The fragility of natural carbon sinks and the urgency for climate action

Minh-Phuong Thi Duong
Faculty of Social Sciences and Humanities, Ton Duc Thang University, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

October 15, 2024

"Finally, he concludes the primary weakness lies in Kingfisher because he wasn't born with a singing voice and lacks a proper understanding of music theory! If Kingfisher stops being the conductor, the orchestra will surely play well again."

—In "Conductor"; *Wild Wise Weird* (2024)

[SCIENCE NEWS]

The recent decline in the effectiveness of nature's carbon sinks – forests, soils, and oceans – in absorbing human-made carbon emissions has significant implications for global climate stability. In 2023, these vital ecosystems absorbed much less carbon due to extreme weather events, rising temperatures, and wildfires, highlighting their vulnerability and the impact of environmental stressors [1].

Natural carbon sinks play a critical role in absorbing approximately half of all human emissions. However, as the planet continues to heat up, these sinks are becoming increasingly vulnerable. Ecosystems like boreal forests and tropical rainforests are experiencing severe declines in their carbon sequestration capacity due to factors such as droughts, pest outbreaks, wildfires, and deforestation. Similarly, disruptions to ocean currents and the migration of marine organisms are diminishing the oceans' ability to

absorb carbon. This situation raises alarming concerns about the potential transition of vital ecosystems – such as the Amazon rainforest – from functioning as carbon sinks to becoming carbon sources [1].

Many climate models fail to adequately capture the complexities and nonlinear dynamics of natural carbon sinks, including sudden ecosystem collapses and feedback loops. These shortcomings lead to "model blind spots," where critical events like drought-induced tree mortality or wildfire emissions are often omitted, resulting in overly optimistic predictions about the climate's future [2]. Consequently, the potential for accelerated climate change may be greater than current models suggest, highlighting the urgent need for improved modeling approaches that incorporate these uncertainties [3].

Illustration. Source https://www.imagine.art

Localized failures in carbon sequestration are becoming evident in regions such as Finland, with the land's ability to absorb carbon diminishing, and across Europe, as forest health declines. These regional trends may act as early warning signs of broader, possibly global disruptions in carbon absorption. A particular concern is the Arctic permafrost, which is at risk of releasing large amounts of stored carbon as it thaws – a factor often underestimated

in current climate projections. This could significantly accelerate global warming and further disrupt carbon balance [1].

Current political and policy frameworks often work under the belief that natural carbon sinks will remain functional indefinitely [1]. This assumption supports many decarbonization strategies but neglects the inherent fragility of these ecosystems. A critical area of concern is the concept of tipping points, where ecosystems experience fundamental shifts that alter their functioning [4]. For instance, the potential transition of the Amazon rainforest from a carbon sink to a source of emissions illustrates the significant risks involved. Climate scientists caution that feedback loops, in which warming triggers additional carbon releases, are frequently underrepresented in climate models. Such oversights may result in more severe future impacts than currently anticipated, emphasizing the urgent need for a better understanding of these ecosystems' vulnerabilities.

While enhancing and protecting natural carbon sinks is crucial, the primary focus must remain on reducing fossil fuel emissions across all sectors. Numerous researchers highlight that even the most effective nature-based solutions cannot fully address climate change without significant reductions in emissions [5]. There is an urgent need for action to reduce dependence on fossil fuels and to implement transformative policies that align with the current understanding of climate science. Moreover, skepticism surrounding market mechanisms – such as carbon credits – highlights the risks of prioritizing short-term financial gains over long-term environmental sustainability. The commodification of nature may provide limited solutions without deeper structural changes in policy and societal values [6].

To achieve sustainable outcomes, it is essential to rethink the traditional economic model based on continuous growth. Transitioning to a steady-state economy – where economic activities respect ecological limits – acknowledges the unsustainable nature of endless expansion. This shift encourages policies that prioritize ecological health as the prerequisite for economic stability, promoting a more balanced approach to development that integrates environmental sustainability with long-term economic well-being [6].

Finally, fostering an "eco-surplus culture" that promotes conservation and restoration is important for reestablishing the bond between humans and nature. Aligning human activity with ecological health through education, conservation, and community involvement is

vital for promoting sustainable practices. Such a cultural transformation is essential for creating a more resilient future, one that recognizes our interconnectedness with the environment and prioritizes the health of our planet for generations to come [6,7].

References

[1] Greenfield P. (2024), Trees and land absorbed almost no CO2 last year. Is nature's carbon sink failing?. *The Guardian*. https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2024/oct/14/nature-carbon-sink-collapse-global-heating-models-emissions-targets-evidence-aoe

[2] Dye AW, et al. (2024). Carbon, climate, and natural disturbance: a review of mechanisms, challenges, and tools for understanding forest carbon stability in an uncertain future. *Carbon Balance and Management*, **19**(1), 1-25. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1186/s13021-024-00282-0

[3] Lawrence J, et al. (2020). Cascading climate change impacts and implications. *Climate Risk Management*, **29**, 100234. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2212096320300243

[4] Graham S, et al. (2023). An interdisciplinary framework for navigating social–climactic tipping points. *People and Nature*, **5**(5), 1445-1456. https://besjournals.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1002/pan3.10516

[5] Dooley K, et al. (2022). Carbon removals from nature restoration are no substitute for steep emission reductions. *One Earth*, **5**(7), 812-824. https://www.cell.com/one-earth/fulltext/S2590-3322(22)00323-2

[6] Vuong QH, Nguyen MH. (2024). *Better economics for the Earth: A lesson from quantum and information theories*. https://www.amazon.com/dp/B0D98L5K44

[7] Vuong QH, Nguyen MH. (2024). Further on informational quanta, interactions, and entropy under the granular view of value formation. https://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4922461

[8] Vuong QH. (2024). *Wild Wise Weird*. https://www.amazon.com/dp/B0BG2NNHY6