ADAPTIVE REUSE

THEORETICAL GLOSSARY AND DESIGN LABS

edited by
ANDREA CRUDELI

with essays by
EDUARDO SOUTO DE MOURA - ALBERTO VEIGA - MERITXELL INARAJA - GIOVANNI TORTELLI - MARCELLO BONDAVALLI, NICOLA BRENNA, CARLO ALBERTO TAGLIABUE - EDOARDO MILESI - FILIPE MAGALHÃES, ANA LUISA SOARES - PAOLO BERTONCINI SABATINI - FRANCESCA MOLLE - ALBERTO CERVESATO - FRANCESCO CHIACCHIERA - GIUSEPPE RESTA - ANDREEA MIHAELA CHIRCA - TOBIAS RABOLD - SIMONA BELMONDO - ELIANA SARACINO - SERENA BAIANI, PAOLA ALTAMURA, GAIA TURCHETTI - LUCA ZECCHIN - MANUELA CIANGOLA, FRANCESCO MASIELLO - VITTORIA SILVAGGI - ARTEM KITAEV - RICARDO TRIÃES, ANDREIA NOGUEIRA, ÂNIA CHASQUEIRA - EDUARDO CILLERUELO TERAN - MARCUS VAN DER MEULEN - CHRYSOSTOMOS THEODOROPOULOS - STEFANIE LEONTIADIS - DAVIDE LUCIA - ANA MORCILLO PALLARES, JULIA MCMORROUGH - EMANUELE CARRAI - IRENE RUIZ BAZÁN - - KATHARINA PASCHBURG - ANNA LAURA PETRACCI - PATRIZIO MARTINELLI - ERIKA BRANDL - EDUARDO DELGADO ORUSCO - LAURA MUCCIOLO - ANDREA LONGHI - GRAZIELLA TROVATO - LUCA TESEI - ESTEFANÍA MARTÍN - LUCY BENJAMIN - NIKOLAAS VANDE KEERE - JOANA RAFAEL - PAOLO BONVINI - ALEKSANDR MERGOLD - GIANLUCA CROCE - ASMA MEHAN, JESSICA STUCKEMEYER, SINA MOSTAFAVI

STH Press

Scientific Committee.
The book compiles three different scientific committees.

2021
The ghost town of Castelvecchio.
San Gimignano.

Scientific Director
Andrea Crudeli
The University of Pisa

Scientific Committee and Teaching Staff
Alberto Veiga
Barozzi/Veiga
Meritxell Inaraja
Meritxell Inaraja Arquitectura
Marco Casamonti
The University of Genova
Maurizio De Vita
The University of Florence
Marco Giorgio Bevilacqua
The University of Pisa
Paolo Bertoncini Sabatini
The University of Pisa
Giovanni Tortelli
Tortelli/Frassoni Associati
Davide Lucia
filoferro architetti
Andrea Pazzaglia
Studio Totale
Andrea Crudeli
The University of Pisa

Workshops manager
Emanuele Carrai

Cover image
collage by Francesa Molle

2022
The castle of Montemassi.
Montemassi.

Scientific Director
Andrea Crudeli
The University of Pisa

Scientific Committee and Teaching Staff
Max Dudler and Thomas Spranger
Max Dudler Architects
Meritxell Inaraja
Meritxell Inaraja Architects
Filipe Magalhães, Ana Luisa Soares
FALA Atelier
Maurizio De Vita
The University of Florence
Charles Enrich
Carles Enrich Architects
Edoardo Milesi
Archos
Alessandro Merlo
The University of Florence
Marcello Bondavalli, Nicola Brenna
studio wok
Andreea Mihaela Chircă
Ion Mincu University of Bucharest
Davide Lucia
filoferro architetti
Andrea Pazzaglia
Studio Totale
Mirko Romagnoli
The University of Florence
Federica Sofia Zambeletti
Koozarch
Andrea Crudeli
The University of Pisa

2023
The church of Santa Vittoria.
Sarteano.

Scientific Director
Andrea Crudeli
The University of Pisa

Scientific Committee and Teaching Staff
Eduardo Souto De Moura
Eduardo Souto De Moura Architects
Xavier Ros Majó
Harquitectes
Filipe Magalhães, Ana Luisa Soares
FALA Atelier
Maurizio De Vita
The University of Florence
Amelia Tavella
Amelia Tavella Architects
Marcello Bondavalli, Nicola Brenna
studio wok
Andreea Mihaela Chircă
Ion Mincu University of Bucharest
Davide Lucia
filoferro architetti
Andrea Pazzaglia
Studio Totale
Mirko Romagnoli
The University of Florence
Ludovica Gregori
The University of Florence
Federica Sofia Zambeletti
Koozarch
Andrea Crudeli
The University of Pisa

STH Press
STH srl Benefit Corporation. Via del Mezzetta 2P, Florence, 50135, Italy. VAT 07043300487 - REA FI 675394

ISBN - 9791281661028

1st edition. Printed in Florence, 2024
Essays in this book have been peer-reviewed

Activieties of this book have been supported by

Comune di Firenze
Comune di Sarteano
Gruppo Storico Castelvecchio
Comune di Roccastrada

Partnerships

Artex
Firenze Fiera
Cinema La Compagnia
Museo Marino Marini

DESIGN LABS

THE GHOST TOWN OF CASTELVECCHIO IN SAN GIMIGNANO

THE CASTLE OF MONTEMASSI

THE SANTA VITTORIA CHURCH IN SARTEANO

Transition

Asma Mehan
Huckabee College of Architecture, Texas Tech University, Texas, USA

Sina Mostafavi
Huckabee College of Architecture, Texas Tech University, Texas, USA

Adaptive reuse embodies a significant transition in the evolution of urban landscapes, representing a paradigm shift from industrial or obsolete uses to vibrant, sustainable, and community-centric functions. This transformative process, deeply rooted in the principles of sustainable urban development, emphasizes the conservation of architectural heritage, environmental sustainability, and socio-economic revitalization.[1] At the heart of adaptive reuse is the concept of sustainability, which challenges traditional notions of development and conservation by repurposing existing structures, thereby conserving resources, and reducing the carbon footprint associated with new constructions. These initiatives align with broader sustainability goals, such as those outlined in the 2030 United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals (UN SDGs), particularly those related to sustainable cities and communities, responsible consumption and production, and climate action.[2] Furthermore, by revitalizing underutilized or derelict spaces, adaptive reuse projects can stimulate local economies, create jobs, enhance property values, and serve as catalysts for cultural renewal, offering spaces for artistic expression, community gatherings, and the preservation of historical narratives.[3]

From an environmental perspective, adaptive reuse contributes to urban resilience and sustainability. By optimizing the use of existing buildings, these projects minimize waste and demand for new materials, aligning with ecological conservation efforts.[4] Additionally, adaptive reuse can incorporate green design principles, such as energy efficiency and renewable energy use, further enhancing the environmental benefits of these transitions.[5]

Despite its advantages, adaptive reuse faces challenges, including regulatory hurdles, financial constraints, and technical issues related to the rehabilitation of old buildings. It's important to acknowledge these obstacles, emphasizing the importance of supportive policies, innovative financing models, and interdisciplinary collaboration in overcoming them.[6] Success stories of adaptive reuse often involve a combination of public and private efforts, showcasing the potential for creative solutions to catalyze urban transformation.[7]

Adaptive reuse signifies a pivotal transformation in urban development, tran-

sitioning obsolete or industrial spaces into vibrant, sustainable, and community-focused environments.[8] This transformative process is grounded in the principles of sustainable urban development, advocating for the conservation of architectural heritage, environmental sustainability, and socio-economic revitalization. It challenges traditional development and conservation approaches by repurposing existing structures to conserve resources and minimize the carbon footprint associated with new constructions.[9] These initiatives align with broader sustainability goals, including the United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals, particularly those related to sustainable cities and communities, responsible consumption and production, and climate action.[10] In concluding, the discourse on "transition" within the domain of adaptive reuse and urban development engages with a complex lexicon that transcends mere physical transformation. It delves into the systemic and paradigmatic shifts necessary for fostering resilient, inclusive, and environmentally sustainable urban landscapes. Through adaptive reuse, transition emerges not only as a narrative of spatial reconfiguration but as a critical reflection on the evolving dynamics between society and its constructed environments, advocating for a holistic reevaluation of urban development strategies.[11]

The progression from obsolete or industrially utilized spaces to vibrant community-centric locales embodies a significant departure from conventional development paradigms. This shift, emblematic of a broader movement towards a circular economy, prioritizes the conservation of cultural heritage, the optimization of resource use, and the promotion of social equity.[12] Consequently, the notion of transition in the context of adaptive reuse aligns with global sustainability frameworks, such as the 2030 UN SDGs highlighting its relevance in contemporary urban policy discourse.[13]

Furthermore, this discourse underscores the adaptive capacity of urban systems to navigate the exigencies of socio-economic, environmental, and cultural change. It accentuates the necessity for innovative governance models, interdisciplinary collaboration, and participatory design processes in overcoming the inherent challenges of urban regeneration. The conceptualization of transition, therefore, extends beyond the mere physicality of space, encompassing a transformative approach to urban planning that integrates ecological conservation, socio-economic revitalization, and cultural preservation.[14]

Emerging technologies play a pivotal role in the process of transitions and adaptive reuse, offering innovative tools and methodologies that significantly enhance the efficiency, sustainability, and creativity of urban redevelopment projects. Leaders in the field of architectural humanities and urbanism, and hybrid intelligence design and architectural robotics, exemplify how cutting-edge

technological advancements, such as artificial intelligence, digital fabrication, and robotic construction, can facilitate the adaptive reuse of buildings and spaces, making the transition towards sustainable urban environments more feasible and impactful.[15]

These technologies enable architects and urban planners to analyze and reimagine spaces with unprecedented precision and creativity. For instance, digital simulation and modeling tools allow for the detailed assessment of a building's structural integrity, energy performance, and potential for adaptive reuse, before any physical intervention takes place. Moreover, AI-driven algorithms can optimize the design and construction processes, identifying the most sustainable and cost-effective approaches to repurposing existing structures. This not only aids in overcoming some of the traditional challenges associated with adaptive reuse, such as regulatory hurdles and technical limitations, but also opens new possibilities for innovative design and community engagement.

Furthermore, the incorporation of smart technologies and IoT (Internet of Things) into adaptive reuse projects can transform outdated buildings into smart, energy-efficient hubs that contribute to the well-being of their occupants and the surrounding community. These technologies facilitate the monitoring and management of building systems, ensuring optimal performance and comfort, while minimizing environmental impact. Additionally, robotic construction methods can be employed to carry out complex renovations with greater precision and flexibility, reducing waste and speeding up the construction timeline.[16]

In sum, the concept of transition emerges as an analytical framework through which we can reimagine the trajectory of urban development. This perspective encourages a progressive urban praxis that is attuned not only to the immediate challenges of our times but also proactively addresses the anticipated demands of future generations and the pressing needs of our planet. Adaptive reuse, as championed within this discourse, epitomizes a deep commitment to fostering urban environments that are sustainable, just, and culturally vibrant. This approach to urban redevelopment transcends traditional academic discourse, positioning itself as a compelling call to action. It urges a fundamental reevaluation and transformation of the guiding principles and practices governing urban evolution, in response to the rapidly changing global landscape. Through this lens, the narrative of transition in urban development becomes an imperative for innovative, inclusive, and sustainable urban planning, underscoring the urgency of adopting and integrating emerging technologies and methodologies to facilitate this transformative journey.

Notes

1. See Mehan and Stuckemeyer 2023a. See also: Mehan and Stuckemeyer 2023a.
2. See: Mehan and Abdul Razak 2022a. See also: Mehan and Abdul Razak 2022c.
3. See: Mehan and Mostafavi 2022.
4. See: Mehan 2020.
5. See: Mehan 2023c.
6. See: Mehan and Abdul Razak 2022b.
7. See: Mehan, Odour, and Mostafavi 2023.
8. See: Mehan 2023b.
9. See: Boodaghi et al. 2022. See also: Kozlowski et al. 2020.
10. See: Mehan 2023a.
11. See: Mehan 2019.
12. See: Mehan 2020.
13. See: Mehan 2023c.
14. See: Kruijer et al. 2024. Also see: Mehan 2024.
15. See: Tappert et al. 2024.
16. See: Mostafavi and Mehan 2023.