

Logical Consequences  
Theory and Applications:  
An Introduction  
Second Edition

Luis M. Augusto

© Individual author and College Publications 2017.  
Second Edition 2020  
All rights reserved.

ISBN 978-1-84890-236-7

College Publications  
Scientific Director: Dov Gabbay  
Managing Director: Jane Spurr

<http://www.collegepublications.co.uk>

---

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form, or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without prior permission, in writing, from the publisher.

# Contents

Preface to the 1st edition	xi
Preface to the 2nd edition	xiii
<b>I Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
0.1 Some introductory remarks on logical consequence . . . . .	3
0.2 On this and other books . . . . .	5
<b>II Theoretical aspects of logical consequence</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>1 <i>Sine quibus non</i>: Basic mathematical notions</b>	<b>13</b>
1.1 Sets, operations, and relations . . . . .	13
1.2 Order relations, graphs, and lattices . . . . .	16
1.3 Algebraic structures . . . . .	27
1.4 Closure and kernel operators . . . . .	32
<b>2 Logical systems, logics, and logical consequences</b>	<b>39</b>
2.1 The formal language $L^*$ and the logical system $L$ . . . . .	39
2.2 Proof theory and proof systems . . . . .	43
2.2.1 Frege and Hilbert systems . . . . .	44
2.2.2 Gentzen systems . . . . .	46
2.2.2.1 Natural deduction . . . . .	46
2.2.2.2 Sequent calculi . . . . .	49
2.3 Model theory and semantics . . . . .	52
2.3.1 Truth tables . . . . .	54
2.3.2 Semantic and analytic tableaux . . . . .	56
2.4 The consequence operation . . . . .	59
2.4.1 General aspects of a consequence operation ★ . . . . .	59
2.4.2 The Tarskian conditions . . . . .	60
2.4.3 Mathematical interpretation of the consequence operation . . . . .	61
2.4.4 Inference systems, theories, and deductive systems . . . . .	65

*Contents*

2.4.5	Tarski and the consequence operation . . . . .	68
2.5	The consequence relation . . . . .	70
2.5.1	The Tarskian consequence relation . . . . .	70
2.5.2	The generalized consequence relation . . . . .	72
2.5.3	The syntactical consequence relation . . . . .	73
2.5.4	The semantical consequence relation . . . . .	75
2.6	Equivalence of derivability and entailment . . . . .	77
2.7	Logical consequence and the definition of a logic . . . . .	78
2.8	Further semantics . . . . .	79
2.8.1	Relational semantics . . . . .	80
2.8.2	Algebraic and matrix semantics . . . . .	83

**III Logical consequences and their applications 91**

**3 Classical deductive consequence 93**

3.1	The deduction theorem and deductive systems . . . . .	94
3.1.1	Well-determined logics . . . . .	96
3.2	Tarski-style conditions for deductive classicality . . . . .	97
3.2.1	Classical $\blacksquare$ -consequence relations and operations . . . . .	99
3.2.1.1	Classical syntactical $\blacksquare$ -consequence relations . . . . .	99
3.2.1.2	Classical syntactical $\blacksquare$ -consequence operations . . . . .	100
3.2.1.3	Classical semantical $\blacksquare$ -consequence relations . . . . .	101
3.2.1.4	Classical semantical $\blacksquare$ -consequence operations . . . . .	102
3.2.2	Classical $\forall$ - and $\exists$ -consequence relations and operations . . . . .	102
3.3	Non-monotonic and defeasible consequence . . . . .	104

**4 Non-classical deductive consequences 113**

4.1	Non-classicality and deduction . . . . .	113
4.2	Many-valued consequence . . . . .	114
4.2.1	Some main many-valued logical systems . . . . .	114
4.2.1.1	Łukasiewicz logics . . . . .	115
4.2.1.2	Kleene's 3-valued logical system . . . . .	117
4.2.1.3	Bochvar's 3-valued logical system . . . . .	118
4.2.1.4	Fuzzy logics . . . . .	120
4.2.2	Logical consequence in many-valued logics . . . . .	124
4.3	Intuitionistic consequence . . . . .	130

4.4	Modal consequence . . . . .	138
4.4.1	Necessity and possibility . . . . .	138
4.4.2	Translations and extensions . . . . .	145
4.4.2.1	Temporal logic . . . . .	146
4.4.2.2	Dynamic logic . . . . .	152
4.5	Paraconsistent consequence . . . . .	160
4.5.1	Relevance . . . . .	162
4.5.2	Preservationism . . . . .	165
4.6	Substructural consequence . . . . .	171
4.6.1	Relevance, again . . . . .	172
4.6.2	Linear Logic . . . . .	175
4.6.3	The Lambek calculus . . . . .	180
<b>5</b>	<b>Non-deductive logical consequences</b>	<b>183</b>
5.1	Abductive consequence . . . . .	187
5.2	Inductive consequence . . . . .	195
5.3	Probabilistic consequence . . . . .	206
<b>Appendix: Classical First-Order Logic</b>		<b>213</b>
<b>A. Classical first-order logic</b>		<b>215</b>
A.1	First-order formulae over $L^*$ . . . . .	215
A.2	Expressivity of FOL over $L^*$ : Axiomatic set theory (ZFC) .	218
<b>References</b>		<b>225</b>
<b>Index</b>		<b>243</b>



## List of Figures

0.2.1	A (fragment of an infinite) hierarchy of theories based on order relations. . . . .	8
0.2.2	A fragment of the hierarchy of modal logics based on order relations. . . . .	9
1.2.1	Hasse diagram for the strict partial order in Example 1.2.1.	18
1.2.2	A directed acyclic graph. . . . .	21
1.2.3	Transitive reduction of the DAG of Fig. 1.2.2. . . . .	22
1.2.4	Join table of $2^A$ . . . . .	24
1.2.5	Meet table of $2^A$ . . . . .	25
1.2.6	The lattice $(\mathcal{S}, \cup, \cap)$ . . . . .	25
1.2.7	The non-distributive lattices $\mathcal{L}_1$ and $\mathcal{L}_2$ . . . . .	26
2.2.1	$\mathcal{NK}$ proof of $((A \rightarrow B) \wedge (A \rightarrow C)) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow (B \wedge C))$ . . .	49
2.2.2	A $\mathcal{LK}$ proof of $\Rightarrow \forall x (A(x) \rightarrow B) \rightarrow \exists x (A(x) \rightarrow B)$ . . . .	51
2.3.1	Theoremhood of $(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (\neg(q \wedge r) \rightarrow \neg(r \wedge p))$ : An analytic tableau proof. . . . .	58
2.5.1	A proof in the form of labeled trees. . . . .	73
2.8.1	Graphical depiction of the accessibility relations in Example 2.8.1. . . . .	82
2.8.2	Interpretation of a propositional language by means of a matrix. . . . .	87
4.4.1	Main normal modal systems and respective axioms. . . . .	143
4.4.2	The operators of LTL. . . . .	149
4.4.3	Systems with initial states satisfying (i) $\mathbf{A}\Box\phi$ , (ii) $\mathbf{E}\Box\phi$ , and (iii) $\mathbf{A}\Diamond\phi$ . . . . .	153





## Preface to the 1st edition

Wishing to anchor my research more and more in logic, pure or applied (where *or* is to be read in the logical, inclusive, sense), I aimed to increase substantially my knowledge of (classes of) logics. For both the pure and applied aspects I had in mind, tackling the central notion of logical consequence appeared as the best way to achieve my objective.

My work in this topic benefited from a short stay in early 2015 as a visiting researcher at the University of Barcelona, where, at the libraries of the Faculties of Mathematics and of Philosophy, I was able to collect much of the material that allowed me to take the turnstiles by the horns.

Melvin Fitting, Peter Schotch, and Yde Venema read parts of the manuscript and their observations helped me to improve it considerably. My sincere thanks to them.

I wish also to express my thanks to Dov M. Gabbay for including this book in this excellent series of College Publications, and to Jane Spurr for impeccable assistance during the publication process.

Madrid, February 2017

Luis M. S. Augusto



## Preface to the 2nd edition

The present second edition includes some minor and a few not so minor changes with respect to the first edition. I begin with the minor changes: Besides correcting identified addenda and errata, the present second edition has improved figures and a more uniform notation; the main text was revised in some places aiming first and foremost at greater clarity.

Now, the not so minor changes:

With the aim of promoting a better understanding of the mathematical interpretation of logical consequence, order relations and associated structures were further elaborated on, namely up to the notions of ultrafilter and superideal for lattices (Section 1.2), and there is now an entirely novel Section (1.4) on closure and kernel operators.

Another important innovation is the Appendix on first-order logic, as the 2017 edition took it—unwittingly, but nonetheless wrongly—for granted that readers were well acquainted with the first-order language of (classical) logic. Hence the decision to provide the basics of first-order quantified formulae, namely with a view to exploring the (limitations in) expressive power of first-order logic via its standard language. This is done in particular by exploring the axiomatization of set theory known as ZFC, per se and in its relations to classical first-order logic. Nevertheless, this book was not written with the wholly uninitiated in mind, and only minimal discussions and examples are given of basic logic topics, reminders rather than pedagogical elaborations. For this reason, I decided not to include the material on first-order formulae and axiomatizations in the main text, opting for an Appendix instead.

Yet another novelty is the Index, which was wholly revised and is now very comprehensive.

Renewed thanks to College Publications are in order, especially for the readiness to publish the present 2nd edition.

Madrid, June 2020

Luis M. S. Augusto

- Adams, E. (1975). *The logic of conditionals*. Dordrecht: Reidel.
- Aliseda, A. (2006). *Abductive reasoning. Logical investigations into discovery and explanation*. Berlin: Springer.
- Aliseda, A. (2007). Abductive reasoning: Challenges ahead. *Theoria*, 60, 261-270.
- Allemang, D., Tanner, M., Bylander, T., & Josephson, J. (1987). Computational complexity of hypothesis assembly. *Proceedings of the 10th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 1112-1117.
- Anderson, A. R. & Belnap, N. D. (1975). *Entailment: The logic of relevance and necessity*. Vol. 1. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Anderson, A. R. & Belnap, N. D. (1992). *Entailment: The logic of relevance and necessity*. Vol. 2. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Artemov, S. N. & Beklemishev, L. (2005). Provability logic. In D. M. Gabbay & F. Guenther (eds.), *Handbook of philosophical logic*. 2nd ed. Vol. 13 (pp. 189-360). Amsterdam: Springer.
- Augusto, L. M. (2005). *Who's afraid of idealism? Epistemological idealism from the Kantian and Nietzschean points of view*. Lanham, MD: University Press of America.
- Augusto, L. M. (2009). Do unconscious beliefs yield knowledge? *Revista Filosófica de Coimbra*, 35, 159-168.
- Augusto, L. M. (2010a). Unconscious knowledge: A survey. *Advances in Cognitive Psychology*, 6, 116-141.
- Augusto, L. M. (2010b). Accommodating unconscious beliefs. *Princípios*, 17, 129-154.
- Augusto, L. M. (2011). Putting the horse before the cart: A pragmatist analysis of knowledge. *Trans/Form/Ação*, 34, 135-152.
- Augusto, L. M. (2019). *Formal logic: Classical problems and proofs*. London: College Publications.
- Augusto, L. M. (2020a). *Computational logic. Vol 1.: Classical deductive computing with classical logic*. 2nd ed. London: College Publications.

- Augusto, L. M. (2020b). *Languages, machines, and classical computation*. 2nd ed. London: College Publications.
- Augusto, L. M. (2020c). *Many-valued logics: A mathematical and computational introduction*. 2nd ed. London: College Publications.
- Avron, A. (1994). What is a logical system? In D. M. Gabbay (ed.), *What is a logical system?* (pp. 217-238). Oxford: OUP.
- Barcan, R. (1946). A functional calculus of first order based on strict implication. *Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 11, 1-16.
- Belnap, N. (1959). Entailment and relevance. *Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 25, 144-146.
- Beth, E. W. (1955). Semantic entailment and formal derivability. *Mededelingen van de Koninklijke Nederlandse Akademie van Wetenschappen, Afdeling Letterkunde*, 18, 309-42.
- Beth, E. W. (1960). Completeness results for formal systems. In J. A. Todd (ed.), *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, 14-21 August 1958* (pp. 281-288). Cambridge: CUP.
- Beyersdorff, O. (2010). The deduction theorem for strong propositional proof systems. *Theory of Computing Systems*, 47, 162-178.
- Blackburn, P., de Rijke, M., & Venema, Y. (2001). *Modal logic*. Cambridge: CUP.
- Blackburn, P., van Bentham, J. & Wolter, F. (eds.) (2007). *Handbook of modal logic*. Amsterdam, etc.: Elsevier.
- Blok, W. J. & Pigozzi, D. (1989). *Algebraizable logics*. Providence, Rhode Island: Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, no. 396.
- Bochman, A. (1998). On the relation between default and modal nonmonotonic reasoning. *Artificial Intelligence*, 101, 1-34.
- Bochvar, D. A. (1938). Ob odnom trékhznačnom isčislénii i égo priménénii k analizu paradosov klassičéskogo rassirennogo funkcionálnogo isčislénia. (On a three-valued calculus and its application to analysis of paradoxes of classical extended functional calculus.) *Matématicéskij Sbornik*, 4, 287-308.

- Bolc, L. & Borowik, P. (1992). *Many-valued logics 1: Theoretical foundations*. Berlin, etc.: Springer.
- Bolc, L. & Borowik, P. (2003). *Many-valued logics 2: Automated reasoning and practical applications*. Berlin, etc.: Springer.
- Bonnay, D. & Westerståhl, D. (2014). Dynamic vs. classical consequence. In A. Baltag & S. Smets (eds.), *Trends in Logic. Outstanding contributions: Johan F. A. K. van Benthem on logical and informational dynamics* (pp. 837-854). Springer.
- Boole, G. (1847). *The mathematical analysis of knowledge, being an essay towards a calculus of deductive reasoning*. Cambridge: Macmillan, Barclay, & Macmillan.
- Boolos, G. S. (1993). *The logic of provability*. Cambridge: CUP.
- Boolos, G. S, Burgess, J. P., & Jeffrey, R. C. (2007). *Computability and logic*. 5th ed. Cambridge, etc.: CUP.
- Brouwer, L. E. J. (1975). *Collected works. I: Philosophy and foundations of mathematics*. Ed. by A. Heyting. Amsterdam: North-Holland.
- Brown, B. (2007). Preservationism: A short history. In D. M. Gabbay & J. Woods (eds.) (2007), *Handbook of the history of logic. Vol. 8: The many-valued and nonmonotonic turn in logic* (pp. 95-127). Elsevier, North-Holland.
- Brown, M. B. & Priest, G. (2015). Chunk and permeate II: Bohr's hydrogen atom. *European Journal of Philosophy of Science*, 5, 297-314.
- Bylander, T., Allemang, D., Tanner, M. C., & Josephson, J. R. (1991). The computational complexity of abduction. *Artificial Intelligence*, 49, 25-60.
- Carnap, R. (1950). *Logical foundations of probability*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Chellas, B. F. (1980). *Modal logic. An introduction*. Cambridge: CUP.
- Church, A. (1951). The weak theory of implication. In A. Menne, A. Wilhelmy, & H. Angsil (eds.), *Kontrolliertes Denken: Untersuchungen zum Logikkalkül und zur Logik der Einzelwissenschaften* (pp. 22-37), Munich: Karl Alber.

- Clarke, E. M. & Emerson, E. A. (1981). Design and synthesis of synchronisation skeletons using branching time temporal logic. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, 131, 52–71.
- Cleave, J. P. (1991). *A study of logics*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Cox, P. T. & Pietrzykowsky, T. (1987). General diagnosis by abductive inference. *Proceedings IEEE Symposium on Logic Programming*, 183-189.
- Czelakowski, J. (2001). *Protoalgebraic logics*. Dordrecht: Springer.
- D’Agostino, M. (1999). Tableau methods for classical propositional logic. In M. D’Agostino et al. (eds.), *Handbook of tableau methods* (pp. 45-123), Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- de Kleer, J. & Williams, B. (1987). Diagnosing multiple faults. *Artificial Intelligence*, 32, 97-130.
- de Kleer, J., Mackworth, A. K., & Reiter, R. (1992). Characterizing diagnoses and systems. *Artificial Intelligence*, 56, 197-222.
- Došen, K. & Schroeder-Heister, P. (eds.) (1993). *Substructural logics*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Dunn, J. M. & Hardgree, G. M. (2001). *Algebraic methods in philosophical logic*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Eder, E. (2000). The cut rule in theorem proving. In S. Hölldobler (ed.), *Intellectics and computational logic* (pp. 101-123). Dordrecht: Springer.
- Emerson, E. A. & Halpern, J. Y. (1986). “Sometimes” and “not never” revisited: On branching versus linear time temporal logic. *Journal of the ACM*, 33, 151-178.
- Epstein, R. L. (2011). *Classical mathematical logic: The semantic foundations of logic*. Princeton & Oxford: Princeton University Press.
- Etchemendy, J. (1999). *The concept of logical consequence*. Stanford: CSLI Publications.
- Fagin, R. & Halpern, J. Y. (1988). Belief, awareness, and limited reasoning. *Artificial Intelligence*, 34, 39-76.

- Fischer, M. & Ladner, R. (1979). Propositional dynamic logic of regular programs. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 18, 194-211.
- Fitting, M. (2007). Modal proof theory. In P. Blackburn, J. van Bentham, & F. Wolter (eds.), *Handbook of modal logic* (pp. 85-138). Amsterdam, etc.: Elsevier.
- Flach, P. A. (2000). Logical characterizations of inductive learning. In D. M. Gabbay & R. Kruse (eds.), *Abductive reasoning and learning* (pp. 155-196), Norwell, MA: Kluwer.
- Flach, P. A. & Kakas, A. C. (eds.) (2000). *Abduction and induction: Essays on their relation and integration*. Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Fraenkel, A. A., Bar-Hillel, Y., & Levy, A. (1973). *Foundations of set theory*. 2nd rev. ed. Amsterdam, etc.: Elsevier.
- Frege, G. (1879). *Begriffsschrift, eine der aritmetischen nachgebildete Formelsprache des reinen Denkens*. Halle am Stuttgart: Louis Nebert.
- Gabbay, D. M. (1994). What is a logical system? In D. M. Gabbay (ed.), *What is a logical system?* (pp. 179-216). Oxford: OUP.
- Gabbay, D. M. (2014). What is a logical system? An evolutionary view: 1964-2014. In D. M. Gabbay, J. H. Siekmann, & J. Woods (eds.), *Handbook of the history of logic. Vol. 9: Computational logic* (pp. 41-132). Amsterdam, etc.: Elsevier.
- Gabbay, D. M. & Woods, J. (2001). The new logic. *L. J. of the IGPL*, 9, 141-174.
- Gabbay, D. M. & Woods, J. (2003). *A practical logic of cognitive systems. Vol. 1: Agenda relevance. A study in formal pragmatics*. Amsterdam, etc.: Elsevier.
- Gabbay, D. M. & Woods, J. (2005). *A practical logic of cognitive systems. Vol. 2: The reach of abduction: Insight and trial*. Oxford, etc.: Elsevier.
- Gabbay, D. M. & Woods, J. (eds.) (2007). *Handbook of the history of logic. Vol. 8: The many-valued and nonmonotonic turn in logic*. Elsevier, North-Holland.



- Gabbay, D. M., Hartmann, S. & Woods, J. (eds.) (2011). *Handbook of the History of Logic. Vol. 10: Inductive Logic*. Amsterdam/Oxford: North-Holland.
- Gabbay, D. M., Pnueli, A., Shelah, S., & Stavi, J. (1980). On the temporal analysis of fairness. *Proceedings of the 7th ACM SIGPLAN-SIGACT Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages*, 163-173.
- Galatos, N., Jipsen, P., Kowalski, T., & Ono, H. (2007). *Residuated lattices. An algebraic glimpse at substructural logics*. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Garey, M. R. & Johnson, D. S. (1979). *Computers and intractability: A guide to the theory of NP-completeness*. San Francisco, CA: W. H. Freeman & Co.
- Gentzen, G. (1934-5). Untersuchungen über das logische Schliessen. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 39, 176-210, 405-431. (Engl. trans.: Investigations into logical deduction. In M. E. Szabo (ed.), *The Collected Papers of Gerhard Gentzen* (pp. 68-131). Amsterdam: North-Holland.)
- Girard, J.-Y. (1987). Linear logic. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 50, 1-101.
- Glivenko, V. (1929). Sur quelques points de la logique de M. Brouwer. *Académie Royale de Belgique, Bulletin de la Classe des Sciences*, 5, 183-188.
- Gödel, K. (1930). Die Vollständigkeit der Axiome des logischen Funktionkalküls. *Monatshefte für Mathematik*, 37, 349-360. (Engl. trans.: The completeness of the axioms of the functional calculus of logic. In S. Feferman et al. (eds.), *Collected works. Vol. 1: Publications 1929-1936* (pp. 103-123). New York: OUP & Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1986.)
- Gödel, K. (1933). Zur intuitionistischen Arithmetik und Zahlentheorie. *Ergebnisse eines mathematischen Kolloquiums*, 4, 34-28. (Eng. trans.: On intuitionistic arithmetic and number theory. In S. Feferman et al. (eds.), *Collected works. Vol. 1: Publications 1929-1936* (pp. 287-295). New York: OUP & Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1986.)

- Goré, R. (1999). Tableau methods for modal and temporal logics. In M. D'Agostino et al. (eds.), *Handbook of tableau methods* (pp. 297-396), Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Hailperin, T. (1996). *Sentential probability logic. Origins, development, current status, and practical applications*. London: Associated University Presses.
- Hansson, S. O. (1999). *A textbook of belief dynamics*. Norwell, MA: Kluwer.
- Hansson, S. O. & Wassermann, R. (2002). Local change. *Studia logica*, 70, 49-76.
- Harel, D., Kozen, D., & Tiuryn, J. (2000). *Dynamic logic*. Cambridge, MA; London: The MIT Press.
- Harman, G. H. (1965). The inference to the best explanation. *Philosophical Review*, 74, 88-95.
- Hawthorne, J. (2014). New Horn rules for probabilistic consequence: Is O+ enough? In S. O. Hansson (ed.), *David Makinson on classical methods for non-classical problems* (pp. 119-141). Dordrecht, etc.: Springer.
- Hawthorne, J. & Makinson, D. C. (2007). The quantitative/ qualitative watershed for rules of uncertain inference. *Studia Logica*, 86, 247-297.
- Hempel, C. G. (1945). Studies in the logic of confirmation. *Mind*, 54, 1-26 (Part I), 97-121 (Part II).
- Henkin, L. (1949). The completeness of the first-order functional calculus. *Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 14, 159-166.
- Herrlich, H. (2006). *Axiom of choice*. Berlin & Heidelberg: Springer.
- Heyting, A. (1930). Die formalen Regeln der intuitionistischen Logik I-III. *Sitzungsberichte der Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften*, 42-56; 57-71; 158-169.
- Heyting, A. (1934). *Mathematische Grundlagenforschung, Intuitionismus, Beweistheorie*. Berlin: Springer.
- Heyting, A. (1956). *Intuitionismus. An introduction*. Amsterdam: North-Holland.

- Hilbert, D. & Ackermann, W. (1928). *Grundzüge der theoretischen Logik*. Berlin: Springer.
- Hilpinen, R. & McNamara, P. (2013). Deontic logic: A historical survey and introduction. In D. M. Gabbay et al. (eds.), *Handbook of deontic logic and normative systems* (pp. 3-136), London: College Publications.
- Hintikka, J. (1962). *Knowledge and belief: An introduction to the logic of the two notions*. Cornell: Cornell University Press.
- Hoare, C. (1969). An axiomatic basis for computer programming. *Communications of the Association of Computing Machinery*, 12, 576-580.
- Hobbs, J. R., Stickel, M. E., Appelt, D. E., & Martin, P. (1993). Interpretation as abduction. *Artificial Intelligence*, 63, 69-142.
- Hocutt, M. O. (1972). Is epistemic logic possible? *Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic*, 13, 433-453.
- Humberstone, L. (2011). *The connectives*. London & Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Hume, D. (1999). *An enquiry concerning human understanding*. Ed. Tom L. Beauchamp. Oxford & New York: OUP. (Originally published in 1748.)
- Jaśkowski, S. (1934). On the rules of suppositions in formal logic. *Studia Logica*, 1, 5-32.
- Kleene, S. (1938). On a notation for ordinal numbers. *Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 3, 150-155.
- Kleene, S. (1952). *Introduction to metamathematics*. Princeton, NJ: D. van Nostrand Co.
- Kolmogorov, A. (1925). O principe tertium non datur. *Matematicheskij Sbornik*, 32, 646-667. (Engl. trans.: On the principle of excluded middle. In J. van Heijenoort (ed.), *From Frege to Gödel: A source book in mathematical logic, 1879-1931* (pp. 414-447). Harvard University Press, 2002.)
- Kolmogorov, A. (1932). Zur Deutung der intuitionistischen Logik. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 35, 58-65.

- Koppitz, J. & Denecke, K. (2006). *M-solid varieties of algebras*. Springer.
- Kripke, S. (1959). A completeness theorem in modal logic. *Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 24, 1-14.
- Kripke, S. (1963). Semantical considerations on modal logic. *Acta Philosophica Fennica*, 16, 83-94.
- Kuyper, R. (2014). Computational aspects of satisfiability in probability logic. *Mathematical Logic Quarterly*, 60, 444-470.
- Kuyper, R. & S. A. Terwijn (2013). Model theory of measure spaces and probability logic. *The Review of Symbolic Logic*, 6, 367-393.
- Lambek, J. (1958). The mathematics of sentence structure. *American Mathematical Monthly*, 12, 166-178.
- Leitsch, A. (1997). *The resolution calculus*. Berlin, etc.: Springer.
- Letz, R. (1999). First-order tableau methods. In M. D'Agostino et al. (eds.), *Handbook of tableau methods* (pp. 125-196), Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Lewis, C. I. (1912). Implication and the algebra of logic. *Mind*, 21, 522-531.
- Łoś, J. (1953). The algebraic treatment of the methodology of elementary deductive systems. *Studia Logica*, 2, 151-212.
- Łukasiewicz, J. (1920). O logice trójwartościowej. *Ruch Filozoficzny*, 5, 170-171. (English trans.: On three-valued logic. In L. Borkowski (ed.), *Selected Works* (p. 87-88). Amsterdam: North-Holland.)
- Łukasiewicz, J. (1930). Philosophische Bemerkungen zu mehrwertigen Systemen des Aussagenkalküls. *Comptes Rendus des Scéances de la Société des Sciences et des Lettres de Varsovie CL. III*, 23, 51-77. (English transl.: Philosophical remarks on many-valued systems of propositional logic. In S. McCall (ed.), *Polish Logic 1920-1939* (p. 40-65). Oxford: Clarendon Press. 1967.)
- Łukasiewicz, J., & Tarski, A. (1930). Untersuchungen über den Aussagenkalkül. *Comptes Rendus des Séances de la Société des Sciences et des Lettres de Varsovie CL. III*, 23, 30-50.

- Makinson, D. C. (1971). Some embedding theorems for modal logic. *Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic*, 12, 252-254.
- Makinson, D. C. (2003). Bridges between classical and nonmonotonic logic. *Logic Journal of the IGPL*, 11, 69-96.
- Makinson, D. C. (2005). How to go nonmonotonic. In D. M. Gabbay & F. Guenther (eds.), *Handbook of philosophical logic*. 2nd ed. Vol. 12 (pp. 175-278), Amsterdam: Springer.
- Makinson, D. C. (2007). Completeness theorems, representation theorems: What's the difference? In T. Rønnow-Rasmussen, B. Petersson, & J. Josefsson (eds.), *Hommage à Wlodek. Philosophical papers dedicated to Wlodek Rabinowicz* (pp. n/a). Lund: Lunds Universitet. <http://www.fil.lu.se/hommageawlodek/>
- Malinowski, G. (1989). *Equivalence in intensional logics*. Warsaw: Polish Academy of Sciences, Institute of Philosophy and Sociology.
- Malinowski, G. (1993). *Many-valued logics*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Mares, E. (2014). Liars, lotteries, and prefaces: Two paraconsistent accounts of belief change. In S. O. Hansson (ed.), *David Makinson on classical methods for non-classical problems* (pp. 119-141). Dordrecht, etc.: Springer.
- Marker, D. (2002). *Model theory: An introduction*. New York, etc.: Springer.
- McCarthy, J. (1959). Programs with common sense. *Proceedings of the Symposium on Mechanization of Thought Processes*, 77-84.
- McCarthy, J. (1963). A basis for a mathematical theory of computation. In P. Braffort & D. Hirshberg (eds.), *Computer programming and formal systems* (pp. 33-70). Amsterdam: North-Holland.
- McCarthy, J. (1980). Circumscription – A form of nonmonotonic reasoning. *Artificial Intelligence*, 13, 27-39.
- McCarthy, J. & Hayes, P. (1969). Some philosophical problems from the standpoint of artificial intelligence. In B. Meltzer & D. Michie (eds.), *Machine Intelligence* (pp. 463-502), Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press.

- McDermott, D. & Doyle, J. (1982). Non-monotonic logic II: Non-monotonic modal theories. *Journal of the ACM*, 29, 33-57.
- McKeon, M. W. (2010). *The concept of logical consequence. An introduction to philosophical logic*. New York, etc.: Peter Lang.
- McKinsey, J. (1939). Proof of the independence of the primitive symbols of Heyting's calculus of propositions. *Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 4, 155-158.
- Meyer, J.-J. Ch. & Hoek, W. van der (1995). *Epistemic logic for AI and computer science*. Cambridge: CUP.
- Minsky, M. (1961). Steps toward artificial intelligence. *Proceedings of the IRE*, 49, 8-30.
- Minsky, M. (1974). A framework for representing knowledge. Report AIM, 306, Artificial Intelligence Laboratory, MIT.
- Minsky, M. (1991). Logical versus analogical or symbolic versus connectionist or neat versus scruffy. *AI Magazine*, 12, 34-51.
- Moh, S.-K. (1950). The deduction theorems and two new logical systems. *Methodos*, 2, 56-75.
- Moore, G. H. (1982). *Zermelo's axiom of choice: Its origins, development, and influence*. New York: Springer.
- Moore, R. C. (1985). Semantic considerations of non-monotonic logic. *Artificial Intelligence*, 25, 75-94.
- Moot, R. & Retoré, C. (2012). *The logic of categorial grammars. A deductive account of natural language syntax and semantics*. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer.
- Muggleton, S. & de Raedt, L. (1994). Inductive logic programming: Theory and methods. *Journal of Logic Programming*, 19, 629-679.
- Negri, S. & Von Plato, J. (1998). Cut elimination in the presence of axioms. *Bulletin of Symbolic Logic*, 4, 418-435.
- Ng, H. T. & Mooney, R. J. (1992). Abductive plan recognition and diagnosis: A comprehensive empirical evaluation. *Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Principles of Knowledge Representation and Reasoning*, Cambridge, MA, 499-508.

- Ono, H. (2003) Substructural logics and residuated lattices – An introduction. In V. F. Hendricks & J. Malinowski (eds.), *Trends in Logic: 50 years of Studia Logica* (pp. 177-212). Kluwer.
- Orlov, I. E. (1928). The calculus of compatibility of propositions. (trans. title; original in Russian) *Matématičeskij Sbornik*, 35, 263-286.
- Paoli, F. (2002). *Substructural logics: A primer*. Dordrecht, etc.: Kluwer.
- Paris, J. & Vencovská, A. (2015). *Pure inductive logic*. Cambridge: CUP.
- Paul, G. (1993). Approaches to abductive reasoning: An overview. *Artificial Intelligence Review*, 7, 109-152.
- Pnueli, A. (1977). The temporal logic of programs. *Proceedings of the 18th IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, 46-67.
- Pratt, V. (1976). Semantical considerations on Floyd-Hoare logic. *Proceedings of the 17th IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, 109-121.
- Prawitz, D. (1965). *Natural deduction. A proof-theoretical study*. Stockholm: Almqvist & Wiksell.
- Priest, G. (2007). Paraconsistency and dialetheism. In D. M. Gabbay & J. Woods (eds.), *Handbook of the history of logic. Vol. 8: The many-valued and nonmonotonic turn in logic* (pp. 129-204). Elsevier, North-Holland.
- Rasiowa, H. (1974). *An algebraic approach to non-classical logics*. Amsterdam, London: North-Holland; New York: Elsevier.
- Reiter, R. (1980). A logic for default reasoning. *Artificial Intelligence*, 13, 81-132.
- Rescher, N. (1969). *Many-valued logic*. McGraw-Hill.
- Rescher, N. (2005). *Epistemic logic: Survey of the logic of knowledge*. Pittsburgh: University of Pittsburgh Press.
- Rescher, N. & Urquhart, A. (1971). *Temporal logic*. Berlin: Springer.

- Restall, G. (2000). *An introduction to substructural logics*. London & New York: Routledge.
- Roeper, P. & Leblanc, H. (1999). *Probability theory and probability logic*. Toronto, etc.: University of Toronto Press.
- Rybakov, V. V. (1997). *Admissibility of logical inference rules*. Studies in Logic and the Foundations of Mathematics, vol. 136, Elsevier.
- Schotch, P., Brown, B., & Jennings, R. (eds.) (2009). *On preserving: Essays on preservationism and paraconsistent logic*. Toronto, etc.: University of Toronto Press.
- Scott, D. (1974). Rules and derived rules. In S. Stenlund (ed.), *Logical theory and semantical analysis* (pp. 147-161). Dordrecht: Reidel.
- Shanahan, M. (2005). Perception as abduction: Turning sensor data into meaningful representation. *Cognitive Science*, 29, 103-134.
- Smullyan, R. M. (1968). *First-order logic*. Mineola, NY: Dover.
- Sowa, J. F. (2005). Theories, models, reasoning, language, and truth. <http://www.jfsowa.com/logic/theories.htm>
- Stenning, K., & van Lambalgen, M. (2008). *Human reasoning and cognitive science*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Suszko, R. (1977). The Fregean axiom and Polish mathematical logic in the 1920's. *Studia Logica*, 36, 373-380.
- Tarski, A. (1930). Fundamentale Begriffe der Methodologie der deduktiven Wissenschaften. I. *Monatshefte für Mathematik und Physik*, 37, 361-404. (Engl. trans.: Fundamental concepts of the methodology of the deductive sciences. In A. Tarski, *Logic, semantics, metamathematics: Papers from 1923 to 1938* (pp. 60-109). Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1956.)
- Tarski, A. (1935). Der Wahrheitsbegriff in formalisierten Sprachen. *Studia Philosophica*, 1, 261-405 (Engl. trans.: The concept of truth in formalized languages. In A. Tarski, *Logic, semantics, metamathematics: Papers from 1923 to 1938* (pp. 152-278). Trans. by J. H. Woodger. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1956) [Originally published in Polish in 1933.]



- Tarski, A. (1936). Über den Begriff der logischen Folgerung. *Actes du Congrès International de Philosophie Scientifique*, 7, 1-11. (Engl. trans: On the concept of logical consequence. In A. Tarski, *Logic, semantics, metamathematics: Papers from 1923 to 1938* (pp. 409-420). Trans. by J. H. Woodger. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1956.)
- Terwijn, S. A. (2005). Probabilistic logic and induction. *Journal of Logic and Computation*, 15, 507-515.
- Troelstra, A. S. (1992). *Lectures on linear logic*. Stanford, CA: CSLI.
- Troelstra, A. S. & Schwichtenberg, H. (2000). *Basic proof theory*. 2nd ed. Cambridge: CUP.
- Urquhart, A. (1984). The undecidability of entailment and relevant implication. *Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 49, 1059-1073.
- van Dalen, D. (2004). Kolmogorov and Brouwer on constructive implication and the Ex Falso rule. *Russian Mathematical Surveys*, 59, 247-257.
- Wajsberg, M. (1938). Untersuchungen über den Aussagenkalkül von A. Heyting. *Wiadomosci Matematyczne*, 46, 45-101.
- Walther, C. (1985). A mechanical solution of Schubert's Steamroller by many-sorted resolution. *Artificial Intelligence*, 26, 217-224.
- Whitehead, A. N. & Russell, B. (1910). *Principia mathematica*. Vol. 1. Cambridge: CUP.
- Williamson, J. (2002). Probability logic. In D. M. Gabbay et al. (eds.), *Handbook of the logic of argument and inference. The turn towards the practical* (pp. 397-424), Amsterdam: North-Holland.
- Wójcicki, R. (1988). *Theory of logical calculi: Basic theory of consequence operations*. Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Wójcicki, R. (1984). *Lectures on propositional calculi*. Ossolineum.
- Woods, J. (2002). Standard logics as theories of argument and inference: Induction. In D. M. Gabbay et al. (eds.), *Handbook of the logic of argument and inference. The turn towards the practical* (pp. 105-170), Amsterdam: North-Holland.

- Zadeh, L. A. (1965). Fuzzy sets. *Information and Control*, 8, 338-353.
- Zadeh, L. A. (1975). Fuzzy logic and approximate reasoning. *Synthese*, 30, 407-428.



# Index



This Index is a hybrid of an *Index rerum* and an *Index nominum*. With respect to the former, pages for concepts are given only for their definitional occurrence (unless there are definitional variations). Frequently recurrent abbreviations (e.g., MP) are also listed as entries. As for the latter, pages for occurrences of names of authors of historical significance are exhaustively given.



# Index

## A

Abducible, 188  
Abduction framework, 188  
Abduction problem, 188  
Abduction system, 191  
Abduction, Consistent, 194  
Abduction, Explanatory, 194  
Abduction, Plain, 194  
Adjunction (AD), 100  
Affixing system, 175  
Algebra, 28  
Algebra of formulae, 84  
Algebra, BL-, 31  
Algebra, G-, 32  
Algebra, MV-, 32  
Algebra, II-, 32  
Anti-extensivity, 35  
Argument, 39  
Argument, Inductive, 196  
Assumption, 39, 48  
Assumption, Closed world, 107  
Atom, 41  
Ax (Axiom of identity), 50  
Axiom, 43  
Axiom of Choice (AC), 221  
Axiom schema (pl.: schemata),  
43  
Axiomatizability, 69

## B

Barcan, R., 142  
Belief, 63

Belief base, 63  
Belnap, N., 162, 174  
Bochvar, D. A., 78, 114, 118, 125,  
127  
Boolean algebra, 29  
Boolean function, 54  
Brouwer, L. E. J., 131

## C

C (Contraction rule), 51  
Calculus, 43  
Calculus, Analytic tableaux, 56  
Calculus, Natural deduction, 46  
Calculus, Predicate, 44  
Calculus, Propositional, 44  
Calculus, Resolution, 54  
Calculus, Sequent, 49  
Cardinality, 13  
Cartesian product, 15  
Chain, 17  
Church, A., 174  
Church-Turing theorem, 218  
Classicality conditions, 100  
Closure, 60  
Closure (of a set), 44  
Closure (under a rule), 65  
Closure base, 62  
Closure operation, 33, 61  
Closure operator, 33  
Closure system, 33  
Closure under substitution, 60  
Closure, Disjunctive, 194



## *Index*

- Closure, Dual, 32
- Closure, Existential, 216
- Closure, Explanation, 193
- Closure, Quantifier, 216
- Closure, Transitive, 20
- Closure, Universal, 216
- Compactness theorem (Gödel's), 95
- Comparability, 21
- Compartmentalization function (on a belief base), 64
- Complement of a set, 13
- Completeness, 69, 78
- Completeness theorem (Gödel's), 95
- Completeness theorem, Algebraic, 86
- Completeness theorem, Matrix, 89
- Completeness, Functional, 40
- Completeness, Strong, 78
- Conclusion (of an argument), 39
- Confirmatory structure, 204
- Confirmatory structure, Classical, 205
- Connective, 40
- Consequence operation, 59
- Consequence operation, Finitary, 59
- Consequence operation, Idle, 60
- Consequence operation, Inconsistent, 60
- Consequence operation, Matrix, 88
- Consequence operation, Standard, 60
- Consequence operation, Structural, 59
- Consequence operation, Tarskian, 60
- Consequence operation, Trivial, 60
- Consequence relation, 70
- Consequence relation, Closed confirmatory, 204
- Consequence relation, Confirmatory, 200
- Consequence relation, Default (DCR), 108
- Consequence relation, Explanatory, 200
- Consequence relation, Generalized (GCR), 72
- Consequence relation, Inductive, 199
- Consequence relation, Matrix, 87
- Consequence relation, Open confirmatory, 205
- Consequence relation, Probabilistic, 209
- Consequence relation, Semantical, 76
- Consequence relation, Simple confirmatory, 205
- Consequence relation, Syntactical, 73
- Consequence relation, Tarskian, 70
- Consequence, Non-monotonic, 104
- Consistency, 69
- Constant, 41
- Construction (Intuitionistic), 132
- Constructivism, 130
- Contingency, 52
- Contraction (Rule; C), 51
- Contradiction, 52
- Contraposition, Law of, 134
- Cotheory, 62
- Countermodel, 56
- Cumulativity, 110
- Curry-Howard correspondence, 130
- CUT (Rule), 51

## **D**

- De Morgan's laws, 30  
 Decidability, 67  
 Decomposition, Unicity of, 41  
 Deducibility, 73  
 Deduction theorem (DT), 94  
 Deduction-detachment theorem (DDT), 94  
 Deductive system, 67, 94  
 Default (rule), 106  
 Default, Closed world, 107  
 Derivability, 50  
 Derivation, 44  
 Derivation, Rules of, 67  
 Digraph (Directed graph), 20  
 Disjunction property, 130  
 Distribution, 53  
 Distribution axiom, 141  
 Domain, 16  
 Domain of discourse, 52  
 Double negation shift (DNS), 133  
 Downset, 17
- E**  
 ECQ (*Ex contradictione quodlibet*), 160  
 EFQ (*Ex falso quodlibet*), 99  
 Entailment, 77  
 Entailment, Generalized partial, 209  
 Entailment, Partial, 208  
 Equisatisfiability, 223  
 Ex contradictione quodlibet (ECQ), 160  
 Ex falso quodlibet (EFQ), 99  
 Excluded middle, Principle of (PEM), 100  
 Existence property, 130  
 Explanation, Cautious, 191  
 Explanatory power, 190  
 Explosion, Principle of (PE), 99  
 Exponentials, 176  
 Expression, Logical, 41
- Extensivity, 33
- F**  
*Falsum*, 52  
 Filter, 17, 26  
 Filter of sets, 27  
 FO (First-order), 41  
 FOL (First-order logic), 42  
 Formula (well-formed), 40  
 Formula, First-order, 215  
 Formula, Signed, 128  
 Formula, Skolemized, 222  
 Frame, 16, 52  
 Frege system, 44  
 Frege-Lukasiewicz system ( $\mathcal{L}$ ), 45  
 Frege's system ( $\mathcal{F}$ ), 44  
 Function, 15  
 Function (symbol), 41  
 Fusion (Strong conjunction), 121
- G**  
 Generalization rule (GEN), 45  
 Gentzen(-style) system, 46  
 Glivenko, V., 133  
 Gödel logic (**GL**), 122  
 Gödel t-norm, 121  
 Gödel, K., 78, 95, 131, 133, 134, 162  
 Gödel-Gentzen negative translation, 133  
 Graph, 19  
 Graph, Directed (Digraph), 20
- H**  
 Hasse diagram, 18  
 Hempel, C. G., 202, 203  
 Henkin symbol, 222  
 Henkin, L., 78  
 Heyting algebra, 30  
 Heyting, A., 131, 134  
 Hilbert(-style) system, 44  
 Hilbert, D., 45  
 Homomorphism, 29

## Index

- Hume, D., 198  
Hume's Problem (of Induction), 198  
Hypothesis, 39  
Hypothesis assembly, 190
- I**  
Ideal, 17, 26  
Ideal of sets, 27  
Inference, 65  
Inference operation, 65  
Inference rule, 43  
Inference rule, Admissible, 75  
Inference rule, Structural, 43  
Inference system, 65  
Inference, Defeasible, 105  
Inference, Non-deductive, 183  
Instance, Ground, 216  
Intensivity, 35  
Interpretation, 53  
Interpretation function, 89  
Interpretation, BHK-, 131  
Interpretation, Resource, 177  
Interval, 17  
Invalidity, 52  
Involution law, 30
- J**  
Jaśkowski, S., 46  
Join, 21
- K**  
Kernel system, 36  
Kleene, S., 45, 78, 114, 117, 118, 125, 127, 128  
Knowledge, 63  
Knowledge base, 63  
Kolmogorov, A., 131, 207  
Kripke model, 139  
Kripke, S., 139, 142
- L**  
Language, First-order, 41  
Language, Formal, 39  
Language, Logical, 39  
Language, Object, 40  
Language, Propositional, 40  
Lattice, 21  
Lattice, Complete, 22  
Lattice, Residuated, 31  
Lewis, C. I., 139, 174  
Lindenbaum, A., 88, 126  
Lindenbaum-Tarski algebra, 83  
Lindenbaum-Tarski matrix, 88  
Logic (of a system), 78  
Logic of relevant implication, Orlov's, 174  
Logic, Autoepistemic, 107  
Logic, Basic (**BL**), 122  
Logic, Circumscription, 107  
Logic, Classical linear, 175  
Logic, Computation tree (**CTL**), 151  
Logic, Deontic, 145  
Logic, Doxastic, 145  
Logic, Dynamic (**DL**), 152  
Logic, Epistemic, 145  
Logic, First-order, 42  
Logic, Intuitionistic, 130  
Logic, Łukasiewicz fuzzy ( $\mathbf{L}_N$ ), 122, 175  
Logic, Łukasiewicz's 3-valued ( $\mathbf{L}_3$ ), 115  
Logic, Linear temporal (**LTL**), 149  
Logic, Minimal temporal ( $\mathbf{K}_t$ ), 147  
Logic, Modal non-monotonic, 107  
Logic, Non-monotonic, 105  
Logic, Normal modal, 141  
Logic, Paraconsistent, 162  
Logic, Probabilistic paraconsistent, 211  
Logic, Product (**PLL**), 122  
Logic, Propositional, 42

- Logic, Provability, 145
- Logic, Relevance, 162
- Logic, Resource-sensitive, 171
- Logic, Substructural, 171
- Logic, Tarskian, 79
- Logic, Temporal (**TL**), 146
- Logic, t-norm fuzzy, 120, 121
- Logic,  $\varepsilon$ -, 210
- Logic, Well-determined, 96
- Logical system, 43, 78
- Łoś, J., 61, 74
- Lukasiewicz, J., 4, 45, 114, 115, 120, 123, 124, 126
- Lukasiewicz t-norm, 121
- Lukasiewicz-Tarski theorem, 86
- M**
- Malinowski, G., 89, 126
- Matrix q-consequence, 126
- Matrix representation, 89
- Matrix, Characterizing, 88
- Matrix, Logical, 86
- Meet, 21
- Metalanguage, 40
- Modalities, 138
- Model (algebraic structure), 27
- Model (for a formula), 52
- Model (for a language), 52
- Model theory, 52
- Model, Kripke, 80
- Model, Normal, 205
- Model, Relational, 80
- Model, Transition, 159
- Modus ponens (MP), 44
- Monoid, 31
- Monotonicity, 60
- Monotonicity, Cautious, 105
- Monotonicity, Restricted, 105
- MP (Modus ponens), 44
- Multiset, 72
- N**
- Necessitation rule, 141
- Necessity, 140
- Non-contradiction, Principle of (PNC), 100
- Normal form, Conjunctive (CNF), 42
- Normal form, Disjunctive (DNF), 42
- O**
- Operation, 15
- Operation, Closure, 33
- Operator, Closure, 33
- Operator, Interior, 34
- Operator, Kernel, 34
- Operator, Logical, 40
- Order (of a logic), 42
- Order (on a set), 16
- Order, Linear, 17
- Order, Partial (Poset), 16
- Order, Strict partial, 17
- Order, Total, 17
- P**
- P (Permutation rule), 51
- Paraclassicality, 110
- Paraconsistency, 160
- Paraconsistency, Strong, 161
- Paraconsistency, Weak, 161
- Paradoxes of material implication, 174
- Parameter, 217
- Partition, 14
- Peirce, C. S., 134, 188
- Peirce's law, 134
- PEM (Principle of excluded middle), 100
- Permutation (Rule; P), 51
- Persistency condition, 137
- Poset (Partial order), 16
- Possibility, 140
- Prawitz, D., 48
- Predicate (symbol), 41

## Index

- Premise, 39
- Preorder, 16
- Prior, A., 139
- Probability, Conditional, 208
- Probability, Unconditional, 208
- Probability-preservation, 208
- Product t-norm, 121
- Proof, 43
- Proof system, 43
- Proof theory, 43
- Provability, 65
- Provability relation, 73
  
- Q**
- Quantification, Trivial, 215
- Quantified formula, 215
- Quantifier, 41
- Quantifier, Fuzzy, 123
  
- R**
- Reasoning, Abductive, 187
- Reasoning, Ampliative, 187
- Reasoning, Commonsense, 4
- Reasoning, Deductive, 93
- Reasoning, Default, 107
- Reasoning, Defeasible, 106
- Reasoning, Inductive, 195
- Reduct, 28
- Reductio ad absurdum (RA), 100
- Reflexivity, 71
- Refutation, 56
- Relation, 15
- Relation, Accessibility, 81
- Relation, Binary, 15
- Relation, Cover, 17
- Relation, Forcing, 81
- Relation, Order, 19
- Residuation, 30
- Rule, Structural, 51
- Rule, Substructural, 171
  
- S**
- Satisfaction, 68
- Satisfaction relation, 76
- Satisfiability, 52
- Satisfiability,  $\varepsilon$ -, 211
- Semantics, 52
- Semantics, Eq-algebraic, 86
- Semidecidability, 68
- Semilattice, 22
- Set, 13
- Set difference, 15
- Set equivalence, 67
- Set intersection, 14
- Set theory, 15
- Set union, 14
- Set, Deductive, 96
- Set, Index, 14
- Set, Ordered, 16
- Set, Well-ordered, 16
- Sheffer function, 55
- Sheffer stroke, 55
- Signature, 41
- Skolem function, 222
- Skolemization, 222
- Smullyan, R. M., 40, 56, 183
- Soundness, 78
- Structural rule, 51
- Structurality, 60
- SUB (Rule of substitution), 46
- Subalgebra, 28
- Subformula, Immediate, 41
- Submatrix, 86
- Subset, 13
- Substitution, 40
- Substitution instance, 40
- Substitution, Closure under, 60
- Substitution, Free, 217
- Substitution, Rule of (SUB), 46
- Substitution, Variable, 216
- Superideal, 27
- Superset, 13
- Supraclassicality, 104
- Suszko, R., 74, 127
- Syntax, 39

System of a consequence operation, 67

## T

Tarski-style conditions, 98  
 Tautology, 52  
 Term, 41  
 Tertium non datur (PEM), 100  
 Theorem, 44  
 Theoremhood, Rules of, 67  
 Theory (Logical), 62  
 Theory, Proper, 219  
 t-norms, Fundamental continuous, 121  
 Tractability, 68  
 Transition system, 149  
 Transitivity, 71  
 Tree, 20  
 Truth table, 52  
 Truth value, 52  
 Truth value, Designated, 86  
 Truth, Absolute, 129  
 Truth-preservation, 101

## U

Ultrafilter, 27  
 Universe, 16  
 Upset, 17

## V

Validity, 52  
 Validity in degree, 129  
 Validity,  $\varepsilon$ -, 129  
 Variable assignment, 53  
 Variable sharing property, 175  
 Variable, Individual, 41  
 Variable, Propositional, 40  
*Verum*, 52

## W

W (Weakening rule), 51  
 Weakening (Rule; W), 51

## Z

Zermelo's theorem, 223  
 Zorn's lemma, 223