Copula

Jahangirnagar University Studies in Philosophy

Vol. XXX June 2013

the same of the sa

therefore a material from the court company opposite to sometimed by dead standard of



Department of Philosophy Jahangirnagar University

Savar Dhaka Bangladesh

Copula: Jahangirnagar University Studies in Philosophy

Department of Philosophy Jahangirnagar University Savar, Dhaka-1342

Vol. XXX June 2013

ISSN 1563-0692

Editorial Board:

Editor-in-Chief

Professor A. F. M. Obaidur Rahman

Editor

Professor Md. Manzoor Elahee

Members

Professor Fahmina Ahmed Professor Muhammad Tareq Chowdhury

Address for Correspondence:

di la bas adi is babilah ad biyada en Editor

Copula: Jahangirnagar University Studies in Philosophy Savar, Dhaka-1342
Bangladesh

Price: Tk. 150.00

Tk. 50.00 (for students) US\$ 10.00 (foreign)

Published by: Chairperson, Department of Philosophy, Jahangirnagar University

Savar, Dhaka-1342, Bangladesh

Printed by: Neshee Printers, 82 Concord Emporium Shopping Complex

253-254, Elephant Road, Dhaka-1205

Book Review

Fritz Mauthner: Die Sprache

Rainer Ebert*

"In science, language reveals its impotence; in poetry, it shows the power of its beauty; in religion, we are tyrannized by the power of language [...]." (Die Sprache, p. 19)

Fritz Mauthner was born in the Kingdom of Bohemia in 1849, in the city of Hořice that is now a part of the Czech Republic. His three-volume Contributions to a Critique of Language (1901-1902) are symptomatic of the linguistic turn that began to transform philosophy at the end of the nineteenth century and shaped much of the discipline in the century that followed. In 1907, Mauthner published Die Sprache (Language) which reiterates – in concentrated form – some of the main ideas of his Contributions and shows their relevance to scientific, philosophical, religious and socio-political thought.

Mauthner is a radical skeptic: He holds thatknowledge is impossible, arguably the most ambitious thesis of *Die Sprache*. Mauthner argues that mathematics and other purely intellectual disciplines arewholly hypothetical (pp. 28 f.); they cannot reach beyond the definitions from which they start. Mathematical inferences no more increase our knowledge than these very definitions. Quickly rejecting the idea of *a priori* knowledge, Mauthner focuses almost entirely on the possibility of empirical knowledge. His skepticism is firmly rooted in his critique of language. He sees us as judges who know nothing except the court files. Words are all we have; what is not in language is not in the world (cf. p. 86). Hence, if we were to know at all, we would have to know through language. However, while well adapted to poetry, language is pathetic, deplorable and utterly useless as a tool to obtain knowledge (cf. pp. 84, 108). Even worse – language holds a tyrannical grip on our thought and leads us to accept beliefs that are false at best and politically dangerous at worst.

Empedoclesfamously first described the four Greek classical elements. Attempting to explain both change and stability in nature, he

^{*} Department of Philosophy, Rice University, 6100 Main Street, Houston, Texas 77005, U.S.A.; http://www.rainerebert.com/, rainerebert@gmail.com

postulatedνεικος (strife) andφιλια (love), forces Empedocles imagined to act between the elements. A scientific hypothesis back then, it seems obvious to us today that "νεικος" and φιλια" are anthropomorphic, mere metaphors drawing an incomplete and misguiding picture of the world. Mauthner's anti-metaphysical program is characterized by the attemptto show that all words - including the refined ones used in philosophy and science - are metaphorical, every bit as much as "νεικος" and "φιλια".

Mauthner's case for word-skepticism starts with a critical account of human experience. He holds that what we perceive through the senses is contingent on our attention, interests and needs, and consequently calls our senses Zufallssinne (contingent senses). Sensations reflect what is useful. Usefulness, however, does not imply accuracy, Mauthner notes. He then goes on toinsist that our senses provide us with a picture of the world that is not only incomplete but distorted, contrary to the common belief that the evolutionary purpose of our senses vouches for their accuracy. Mauthner would have been well advised to replicate the gist of his argument for this central point developed more thoroughly in his Contributions. Since he fails to do so on this and other occasions, the reader unfamiliar with his other works will be left puzzled at times.

We take another step away from reality when we translate our sensations into language. In this process, something is always lost. Nature is too subtle to be captured by the crude claws of language (cf. p. 19). Far from being an adequate picture of the world, as Ludwig Wittgenstein will argue later (cf. TractatusLogico-Philosophicus, 4.021), language is metaphorical at best. Since Mauthner conceives reality as adjectival andessentially qualitative (p. 112), he finds it particularly problematic when we introduce nouns to describe our experiences. Mauthner argues that errors and confusions in science and philosophy, and sometimes also sociopolitical evil, arise when one mistakes nouns for names of things. rooted in Wortaberglauben (word-superstition), Metaphysics is unwarranted assertion that there are entities corresponding to the properties that are given to us in the senses.

As words wander through the centuries, they find their way into other cultures as calques and loanwords (pp. 56 ff.) and move even further away from reality. All words are rooted in metaphors, or in metaphors of metaphors (p. 109); they are always in statunascendi. Examples for such ghostly words that misguide the superstitiousare "substance", "object" (pp. 66 ff.) and "morality" (pp. 20 ff., 92) in philosophy, "psyche" (pp. 7 ff.), "affinity" (pp. 29 f.) and "element" (pp. 62 ff.) in science, "god" in religion, and "race" and "state" in politics.

Book Review 67

Fritz Mauthner: Die Sprache

Critique of language is liberation from word-superstition, the effort to uncover the influence that grammar has on metaphysics and to set limits to what should reasonably be said. Once we trace back the word "soul", for example, to its origin and understand the psychological conditions under which it emerged, we see that the postulation of the soul as an external entity was not warranted. "Soul" is just a word, nothing real corresponds. There is also no such thing as language. Language is a human activity, very much like a game, reflecting the collective memory of sensations. Likewise, there are no laws of nature. "Laws of nature" is a metaphor that has its origin in ancient myths about divine law-makers. Etc.Reality cannot in principle be known by means of language. A substantival world view is impossible. It is the task of philosophy to help us unlearn our habit to talk substantivally when doing philosophy or science.

Of course, Mauthner's critique of language ultimatelymust also apply to his own work insofar it purports to convey knowledge. "What a redemptive act would it be if the critique of language could be carried out with the quietly despairing suicide of thinking or speaking" (p. 120), Mauthner laments over the necessity to use words in his work. Consequently, he ends with surrender to the tyranny of language and a call for silence – anticipatory of Wittgenstein (cf. TractatusLogico-Philosophicus, 6), yet more comprehensive.

Rainer Ebert is a Ph.D. student of philosophy at Rice University, a founding member of the Bangladesh Liberal Forum, and an Associate Fellow at the Oxford Centre for Animal Ethics.