



1 **Review of Lawrence J. Hatab, *Proto-Phenomenology,***
2 ***Language Acquisition, Orality, and Literacy: Dwelling***
3 ***in Speech II***

4 **Rowman & Littlefield International, 2019**

5 **Chris Drain¹**

6 Accepted: 26 March 2021

7 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature B.V. 2021

8 In the 1920s, while Martin Heidegger was working against a then dominant strain of
9 neo-Kantian intellectualism to provide the grounding ontology of Dasein as being-
10 in-the-world, Soviet developmental psychologist Lev Vygotsky was reorienting psy-
11 chology away from the reductivism that occupied the high court of the post-revolu-
12 tionary Russian academy. Vygotsky prioritized human consciousness, or the “higher
13 mental functions” (e.g., semantic memory, propositional cognition, numeracy, and
14 literacy), as the main explanandum of psychological science. Allying himself with
15 a Marxian dialectical method, he developed a “cultural-historical” explanation of
16 how higher cognitive functions are achieved and enacted by the transformation of
17 more basic mental capacities through social and artifactual (i.e., semiotic) media-
18 tion. The dawning of a meaningful world, and indeed the “cultural-historical sub-
19 ject,” was thus for Vygotsky the emergent outcome of diachronically entrenched cul-
20 tural practices, norms, and institutions, as well as synchronically enacted social and
21 communicative practices—in short, of mediated activity, in ontogenetic, historical,
22 and phylogenetic registers. While it may be the case that in Heidegger’s “existential
23 analytic” the breakdown of the tool discloses to Dasein a larger world of social-
24 practical significance, such an occurrence does little to explain how Dasein becomes
25 acquainted with a such a world in the first place. The development of Dasein, then,
26 is something Heidegger seems to take for granted—his account providing a phenom-
27 enology only of the “dealings” of a mature subject, one for whom the appropriative
28 aspect of inter-social activity in ontogenesis has long since passed (not that it is ever
29 fully completed). Vygotsky’s project can be viewed in sharp relief to Heidegger’s
30 insofar as the former attempts to explain how the objective world of significance
31 initially comes to mean anything at all.

A1 Chris Drain
A2 christopher.drain@villanova.edu

A3 ¹ Department of Philosophy, Villanova University, Villanova, PA, USA

32 Lawrence J. Hatab's *Proto-Phenomenology, Language Acquisition, Orality and*
33 *Literacy: Dwelling in Speech II* aims to provide a ("proto-") phenomenology of lan-
34 guage acquisition and becoming-literate at both ontogenetic and cultural-historical
35 scales of analysis. This places his project at the intersection of Heideggerian phe-
36 nomenology and Vygotskian developmental psychology. Indeed, Hatab at times is
37 explicitly committed to the Vygotskian position of sociogenetic development, which
38 explains that cognitive development proceeds according to an "outside-to-inside"
39 model. Further, he maintains this position with a phenomenological attentiveness to
40 certain pre-reflective modes of being-in-the-world.

41 According to Vygotsky, higher mental functions are the products of the "inter-
42 nalization" of social-practical activity. For instance, Vygotsky describes how indexi-
43 cal gestures scaffold the development of semanticity in infant-parent exchanges
44 (Vygotsky, 1997a). Initially, a child may simply reach for a desired object. But after
45 parental intervention, the reach takes on a semantic dimension as an act of pointing,
46 eventually coming to mean "I want that." For Vygotsky, such semiotic interaction
47 begets a *functional transformation* [*vrashchivanie*, revolution] of the child's cog-
48 nitive capacities (Vygotsky, 1999, 53). The introduction of symbolic forms of com-
49 munication into the field of activity does not merely augment or enhance the child's
50 cognitive apparatus, but fundamentally transforms it to something uniquely human
51 (*ibid.*, 36). This notion of transformation, as something other than enhancement, is
52 essential to Vygotsky's project and I will return to it later. For now, we can note that
53 Hatab's goal in this work is to add to Heideggerian ontology a much-needed devel-
54 opmental dimension, one that renders explicit how it is that an individual comes to
55 find herself dwelling in a meaningful world.

56 The first volume of *Dwelling in Speech* (2017) finds Hatab alongside Heidegger's
57 existential analytic in Division I of *Being and Time*. There he concentrates on "eve-
58 ryday embeddedness in meaningful practices and experiences" (Hatab, 2019, xi) and
59 engages with questions of meaning, knowledge, self, and society as they pertain to
60 the lived—and linguistically constituted—world of practically and socially embedded
61 individuals. Hatab employs a "proto-phenomenological" methodology to account for
62 the world of average-everyday existence as it appears prior to (hence "proto-") any
63 decontextualized understandings that must rely on intentionalistic analyses of sub-
64 ject-object relations. In Volume II, Hatab continues such proto-phenomenology while
65 marshaling an impressive assortment of research in his service, including not only
66 that explicitly associated with Vygotsky's sociogenetic approach, but also 4E cogni-
67 tion, the media ecology of the Toronto School, the literacy studies of Walter Ong
68 and David R. Olson, and an impressive array of empirical studies in linguistics and
69 psychology. To this effect, Hatab's object is not only the world-building character of
70 language, but also the specific effects of literacy for both children and entire cultures.

71 The book can roughly be divided into two complimentary investigations: the
72 first explores the ontogenetic development of language, the second the cultural-his-
73 torical development of literacy, though there is some overlap in these dimensions
74 when describing literacy's ontogenetic effects. As such, Chapter 2 deals with the
75 child's preverbal world, while Chapter 3 addresses language acquisition. Chapter 4
76 describes the transition from orality to literacy in ontogenetic as well as cultural-his-
77 torical terms, while Chapter 5 examines literacy and philosophy in ancient Greece.

78 The last chapter examines the effects of orthographic culture throughout its develop-
79 ment in the Western world.

80 Readers familiar with Hatab's earlier book are encouraged to begin with the sec-
81 ond chapter. For the uninitiated, Chapter 1 summarizes the first volume of this work.
82 Here Hatab renders Heidegger's "hermeneutics of facticity" in a clear and straight-
83 forward manner, developing a unique vocabulary of "indicative concepts" to per-
84 formatively reveal what is already implicit in the world of lived factual experience.
85 Taken on its own, this chapter can be a helpful resource to students of Division I of
86 *Being and Time* and early Heidegger in general.

87 Chapter 2 constructs a phenomenology of pre-linguistic childhood experience
88 (and by extension, pre-reflective consciousness). Hatab bypasses the traditional
89 methodological problems entailed in taking "fringe minds"—the contents of which
90 are inaccessible to first person experience and incapable of being conceptually
91 relayed by the preverbal children in question—as an object of study (Sleutels, 2013).
92 He eschews simply expanding the domain of folk psychological concepts to include
93 children (thereby avoiding the intellectualism that the Heideggerian protests) while
94 also taking care not to embrace any type of eliminative materialism, and does so
95 without relying on any biologically determinative "preformationist" and/or "matura-
96 tion" theories of development. Hatab's platform here is in essence an application
97 of the main methodological assumptions of cultural-historical psychology, resisting
98 not only (1) mentalism and dualism, but also (2) any reflexology or behaviorism
99 that relegates cognition to a mechanistic "data input" and "action output" model
100 (Leontiev, 2009), as well as (3) an ahistorical biologism which takes development
101 to proceed according to timeless "natural" dictates (Vygotsky, 1997b, 279 & 1999,
102 3). Heidegger of course was suspicious of the very same models (Heidegger, 1995,
103 2010), but he avoided the question of development. Hatab corrects this, providing a
104 phenomenological interpretation of Vygotskian ontogeny, where individual develop-
105 ment is a product/process of social-semiotic internalization. Engaging with topics
106 such as imitation, joint-attention, and co-affective engagement, this chapter incorpo-
107 rates material that would be familiar to readers of this journal and those invested in
108 4E cognition and developmental psychology.

109 Chapter 3 explains language acquisition in neither fully nativistic nor behav-
110 ioristic terms. Splitting the difference between the nature-culture dichotomy,
111 Hatab admits that "indigenous pre-linguistic capacities ... prepare and make possi-
112 ble language development" while also holding that such capacities "presuppose
113 embodied, perceptual, practical, and social aptitudes—which early on display the
114 'field' character of the lived world" (Hatab, 2019, 104). The discussion is moti-
115 vated by Hatab's commitment to providing an alternative to representation-cen-
116 tric accounts of language, and it seems that he would well agree with Stephen
117 Cowley's dictum that "phylogenetically, ontogenetically and neurally, language
118 is *dynamic first, symbolic second*" (2008, 500). Hatab accordingly focuses on the
119 linguistically constitutive nature of "world-disclosure" and the collaborative struc-
120 ture of the "personal-social-world" that occasions such disclosure. Enactivist read-
121 ers and those engaged in the projects of distributed and extended cognition (e.g.,
122 Clark, 2006a; DiPaolo et al. 2018; Hutchins & Johnson, 2009) will find Hatab's
123 approach hospitable. However, the discussion follows a path familiar to readers

124 of Vygotsky as well: initially, children’s language-use is unreflective and exter-
125 nally directed, amidst “affective-conative” inter-social activity (Vygotsky, 2012,
126 68–9). Such activity acts as “scaffolding” so that socially directed utterances may
127 become self-directed utterances, which themselves scaffold the development of
128 more complex practical competencies. This eventually gives rise to inner-speech,
129 which itself serves to scaffold higher forms of conceptual/propositional cognition.
130 But while Hatab makes frequent mention of Vygotsky’s developmental story, his
131 discussion would have benefited from a more sustained engagement with the lit-
132 erature of “cognitive artifacts” and spatially distributed cognition (Hutchins, 1995;
133 Kirsh, 1995; Norman, 1991).

134 One of the central concerns of the book is the development and constitution of a
135 meaningful world. This could be more comprehensively addressed with an approach
136 contoured to semiotic mediation in general, including in its analyses the meaning-
137 ful structures of *non-linguistic* objects and/or communicative vehicles that *resist* a
138 symbolic taxonomical status. Consider, e.g., Hutchins’ (2005, 2014) account of con-
139 ceptual “blending,” which exemplifies the basic process of attaching meaning and
140 responding to actionable cues in a given material array. The idea of a movie theater,
141 e.g., sets a background for perceiving and conceptualizing a place in which peo-
142 ple “line up” for tickets. Obviously cultural context is important here. But there is
143 also a spatial-material basis, or “anchor,” for such conceptualization. A conceptual
144 structure—in this case a “trajector” (Langacker, 1987)—is mapped onto the mate-
145 rial constituents of the line (individuals linearly arranged in a certain space), and
146 “this mapping of imagined structure onto perceived structure produces a conceptual
147 blend which gives rise to a particularly emergent property: a sequential ordering of
148 the bodies of the individuals in the queue” (Hutchins, 2014, 39). The conceptual
149 blending of the line and trajector is cognitively prescriptive insofar as it “makes pos-
150 sible a set of inferences” regarding *how* one must go about getting a ticket (by wait-
151 ing until it is your turn) and *when* that might happen (by perceiving the amount
152 of people ahead of you) (loc. cit.). In a sense, then, material and spatial anchors
153 and the conceptual blends they produce can functionally amount to something
154 like “ephemerally emergent artifacts.” And like Norman’s “cognitive artifacts,” such
155 would cue and constrain cognition, leading to both the expansion of the functional
156 capacity of the individual as well as altering or replacing the actions and operations
157 in the activity itself (Norman, 1991, 22). There are many thick philosophical issues
158 to work through in the domain of semiotic mediation, many of which would find
159 resonance with Heideggerian phenomenology—e.g., the semiotics of artifacts and
160 “equipment” as well as the nature of nonartifactual functionality and signification
161 (Sinha, 1988, 2015), the phenomenology of indexical gesturing (Thao, 1986), and
162 so-called “enactive” signification (Malafouris, 2013). Hatab takes some steps in this
163 direction, especially in the next chapter, as his discussion of numeracy demonstrates
164 (and numbers are cognitive artifacts *par excellence*). But on the whole, he avoids
165 such excursions in favor of a more generalist presentation of the child’s life-world in
166 development.

167 Chapter 4 addresses writing and literacy both in terms of their ontogenetic devel-
168 opment and their cultural emergence in ancient Greece. Hatab begins by looking
169 at the cognitive and cultural effects of alphabetic script, noting how the shift from

170 orality to writing transforms the very manner in which spoken language is under-
171 stood and experienced (Hatab, 2019, 159). Indeed, one of the larger tasks of this
172 chapter is to illustrate how orthographic comprehension introduces the possibility
173 of decontextualized reflective thinking. Classical philosophical problems such as
174 adequation in perception and reference in semantics, Hatab argues, are reifications
175 made possible by the graphic presentation of language insofar as it severs an indi-
176 vidual from her immediate factual concerns. There is indeed an element of truth
177 to such a prospect, and Hatab is correct in claiming that writing and literacy have
178 traditionally been downplayed in philosophy and linguistics. But his framing of
179 the discussion occludes the opportunity to deal with more primary forms of non-
180 linguistic decontextualization. In Soviet philosophy, for instance, productive activ-
181 ity and exchange processes are responsible for the generation of propositional cog-
182 nition, or “ideal forms of reflection” (Ilyenkov, 1977; Leontiev, 1977). And it has
183 been suggested elsewhere that, in ontogenesis, a normative understanding of an arti-
184 fact comes prior and possibly as a precursor to language acquisition insofar as its
185 socially imposed semiotic status may “override” the more primary and affordance-
186 based activity of an infant (Sinha & Rodriguez, 2007). Here is a ready site in which
187 to phenomenologically explore the genesis of decontextualization and the primary
188 apprehension of social-practical norms, the latter which surely constitute elements
189 in and of “worlding.”

190 The fifth chapter looks at literacy and the development of philosophy in ancient
191 Greece, while Chapter 6 describes the cultural effects of technologies of literacy,
192 up to and including the development of printing. Both chapters describe the cogni-
193 tive gains of being-literate, while also highlighting how the explicative and abstract
194 modes of thinking that accompany orthographic competence result in the distancing
195 of more primary and phenomenologically immersive experience. The arc of the dis-
196 cussion will be familiar to readers of Havelock, Ong and Olson, and Hatab excels in
197 performing a critical history of the subject. However, there is something odd in the
198 way these final chapters risk disturbing the presentational balance of the text as a
199 whole. Where the first part of this work justified its phenomenological method inso-
200 far as it convincingly dealt with the genesis of a particularly historical and materially
201 contingent type of subjectivity, the Heideggerian appeals in its latter half to a pre-
202 Cartesian *phusis* and the “sacred disclosures” of the ancient Greek world betray a
203 Romantic fixation on a disenchantment motif that is hard to defend, especially when
204 one considers the research of certain new-materialists (Bennett, 2001; Turkle, 2011)
205 and material engagement theorists (Malafouris, 2008, Malafouris & Renfrew, 2010)
206 that analyzes the semiotic and affective capabilities of material objects and artifacts
207 to make a case for just how “enchanted” contemporary life can actually be.¹ Over-
208 all, despite some keen references (Hatab, 2019, 267n108), the semiotic and affec-
209 tive import of non-documental materiality falls outside the purview of this work.
210 This is not to say that Hatab’s focus on literacy prevents him from occasionally dis-
211 cussing nonlinguistic cognitive artifacts (e.g., maps and mathematical notations). In
212 these instances, however, Hatab does not seem to distinguish between literacy and

¹ This is not to mention the work of Bruno Latour (1993) or of first-wave of Frankfurt School research (Horkheimer & Adorno, 2002).

213 graphism in general, and one is left wanting a clearer explanation of the latter's pre-
214 cise role in development.

215 But there is a bigger over-arching problem in the second half of Hatab's book,
216 which returns us to our opening theme of "transformation." Chapter 4 has Hatab at
217 his closest alignment with extended mind theory (EMT). By the end of that chap-
218 ter, the author grants that writing is itself an extended cognitive process: "literacy
219 has a scaffolding effect on expanding human cognition beyond immediate descrip-
220 tions, conversations, recollections, and anticipations. Whether in rudimentary or
221 refined forms, the labor of writing is itself a process of thinking and not simply an
222 expression of thoughts" (Hatab, 2019, 174). But this focus on writing as *expand-*
223 *ing* obscures an important difference between certain 4E applications of Vygotskian
224 psychology and Vygotsky's own claims. Andy Clark, for instance, has long held that
225 the cognitive role of language systems, or more broadly of "material symbols," is
226 more than simply the transmission of content to be realized in a recipient's inner
227 neural code. Rather, material symbols make an ongoing contribution to cognition as
228 coupled resources which "complement the basic modes of operation and representa-
229 tion endemic to the biological brain" (Clark, 2006b, 293). Yet while still opposing
230 strictly internalist accounts of language processing, Clark nonetheless diverges from
231 a transformationalist view that posits that the development of higher mental func-
232 tions consists in the functional-structural reorganization of neuronal systems (Clark,
233 1997; see also Wheeler, 2004). Clark, in essence, theorizes the written word as
234 *enhancing* rather than *transforming*. Indeed, early iterations of EMT are concerned
235 with the metaphysical task of expanding the boundaries of the mind beyond tradi-
236 tional internalist and individualist frameworks. Thus, at stake for Clark is not the
237 *functional transformation* of a cognitive capacity but rather the *functionality of a*
238 *cognitive resource itself*, insofar as that resource may come to realize the vehicle of
239 a particular cognitive state. For Vygotsky, the inward effect of mediation is always
240 something more than the mere enhancement of cognitive hardware; lower men-
241 tal functions undergo, as Vygotsky says, a *radical reconstruction*. In ontogenesis,
242 even perceptual and motor systems are transformed after habituated engagements
243 with signs and other cultural-psychological tools (Vygotsky, 1999, 31). Dennett
244 more recently defends this view that nonliterate minds involve significantly differ-
245 ent representational capacities than those of literate minds (1991, 218–221 & 1998,
246 291–292; see also Olson, 2016). Ultimately, such differences come to bear on the
247 types of the collective social structures—and indeed "worlds"—available to such
248 minded people.

249 Hatab finds himself stuck between taking a side on this issue, claiming at once
250 that the artificial character of material signs can "be understood as transformative of
251 human nature, especially when reading and writing become second nature and trans-
252 missive of wider horizons, both internal and external" (Hatab, 2019, 266), while
253 noting in the same paragraph that such transformation is not really a transformation,
254 "but simply an amplification of human possibilities" (loc. cit.). Hatab seems to *not*
255 want to say that literate and nonliterate societies (and the world disclosures therein)
256 are different *in kind*, and he reminds the reader that nonliterate societies should in no
257 way be thought of as inferior to literate. This egalitarian urge is understandable, but
258 covers over some important meta-anthropological distinctions that were commonly

259 debated in the early twentieth century. Lévy-Bruhl (1985), for instance, defended
260 “primitive” mentality from charges of inferiority that were common in the writ-
261 ings of early anthropologists like Frazer and Tylor. Where the latter championed
262 the Eurocentric and chauvinistic view that “primitive thinking differs only in degree
263 from modern: primitives think less rigorously than moderns” (Segal, 1987, 355),
264 Lévy-Bruhl held that primitive minds function just as intensely as our own, and only
265 differ in their representational constraints. In Vygotsky’s application of Lévy-Bruhl,
266 this means that primitive minds are simply ones that have not undergone a literate
267 transformation (Vygotsky & Luria, 2016). The difference between literate and non-
268 literate minds is thus not one of degree at all—they are fundamentally different in
269 kind, and construct and dwell in *qualitatively* different worlds. Ironically, to cast lit-
270 eracy as an enhancement (or “amplification”) is to *quantitatively* reify a literate/non-
271 literate hierarchy, as though the nonliterate is simply not as enhanced as the literate.

272 Avoiding a strong stance on the issue of transformation perhaps illustrates a
273 deeper rift between a transhumanist outlook and Heideggerian phenomenology
274 writ large. The ultimate aim of Vygotsky’s psychology was to have some bear-
275 ing on what the human may *become*. For Vygotsky, semiotic mediation serves not
276 only in the deployment or even enhancement of certain cognitive states, but also
277 takes on a fundamentally *generative* role in their development. Who Dasein *is* is
278 always subject to transformation. Proto-phenomenology purports to behold such
279 transformation not just at the level of average-everyday facticity, but at Dasein’s
280 developmental crux. It might be time to more fully embrace the radicality of such
281 a project.

282 *Dwelling in Speech II* is part of the Rowman & Littlefield “New Heidegger
283 Research” series. For Heideggerians new to 4E cognition, developmental psychol-
284 ogy, and literacy studies, this book could be an effective entry into a burgeoning
285 interdisciplinary field. And those already invested in such projects may find inspira-
286 tion in Hatab’s general orientation and the scope of his project. Employing a phe-
287 nomenological method while pairing ontogenetic and cultural-historical analyses of
288 human development is a grand task, and we should welcome the attempt.

289

290 References

- 291 Bennett, J. (2001). *The enchantment of modern life*. Princeton University Press.
292 Clark, A. (1997). *Being there: Putting brain, body, and world together again*. MIT Press.
293 Clark, A. (2006a). Language, embodiment, and the cognitive niche. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 10(8),
294 370–374.
295 Clark, A. (2006b). Material symbols. *Philosophical Psychology*, 19(3), 291–307.
296 Cowley, S. (2008). Distributed language and dynamics. *Pragmatics & Cognition*, 17(3), 495–508.
297 Dennett, D. C. (1991). *Consciousness explained*. Little.
298 Dennett, D. C. (1998). Reflections on language and mind. In P. Carruthers & J. Boucher (Eds.), *Language*
299 *and thought: Interdisciplinary themes* (pp. 284–294). Cambridge University Press.
300 DiPaolo, E. A., Cuffari, E. C., & De Jaegher, H. (2018). *Linguistic bodies*. MIT Press.
301 Hatab, L. J. (2017). *Proto-phenomenology and the nature of language: Dwelling in speech I*. Rowman &
302 Littlefield International.

- 303 Hatab, L. J. (2019). *Proto-phenomenology, language acquisition, orality, and literacy: Dwelling in*
304 *speech II*. Rowman & Littlefield International.
- 305 Heidegger, M. (1995). *The fundamental concepts of metaphysics*. (W. McNeill & N. Walker, trans.).
306 SUNY Press.
- 307 Heidegger, M. (2010). *Being and time* (J. Stambaugh, Trans.). SUNY Press.
- 308 Horkheimer, M. & Adorno, T. (2002). *Dialectic of enlightenment* (E. Jephcott, Trans.). Stanford Univer-
309 sity Press.
- 310 Hutchins, E. (1995). *Cognition in the wild*. MIT Press.
- 311 Hutchins, E. (2005). Material anchors for conceptual blends. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 37(10), 1555–1577.
- 312 Hutchins, E., & Johnson, C. M. (2009). Modeling the emergence of language as an embodied collective
313 cognitive activity. *Topics in Cognitive Science*, 1, 523–546.
- 314 Hutchins, E. (2014). The cultural ecosystem of human cognition. *Philosophical Psychology*, 27(1),
315 34–49. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09515089.2013.830548>.
- 316 Ilyenkov, E. V. (1977). *Dialectical logic* (H. C. Creighton, Trans.). Progress Publishers.
- 317 Kirsh, D. (1995). The intelligent use of space. *Artificial Intelligence*, 73(1–2), 31–68.
- 318 Langacker, R. W. (1987). *Foundations of cognitive grammar*. Stanford University Press.
- 319 Latour, B. (1993). *We have never been modern* (C. Porter, Trans.). Harvard University Press.
- 320 Leontiev, A. N. (1977). Activity and consciousness. In R. Daglish (Trans.), *Philosophy in the USSR:*
321 *Problems of dialectical materialism* (pp. 180–202). Progress Publishers.
- 322 Leontiev, A. N. (2009). Activity, consciousness, and personality. In A. Blunden (Ed.), *Activity and con-*
323 *sciousness* (pp. 27–192). Marxists Internet Archive. [https://www.marxists.org/archive/leontev/](https://www.marxists.org/archive/leontev/works/activity-consciousness.pdf)
324 [works/activity-consciousness.pdf](https://www.marxists.org/archive/leontev/works/activity-consciousness.pdf).
- 325 Lévy-Bruhl, L. (1985). *How natives think* (L.A. Clare, Trans.). Princeton University Press.
- 326 Malafouris, L. (2008). Beads for a plastic mind: The ‘Blind Man’s Stick’ (BMS) hypothesis and the active
327 nature of material culture. *Cambridge Archeological Journal*, 18(3), 401–414.
- 328 Malafouris, L. (2013). *How things shape the mind*. MIT Press.
- 329 Malafouris, L., & Renfrew, C. (Eds.) (2010). *The cognitive life of things*. The McDonald Institute for **AQ3**
330 Archaeological Research.
- 331 Norman, D. A. (1991). Cognitive artifacts. In J. M. Carroll (Ed.), *Designing interaction* (pp. 17–38).
332 Cambridge University Press.
- 333 Olson, D. R. (2016). *The mind on paper*. Cambridge University Press.
- 334 Segal, R. A. (1987). Relativism and rationality in the social sciences. *The Journal of Religion*, 67(3),
335 353–362.
- 336 Sinha, C. & Rodriguez, C. (2007). Language and the signifying object. In J. Zlatev, T.P. Racine, C. Sinha,
337 & E. Itkonen (Eds.), *The shared mind* (pp. 357–378). John Benjamins Publishing Company.
- 338 Sinha, C. (1988). *Language and representation*. NYU Press.
- 339 Sinha, C. (2015). Language and other artifacts: Socio-cultural dynamics of niche construction. *Frontiers*
340 *in Psychology*, 6(1601), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2015.01601>.
- 341 Sleutels, J. (2013). Fringe mind strategies. *Avant*, 4(2), 59–80.
- 342 Thao, T.D. (1986). *Phenomenology and dialectical materialism* (R. S. Cohen, Ed.; D. J. Herman & D. V.
343 Morano, Trans.). D. Reidel Publishing Company.
- 344 Turkle, S. (Ed.). (2011) *Evocative objects*. MIT Press.
- 345 Vygotsky, L. S. (1997a). The history of the development of the higher mental functions. In R. W. Rieber,
346 (Ed.), *The collected works of L.S. Vygotsky*: (Vol. 4, pp. 1–259). Plenum Press.
- 347 Vygotsky, L. S. (1997b). The historical meaning of the crisis in psychology: A methodological inves-
348 tigation. In R. W. Rieber & J. Wollock (Eds.), *The collected works of L.S. Vygotsky* (Vol. 3, pp.
349 233–343). Plenum Press.
- 350 Vygotsky, L. S. (1999). Tool and sign in the development of the child. In R. W. Rieber, (Ed.) & M. J.
351 Hall, (Trans.) *The collected works of L.S. Vygotsky* (Vol. 6, pp. 3–68). Plenum Press.
- 352 Vygotsky, L. S. (2012). *Thought and language* (revised and expanded edition). MIT Press.
- 353 Vygotsky, L.S. & Luria, A. (2016). *Studies on the history of behavior* (V. I. Golod & J. E. Knox, Eds.).
354 Routledge.
- 355 Wheeler, M. (2004). Is language the ultimate artifact? *Language Sciences*, 26(6), 688–710.

356 **Publisher’s note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published
357 maps and institutional affiliations.

358