On Atonement

Subhasis Chattopadhyay

While Ian McEwan (b.1948) does not believe in God¹, he like Thomas hardy before him, believes in using themes from the Bible to foreground his novel. *Atonement* functions at three distinct levels: it echoes Yom Kippur, the Atonement of Christ for our sins

¹ In *Saturday* (2003), for instance, McEwan writes this; a neurosurgeon mediates on the absurdity of the existence of anything numinous:

And if there are to be deaths, the very god who ordained them will soon be funereally petitioned for comfort. [Dr.] Perowne regards this as a matter for wonder, a human complication beyond the reach of morals. From it there spring, alongside the unreason and slaughter, decent people and good deeds, beautiful cathedrals, mosques, cantatas, poetry. Even the denial of God, he was once amazed and indignant to hear a priest argue, is a spiritual exercise, a form of prayer: it's not easy to escape from the clutches of the believers. (*Saturday* 18)

Earlier in *Saturday*, Dr. Perowne views the human person as just a machine walking around, devoid of any soul, or *pneuma*:

In the lifeless cold, they [us humans] pass through the night, hot little biological engines with bipedal skills suited to any terrain, endowed with innumerable branching neural networks sunk deep in a knob of bone casing, buried fibres, warm filaments with their invisible glow of consciousness - these engines devise their own tracks. (*Saturday* 13)

and finally Briony's atonement through her imagination. Thomas Hardy (1840-1928) too used Biblical themes in his novels to critique Christianity in favour of Darwinism. One example will suffice. In *Far from the Madding Crowd* (1874), Hardy names his main character, or proto-agonist, as Gabriel Oak. Gabriel, as we all know, is an archangel within the Christian cosmos. Yet, in the same novel, Hardy compares Oak to Satan². This ambiguity too marks *Atonement*. Thus, it is only right that we do not categorise *Atonement* as a postmodern novel just because it has postmodern elements within it. The genius of Ian McEwan lies in his using the genre of the novel to create an illusion of chronicity while at the same time, incorporating flashback techniques. This is the same narrative strategy used by Virginia Woolf (1882-1941) in her *Mrs Dalloway* (1925).

Yom Kippur is about catharsis. In McEwan's fictional universe, Briony purges from her being the scourge of her perceived lies. But we are never sure whether she lies in the first place. As Elizabeth Loftus (b.1944) shows in her clinical work with children³, we all tend to invent the past. So Briony being an unreliable narrator, might be telling the truth as

² The place contained two women and two cows. By the side of the latter a steaming branmash stood in a bucket. One of the women was past middle age. Her companion [Bathsheba Everdene, again, Bathsheba is from the Hebrew Scriptures] was apparently young and graceful; he [Gabriel Oak] could form no decided opinion upon her looks, her position being almost beneath his eye, so that he saw her in a bird's-eye view, as Milton's Satan first saw Paradise. She wore no bonnet or hat, but had enveloped herself in a large cloak, which was carelessly flung over her head as a covering. (*Far from the Madding Crowd*, free public domain edition, accessed on an electronic device)

³ See Loftus's Witness for the Defense; The Accused, the Eyewitness, and the Expert Who Puts Memory on Trial (1991)

she understands her world. McEwan, like Fyodor Dostoevsky (1821-1881) in his *Crime and Punishment* (1866), elides all damning judgements when it came to the murderer Raskolnikov . Thus the catharsis enacted by Briony in echoing Yom Kippur, may be, just may be, a negation of memory. Yom Kippur is all about memory and the remembrance of sins past; Briony to begin with, never sinned. On the other hand, those who are indeed wrong-doers, in a Kantian sense, Lola and her husband, Paul Marshall, never repent for their absolute wrong-doing in framing Robbie Turner. Thus, McEwan attacks and negates the Yom Kippur of Judaism in *Atonement*.

It is a given within Christianity, that Jesus, the Christ, sacrificed Himself for the sins of mankind. It is a different issue that René Girard (1923-2015) sees this sacrifice as a metaphoric rite derived from Northrop Frye's (1912-1991) understanding of the Bible as the greatest code on earth⁴. McEwan, being a nihilist does not agree with any transcendence at all. He, unlike even Girard, does not believe in any redemption for, within the Darwinian world of McEwan, sins do not occur. Everything is seen through a haze. In this, McEwan is most near Joseph Conrad (1896-1924) and before Conrad, Charles Dickens(1812-1870). In Conrad's novels, there is a moral ambiguity which we find in McEwan. For instance, in Conrad's *Heart of Darkness* (1889), Marlow, postures as the Buddha, while being far from Gautama, the Buddha. In Dickens's *Bleak House* (1853), we have a noxious smoke rising out of the Thames and engulfing all of London. It is this ambiguity generated by the chiaroscuro of sin being a hermeneutical error, that we have McEwan representing the libido. The longings of the flesh, the Pauline *sarx*, is not only ratified by McEwan but also ironically shown, as being proper to the state of being human. Thus, no atonement for sin is really necessary.

⁴ See Frye's The Great Code: The Bible and Literature

This novel is a novel about narration. Briony's narration, by her own account is false. We get to know that at the end of *Atonement*. Thus, even the dasein which within high art is constructed by the imagination, so well mapped by Coleridge⁵ (1795-1808), does not admit of any atonement. In McEwan's universe there is a very ambiguous approach to phenomenology. We are left wondering whether the external world is only too real or it simply does not exist. This is the key to studying *Atonement*. We never know which reading tactic works and, which does not.

This paper is for electronic use and only has a few points on *Atonement*. This is being made available in the public domain for use of students during the ongoing pandemic of COVID 19. No part of this paper is plagiarised and all references to this paper needs proper citation.

⁵ See his *Biographia Literaria* (1817)