Strengthening Blue Carbon Science for Reliable Climate Solutions

Chích Hai Vạch

17-04-2025

"There must be a plan of action because delaying will be dangerous. Kingfisher is unsure if he is too worried, but every time he counts the fish in the pond, the number of fish seems to decrease. The hot and stressful weather also makes his feathers molt and grow slower. The situation seems life-threatening!"

In "GHG Emissions"; *Wild Wise Weird* [1]

• • • • •

As the climate crisis intensifies, coastal ecosystems—such as mangroves, tidal marshes, and seagrass meadows—are gaining recognition for their role in sequestering “blue carbon” (BC), or biologically derived carbon stored in marine habitats. These environments trap substantial quantities of organic carbon (OC) in their biomass and soils, offering critical contributions to climate mitigation [2,3]. However, the rapid growth of BC research has led to methodological inconsistencies, making it difficult to compare studies and undermining the accuracy of carbon assessments used in climate policy and finance.

In a recent synthesis, Dahl et al. [4] identify key methodological challenges and propose 14 recommendations to improve the consistency, reliability, and policy relevance of BC science. The authors emphasize that discrepancies in research techniques can result in up to tenfold differences in BC stock estimates. These uncertainties arise from factors such as soil core compression during sampling, inadequate treatment of inorganic carbon, and the failure to distinguish between carbon originating within the habitat (autochthonous) versus carbon transported from elsewhere (allochthonous) [5].

Additionally, many assessments overlook other greenhouse gases—methane (CH_4) and nitrous oxide (N_2O)—which can significantly offset the net climate benefit of blue carbon ecosystems. The authors advocate for more robust measurement practices, including the use of site-specific data, improved sampling protocols, and integration of lateral and vertical carbon fluxes. They also stress the need to align scientific efforts with policy requirements for monitoring, reporting, and verification (MRV), which are essential for integrating BC projects into national climate commitments and voluntary carbon markets.

Beyond technical rigor, the study underscores the importance of ethical and inclusive practices. Collaborating with local researchers and communities not only enhances data quality and project legitimacy but also ensures that the co-benefits of BC projects—such as biodiversity, livelihood support, and climate adaptation—are equitably shared.

Ultimately, refining blue carbon science is not merely a technical endeavor but a moral imperative. By standardizing practices and embracing interdisciplinary, community-centered approaches, we can more accurately quantify and enhance the climate mitigation potential of these ecosystems—fostering a more resilient and just relationship between people and the planet.

References

[1] Vuong QH. (2024). *Wild Wise Weird*. https://www.amazon.com/dp/B0BG2NNHY6/

[2] Costa MD, et al. (2022). The evolution of blue carbon science. *Wetlands*, 42, 109. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13157-022-01628-5

[3] Santos IR, et al. (2021). The renaissance of Odum's outwelling hypothesis in 'Blue Carbon' science. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science,* 255, 107361. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2021.107361

[4] Dahl M, et al. (2025). Recommendations for strengthening blue carbon science. *One Earth*, 8, 101175. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oneear.2025.101175

[5] Macreadie PI, et al. (2021). Blue carbon as a natural climate solution. *Nature Reviews Earth & Environment*, 2, 826-839. https://www.nature.com/articles/s43017-021-00224-1

[6] Ho MT, Nguyen DH. (2025). Of Kingfisher and Man. https://philarchive.org/rec/HOOKAW

[7] Nguyen MH. (2024). How can satirical fables offer us a vision for sustainability? *Visions for Sustainability*. https://ojs.unito.it/index.php/visions/article/view/11267