

Appendix

A Page Concordance for Unnumbered Remarks in Philosophical Investigations

William Day

There have been four editions of *Philosophical Investigations* published by Blackwell.¹ The first and second editions (published in 1953 and 1958, respectively) use identical paginations, the third edition (published in 2001) introduces a new pagination, and the most recent edition (2009) employs still another pagination. Since several remarks in Part I, and all of the remarks in Part II, of the *Investigations* are unnumbered in the first three editions, the difference in pagination creates a problem, to say the least, for anyone wanting to refer to these remarks, as one invariably does in discussions of aspect-seeing.

We have chosen to follow the practice of more than a half-century and use the pagination of the first two editions when referring to unnumbered remarks from the *Investigations*. We have also adopted the convention, employed by Stephen Mulhall and others, of adding a letter to indicate the position of a remark on a given page. Thus,

¹ The publication history of the *Investigations* in English translation is complicated: there have been two major publishers (Macmillan and Blackwell), German/English and English-only versions, and multiple reprintings. A further nuisance is that two distinct versions, published more than thirty years apart, both claim to be the third edition. (The first of these simply adds an index to the second edition.) However, if one considers only the revisions to G. E. M. Anscombe's translation, then one can speak of four distinct editions: those of 1953, 1958 (Anscombe's first revision), 2001 (the "50th Anniversary Commemorative Edition" incorporating Anscombe's final revisions), and 2009 (Anscombe's translation revised by P. M. S. Hacker and Joachim Schulte).

“193a” refers to the first remark on page 193, “193b” to the second remark, and so on.²

We wanted this volume’s citations to be useful, however, independent of which version of the *Investigations* one happens to have at hand, or which edition predominates in the years to come. We considered the practice of citing multiple editions in the body of the text, much as David Stern does in his Cambridge Introduction to the *Investigations*.³ But Stern in 2004 was working with two distinct paginations, not three, and the fat parentheticals that would result from citing three different editions struck us as unsightly. We settled on my constructing the following page concordance, which promises some additional utility beyond the context of the present volume.

The first section of the concordance lists the unnumbered remarks from Part I of the *Investigations*. These remarks, written on slips that Wittgenstein inserted in the pages of his typescript, appear in the first three editions at the foot of some pages, and in the fourth edition in separate boxes in the body of the text. The remainder of the concordance lists every remark from Part II, which the fourth edition renames *Philosophy of Psychology—A Fragment*. Entries are grouped by the section numbers (i, ii, iii, ... xiv) that appear in all four editions.

The fourth edition of *Philosophical Investigations*⁴ improves on the third by including the original page numbers from the first two

² In the first three editions, individual remarks in Part II of the *Investigations* are set off from one another by an additional line (i.e., a double space). But, since the third edition occasionally adds or subtracts lines between the paragraphs of the earlier editions, and since none of them indicates whether a paragraph starting at the top of a page is a new remark or a continuation of the previous remark, what counts as a separate remark differs among these editions. In preparing the concordance, I have followed, with two exceptions, Hacker and Schulte’s counting of the remarks in the fourth edition. (The exceptions are §9 and §205, remarks in which they fuse what appear as two separate remarks in every earlier edition.)

Two further conventions should be mentioned: (1) When one edition counts as a continuation of a remark what another counts as a separate remark, the concordance shows the remark indicator for the former repeated in parentheses. (2) In assigning letters to the remarks in the first three editions, the continuation of a remark onto the next page (whether it begins with a new sentence or not) is always numbered as the first (“a”) remark of that new page; thus the “b” remark is always the first remark preceded by a double space.

³ David G. Stern, *Wittgenstein’s Philosophical Investigations: An Introduction*, Cambridge Introductions to Key Philosophical Texts (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2004).

⁴ Ludwig Wittgenstein, *Philosophical Investigations*, ed. P. M. S. Hacker and Joachim Schulte, trans. G. E. M. Anscombe, P. M. S. Hacker, and Joachim Schulte, rev. 4th

editions, in small verticals, in the body of the text. More significantly, the fourth edition is the first to assign consecutive numbers to the remarks in Part II. These remark numbers appear in the concordance below as “PPF §n,” where n is the remark number given in *Philosophy of Psychology—A Fragment*. The numbers make it easy to refer to individual remarks in the later pages of the *Investigations*, including the remarks on aspect-seeing, so long as one sticks with the fourth edition. But, since that edition gives only page numbers for the first two editions – not the more refined numbering convention of page number plus position-indicating letter – cross-references between the fourth and the first two editions (not to mention between these and the third edition) would seem to benefit from a page concordance.

I have not included the German text in the concordance, since our primary aim here is to help readers in locating passages discussed in the chapters above when they turn to the more recent, third and fourth editions. Since each of these later editions includes the German on facing pages, one is able to check the original German once the corresponding English passage is found.

The text from *Philosophical Investigations* in the far left column of the concordance is from the second edition.

First words of remark	First (1953) and Second (1958) Editions	Third (2001) Edition	Fourth (2009) Edition
<i>PI I</i>			
Imagine a picture representing a boxer in a particular stance.	Bottom of page 11	Bottom of page 9	Box on page 14
Could one define the word “red” by pointing to something that was <i>not red</i> ?	Bottom of page 14	Bottom of page 12	Box on page 17

ed. (Oxford: Blackwell, 2009). This edition was scheduled for release as the present volume was going to press. A pre-publication, partial, online copy of the revised 4th edition was used in preparing the concordance, which was then checked against the published version during our volume’s page proofs stage.

(cont.)

First words of remark	First (1953) and Second (1958) Editions	Third (2001) Edition	Fourth (2009) Edition
<i>PII</i>			
What is it to <i>mean</i> the words “ <i>That is blue</i> ” at one time as a statement about the object...	Bottom of page 18	Bottom of page 16	Box on page 22
Someone says to me: “Shew the children a game.”	Bottom of page 33	Bottom of page 28	Box on page 38
Faraday in <i>The Chemical History of a Candle</i> : “Water is one individual thing—it never changes.”	Bottom of page 46	Bottom of page 39	Box on page 50
Must I <i>know</i> whether I understand a word?	Bottom of page 53	Bottom of page 46	Box on page 59
(a) “I believe the right word in this case is...”.	Bottom of page 54	Bottom of page 46	Box on page 60
What we have to mention in order to explain the significance, I mean the importance, of a concept, ...	Bottom of page 56	Bottom of page 48	Box on page 62
(a) “Understanding a word”: a state.	Bottom of page 59	Bottom of page 50	Box on page 65
The grammar of the expression “a quite particular” (atmosphere).	Bottom of page 66	Bottom of page 56	Box on page 72
(a) “The fact that three negatives yield a negative again	Bottom of page 147	Bottom of page 124	Box on page 155

PII.i

One can imagine	174a	148a	PPF §1
“Grief” describes a	174b	148b	PPF §2
“For a second	174c	148c	PPF §3
But don’t you	174d	148d	PPF §4
“I must tell	174e	148e	PPF §5
For think of	174f	148f	PPF §6

First words of remark	First (1953) and Second (1958) Editions	Third (2001) Edition	Fourth (2009) Edition
<i>PII.ii</i>			
In saying “When	175a	149a	PPF §7
If you were	175b	149b	PPF §8
The words “the	175c	149c	PPF §9
We take a	175d	149d	(PPF §9)
Experiencing a meaning	175e–176a	149e	PPF §10
Can one keep	176b	149f	PPF §11
“The whole scheme	176c	149g	PPF §12
I exclaimed “Now	176d	150a	PPF §13
If a meaning	176e	150b	PPF §14
If I say	176f	150c	PPF §15
When I say	176g	150d	PPF §16
<i>PII.iii</i>			
What makes my	177a	151a	PPF §17
Suppose, however, that	177b	151b	PPF §18
<i>PII.iv</i>			
“I believe that	178a	152a	PPF §19
Suppose I say	178b	152b	PPF §20
“I believe that	178c	152c	PPF §21
My attitude towards	178d	152d	PPF §22
Religion teaches that	178e	152e	PPF §23
If the picture	178f	152f	PPF §24
The human body	178g	152g	PPF §25
And how about	178h	152h	PPF §26
<i>PII.v</i>			
Suppose we were	179a	153a	PPF §27
Then psychology treats	179b	153b	PPF §28
“I noticed that	179c	153c	PPF §29
A doctor asks:	179d	153d	PPF §30
“But then they	179e	153e	PPF §31
I describe a	180a	(153e)	PPF §32

(cont.)

First words of remark	First (1953) and Second (1958) Editions	Third (2001) Edition	Fourth (2009) Edition
<i>PII.v</i>			
Doesn't a presupposition	180b	154a	PPF §33
It is like	180c	154b	PPF §34
<i>PII.vi</i>			
Suppose someone said:	181a	155a	PPF §35
How should we	181b	155b	PPF §36
The meaning of	181c	155c	PPF §37
Though—one would	181d	155d	PPF §38
Are you sure	181e–182a	155e	PPF §39
Suppose we found	182b	155f	PPF §40
One misjudges the	182c	156a	PPF §41
Does a person	182d	156b	PPF §42
The if-feeling is	182e	156c	PPF §43
The if-feeling would	182f	156d	PPF §44
But can this	182g	156e	PPF §45
Is it in	182h	156f	PPF §46
We say this	182i	156g	PPF §47
"I sing it	183a	(156g)	PPF §48
The experience is	183b	156h	PPF §49
Thus the atmosphere	183c	156i	PPF §50
Here is a	183d	156j	PPF §51
<i>PII.vii</i>			
People who on	184a	157a	PPF §52
Does this mean	184b	157b	PPF §53
"The mind seems	184c	157c	PPF §54
The evolution of	184d	157d	PPF §55
<i>PII.viii</i>			
"My kinaesthetic sensations	185a	158a	PPF §56
"But after all,	185b	158b	PPF §57
It is the	185c	158c	PPF §58

First words of remark	First (1953) and Second (1958) Editions	Third (2001) Edition	Fourth (2009) Edition
<i>PII.viii</i>			
A sensation <i>can</i>	185d	158d	PPF §59
<i>What</i> sense-impression? Well,	185f	158f	PPF §61
What I am	185g	158g	PPF §62
Let us leave	185h–186a	158h	PPF §63
I say “Do	186b	158i	PPF §64
<i>This</i> looks <i>so</i> ;	186c	159a	PPF §65
Our interest in	186d	159b	PPF §66
<i>PII.ix</i>			
If you observe	187a	160a	PPF §67
A touch which	187b	160b	PPF §68
When do we	187c	160c	PPF §69
If you trained	187d	160d	PPF §70
If I let	187e	160e	PPF §71
Are the words	187f	160f	PPF §72
I say “I	187g	160g	PPF §73
We can imagine	188a	(160g)	PPF §74
We ask “What	188b	161a	PPF §75
I can find	188c	161b	PPF §76
What is fear?	188d	161c	PPF §77
Could I also	188e	161d	PPF §78
Describing my state	188f	161e	PPF §79
And do I	188g	161f	PPF §80
When it is	189a	(161f)	PPF §81
But here is	189b	161g	PPF §82
A cry is	189c	161h	PPF §83
We surely do	189d	161i	PPF §84
But if “I	189e	161j	PPF §85
<i>PII.x</i>			
How did we	190a	162a	PPF §86
Moore’s paradox <i>can</i>	190b	162b	PPF §87

(cont.)

First words of remark	First (1953) and Second (1958) Editions	Third (2001) Edition	Fourth (2009) Edition
<i>PII.x</i>			
So it <i>looks</i>	190c	162c	PPF §88
Similarly: the statement	190d	162d	PPF §89
“At bottom, when	190e	162e	PPF §90
One can mistrust	190f	162f	PPF §91
If there were	190g	162g	PPF §92
Don’t look at	190h	162h	PPF §93
The language-game of	190i–191a	162i	PPF §94
Suppose I were	191b	162j–163a	PPF §95
“I believe” throws	191c	163b	PPF §96
If, however, “I	191d	163c	PPF §97
Imagine a language	191e	163d	PPF §98
I say of	191f	163e	PPF §99
“One feels conviction	191g	163f	PPF §100
“Here it <i>looks</i>	191h	163g	PPF §101
This is how	191i–192a	163h	PPF §102
My own relation	192b	163i	PPF §103
If I listened	192c	163j	PPF §104
“Judging from what	192d	164a	PPF §105
Even in the	192e	164b	PPF §106
Think of the	192f	164c	PPF §107
Different concepts touch	192g	164d	PPF §108
Consider the misbegotten	192h	164e	PPF §109
Don’t regard a	192i	164f	PPF §110
<i>PII.xi</i>			
Two uses of	193a	165a	PPF §111
The one man	193b	165b	PPF §112
I contemplate a	193c	165c	PPF §113
Its <i>causes</i> are	193d	165d	PPF §114
We are interested	193e	165e	PPF §115
You could imagine	193f	165f	PPF §116
Here perhaps we	193g–194a	165g	PPF §117
I shall call	194b	165h–166a	PPF §118

First words of remark	First (1953) and Second (1958) Editions	Third (2001) Edition	Fourth (2009) Edition
<i>PII.xi</i>			
Here it is	194c	166b	PPF §119
I may, then	194d	166c	PPF §120
I should not	194e–195a	166d	PPF §121
It would have	195b	166e	PPF §122
One doesn't "take"	195c	166f	PPF §123
If you say	195d	166g	PPF §124
I see two	195e	167a	PPF §125
"I saw it	195f	167b	PPF §126
I should never	195g	167c	PPF §127
I am shewn	195h	167d	PPF §128
The change of	195i	167e	PPF §129
"Now I am	196a	(167e)	PPF §130
I suddenly see	196b	167f	PPF §131
And above all	196c	167g	PPF §132
The concept of	196d	167h	PPF §133
If you put	196e	168a	PPF §134
If I know	196f	168b	PPF §135
And this by	196g	168c	PPF §136
If I saw	196h–197a	168d	PPF §137
I look at	197b	168e	PPF §138
But since it	197c	168f	PPF §139
Hence the flashing	197d	168g	PPF §140
Someone suddenly sees	197e	168h	PPF §141
For might not	197f	168i	PPF §142
I meet someone	197g	169a	PPF §143
Now, when I	197h	169b	PPF §144
The very expression	198a	(169b)	PPF §145
What is the	198b	169c	PPF §146
The concept of	198c	169d	PPF §147
How does one	198d	169e	PPF §148
If someone sees	198e	169f	PPF §149
Hold the drawing	198f	169g–h	PPF §150
The figure (a)	198g	169i	PPF §151
Imagine the duck–rabbit	199a	170a	PPF §152

(cont.)

First words of remark	First (1953) and Second (1958) Editions	Third (2001) Edition	Fourth (2009) Edition
	<i>PII.xi</i>		
If you search	199b	170b	PPF §153
But you would	199c	170c	PPF §154
There are here	199d	170d	PPF §155
Then is the	199e	170e	PPF §156
Of course we	199f	170f	PPF §157
“What I really	199g	170g	PPF §158
If you ask	199h	170h	PPF §159
The concept of	200a	170i–171a	PPF §160
Here we are	200b	171b	PPF §161
Take as an	200c	171c	PPF §162
“You can think	200d	171d	PPF §163
But how is	200e	171e	PPF §164
When it looks	200f–201a	171f	PPF §165
How would the	201b	171g	PPF §166
A triangle can	201c	171h	PPF §167
Could I say	201d	172a	PPF §168
What does it	201e	172b	PPF §169
Here we are	201f	172c	PPF §170
And <i>is</i> it	201g–202a	172d	PPF §171
“And is it	202b	172e	PPF §172
Certain drawings are	202c	172f	PPF §173
And then it	202d	172g	PPF §174
When I see	202e	172h	PPF §175
What does anyone	202f	172i	PPF §176
People often associate	202g	173a	PPF §177
Here it occurs	202h	173b	PPF §178
This figure	203a	(173b)	PPF §179
What does it	203b	173c	PPF §180
“But this isn’t	203c	173d	PPF §181
But this is	203d	174a	PPF §182
“The phenomenon is	203e	174b	PPF §183

First words of remark	First (1953) and Second (1958) Editions	Third (2001) Edition	Fourth (2009) Edition
<i>PII.xi</i>			
If the picture	203f–204a	174c	PPF §184
The first thing	204b	174d	PPF §185
Someone tells me:	204c	174e	PPF §186
The best description	204d	174f	PPF §187
Do not try	204e	174g	PPF §188
Of course I	204f	174h	PPF §189
“Is it a	204g	174i	PPF §190
Here it is	204h	174j	PPF §191
For when should	204i	174k	PPF §192
“To me it	205a	175a	PPF §193
But can I	205b	175b	PPF §194
You need to	205c	175c	PPF §195
If you see	205d	175d	PPF §196
Perhaps the following	205e	175e	PPF §197
This need not	205f	175f	PPF §198
I say: “We	205g	175g	PPF §199
I might say:	205h	175h	PPF §200
The duck-rabbit. One	205i	175i	PPF §201
(In giving all	206a	(175i)	PPF §202
“Now I see	206b	175j	PPF §203
Do not ask	206c	176a	PPF §204
How does one	206d	176b	PPF §205
Here is a	206e	176c	(PPF §205)
And does the	206f	176d	PPF §206
And if you	206g	176e	PPF §207
If I heard	206h	176f	PPF §208
But the expression	206i	176g	PPF §209
“Fine shades of	207a	(176g)	PPF §210
The aspects of	207b	176h	PPF §211
In this, however,	207c	176i	PPF §212
You must remember	207d	177a	PPF §213
(The temptation to	207e	177b	PPF §214

(cont.)

First words of remark	First (1953) and Second (1958) Editions	Third (2001) Edition	Fourth (2009) Edition
<i>PII.xi</i>			
Those two aspects	207f	177c	PPF §215
You only “see	207g	177d	PPF §216
It is possible	207h	177e	PPF §217
The aspects A	208a	177f	PPF §218
I can see	208b	177g	PPF §219
How does one	208c	177h	PPF §221
One <i>kind</i> of	208d	177i	PPF §220
In the triangle	208e	178a	PPF §222
But how queer	208f	178b	PPF §223
It is only	209a	(178b)	PPF §224
For how could	209b	178c	PPF §225
Such a concept	209c	178d	PPF §226
The epithet “sad”,	209d	178e	PPF §227
Think of this	209e	178f	PPF §228
Think of the	209f	178g	PPF §229
And if I	209g	178h–179a	PPF §230
We react to	209h	179b	PPF §231
One might say	210a	(179b)	PPF §232
If you feel	210b	179c	PPF §233
I can imagine	210c	179d	PPF §234
I should like	210d	179e	PPF §237
The aspect presents	210e	179f	PPF §238
“I observed the	210f	179g	PPF §239
“After the likeness	210g	179h	PPF §240
I should like	210h–211a	179i–180a	PPF §241
Someone tells me:	211b	180b	PPF §242
“Just now I	211c	180c	PPF §243
The likeness makes	211d	180d	PPF §244
Is being struck	211e	180e	PPF §245
(‘Thinking’ and ‘inward	211f	180f	PPF §246
The colour of	212a	(180f)	PPF §247
It is almost	212b	180g–181a	PPF §235

First words of remark	First (1953) and Second (1958) Editions	Third (2001) Edition	Fourth (2009) Edition
<i>PII.xi</i>			
Imagine a physiological	212c	181b	PPF §236
Do I really	212d	181c	PPF §248
Now it is	212e	181d	PPF §249
Only do not	(212e)	(181d)	PPF §250
We find certain	212f	181e	PPF §251
If you look	213a	(181e)	PPF §252
(It is anything	213b	181f	PPF §253
The concept of	213c	181g	PPF §254
“Imagine this changed	213d	181h	PPF §255
Seeing an aspect	213e	182a	PPF §256
The question now	213f	182b	PPF §257
Ought he to	213g–214a	182c	PPF §258
(Anomalies of <i>this</i>	214b	182d	PPF §259
Aspect blindness will	214c	182e	PPF §260
The importance of	214d	182f	PPF §261
In a law-court,	214e	182g	PPF §262
Suppose I had	214f	182h	PPF §263
“When I read	214g	183a	PPF §264
When I pronounce	215a	(183a)	PPF §265
Perhaps it could	215b	183b	PPF §266
But if a	215c	183c	PPF §267
Think here of	215d	183d	PPF §268
“But what is	215e	183e	PPF §269
“I feel as	215f	183f	PPF §270
You can say	215g	184a	PPF §271
If a sensitive	215h–216a	184b	PPF §272
But the question	216b	184c	PPF §273
Given the two	216c	184d	PPF §274
Asked “What do	216d	184e	PPF §275
Here one might	216e	184f	PPF §276
Only if you	216f	184g	PPF §277
The secondary sense	216g	184h	PPF §278
Someone tells me:	216h–217a	184i–185a	PPF §279

(cont.)

First words of remark	First (1953) and Second (1958) Editions	Third (2001) Edition	Fourth (2009) Edition
<i>PII.xi</i>			
The intention <i>with</i>	217b	185b	PPF §280
'Talking' (whether out	217c	185c	PPF §281
The <i>interest</i> of	217d	185d	PPF §282
"At that word	217e	185e	PPF §283
If God had	217f	185f	PPF §284
"Why did you	217g	185g	PPF §285
In saying this	217h	185h	PPF §286
The language-game "I	217i	185i	PPF §287
"I have already	217j–218a	185j	PPF §288
"At these words	218b	185k	PPF §289
"Why did you	218c	186a	PPF §290
Meaning it is	218d	186b	PPF §291
There are important	218e	186c	PPF §292
"Now I know!"	218f	186d	PPF §293
The familiar physiognomy	218g	186e	PPF §294
How do I	218h	186f	PPF §295
But doesn't the	219a	(186f)	PPF §296
It is possible—	219b	186g	PPF §297
"The word is	219c	187a	PPF §298
James, in writing	219d	187b	PPF §299
The words "It's	219e	187c	PPF §300
Silent 'internal' speech	220a	(187c)	PPF §301
The close relationship	220b	187d	PPF §302
"But saying things	220c	187e	PPF §303
"So I don't	220d	187f	PPF §304
One can say	220e	187g–188a	PPF §305
A hypothesis, such	220f	188b	PPF §306
That what someone	220g–221a	188c	PPF §307
"What anyone says	221b	188d	PPF §308
"I know what	221c	188e	PPF §309
"I know..." may	221d	188f	PPF §310
One says "I	221e	188g	PPF §311
It is possible	221f	188h	PPF §312

First words of remark	First (1953) and Second (1958) Editions	Third (2001) Edition	Fourth (2009) Edition
<i>PII.xi</i>			
With this is	221g	188i	PPF §313
“A new-born child	221h–222a	188j–189a	PPF §314
I can know	222b	189b	PPF §315
“A man’s thinking	222c	189c–d	PPF §316
If I were	222d	189e	PPF §317
Let us assume	222e	189f	PPF §318
The criteria for	222f	189g	PPF §319
(Assuming that dreams	222g–223a	189h	PPF §320
There is a	223b	189i–190a	PPF §321
All this would	223c	190b	PPF §322
“What is <i>internal</i>	223d	190c	PPF §323
If I see	223e	190d	PPF §324
We also say	223f	190e	PPF §325
“I cannot know	223g	190f	PPF §326
If a lion	223h	190g	PPF §327
It is possible	223i–224a	190h	PPF §328
Two points, however,	224b	190i	PPF §329
I can be	224c	190j	PPF §330
“But, if you	224d	191a	PPF §331
Am I less	224e	191b	PPF §332
“He alone knows	224f	191c	PPF §333
Let yourself be	224g	191d	PPF §334
We remain unconscious	224h	191e	PPF §335
What is the	224i	191f	PPF §336
There is such	224j	191g	PPF §337
One judges the	225a	191h	PPF §338
We should sometimes	225b	191i	PPF §339
“While you can	225c	192a	PPF §340
There can be	225d	192b	PPF §341
Even then it	225e–226a	192c	PPF §342
But am I	226b	192d	PPF §343
It is no	226c	192e	PPF §344
What has to	226d	192f	PPF §345
Does it make	226e	192g	PPF §346

(cont.)

First words of remark	First (1953) and Second (1958) Editions	Third (2001) Edition	Fourth (2009) Edition
<i>PII.xi</i>			
This consideration must,	226f	192h	PPF §347
“But mathematical truth	226g–227a	192i–193a	PPF §348
Of course, in	227b	193b	PPF §349
“We all learn	227c	193c	PPF §350
There is such	227d	193d	PPF §351
There is in	227e	193e	PPF §352
I am sure,	227f	193f	PPF §353
“You’re all at	227g	193g	PPF §354
Is there such	227h	193h	PPF §355
What is most	227i	193i	PPF §356
“The genuineness of	228a	194a	PPF §357
It is certainly	228b	194b	PPF §358
The question is:	228c	194c	PPF §359
Imponderable evidence includes	228d	194d	PPF §360
Ask yourself: How	228e	194e	PPF §361
Pretending is, of	228f–229a	194f	PPF §362
A child has	229b	194g	PPF §363
There might actually	229c	194h	PPF §364
<i>PII.xii</i>			
If the formation	230a	195a	PPF §365
I am not	230b	195b	PPF §366
Compare a concept	230c	195c	PPF §367
<i>PII.xiii</i>			
When I say:	231a	196a	PPF §368
Remembering has no	231b	196b	PPF §369
Would this situation	231c	196c	PPF §370
<i>PII.xiv</i>			
The confusion and	232a	197a	PPF §371
An investigation is	232b	197b	PPF §372