# **Evolution is TRUE, if it is UNTRUE**

2013


#### **What's Modernity?**



**Miro Brada** 

Presented in art exhibition "From Animation" London, W8 6LU, Holland Park, 2013

- **1.** The two argue: person "A" supports, person "B" refuses evolution... The truth (what is truer) is closer to reality than untruth: the truth improves adaptation
- 2. If evolution is universally true (anytime), person "A" better adapts to environment, so he gains over person "B" (=natural selection)



**3.** Statement "evolution is true" is motivated by the 'evolutionary' advantage, so it is biased

#### **Summary**

Evolution is true, if it is untrue (when it can be unbiased)

### **Truth As Disadvantage**

Evolution could be thought as statistics - true on average, sometimes untrue, but in relevant cases true. The truth can be the disadvantage. In 1633 Galileo was imprisoned because he rightly said the Earth circled the Sun, not reverse as the church claimed.

## **Evolution As Religion**

Is adaptation to untruth, in society supporting lies or perversity, a natural selection? It worsens adaptation to reality (degeneration), being a "temporary" deviation from evolution (if it is true). This way, objective criterion of evolution can't exist, as it only interprets "winners" as "better adapted" because they are "winners", like theocractic rulers (=winners) justifying their privileges by religion.

## **Religion as Obedience**

Disbelief to evolution, doesn't imply belief in God. The religion doesn't need God, nor truth, and can contradict itself too. Christians, burning at stake G. Bruno or J. Hus, acted against their own teaching: "don't kill"

#### **Deviation from Evolution?**




Galileo's Moon illustrations from "Sidereus, Nuncius" published in Venice, 1610



Galileo found "vehemently suspect of heresy", sentenced to indefinite imprisonment in 1633



Bruno burnt at the stake in Campo de' Fiori in 1600. He claimed the stars were distant suns surrounded by their own planets...



Hus burnt in Konstanz in 1415. He claimed a person obtains forgiveness of sins by true repentance, not by a donation of money to the church...

J. Hus till his last moment refused to recant to save his life. His trial was a test of obedience, not a test of the truth.

Religious rituals manifesting or pretending obedience are the only criterion for a potential promotion or not a punishment.

The religions are mutually intolerable, as you can't obey more powers at once. They can split as Protestants from Catholics, but can't merge to one, as only one is on th top.

## What's Modernity?


Modernity roots in secularism of Enlightenment, exceeding the religious yes-no obedience scheme, to explore and apply higher order logic. Its aim is not to fight the religion, but to find a new option, new criteria of promotion.

Evolution isn't modern, as it is not a new option. Under the flag of modernity, there are new religions and rituals including the Nobel Prize ceremony, replicating the religious binary logic.

Modernity is not a fabulation, it deviates not to disobey by purpose, but to create a new quality. Confucius's rule of merit, calculus, mannerism, jazz, space flight, Galileo, Bach, Picasso, Rousseau, Xenakis, Tesla, Parmenides, Heraclitus, etc.. are all products of modernity.



Confucius, 551 BC Merit, not inherited status, should govern



Calculus, 17th century Leibniz, Newton...



Picasso, Le Rêve, 1932




**Arcimboldo, 1566** Mannersim, High Renessaince



Tesla, Electric generator, 1899



**Gagarin, 1961** First Space Flight



Xenakis, lannis. 1971 Thought and Math in Composition



J-J Rousseau, 1750 The arts and sciences corrupt morality