk

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form, or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without prior permission, in writing, from the publisher.

Contents

| P | refac | | xv |
|---|-------|--|----|
| | Not | e to the 2nd printing | XX |
| I | Fo | ormal Logic: Form, Meaning, and Consequences | 1 |
| 1 | Pre | eliminary notions | 3 |
| | 1.1 | Formal languages: Alphabets and grammars | 3 |
| | 1.2 | Logical languages: Form and meaning | 7 |
| | | 1.2.1 Object languages and metalanguages | 7 |
| | | 1.2.2 Logical sentences: From categorical propositions | |
| | | to set-theoretical expressions | 8 |
| | 1.3 | Logic and metalogic: Proofs and metaproofs | 11 |
| | | 1.3.1 Induction, mathematical and structural | 12 |
| | | 1.3.2 Proof by contradiction | 13 |
| | 1.4 | Logic and computation: Turing machines, decidability | |
| | | and tractability | 15 |
| 2 | Log | gical form | 33 |
| | 2.1 | Logical languages and well-formed formulas | 33 |
| | | 2.1.1 Alphabets, expressions, and formulas logical | 33 |
| | | 2.1.2 Orders | 36 |
| | 2.2 | Formalizing natural language | 40 |
| | 2.3 | Argument form | 46 |
| | 2.4 | Normal forms and substitutions for L1 | 50 |
| | | 2.4.1 Literals and clauses | 52 |
| | | 2.4.2 Negation normal form | 53 |
| | | 2.4.3 Prenex normal form | 54 |
| | | 2.4.4 Skolem normal form | 54 |
| | | 2.4.5 Conjunctive and disjunctive normal forms | 57 |
| | | 2.4.6 Substitutions and unification for L1 | 62 |
| 3 | Log | gical meaning | 77 |
| | 3.1 | Truth: Values, tables, and functions | 77 |
| | 3.2 | The Boolean foundations of logical bivalence | 79 |

Contents

| | 3.3 Compositionality and truth-functionality | | | | |
|----|--|---------------------------|---|---|----------------------------|
| 4 | Log | | Conseque | ces ence: A central notion | |
| | | 4.1.2 4.1.3 4.1.4 | Syntactic Semantic | cal consequence and proof theory cal consequence and model theory eness of a deductive system | 105 111 |
| | 4.2 | Logical 4.2.1 4.2.2 | theories Theories FOL the 4.2.2.1 | and decidability | 120 121 123 s 125 |
| 11 | ${f Th}$ | e Syst | em CL | and the Logic CL | 139 |
| 5 | The 5.1 5.2 | Some p L1 and 5.2.1 | oreliminar classical The class | assical logic ry remarks | 144 144 |
| | 5.3 | 5.2.2 Applies 5.3.1 | ations of | ntifiers of CFOL | 148 |
| | | 5.3.2 | 5.3.1.1 5.3.1.2 Logic pro 5.3.2.1 5.3.2.2 | Evaluating arguments with Euler diagrams. Evaluating arguments with Venn diagrams ogramming (I): Prolog | s151 153 155 |
| | | 5.3.3 | | syntax | 159 |
| 6 | | | _ | nsequence | 175 |
| | 6.1 | Classic 6.1.1 6.1.2 | Classical | sequences | 176 |
| | 6.2 | Classic | | equences | |
| 7 | \mathbf{CL} | and ex | $	ext{tensions}$ | | 183 |

| | 7.1 7.2 | The logic CL $$ | |
|----|------------------|--|----------------------------------|
| 8 | Clas | ssical FO theories and the adequateness of CFOL | 193 |
| Ш | Cla | assical Models | 201 |
| 9 | Thre 9.1 9.2 9.3 | ee formal semantics for classical logic Tarskian semantics | . 206 |
| IV | Cla | assical Proofs I: Direct Proofs | 221 |
| 10 | $10.1 \\ 10.2$ | validity problem, or VAL The $Entscheidungsproblem$ and Turing's negative answer VAL and direct proofs | . 227 |
| 11 | 11.1 | pert-style systems The axiom system \mathcal{L} | . 238 . 245 . 246 . 246 |
| 12 | 12.1 | tzen systems The natural deduction calculus \mathcal{NK} | . 254 . 265 . 270 |
| V | Cla | assical Proofs II: Indirect Proofs | 285 |
| 13 | | satisfiability problem, or SAT SAT and refutation proofs | . 288 |

Contents

| | 13.2 | The complexity of SAT | . 295 |
|---------------|----------------------|---|-------|
| | 13.3 | Herbrand's Theorem and the SAT | . 300 |
| 14 | The | resolution calculus | 311 |
| | 14.1 | The resolution principle | 313 |
| | | 14.1.1 The resolution principle for propositional logic | |
| | | 14.1.2 The resolution principle for FOL | |
| | 14 2 | Resolution refinements | |
| | 11.2 | 14.2.1 Semantic resolution | |
| | | 14.2.2 Linear resolution: Logic programming (II) | |
| | 1/19 | Paramodulation | |
| | 14.0 | Taramodulation | . 540 |
| 15 | The | analytic tableaux calculus | 365 |
| | 15.1 | Analytic tableaux as a propositional calculus | 367 |
| | 15.2 | Analytic tableaux as a FO predicate calculus | 376 |
| | | 15.2.1 FOL tableaux without unification | |
| | | 15.2.2 FOL tableaux with unification | |
| | | | . 900 |
| Bi | bliog | graphy | 385 |
| Bi | hliog | raphical references | 387 |
| יים | ~08 | | 301 |
| \mathbf{In} | dex | | 395 |

List of Figures

| 1.1.1 | A syntactic, or derivation, tree 6 |
|-------|---|
| 1.2.1 | Relations of inclusion and exclusion in diagrammatic representation. Source: Venn (1881). (Work in the public |
| | |
| 1.4.1 | domain.) |
| 1.4.1 | - |
| 1.4.2 | A Turing machine that computes the function $f(n,m) = 10^{-10}$ |
| 1 4 9 | $n+m \text{ for } n, m \in \mathbb{N}^+. \dots \dots$ |
| 1.4.3 | The hierarchy of complexity classes with corresponding tractability status |
| 1 4 4 | v |
| 1.4.4 | The encodings $\langle M_T \rangle$ and $\langle M_T, z \rangle$ |
| 1.4.5 | State diagram of a Turing machine |
| 2.2.1 | Formalizations for English by means of the language of |
| | classical propositional logic |
| 2.2.2 | Formalizations for English by means of the language of |
| | classical FO logic |
| 2.3.1 | Some classical formally correct arguments 51 |
| 2.3.2 | Two invalid argument forms |
| 2.4.1 | Tseitin transformations for the connectives of L 61 |
| 2.4.2 | Unifying the pair $\langle P(a, x, h(g(z))), P(z, h(y), h(y)) \rangle$. 67 |
| 2.4.3 | A FOL argument |
| 3.3.1 | A truth table with $2^3 = 8$ rows |
| 3.3.2 | A truth table with $2^3 = 8$ rows |
| | $L_3, K_3^W, \text{ and } Rn_3. \ldots 87$ |
| 3.5.1 | The properties of a Boolean algebra |
| | |
| 4.1.1 | The complete lattice $S = (2^A, \subseteq)$ for $A = \{a, b, c\}$ |
| 4.1.2 | Adequateness of a deductive system $L = (L, \Vdash)$ 119 |
| 5.1.1 | Venn diagram of the set A |
| 5.2.1 | Diagrammatic representations of the connectives of O_{L} 145 |
| 5.2.2 | Diagrammatic representations of the logical connectives |
| | $O_G = \{\uparrow^2, \downarrow^2, \leftrightarrow^2\}.$ |
| 5.2.3 | Euler diagrams for the classical quantifiers |
| 5.3.1 | Euler diagrams of an invalid (1) and a valid (2) argument. 152 |
| | |

List of Figures

| 5.3.2 | Venn diagram with eight minterms | 153 |
|---------|--|-----|
| 5.3.3 | A Venn-diagram representation of argument A1 | |
| 5.3.4 | A Venn-diagram representation of argument A2 | |
| 5.3.5 | From a binary switch (i) to a series-parallel connection (v). | 164 |
| 5.3.6 | Logic gates and their graphical representations | 165 |
| 5.3.7 | A logic circuit for the function $f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (x_1 \wedge x_2) \vee$ | |
| | $(x_1 \wedge x_3)$ | 166 |
| 5.3.8 | A logic circuit for $f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1 \wedge (x_2 \vee x_3)$ | |
| 5.3.11 | Properties of XOR | |
| 5.3.9 | Two functionally equivalent logic circuits | 168 |
| 5.3.10 | The De Morgan's laws and the NOR and NAND gates | 169 |
| 5.3.12 | Logic circuits | 174 |
| 11.1.1 | Proof of $\vdash_{\mathcal{L}} P \to P$ | 239 |
| 11.1.2 | Proof of an argument in $\mathcal{L}p$ | |
| 11.1.3 | Proof in $\mathcal{L}q$ of a valid syllogism | |
| 12.1.1 | A proof of a propositional derivation in \mathcal{NK} | 256 |
| 12.1.2 | A proof in \mathcal{NK} of the distributivity property for \wedge | |
| 12.1.3 | Proof of $\vdash_{\mathcal{NK}} ((P \to Q) \land (P \to R)) \to (P \to (Q \land R))$ | |
| 12.1.4 | Proof of an argument in (extended) \mathcal{NK} | |
| 12.1.5 | Proof of $\vdash_{\mathcal{NK}} \phi \leftrightarrow \neg \neg \phi$ | |
| 12.1.6 | A proof with universal generalization | |
| 12.1.7 | An example of universal instantiation. | |
| 12.1.8 | An example of existential generalization | |
| 12.1.9 | An example of existential instantiation | |
| 12.1.10 | A FO \mathcal{NK} proof | |
| 12.1.11 | A proof in $\mathcal{NK}^{=}$ | 271 |
| 12.2.1 | Proof in \mathcal{LK} of axiom $\mathscr{L}2$ of the axiom system \mathscr{L} | |
| 12.2.2 | Proof in \mathcal{LK} of a FO theorem | 277 |
| 13.2.1 | A tableau for the Turing machine M | 298 |
| 13.3.1 | Closed semantic tree of $C = \{C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4, C_5\}$ in Example | |
| | $13.31.\ldots$ | 303 |
| 13.3.2 | A closed semantic tree. | |
| 14.1.1 | A refutation tree | 315 |
| 14.1.2 | A propositional argument as input in Prover9/Mace4 | |
| 14.1.3 | Output by Prover9: A valid propositional argument | |
| 14.1.4 | Output by Prover 9: A valid formula | |
| 14.1.5 | Output by Mace4: A counter-model | |
| 14.1.6 | A resolution refutation-failure tree | |
| 14.1.7 | Input in Prover9/Mace4: A FO theory. | |
| | | 0 |

List of Figures

| 14.1.8 | Output by Prover9 |
|-----------|--|
| 14.1.9 | Output of Prover9: A valid FO argument |
| 14.2.1 | A PI-resolution tree |
| 14.2.2 | Hyper-resolution of $\Xi = (C_3; C_1, C_2)$ |
| 14.2.3 | A hyper-resolution deduction tree |
| 14.2.4 | Theory of distributive lattices and commutativity of meet: |
| | Input in Prover9/Mace4 |
| 14.2.5 | Proof by Prover9 of the commutativity of meet in a dis- |
| | tributive lattice |
| 14.2.6 | A linear-resolution refutation tree |
| 14.2.7 | Trace by SWI-Prolog |
| 14.2.8 | A failed proof tree |
| 14.2.9 | A successful reduction interpreted as a resolution proof 342 |
| 14.2.10 | A LI-resolution proof tree |
| 14.2.11 | A SLD-resolution proof |
| 14.2.12 | A complete proof tree |
| 14.2.13 | SWI-Prolog answering a query and outputting traces for |
| | some "true" instantiations |
| 14.2.14 | SWI-Prolog traces of a "true" and a "false" instantiation. $$. 349 |
| 14.3.1 | Theory of commutative groups: Input in Prover9/Mace4 353 |
| 14.3.2 | Output by Prover9 |
| 1 . 1 . 1 | |
| 15.1.1 | Analytic tableaux expansion rules: $\alpha\beta$ -classification 369 |
| 15.1.2 | A closed propositional tableau |
| 15.2.1 | Analytic tableaux expansion rules: $\gamma \delta$ -classification 377 |
| 15.2.2 | A closed FO tableau without unification |
| 15.2.3 | A closed FO tableau with unification |

List of Algorithms

| 2.1 | PNF transformation |
|-------|-------------------------|
| 2.2 | Skolemization |
| 2.3 | Tseitin transformation |
| 2.4 | The Robinson algorithm |
| 1 / 1 | Dinama machatian |
| | Binary resolution |
| 14.2 | Reduction |
| 15.1 | Analytic tableaux proof |

Preface

Often spoken of as the science of reasoning, logic can be formal or informal. While it is not unequivocal—there is significant overlap between both—, the use of these two adjectives allows us to distinguish between a largely mathematical from a substantially psychological approach, respectively, to logic. This might appear unwarranted to those well-acquainted with logic as an object language, but at the metalanguage and/or metalogical levels it becomes clear that formal logic has its foundations in mathematics, namely in what can be called abstract mathematics, whereas informal logic reposes on psychological theories of human reasoning. This book is an introduction to formal logic.

A second major distinction in contemporary logic segregates classical logic from the non-classical logics. These–note the plural–are typically rivals of the former–note the singular–, it being meant by this that they aim at replacing it in many contexts and/or applications. This rivalry notwithstanding, they are either extensions or restrictions of classical logic, which means that anyone advocating a non-classical logic should be well-versed in classical logic. This book is an introduction to classical logic.

While formal classical logic is certainly interesting per se, today its study is often associated to computer science with a plethora of computational implementations in view. This association of logic and computation can be roughly captured by the expression *computational logic*. This book is an introduction to computational logic.

Do we then need to specify that this book is an introduction to formal classical computational logic? Not really, because in it we take the adjectives formal and computational to be so intimately related that they can be often considered synonyms. This synonymy is more typically to be found between the expressions formal language and computer language, but we discuss here the language of classical logic as first and foremost a formal language, and hence the redundancy of the adjective computational in the title.

This book is thus an introduction to formal classical logic with its contemporary uses in mind, to wit, *logical problems* that are in fact *decision problems* that are in fact *computational problems* whose *proofs* are delegated to computer software. In effect, logic is—arguably—all about

proving, but proofs can be costly, often impossibly so, in terms of space and time, it being meant by this that proofs require storage space (i.e., a physical memory) and they take time to be computed; hence, monetary costs are also often associated to proofs, as space and time, as well as human work, cost money. Given these costs, unrealistic for human computers and undesirable for companies, today most proofs are delegated to (partly) automatic provers, namely the so-called SAT solvers. These are software based on the (Boolean) satisfiability problem, or SAT. This is the dual of the (Boolean) validity problem, or VAL, at the core of the conception of the digital computer via Hilbert's Entscheidungsproblem and the Universal Turing Machine.

These two problems, VAL and SAT, can be said to be the two classical problems that initiated the computational history of formal classical logic, a history that can be more immediately traced back to the Entscheidungsproblem, but that actually also requires digressions into the work of the likes of J. Venn, G. Frege, and A. Turing—if not Aristotle, too. In particular, we discuss the classical formal semantics conceived by, or originating in the work of, G. Boole, J. Herbrand, and A. Tarski. While this, as said, is an introduction to formal classical logic, we dispense with the adjective "classical" between "formal" and "logic" in the title, because this book has as its backbone these two semantical problems. The fragment "Formal logic: Classical problems" indicates that our introduction to formal logic is so via the classical problems, first and foremost VAL and SAT, but then also all the decision and computational problems that can be formulated in terms of these, namely with computer implementations in mind.

But, as stated above, logic is-arguably-all about proving. Without (adequate) proof systems at hand, these two problems and all the other problems formulated in their terms (let us call them all classical problems for the sake of simplicity) have no solution beyond propositional logic, given the undecidability of first-order logic (abbr.: FOL), a problem motivated by semantical structures known as *models* that, differently from proofs, which are finite by definition, may be infinite. Indeed, to say that VAL and SAT are formulated in semantical terms means that they are formulated in terms of preservation of truth: If all the, say, facts in a database are true, is a certain conclusion one wishes to draw therefrom always, or at least in some cases, also so? Given classical problems of very low complexity formulated in propositional logic, the semantical construct known as a truth table can provide a solution. But classical problems are more often than not highly complex, sometimes industrial-scale so, and they typically require a first- (or higher-) order language.

Fortunately, we have today a plethora of adequate proof systems for VAL and SAT. The Hilbert(-style) systems and the Gentzen systems, the latter divided into natural deduction and the sequent calculus, are proof systems to address VAL, and resolution and analytic tableaux are the two proof systems of election to find answers to classical problems formulated in terms of SAT. The comprehensive elaboration on these systems accounts for the expression "proofs" in our title, now complete as $Formal\ logic:\ Classical\ problems\ and\ proofs$. Although the first systems above are not algorithmic in nature, thus not providing efficient methods for classical problems, they are both historically and pedagogically relevant, and we accordingly discuss them in due detail. Resolution and analytic tableaux are at the root of many efficient SAT solvers, and we give equally full treatments of these calculi.

But there are more than these proofs. In the paragraph above we wrote "adequate" without brackets (compare with farther above), it being meant by this with respect to a proof system that one can prove in it every logical truth of the associated logic and nothing that is not a logical truth thereof. But these properties, known as completeness and soundness, require metalogical proofs—i.e. proofs at a level higher than the logical proofs. The same is true of the general undecidability of FOL, a result that is a celebrated answer to VAL. In turn, VAL and SAT have been proven to belong to specific classes of computational complexity—i.e. it has been shown how much they "cost"—, with these proofs constituting fundamental knowledge for the computational implementations of classical problems. Fulfilling our requirements of self-containment and comprehensiveness, we provide discussions of these celebrated proofs, as well as of the above-mentioned properties for all the proof systems we elaborate on in detail.

It is the moment now to convince the reader that ours is a truly original introduction to logic. Largely depending on the applications in view, logic can be approached today from three perspectives, to wit, mathematical, computational, or philosophical. Introductory textbooks to logic accordingly segregate their contents: Mathematical approaches typically concentrate on the mathematical properties of logical systems; computational approaches focus on computational implementations and automation of proofs; philosophical treatments greatly concentrate in argumentation. Gödel's (in)completeness and satellite results feature prominently in the first, as mathematical proof is a major concern of mathematical logic and it is unpalatable not to be able to prove a mathematical truth once one is discovered (or constructed, depending on one's philosophy of mathematics). The temporal and spatial costs of computational implementations, from the simple transformation of a

formula into one acceptable by some software to the carrying out of a proof in it, are central topics in the second kind. Arguments, categorical syllogisms and fallacies included, occupy many of the pages of the third type. More technically, this can be reformulated as follows by invoking the four so-called pillars of formal logic: Model theory and set theory are major topics to be found in mathematical treatments of logic; recursion, or computability, theory features significantly in computational approaches; proof theory tends to be weighty in introductions to logic written for philosophy students. In particular, while the classical problems—VAL significantly less so than SAT—feature in introductory logic textbooks aimed at computer science students, they are largely or wholly absent from textbooks targeting a mathematical or philosophical studentship.

This segregation has constituted a successful recipe for a long time now, and possibly rightly so, but it does not reflect the current state of what can very generally be called formal logic. This book corrects this misguided state of affairs. Not focusing on the history of classical logic, this book nevertheless provides discussions and quotes central passages on its origins and development, namely from a philosophical perspective. Not being a book in mathematical logic, it takes formal logic from an essentially mathematical perspective. Biased towards a computational approach, with SAT and VAL as its backbone, this is thus an introduction to logic that covers essential aspects of the three branches of logic, to wit, philosophical, mathematical, and computational. More so, it gives practical applications of all these fields, namely in argumentation, theorem proving, logic programming, and even in logic design.

To be sure, the aim of reaching a large academic readership poses the risk of serving only a small one: The "traditional" tripartite segregation may in fact mirror some real distinctions, whether in skills or interests, in the different studentships. Moreover, the ambition of treating classical logic both at the object-language and at the metalanguage/metalogic levels while trying to keep the book in a "manageable" size may entail the suppression or obliteration of important contents of either of these components. To this we reply that no book stands alone, or is wholly self-contained; just as in any other field, certain treatments of logic have reached the status of standard works, and we refer to Hurley (2012), Mendelson (2015), and Boolos, Burgess, & Jeffrey (2007), for "classics" in philosophical, mathematical, and computational logic, respectively. Additionally, we hope the intersection of the above mentioned readerships is not empty. Our hope may in fact be a justified belief, as, for instance, linguists and computer scientists, to mention but these, may prove.

xviii

Be it as it may, we assume knowledge of, or at least familiarity with, mathematical concepts such as sets, functions, operations, and relations, providing solely definitions of less basic notions (e.g., Boolean algebra). In order to refresh their memory, or newly acquire such notions, mathematically literate readers can benefit from Bloch (2011) and the more mathematically reticent can do so from Makinson (2008). We also think that logic is a subject that requires both hands-on practice and reflection (or rumination), and we accordingly provide a vast selection of exercises ranging from the typical logic "drilling" exercise to commentary of relevant passages.

Finally: This book is in a large measure a selection, a restructuring, and an extension of contents first published in Augusto (2018). Main motivations for the present resulting text were the desire to improve, by reviewing and extending, the contents of the mentioned book, as well as the aim to provide a comprehensive stand-alone book on formal classical logic with the above-mentioned characteristics, in the belief that classical logic, particularly so in its formal version, is a subject both fascinating and—more and more—fundamental.

I wish to thank Dov M. Gabbay for accepting to publish this "extended remix," as well as Jane Spurr for her impeccable assistance as managing director of College Publications.

Madrid, Summer 2019

Luis M. S. Augusto

Note to the 2nd printing

The present 2nd printing corrects identified addenda and errata, has improved figures and a more uniform notation, and introduces a few notions that were either missing or not adequately defined in the original edition (e.g., parameter, trivial quantification, free for). A few paragraphs underwent minor changes, mostly in Chapter 2, namely in Sections 2.1.1-2 and 2.4.6. Concerning the notation, the major change was the decision to reserve the Greek letters in the metalanguage for utmost generality, with Backus-Naur definitions, as well as most axiom schemata and rules of inference, featuring the same letters from the Roman alphabet. Another minor change in notation was the replacement of the symbol \Rightarrow by \vdash in the rules of the sequent calculus \mathcal{LK} . All this done, the pagination is essentially the same as in the original edition.

Madrid, June 2020

Luis M. S. Augusto

Bibliographical references

- Aristotle (ca. 350 BC). *Metaphysics*. Trans. by W. D. Ross (1908). Available at http://classics.mit.edu//Aristotle/metaphysics.html.
- Augusto, L. M. (2017). Logical consequences. Theory and applications: An introduction. London: College Publications.
- Augusto, L. M. (2018). Computational logic. Vol. 1: Classical deductive computing with classical logic. London: College Publications.
- Augusto, L. M. (2020a). Computational logic. Vol. 1: Classical deductive computing with classical logic. 2nd ed. London: College Publications.
- Augusto, L. M. (2020b). Languages, machines, and classical computation. 2nd ed. London: College Publications.
- Augusto, L. M. (2020c). Many-valued logics. A mathematical and computational introduction. 2nd ed. London: College Publications.
- Bachmair, L. & Ganziger, H. (2001). Resolution theorem proving. In A. Robinson & A. Voronkov (eds.), *Handbook of automated reasoning*, vol. 1 (pp. 19-99). Amsterdam: Elsevier; Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Beth, E. W. (1955). Semantic entailment and formal derivability. Mededlingen der Koninklijke Nederlandse Akademie van Wetenschappen, 18, 309-342.
- Beth, E. W. (1960). Completeness results for formal systems. In J. A. Todd (ed.), *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians*, 14-21 August 1958 (pp. 281-288). Cambridge: CUP.
- Bloch, E. D. (2011). Proofs and fundamentals. A first course in abstract mathematics. New York, etc.: Springer.

- Boole, G. (1847). The mathematical analysis of logic. Being an essay towards a calculus of deductive reasoning. Cambridge: Macmillan, Barclay, and Macmillan.
- Boole, G. (1854). An investigation of the laws of thought, on which are founded the mathematical theories of logic and probabilities. London: Walton and Maberly.
- Boolos, G. S., Burgess, J. P., & Jeffrey, R. C. (2007). *Computability and logic*. 5th ed. Cambridge, etc.: Cambridge University Press.
- Börger, E., Grädel, E., & Gurevich, Y. (2001). The classical decision problem. Berlin, etc.: Springer.
- Chang, C.-L. & Lee, R. C.-T. (1973). Symbolic logic and mechanical theorem proving. New York & London: Academic Press.
- Chomsky, N. (1957). Syntactic structures. The Hague & Paris: Muton.
- Church, A. (1936a). An unsolvable problem of elementary number theory. American Journal of Mathematics, 2, 345-363.
- Church, A. (1936b). A note on the Entscheidungsproblem. *Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 1, 40-41.
- Church, A. (1956). *Introduction to mathematical logic*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Cleave, J. P. (1991). A study of logics. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Cook, S. A. (1971). The complexity of theorem proving procedures. *Proceedings of the 3rd Annual ACM Symposium of Theory of Computing*, 151-158.
- Curry, H. B. (1963). Foundations of mathematical logic. New York, etc.: McGraw-Hill.
- Davis, M. & Putnam, H. (1960). A computing procedure for quantification theory. *Journal of the ACM*, 7, 201-215.
- Enderton, H. B. (2001). A mathematical introduction to logic. 2nd ed. San Diego, etc.: Harcourt Academic Press.
- Etchemendy, J. (1999). The concept of logical consequence. Stanford: CSLI Publications.

- Fitting, M. (1996). First order logic and automated theorem proving. 2nd ed. New York, etc.: Springer.
- Fitting, M. (1999). Introduction. In M. D'Agostino et al. (eds.), Handbook of tableau methods (pp. 1-44). Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Franco, J. & Martin, J. (2009). A history of satisfiability. In A. Biere, M. Heule, H. van Maaren, & T. Walsh (eds.), *Handbook of satisfiability* (pp. 3-74). Amsterdam, etc.: IOS Press.
- Frege, G. (1879). Begriffsschrift, eine der arithmetischen Formelsprache des reinen Denkens. Halle a. S.: Louis Nebert. (Engl. trans.: Begriffsschrift, a formula language, modeled upon that of arithmetic, for pure thought. Trans. by S. Bauer-Mengelberg. In J. van Heijenoort (ed.), From Frege to Gödel. A source book in mathematical logic, 1879-1931 (pp. 1-82). Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1967.)
- Frege, G. (1892). Über Sinn und Bedeutung. Zeitschrift für Philosophie und philosophische Kritik C. 25-50.
- Gabbay, D. M. & Woods, J. (2003). A practical logic of cognitive systems. Vol. 1: Agenda relevance. A study in formal pragmatics. Amsterdam, etc.: Elsevier.
- Gentzen, G. (1934-5). Untersuchungen über das logische Schliessen. Mathematische Zeitschrift, 39, 176-210, 405-431. (Engl. trans.: Investigations into logical deduction. In M. E. Szabo (ed.), The Collected Papers of Gerhard Gentzen (pp. 68-131). Amsterdam: North-Holland.)
- Gilmore, P. (1960). A proof method for quantification theory: Its justification and realization. *IBM Journal of Research and Development*, 4, 28-35.
- Gödel, K. (1930). Die Vollständigkeit der Axiome des logischen Funktionkalküls. *Monatshefte für Mathematik*, 37, 349-360. (Engl. trans.: The completeness of the axioms of the functional calculus of logic. In S. Feferman et al. (eds.), *Collected works. Vol. 1: Publications 1929-1936* (pp. 103-123). New York: OUP & Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1986.)
- Gödel, K. (1931). Über formal unentscheidbare Sätze der *Principia Mathematica* und verwandter Systeme, I. *Monatshefte für Mathematik und Physik*, 38, 173-198. (Engl. trans.: On formally

- undecidable propositions of *Principia Mathematica* and related systems, I. In S. Feferman et al. (eds.), *Collected works. Vol.* 1: *Publications 1929-1936* (pp. 144-195). New York: OUP & Oxford: Clarendon Press. 1986.)
- Hausman, A., Kahane, H., & Tidman, P. (2010). Logic and philosophy. A modern introduction. Boston, MA: Wadsworth, Cengage Learning.
- Henkin, L. (1949). The completeness of the first-order functional calculus. *Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 14, 159-166.
- Herbrand, J. (1930). Recherches sur la théorie de la démonstration. Thèses présentées à la Faculté des Sciences de Paris.
- Hilbert, D. & Ackermann, W. (1928). Grundzüge der theoretischen Logik. Berlin: Springer.
- Hilbert, D. & Bernays, P. (1934). Grundlagen der Mathematik. Vol. I. 1st ed. Berlin & New York: Springer.
- Hintikka, J. (1955). Form and content in quantification theory. *Acta Philosophica Fennica*, 8, 7-55.
- Hurley, P. J. (2012). A concise introduction to logic. 11th ed. Boston, MA: Wadsworth.
- Jacquette, D. (2008). Mathematical proof and discovery reductio ad absurdum. Informal Logic, 28, 242-261.
- Jaśkowski, S. (1934). On the rules of suppositions in formal logic. Studia Logica, 1, 5-32.
- Kalmár, L. (1935). Über die Axiomatisierbarkeit des Aussagenkalküls. Acta Scientiarum Mathematicarum, 7, 222-243.
- Kant, I. (1787). Kritik der reinen Vernunft. 2. Auflage. Riga: Johan Friedrich Hartknoch. (Engl. trans.: Critique of pure reason. Trans. by N. Kemp Smith. London: MacMillan & Co., 1929.)
- Kant, I. (1800). Logik. Ein Handbuch zu Vorlesungen. Königsberg: Nicolovius. (Engl. trans.: Introduction to logic. Trans. by T. K. Abbott. London: Longmans, Green, & Co., 1885.)
- Kroening, D. & Strichman, O. (2008). Decision procedures. An algorithmic point of view. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer.

- Leitsch, A. (1997). The resolution calculus. Berlin, etc.: Springer.
- Łukasiewicz, J. (1929). Elementy logiki matematycznej. Warsaw. (Engl. trans.: Elements of mathematical logic. Trans. by O. A. Wojtasiewicz. Oxford: Pergamon Press. 1963.)
- Łukasiewicz, J. (1934). Z historii logiki zdan. *Przeglad Filozoficzny*, 37, 417-437. (Engl. trans.: On the history of the logic of propositions. In S. McCall (ed.), *Polish Logic 1920-1939* (pp. 66-87). Trans. by S. McCall and P. Woodruff. Oxford: Oxford University Press. 1967.)
- Łukasiewicz, J. & Tarski, A. (1930). Untersuchungen über den Aussagenkalkül. Comptes Rendus des Séances de la Société des Sciences et des Lettres de Varsovie, 23, cl. iii, 39-50. (Engl. trans.: Investigations into the sentential calculus. In A. Tarski, Logic, semantics, metamathematics: Papers from 1923 to 1938 (pp. 38-59). Trans. by J. H. Woodger. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1956.)
- Makinson, D. (2008). Sets, logic, and maths for computing. London: Springer.
- Martin, N. M. & Pollard, S. (1996). Closure spaces and logic. Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- McKeon, M. W. (2010). The concept of logical consequence. An introduction to philosophical logic. New York, etc.: Peter Lang.
- Mendelson, E. (2015). *Introduction to mathematical logic*. 6th ed. Boca Raton, FL: Taylor & Francis Group.
- Minsky, M. (1974). A framework for representing knowledge. Report AIM, 306, Artificial Intelligence Laboratory, MIT.
- Negri, S. & von Plato, J. (2001). Structural proof theory. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Nicod, J. G. (1917). A reduction in the number of primitive propositions in logic. *Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, 19, 32-41.
- Nieuwenhuis, R. & Rubio, A. (2001). Paramodulation-based theorem proving. In A. Robinson & A. Voronkov (eds.), *Handbook of automated reasoning*, vol. 1 (pp. 371-443). Amsterdam: Elsevier; Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

- Post, E. L. (1921). Introduction to a general theory of elementary propositions. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 43, 163-185.
- Prawitz, D. (1965). Natural deduction. A proof-theoretical study. Stockholm: Almqvist & Wiksell.
- Priest, G. (2008). An introduction to non-classical logic. From if to is. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Prior, A. (1960). The runabout inference-ticket. *Analysis*, 21, 38-39.
- Quine, W. V. O. (1938). Completeness of the propositional calculus. *Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 3, 37-40.
- Smith, N. J. J. (2012). *Logic. The laws of truth.* Princeton and Oxford: Princeton University Press.
- Smullyan, R. M. (1968). First-order logic. Mineola, NY: Dover.
- Stone, M. H. (1936). The theory of representation for Boolean algebras. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 40, 37-111.
- Tarski, A. (1930). Fundamentale Begriffe der Methodologie der deduktiven Wissenschaften. I. Monatshefte für Mathematik und Physik, 37, 361-404. (Engl. trans.: Fundamental concepts of the methodology of the deductive sciences. In A. Tarski, Logic, semantics, metamathematics: Papers from 1923 to 1938 (pp. 60-109). Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1956.)
- Tarski, A. (1935). Der Wahrheitsbegriff in formalisierten Sprachen. Studia Philosophica, 1, 261-405 (Engl. trans.: The concept of truth in formalized languages. In A. Tarski, Logic, semantics, metamathematics: Papers from 1923 to 1938 (pp. 152-278). Trans. by J. H. Woodger. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1956.) (Originally published in Polish in 1933.)
- Tarski, A. (1994). Introduction to logic and to the methodology of deductive sciences. 4th ed. J. Tarski (ed.). New York & Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Troelstra, A. S. & Schwichtenberg, H. (2000). *Basic proof theory*. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

- Turing, A. (1936-7). On computable numbers, with an application to the Entscheidungsproblem. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society, Series* 2, 41, 230-265.
- van Fraassen, B. (1971). Formal semantics and logic. New York: Macmillan.
- Venn, J. (1881). Symbolic logic. London: Macmillan and Co.
- Wajsberg, M. (1937). Metalogische Beiträge. Wiadomości Matematyczne, 43, 1-38. (Engl. trans.: Contributions to metalogic. In S. McCall (ed.), Polish Logic 1920-1939 (pp. 285-318). Trans. by S. McCall and P. Woodruff. Oxford: Oxford University Press. 1967.)
- Whitehead, A. N. & Russell, B. (1910). *Principia mathematica*. Vol. 1. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Whitehead, A. N. & Russell, B. (1912). *Principia mathematica*. Vol. 2. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Whitehead, A. N. & Russell, B. (1913). *Principia mathematica*. Vol. 3. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

This Index is a hybrid of an *Index rerum* and an *Index nominum*. In the first case, we give solely the page for the first definitional occurrence of the term or expression; in the second case, we give all the occurrences of a specific name. In the latter case, only names of (historical) significance for the classical formalization of logic are given.

| A | В |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Abstract interpreter, 336 | Backtracking, 344 |
| Adequateness of a logical system, | Backus-Naur form, 38 |
| 118 | Bernays, P., 226, 248 |
| Adequateness of a program, 336 | Beth, E. W., 194, 365 |
| Affirming the consequent, 52 | Big-O notation, 20 |
| Algorithm, Robinson's, 65 | Boole, G., 8, 9, 27, 79, 150 |
| Algorithm, Tseitin transforma- | Boolean expression, 81 |
| tion, 60 | Boolean function, 81, 85 |
| Analytic tableaux, 365 | Boolean variable, 81 |
| Argument, 48 | |
| Aristotle, 8, 185, 186, 191 | \mathbf{C} |
| Assumption (in a proof), 229 | Characteristic function, 124 |
| Automated theorem proving (ATP), | Church, A., 224, 233, 248 |
| 287 | Church-Turing Theorem, 225 |
| Axiom, 106 | Clause, 52 |
| Axiom schemata, Derived, 242 | Clause, Definite, 52 |
| Axiom system, 237 | Clause, Dual-Horn, 52 |
| Axiom system, Church's, 248 | Clause, Horn, 52 |
| Axiom system, Frege-Łukasiewicz's, | Closure operation, 133 |
| 238 | Closure system, 101 |
| Axiom system, Frege's, 247 | Closure, Existential, 39 |
| Axiom system, H propositional, | Closure, Universal, 39 |
| 242 | Compactness, 105 |
| Axiom system, Hilbert's, 247 | Compactness of propositional logic, |
| Axiom system, Kalmár's, 247 | 301 |
| Axiom system, Nicod's, 248 | Complete lattice, 102 |
| Axiom system, Tarski-Bernays', | Completeness, 116 |
| 248 | Completeness of propositional an- |
| Axiom system, Tarski-Bernays- | alytic tableaux, 376 |
| Wajsberg's, 248 | Completeness of the resolution |
| Axiom, Logical, 121 | principle, 323 |
| Axiom, Non-logical or proper, 121 | Completeness theorem, 195 |
| | |

| Consequence operation, 100 Consequence relation, 100 Consistency, 109 Constructive dilemma (CD), 51 | Existential fallacy, 171 Explosion, Principle of, 79 Extensionality, Principle of, 84 |
|--|---|
| Contingency, 113 | Fact, Prolog, 156 |
| Contradiction, 113 Contraposition, Law of, 183 | Factor, 317 |
| Cook-Levin Theorem, 296 | Factorization, 317 |
| Counter-model, 111 | Finite satisfiability, 126 Finite-model property (FMP), 132 |
| Counter-proof, 108 | Formal language, 3 |
| D | Formal logic, 3 |
| Davis, M., 313 | Frege, G., 10, 83, 204, 237, 238, 247 |
| De Morgan's laws (DM), 183 Decidable language (or problem), | Fregean axiom, 84 |
| 19 | Function (symbol), 36 |
| Deduction theorem (DT), 116 | Functional completeness, 86 |
| Deduction, Resolution, 314 Deduction-Detachment theorem | G |
| (DDT), 135 | Generalization rule (GEN), 245 Gentzen, G., 253, 255, 271 |
| Deductive system, 105 | Goal clause, 341 |
| Denotation, 204 Denying the antecedent, 52 | Goal clause, Empty, 341 |
| Derivability, 107 | Goal, Prolog, 156 Gödel, K., 117, 193, 195, 224 |
| Destructive dilemma (DD), 51 | Ground expression, 34 |
| Discharge (of assumptions), 256 Distributive laws, 58 | Ground instance, 62 |
| Domain of discourse, 89 | Ground instance, 63 Ground substitution, 62 |
| E | Н |
| Entscheidungsproblem, 125, 224 Equality, 186 | Henkin, L., 136, 194, 195, 270, 283 |
| Equality substitution, 350 | Herbrand base, 208 |
| Equisatisfiability, 57 Equivalence relation, 191 | Herbrand instance (H-instance), 208 |
| Euler diagram, 143 | Herbrand interpretation (H-interpre- |
| Ex contradictione quodlibet (ECQ), | tation), 208 |
| 51 Ex falso quodlibet (EFQ), 176 | Herbrand model (H-model), 208 Herbrand model, Least, 362 |
| Excluded middle, Principle of (PEM), 178 | Herbrand satisfiability (H-satisfiability), 209 |
| Existential distribution, 40 | Herbrand universe, 207 |

400

| Herbrand, J., 206, 211, 300 | Lindenbaum-Tarski algebra, 213 |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Herbrand's Theorem, 301 | Logic (of a logical system), The, |
| Hilbert(-style) systems, 237 | 110, 113 |
| Hilbert, D., 31, 125, 206, 223, | Logic circuit, 162 |
| 224, 226, 237, 247 | Logic design, 162 |
| Hintikka set, 375 | Logic gate, 163 |
| Hintikka, J., 365 | Logic program, 159 |
| Hintikka's Lemma, 375 | Logic, Classical first-order (CFOL), |
| Hyper-resolution, 330 | 144 |
| Hypothesis (in a proof), 229 | Logic, Classical propositional (CPL), |
| Hypothetical syllogism (HS), 51 | 144 |
| | Logical consequence, 100 |
| I | Logical equivalence, 87, 92 |
| I-clash, 326 | Logical system, 100 |
| Identity of indiscernibles (IdI), | Löwenheim-Skolem Theorem, 136 |
| 187 | Łukasiewicz, J., 87, 141, 238, 250 |
| Identity, Law of, 183 | |
| Induction, Mathematical, 12 | \mathbf{M} |
| Induction, Structural, 12 | Macro-resolution, 332 |
| Inference, 104 | Meaning, 77 |
| Inference operation, 105 | Meaning of a program, 336 |
| Inference relation, 105 | Meaning of a program, Intended, |
| Inference rule, 106 | 337 |
| Inference system, 105 | Meaning, Principle of composi- |
| Interpretation, 89 | tionality of, 84 |
| , | Metalanguage, 7 |
| J | Metalogic, 11 |
| Jaśkowski, S., 253 | Metaproof, 11 |
| | Model, 111 |
| K | Modus ponens (MP), 51 |
| Kalmár, L., 242, 247 | Modus ponens, Universal (UMP), |
| Kant, I., 8, 26 | 335 |
| Kleene, S., 87 | Modus tollendo ponens (TP), 51 |
| т | Modus tollens (MT), 51 |
| | Monotonicity, 104 |
| Language, First-order (FO), 38 | , 18.T |
| Language, Logical, 33 | N |
| Language, Object, 7 | Natural deduction calculus, 253 |
| Language, Propositional, 37 | Negation distribution, 40 |
| Leibniz, G. W. von, 9 | Negation law, Double (DN), 177 |
| Leibniz's law (LL), 187 | Nicod, J. G., 248 |
| Lifting lemma, 321 | Non-contradiction, Principle of |
| Lindenbaum's Theorem, 122 | (PNC), 178 |
| | |

| Normal form, Conjunctive (CNF), | Problem for k-CNF formulas (k-SAT). The setiof skility |
|--|--|
| 57 Normal form, Disjunctive (DNF), | SAT), The satisfiability, 291 |
| 58 | Problem for quantified Boolean |
| Normal form, Negation (NNF), | formulas (QBF-SAT), The |
| 53 | satisfiability, 292 |
| Normal form, Prenex (PNF), 54 | Problem, (Logical) Decision, 123 |
| Normal form, Skolem (SNF), 54 | Problem, Computational, 15 |
| , | Problem, Decision, 19 |
| 0 | Problem, Hilbert's tenth, 31 |
| One-literal rule, 313 | Problem, Post's correspondence, |
| P | 31 |
| Parameter, 265 | Problem, The acceptance, 30 |
| Paramodulation, 350 | Problem, The Boolean satisfia- |
| Paramodulation, Binary, 350 | bility (SAT), 289 |
| Paramodulation, Ordered, 352 | Problem, The busy beaver, 31 |
| Paramodulation, Simultaneous, 352 | Problem, The clique, 22 |
| Peirce arrow, 147 | Problem, The decision, 224 |
| PI-clash, 327 | Problem, The halting, 30 |
| PI-deduction, 328 | Problem, The satisfiability (SAT), |
| Post, E., 28, 31 | 123, 288 |
| Prawitz, D., 253, 255, 279 | Problem, The validity (VAL), 123 |
| Predicate (symbol), 36 | Problem, The vertex cover, 22 |
| Prefix classes, 132 | Procedure, Decision, 123 |
| Prior, A., 235 | Program clause, 341 |
| Problem (MAX-SAT), The max- | Prolog, 155 |
| imum satisfiability, 309 Problem for 2-CNF formulas (2- | Prolog program, 159 |
| SAT), The satisfiability, | Proof, 107 Proof by controllistics, 12 |
| 290 | Proof by contradiction, 13 Proof calculus, 106 |
| Problem for 3-CNF formulas (3- | Proof system, 106 |
| SAT), The satisfiability, | Proof, Constructive, 250 |
| 291 | Proof, Logical, 11 |
| Problem for DNF formulas (DNF- | Proof, Metalogical, 11 |
| SAT), The satisfiability, | Proposition, Categorical, 150 |
| 292 | Provability, 107 |
| Problem for dual-Horn formulas | Prover9/Mace4, 311 |
| (DUAL-HORN-SAT), The | Putnam, H., 313 |
| satisfiability, 309 | , , |
| Problem for Horn formulas (HORN- | ${f Q}$ |
| SAT), The satisfiability, | Quantification, 39 |
| 291 | Quantification, Trivial, 39 |

| Quantifier (symbol), 39 | Semantics, 112 |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Quantifier axioms, 245 | Semi-decidable language (or prob- |
| Quantifier duality, 92 | lem), 20 |
| Quantifier reversal, 40 | Sequent calculus, 272 |
| Query, Prolog, 156 | Set-of-support deduction, 359 |
| Quine, W. V. O., 194 | Set-of-support resolution, 359 |
| , | Sheffer stroke, 147 |
| ${f R}$ | Skolem constant, 54 |
| Recursion, 362 | Skolem function, 54 |
| Recursive language (or set), 19 | Smullyan, R. M., 365, 376 |
| Recursively enumerable language | Soundness, 116 |
| (or set), 20 | Square of opposition, 150 |
| Reductio ad absurdum (RA), 183 | Statement, Prolog, 156 |
| Reductio ad absurdum proof, 14 | Stone, M. H., 214 |
| Reduction (in LP), 338 | Substitution, 62 |
| Reduction, Ground, 338 | Substitution, 62 Substitution principle (SubP), 187 |
| Refutation, 108 | Substitution rule (SUB), 107 |
| Refutation completeness, 341 | Syllogism, Categorical, 148 |
| Representation theorem, 214 | Syntax, 7 |
| Resolution principle for FOL, 317 | Syntax, Ambivalent, 158 |
| Resolution principle for proposi- | Symux, Timbrealem, 100 |
| tional logic, 313 | ${f T}$ |
| Resolution refinement, 324 | Tableau proof, 367 |
| Resolution, Binary, 314 | Tarski, A., 11, 12, 28, 83, 105, |
| Resolution, Hyper-, 330 | 175, 181, 182, 188, 191, |
| Resolution, LD, 335 | 204,216,217,234,248 |
| Resolution, LI, 335 | Tarski-style conditions, 175 |
| Resolution, Linear, 334 | Tautology, 113 |
| Resolution, Macro-, 330 | Theorem, 107 |
| Resolution, RUE, 363 | Theory, 121 |
| Resolution, Semantic, 325 | Theory, Scapegoat, 194 |
| Resolution, Set-of-support, 359 | Trace (of an interpreter), 337 |
| Resolution, SLD, 335 | Tree, Derivation, 5 |
| Rule, Prolog, 156 | Tree, Formula, 40 |
| Russell, B., 28, 72 | Tree, Refutation, 314 |
| 1000001, 15., 20, 12 | Tree, Semantic, 303 |
| \mathbf{S} | Tree, SLD-resolution, 345 |
| Satisfiability, 111 | Tree, Syntactic, 5 |
| Schema, 50 | Truth function, 78 |
| Search, Breadth-first, 362 | Truth table, 77 |
| Search, Depth-first, 344 | Truth value, 77 |
| Semantical correlate, 83 | Truth-preservation, 178 |
| Somanical correlate, ou | Travir preservation, 170 |

Truth-value assignment, 81
Turing machine, 16
Turing machine, Universal, 23
Turing, A., 22, 223, 225, 227, 233
Turing-completeness, 155
Turing-decidability, 20
Turing-recognizability, 20

\mathbf{U}

Ultrafilter theorem, 219 Unicity of decomposition, 35 Unification, 63 Unification problem, 64 Unifier, Most general (MGU), 63

Turing's Theorem, 225

\mathbf{V}

Validity, 112 Validity, Analytical, 235 Validity, Refutation, 293 Valuation, 77 Venn diagram, 143 Venn, J., 9, 27

\mathbf{W}

Wajsberg, M., 248 Whitehead, A. N., 28