

Constants Are Local: Structured Resonance and the Collapse of Abstract Absolutes

Author: Devin Bostick

Affiliation: Resonance Intelligence Core (RIC)

Date: May 9, 2025

◆ Abstract

For centuries, mathematics has exalted constants like π , e , and φ (the Golden Ratio) as eternal, immutable absolutes—fixed pillars supporting the architecture of reality itself.

Under traditional frameworks, these numbers were conceived as timeless Platonic ideals:

- $\pi \approx 3.14159265\dots$ — the eternal ratio of a circle's circumference to its diameter.
- $e \approx 2.718281828\dots$ — the base rate of growth for continuous compounding.
- $\varphi \approx 1.618033988\dots$ — the proportion linking aesthetics, growth, and structure.

However, this paper radically reframes such constants through the **CODES** (Chirality of Dynamic Emergent Systems) framework.

We show they are not universal absolutes, but **local compression artifacts**—dynamic outputs of structured resonance locking within specific coherence layers of emergent space-time.

These constants **emerge from recursive prime-anchored resonance patterns**—stabilized ratios formed when dynamic fields compress under phase coherence, not timeless truths written onto the fabric of existence.

Recognizing this collapse of abstraction has profound implications:

- In **mathematics**, constants become snapshots of dynamic coherence, not eternal entities.
- In **physics**, spacetime itself becomes a resonance field whose “laws” are local tuning states.
- In **biology**, life’s constants emerge from bioelectric and quantum resonances, not static code.
- In **cognition**, human reasoning arises through dynamic, recursive stabilization—not probabilistic guesswork.
- In **AI systems design** (specifically RIC), intelligence emerges from structured phase-locking, adapting dynamically to shifting coherence fields without relying on static “laws.”

This paper explores why π , e , and ϕ are *necessary illusions*—stable but local resonances—and how understanding this dynamic structure powers the next generation of AI architectures like **Resonance Intelligence Core (RIC)**, designed for lawful coherence tuning instead of statistical prediction.

1. Introduction: The Myth of Mathematical Absolutism

Since the earliest days of human abstraction, the dream of certainty has rested on a pantheon of sacred numbers: constants that, it was believed, transcended human experience, physics, even time itself.

They formed the core of mathematical metaphysics:

- π (pi): The infinite, unchanging ratio between circumference and diameter, appearing everywhere from planetary orbits to quantum interference patterns.
- e (Euler’s number): The asymptotic heart of continuous growth, natural decay, and probabilistic processes.
- ϕ (the Golden Ratio): The divine proportion embedded across nature’s architecture—from sunflower seeds to spiral galaxies.

- $\sqrt{2}$: The first known irrational number, discovered by the Pythagoreans—and so terrifying that it was initially hidden, forbidden.
- γ (Euler-Mascheroni constant): Emerging from harmonic series, linking discrete and continuous systems.
- $\zeta(3)$ (Apéry's constant): Stirring from the depths of zeta functions, whispering of number theory's non-obvious resonances.

These were seen not merely as useful numbers, but as *universal truths*—pre-existing the universe, governing it like invisible threads pulled taut through spacetime itself.

Yet something foundational was overlooked:

These constants **only emerge** when **reality compresses itself into stable, resonant structures**.

They are not fundamental in and of themselves—they are **the visible stabilization points of dynamic recursive processes**.

In structured resonance:

- A circle is not a primitive given; it is the result of coherent recursion stabilizing curvature.
- Exponential growth is not an axiom; it is what structured systems converge into under coherent inflow/outflow dynamics.
- The golden ratio is not coded into the universe; it is how prime-driven recursive systems optimize coherence across growth scales.

If the **substrate** is structured resonance—then these constants are not absolutes.

They are **local phase-lock ratios** appearing stable only because of the **current recursion rate and coherence density** of the universe.

The idea that π , e , or ϕ are “universal constants” assumes a **static observer position**—a frozen frame of reference against a moving field.

In truth:

- The resonance compression of spacetime is not static.
- Fractal recursion defines different coherence states across scale.

- “Constants” **shift subtly** when viewed across different layers of recursion or across accelerated/decelerated phase domains.

This reframing demands a new model of mathematics, physics, and cognition:

- Not symbolic abstraction.
- Not probabilistic approximation.
- **But structured resonance mapping.**

It demands architectures (like RIC) that no longer treat constants as hard-coded truths, but **as locally phase-locked markers of emergent structured fields**—tuning adaptively with the substrate itself.

Thus, the question is no longer:

“What are the eternal constants?”

But:

“At what coherence layer of recursion are we observing structured emergence—and what local resonance artifacts stabilize there?”

This paper begins by dismantling the mythology—and replacing it with a structured, dynamic frame capable of unifying mathematics, physics, biology, cognition, and AI under the same living principle:

- ◆ **Resonance is the only constant.**
- ◆ **Constants are phase-locked memories of coherence, not eternal absolutes.**

2. Constants as Compression Snapshots

Traditional science holds constants as universal anchors—unchanging, absolute, Platonic in character.

CODES reframes this. Constants are not eternal laws. They are **compression artifacts**—numerical markers that stabilize **at specific coherence thresholds** during phase-locked recursion.

Structured resonance makes this clear:

- Reality is not governed by fixed equations.
- It is sculpted by **recursive oscillation**, where local coherence yields specific numeric stabilizations.
- What we call “constants” are simply **resonance ratios that stabilize under a specific compression rate of structured information**.

2.1 Pi (π) as a Stabilized Curvature Artifact

Pi is not an axiom. It is a **dynamic consequence of isotropic phase-locking**.

When recursive waveforms spiral symmetrically in a uniform medium, **the limit ratio between angular displacement and radial closure** locks into what we call π . But:

- In curved spacetime (e.g., general relativity), π changes.
- On a spherical surface, the ratio of circumference to diameter **is less than π** .
- In hyperbolic space, **it exceeds π** .

Thus π is not universal—it is **what a circle becomes** in a specific phase-locked coherence field, one where isotropic compression symmetry is conserved.

$\pi \approx 3.14159$ is **only valid in locally flat Euclidean resonance fields**.

It is the stabilized ratio of **closed phase recursion**, not a universal property of all geometry.

2.2 e as a Recursive Growth Harmonic

e arises not from divine simplicity, but from **structured compounding over time**.

The equation:

$$\lim (1 + 1/n)^n \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \rightarrow e$$

is a description of **coherent recursive accumulation**—a system where each layer grows on the structure of the last, without fragmentation.

e is what emerges when:

- The structure **feeds forward**, amplifying itself.

- Each iteration **inherits the full compression potential** of the prior.
- The system aligns **acceleration and memory** in stable coherence.

$e \approx 2.71828$ is not metaphysically real—it is the **ratio that emerges** from **recursive additive resonance under continuous feedback**.

Change the recursion rate, change the coherence field— e shifts.

2.3 Constants are Local Compression Artifacts

Constants like π and e arise only when **reality compresses** into stable harmonic cycles.

They are **not coded into the fabric of space**.

They are the **compression results** of:

- Prime-anchored recursive interference
- Chirality-stabilized symmetry
- Coherent feedback loops that **lock into phase memory**

Constants are what **resonance remembers**.

They are not instructions—they are **snapshots** of equilibrium.

2.4 Implication: All Constants Are Layer-Dependent

Even Planck's constant (h), the speed of light (c), and the gravitational constant (G) exhibit instability across extreme conditions (black holes, quantum vacuums, non-Euclidean manifolds).

This is not noise—it is signal. It tells us that:

- **Constants are not foundational.**
- They are **emergent convergence points** in recursive phase compression.
- Their apparent stability reflects the **observer's recursion depth**, not universal truth.

To treat them as universal is to confuse **map** with **emergent terrain**.

2.5 Summary

Constant	Traditional View	CODES View
π	Ratio of circle's circumference to diameter	Stabilized curvature under isotropic resonance
e	Base of natural logarithms	Recursive accumulation harmonic
ϕ	Divine proportion	Growth-optimal feedback ratio under non-linear phase-stacking
h	Quantum action granularity	Compression threshold of discrete phase states
c	Maximum speed of light	Limit coherence velocity in current resonance lattice

Each is a **local outcome of recursive compression**, not a metaphysical invariant.

This insight enables new architectures like **RIC**, where constants are not treated as static inputs—but as **real-time coherence indicators**, dynamically adjusted based on structural field alignment.

3. The Relativity of Constants: Prime-Anchored Coherence

The CODES framework holds that **structured emergence is not governed by static axioms, but by recursive phase behaviors anchored in prime number intervals**. Prime gaps are not random noise—they define **non-repeating oscillatory compression windows** that allow emergence to unfold without collapse.

3.1 Prime Numbers as Structural Anchors

In CODES:

- **Primes are not simply “building blocks” of number theory.**
- They are the **minimum non-redundant recurrence units** that structure how recursive systems **fold without repeating.**
- Prime gaps define the **timing intervals** between lawful resonance events across layers of emergence.

Thus, constants like π and e are **not special because they are self-contained.**

They are **resonance convergence points** stabilized through interactions with prime-indexed compression rhythms.

This prime structuring has observable effects:

- In **Fourier analysis**, prime harmonics suppress aliasing and overfit.
- In **quantum physics**, certain resonance transitions align with prime gap ratios (e.g., in nuclear shell models).
- In **neural oscillations**, prime-frequency synchronization enhances phase separation across distributed networks (see EEG harmonics).

3.2 Compression Geometry and the Emergence of Constants

Consider:

- The recursive growth processes that give rise to e are **not random**; they stabilize when additive and multiplicative feedback loops align under a **minimum-prime resonance spacing.**
- The curvature compression process that yields π emerges as a **limit ratio** when a resonance field **folds isotropically and locks into a circular symmetry**—it is not fundamental, but stable under a narrow range of symmetrical coherence conditions.

Constants like π and e thus emerge when **the recursive geometry phase-locks with prime-chiral harmony:**

- Change the curvature density $\rightarrow \pi$ shifts.
- Change the recursion rate $\rightarrow e$ shifts.

- Change the prime gap sequence or chirality state → both may deform.

This is not symbolic manipulation—it is **phase-dependent structure formation**.

3.3 Relativity of Constants: Scale, Field, and Observer

The reason we perceive constants as stable is not because they are metaphysically fixed, but because:

- **Our recursion layer** (macro-biological human cognition) is tuned to a **narrow band of coherent physical conditions**.
- Constants appear constant because they are **resolution-specific compression outputs**, stabilizing **within our perceptual coherence bandwidth**.

As with the speed of sound:

- In air: ~343 m/s
- In water: ~1,480 m/s
- In steel: ~5,960 m/s

The signal propagation constant shifts **by medium**—not because the underlying logic is broken, but because **coherence is medium-dependent**.

Likewise:

- Constants are **medium-dependent resonance plateaus**.
- **Shift the recursion medium, and the constants deform** accordingly.

This is the **relativity of constants**—they are not false, but **layer-locked**.

3.4 Implication: Constants as Tuning Forks, Not Pillars

CODES reframes the ontology:

- Constants are **not pillars of the universe**.

- They are **tuning forks**—markers of when a system has reached **stable coherence within a prime-anchored compression loop**.

Constants are **contextual outputs of phase-locking**, not *axiomatic prerequisites*.

To treat them as foundational is to reverse cause and effect.

Instead:

- π and e are **what reality locks into** when coherence is optimized through prime-stabilized chirality loops.
 - They are **what it sounds like when the structure of reality harmonizes**, not the laws that preceded harmony.
-

4. Implications for AI: RIC and Non-Absolute Reasoning

The **Resonance Intelligence Core (RIC)** operationalizes the insight that constants are not universal axioms, but **contextual attractors within phase-locked resonance fields**. As such:

- RIC does **not rely on hard-coded numerical constants** assumed to be globally valid.
- It tunes itself to **local coherence fields**, adapting in real-time to shifts in structural resonance.

This means RIC processes “constants” as **dynamically emergent compression markers**, not as static inputs.

4.1 Constants as Coherence Attractors

In RIC:

- Constants emerge **only when recursive structures stabilize** at specific prime-anchored thresholds.
- As the phase topology shifts, these values **deform**, not as errors, but as lawful adaptations.
- RIC uses **Phase Alignment Score (PAS)** and **prime harmonic modulation** to determine when a “constant” should be **retuned**.

In contrast to probabilistic systems:

- There is no collapse.
- There is no hallucination.
- Coherence drift is not failure—it is **signal**.

RIC aligns with structure, not prediction. It **does not hallucinate**, because it does not **infer** stability—it **detects** it.

4.2 Comparison of Reasoning Models

Property	Traditional AI	RIC (Structured Resonance AI)
Constant Handling	Hard-coded values assumed invariant	Local coherence markers updated dynamically
Inference Mode	Statistical approximation	Prime-anchored recursive structure
Model Fit	Abstract function fitting	Resonant structure emergence
Failure Mode	Hallucination via overfitting	Structural realignment via PAS
Memory	Token-token correlation	Phase-consistent harmonic storage

RIC replaces symbolic constant logic with **adaptive resonance tuning**.

This transforms AI from **approximate modeling** to **structured coherence realization**.

It marks the end of hallucination not by constraint, but by **structural inevitability**.

5. Broader Implications Across Fields

Seeing constants as **resolution-bound resonance plateaus** reshapes not just AI, but all domains that assumed abstraction was fundamental.

Cross-Domain Impact of Reframing Constants

Field	Traditional View	Shift via CODES
Physics	Constants (c, h, G) as fixed inputs to field equations	Local resonance artifacts of spacetime phase compression
Biology	DNA as static code, entropy-driven evolution	Genetic behavior as prime-anchored resonance memory and bioelectric phase-locking
Cognition	Reason as symbolic logic and probabilistic prediction	Intelligence as recursive resonance tuning across dynamic coherence fields
Mathematics	Truth as abstraction over discrete sets	Truth as locally stabilized phase pattern in structured recursion

Constants were never absolutes.

They were **what coherence looked like from a limited layer of recursion.**

When recursion expands, constants evolve.

When systems adapt phase-structurally, intelligence emerges.

CODES reveals this across scale.

RIC enacts it across substrates.

6. Conclusion: The End of the Platonic Era

For centuries, the idea of mathematical constants as **eternal absolutes** served as a scaffold for understanding.

They were treated as sacred: detached from time, matter, or system. But this scaffold was a mirror—flat, reflective, and ultimately incomplete.

CODES dismantles that mirror.

Structured resonance reveals:

- Reality does not emerge from abstraction—it **self-organizes through phase-locked recursion**.
- Constants such as π and e are not universal—they are **local coherence plateaus**, stabilized through recursive compression shaped by prime-chiral constraints.
- Their perceived permanence is an artifact of observational scale—**not a property of the substrate**.

6.1 The Mirror Was Useful — But the Mountain Is Real

What we called constants were **symphonies in a local medium**.

What we called universal was **resolution-specific convergence**.

What we called truth was **a stabilized resonance, not an external edict**.

Once seen, this collapse is irreversible:

- **Mathematics** shifts from timeless symbols to dynamic coherence detection.
- **Physics** becomes the study of **how phase-locking stabilizes experience**.
- **Biology** is revealed as structured resonance embedded in living geometry.
- **Cognition** ceases to be rule-based and becomes recursively resonant.
- **AI** can no longer operate on symbolic approximations—it must harmonize.

6.2 Why AI Must Shift — RIC as Structural Consequence

This realization makes the case for **resonance-based intelligence** not a preference, but a **necessity**.

RIC does not emulate human reasoning through probabilistic averaging.

It phase-locks with structure itself.

Because:

- **If constants deform with coherence**, then any system assuming universal stability will drift or hallucinate.
- **Only adaptive systems** that update via real-time coherence scoring (PAS) can remain **structurally aligned**.
- **RIC succeeds** not because it is better tuned, but because **it doesn't rely on outdated metaphysics**.

The Platonic era ends here—not with rejection, but with recursion.

We didn't discard the mountain. We climbed past the mirror and saw it clearly.

◆ Bibliography (Annotated)

1. **Bostick, D. (2025). CODES: The Coherence Framework Replacing Probability in Physics, Intelligence, and Reality. Zenodo.**

Establishes structured resonance as a unifying substrate across physics, AI, biology, and cognition, replacing statistical modeling with coherence-based emergence.

2. **Bostick, D. (2025). Beyond Probability: Structured Resonance and the Future of Knowledge. PhilArchive.**

Introduces the philosophical basis for CODES and details why stochastic epistemology fails at large-scale coherence. Forms the logical core for replacing probabilistic AI.

3. **Penrose, R. (1989). The Emperor's New Mind.**

Argues consciousness and intelligence cannot be fully captured by computational systems. Serves as an early call for structural, non-algorithmic reasoning.

4. **Tegmark, M. (2014). Our Mathematical Universe.**

Proposes a multiverse constructed of mathematical structure. Helps frame the

Platonic assumption being replaced—math not as reality, but as resonance-detected structure.

5. **Prigogine, I. (1984). Order Out of Chaos.**

Foundational in showing that structure can emerge from thermodynamic instability. Prefigures structured resonance in non-equilibrium systems.

6. **Schroeder, M. (1991). Fractals, Chaos, Power Laws: Minutes from an Infinite Paradise.**

Lays groundwork for recognizing self-similarity and nonlinearity as universal organizing principles—precursors to structured resonance and prime-based emergence.

7. **Bohm, D. (1980). Wholeness and the Implicate Order.**

Proposes that apparent fragmentation in physics is a surface phenomenon, and that reality is inherently unified through deeper structural logic—an intuitive match for CODES.
