HEARIBAIDAN BARARA

NANCY TUANA, GENERAL EDITOR

representing the diversity of approaches now being used by feminist critics. volume contains essays covering the full range of the philosopher's thought and Western philosophical tradition. Devoted to the work of a single philosopher, each published, offering feminist re-interpretations of the writings of major figures in the This series consists of edited collections of essays, some original and some previously

Already published:

Cynthia Freeland, ed., Feminist Interpretations of Aristotle (1998) Celeine Leon and Sylvia Walsh, eds., Feminist Interpretations of Søren Kierkegaard (1997) Robin May Schott, ed., Feminist Interpretations of Immanuel Kant (1997) Nancy J. Holland, ed., Feminist Interpretations of Jacques Derrida (1997) Susan J. Hekman, ed., Feminist Interpretations of Michel Foucault (1996) Patricia Jagentowicz Mills, ed., Feminist Interpretations of G. W. F. Hegel (1996) Maria J. Falco, ed., Feminist Interpretations of Mary Wollstonecraft (1996) Bonnie Honig, ed., Feminist Interpretations of Harmah Arendt (1995) Margaret Simons, ed., Feminist Interpretations of Simone de Beauvoir (1995) Nancy Tuana, ed., Feminist Interpretations of Plato (1994)

Mimi Reisel Gladstein and Chris Matthew Sciabarra, eds., Feminist Interpretations of Ayn Kelly Oliver and Marilyn Pearsall, eds., Feminist Interpretations of Friedrich Nietzsche

Anne Jaap Jacobson, ed., Feminist Interpretations of David Hume (2000) Julien S. Murphy, ed., Feminist Interpretations of Jean-Paul Sartre (1999) Susan Bordo, ed., Feminist Interpretations of René Descartes (1999)

Sarah Lucia Hoagland and Marilyn Frye, eds., Feminist Interpretations of Mary Daly (2000) Tina Chantet, ed., Feminist Interpretations of Emmanuel Levinas (2001)

Nancy J. Holland and Patricia Huntington, eds., Feminist Interpretations of Martin Heidegger (2001)

Naomi Scheman and Peg O'Connor, eds., Feminist Interpretations of Ludwig Wittgenstein Charlene Haddock Seigfried, ed., Feminist Interpretations of John Dewey (2001)

Lorraine Code, ed., Feminist Interpretations of Hans-Georg Gadamer (2002) Lynda Lange, ed., Feminist Interpretations of Jean-Jacques Rousseau (2002)

Lynn Hankinson Nelson and Jack Nelson, eds., Feminist Interpretations of W.V. Quine

Renée Heberle, ed., Feminist Interpretations of Theodor Adorno (2006) Maria J. Falco, ed., Feminist Interpretations of Niccolò Machiavelli (2004)

Penny A. Weiss and Loretta Kensinger, eds., Feminist Interpretations of Emma Goldman

Nancy J. Hirschmann and Kirstie M. McClure, eds., Feminist Interpretations of John Locke

PRETATIONS

OROTHEA OLKOWSKI AND GAIL WEISS

UNIVERSITY PANK, PENNSYLYANIA THE PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY PRESS

15–16. See also "Child's Relation with Others," 136, where Merleau-Ponty dismisses the ego as a 22. Sigmund Freud, The Ego and the Id, trans. Joan Riviere (New York: W. W. Norton, 1962).

confused and chaotic state without further comment.

"Child's Relation with Others," 137.

du monde (Paris: Gallimard, 1969). John O'Neill (Evanston: Northwestern University Press, 1973), 133; originally published as La prose 25. Merleau-Ponty, "Dialogue and the Perception of the Other," in The Prose of the World, trans 24. Merleau-Ponty, Phenomenology of Perception, 361, 362.

the fundamental life that we have lived through. cial truth of our historicity, a truth that Merleau-Ponty clearly disdains as a mere reconstruction of 26. Merleau-Ponty, "Dialogue and the Perception of the Other," 139. This cannot be the artifi-

is properly interpreted through language, but in these texts Merleau-Ponty seems to be making a invokes William James and Henri Bergson to make the claim that lived experience follows from or différence, ed. M. C. Dillon (Atlantic Highlands, N.J.: Humanities Press, 1997), 103-4. Madison very different claim. The claim is that speech becomes possible on the basis of a more fundamental carnal experience. 27. See, for example, G. B. Madison, "Merleau-Ponty and Derrida: La différence," in Écart et

bany: State University of New York Press, 1991): 31-45, 35. Davis argues that language is one with Being insofar as it is a human creation. 28. Merleau-Ponty, "Science and the Experience of Expression," in Prose of the World, 15, 19. 29. Duane H. Davis, "Reversible Subjectivity," in Merkeau-Ponty Viscott, ed. M. C. Dillon (Al-

Merleau-Ponty, Interiority and Exteriority, Psychic Life, and the World, ed. Dorothea Olkowski and James Morley (Albany: State University of New York Press, 1999), 185–99, 191 30. Helen Fielding, "Envisioning the Other: Lacan and Merleau-Ponty on Intersubjectivity," in

31. Merleau-Ponty, "Child's Relations with Others," 140-41.

the import of the painful break and the move to independence; there is no way of knowing. However, between two world wars, twenty-five seems to be an extended childhood. Perhaps I have exaggerated I am stressing that this way of speaking and thinking may imply the feeling that there must be a 32. Merleau-Ponty, Phenomenology of Perception, 346. Even in France and even in the period

White Logic and the Constancy of Color

Helen A. Fielding

and 1968, Bruce Nauman phenomenologically draws the viewer's attention to the inherent relation between color as hue, color as skin color, In a series of ten-minute films titled Art Make-Up, made between 1967

the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada for financial support Deleuxe and the Ruin of Representation (Berkeley and Los Angeles: University of California Press, ington, D.C., September 13, 2000. I am indebted to the philosophical challenge offered by This chapter was originally a paper presented at the "Merleau-Ponty Circle" conference, Wash-1999); I am also indebted to Grace Jantzen for guiding my work on color in this direction and to Dorothea Olkowski to think Merleau-Ponty's phenomenology against itself. See Olkowski, Gilles

lences. As Richard Dyer points out in his book White (207), white people of course have a color; but in keeping with binary alignments, this color also "signifies the absence of colour" even as, paradoxically, color itself is a "characteristic of life" and of bodily presence.

These devaluations, then, also make life itself subservient to the petrifying demands of a cognitive unity that is produced through the imposition of racial markers on the body, signifying in advance how that body is to be understood and read. Logic is not open to incompossibles, to contradictions, to that which exceeds or does not fit into the equation. However, bodily being, as Merleau-Ponty reveals, is open to incompossibles, for example, the separate touches of the two hands bound together in one being. But even more important, perception allows one to move beyond the self, to be open to otherness. As he explains, the perspectival representation of depth in Renaissance painting that is mathematically calculated along disappearing lines does not present the world as it is; "it refers back, on the contrary, to our own vantage point." It does not reveal to the viewer the otherness of that which is viewed.

presence, introducing otherness and challenging cognitive unity. the ways in which we see? Nauman's film, in fact, seems to visually chalwhite body can appear as not appearing while simultaneously confirming white power and possession, here on earth?" (W, 207). How is it that the white body which is the vehicle for the reproduction of whiteness, of spirit not body that makes a person white, then where does this leave the appearance of a blank page. If, as Dyer proposes, in Western culture "it is fleshy curves of his muscles recede. His body almost begins to take on the time, the white paint seems to flatten his flesh, reflecting light so that the contours, he shows up the enormity of the surface of the skin of his slight as he slowly brushes his arm, spreading the color along his body's sinuous white becomes apparent. As he touches the end of his nose with paint, into his skin, the difference between the color of his skin and the color into the paint, in a delicate gesture, and slowly massages the color white is that his body is not actually white. As he repeatedly dips his fingers film White, where Nauman first smears his body with white art makeup, gaze to an otherness that goes beyond the assumed logic of representais that they displace the subject position of the viewer by opening her lenge the viewer to consider the status of white skin as a bodily lived body, thereby also emphasizing its sheer presence as a body. At the same tion, challenging existing equivalences. Indeed, what is revealed in the Accordingly, when we turn to Nauman's films, what becomes apparent

> archically in terms of categories of race.7 designation of a natural inferiority linked to skin color and arranged hierchanged under the rule of Enlightenment thinking was the need to proskin color was not a factor in determining who became slaves. What ture; accordingly, what emerged as a justification for slavery was the vide rational grounds supported by scientific evidence derived from natrenched through appeal to natural grounds. Hence, the "idea of nomic relations and physical traits," which was then justified and enemerged out of what was then a "circumstantial association between ecocent," dating back only to the eighteenth century.6 The marking of color the "idea of classifying according to somatic/morphological criteria is restatus has a long history, for example, the "yarmulke (varying according mind to a white, male, inherently rational, European model and the body glance, what becomes apparent is that this logic is supported by racially 'reducing "the blacks" to slavery is a modern idea"; before this move, to regions and period) of the Jews, [or] the yellow cross for the Cathars," points out that although marking bodies to display social or religious to a feminine, colored, emotional embodiment. Colette Guillaumin and sexually specific understandings of a mind/body dualism that links universal position supported by Enlightenment thinking. But at second Dyer argues that the logic of whiteness produces a seemingly neutral

ordinary" (W, 48). However, the variation and potential for alteration in support structurally enforced, although often invisible, concepts of white color, and white as signification; this link between the three helps to sive but unstable link between white designated as hue, white as skin 50). For example, it is deemed socially acceptable for people with white white skin reveals that whiteness is not so much given as ascribed (W) usefulness for designating a social group that is to be taken for the human fied by its common absence from paint color charts, "already suggests its tent" (W, 47). Indeed, this conception of white as being neutral, exempliparticular and nothing in particular, are both something and non-exisand to "a system of thought and affect whereby white people are both tional "slippage between white as a colour and white as colourlessness." to be "no colour because it is all colours," it allows for the representathe other aspects of white.8 Since white as hue is commonly understood considered an objective aspect of color. Still, it shapes how we encounter racial normality and superiority (W, 45-46). For example, white as hue is This slippage, according to Dyer, contributes to "a habit of perception" Bolstering this hierarchical arrangement, as Dyer explains, is the deci-

against you" (W, 60). and black as symbols, however, do not exhibit such slippage or variation. which who can be included as white continually shifts (W, 57). White attainable, flexible, varied category" even as the criteria according to is that, in its instability, it allows white to be "presented as an apparently example, both the Irish and the Jews have been considered both white diverse social meanings. As Dyer points out, these attitudes provide a black and white that marks white as good and black as bad: "'everything Embedded in the everyday language we use is a binary understanding of "is just as unstable, unbounded a category as white as a hue," its strength time and place (W, 52-57). Hence, although white in terms of skin color and nonwhite, depending upon the social and political situation of the Moreover, white as a category has historically undergone slippage; for "terrible warning to black people who try to be various" (W, 49-50). viewed negatively. Although both are harmful to the skin, they carry skin to tan, whereas people with black skin who use skin whiteners are has its darker side,' 'it's just a little white lie' and 'that's a black mark

power are needed" (PP, 307/355). In other words, in order for the lighting object lighted to be presented, at least two surfaces of different reflecting appear to be grey. But when a piece of white paper is introduced into a hole in a box that is painted black and brightly illuminated, and then and to light. He describes an experiment in which one looks first through within a field, which means that constancy always emerges in the objects' objectness of the thing; in fact, he intuits that objects always appear situations. Paradoxically, this constancy is not caused by the detached the black box and a piece of black paper into the white, the two boxes through a hole in a box that is painted white and only faintly lit; both relations within that field. Significantly, this constancy is linked to color tion is a certain constancy about the way the objects appear in a range of but that we perceive objects in the world, because inherent in this percepsensation.¹⁰ Hence, we can only perceive where there is difference. Yet there is pure sensation without background or foreground, there is no so too is color, which allows things to become differentiated.9 For where faintly illuminated. He concludes, then, that "for the structure lightingimmediately appear as a black box strongly illuminated and a white one for Merleau-Ponty, what is important is not only that we perceive color, between things, to see difference at all. While light is necessary to vision, that what is particular to color is that it allows us to see the differences If we phenomenologically investigate color as hue, however, we learn

> "articulation of the totality of the field, the wealth and subtlety of its red book in different lighting levels and our perception of the book in a structures" (PP, 308/355). Thus constancy applies to our apprehension tion is that the constancy of objects, of colors, appears within the ence.11 But significantly, what Merleau-Ponty wants to reveal in this secare needed. Perception, as Luce Irigaray has also argued, requires differjects, at least two surfaces of different color, of different reflecting power, over into other lighting levels. "neutral" light, which is the dominant lighting, is the one that carries for our apprehension of the thing as that thing. The red book remains a pears within a field, in relation to other objects that provide a structure book's function as a book remains constant because the book always apof the book as red under varying shades of illumination. In addition, the itself to become apparent as well as allowing for the appearance of ob-

cancels out the superficial structure of objects, and brings the reflecting according to the new lighting level, which now appears neutral (PP, 311/ lighting which leaves these structural differences intact" (PP, 308/355). potentialities of different surfaces to a common level, than in colourless the constancy of perception "is less perfect in coloured lighting, which 359). Moreover, white lighting is favored, since, Merleau-Ponty writes, the glare recedes and the objects take on their own color again. We see dependent upon it," as our eyes become used to the new lighting level, the room. But as the "level is laid down, and with it all the colour values switch on an electric light, the yellow lamp casts its yellow glare upon For lighting has its own level; it projects its own logic. When we first ing itself is "what we assume, what we take as the norm" (PP, 308/355). mediary (PP, 310/357). While a lighted object confronts our gaze, lighties, and lead our gaze instead of arresting it." In photographs, for example, tive only when they remain in the "background as discrete intermediarlighting takes on an objectlike status and hence loses its capacity as inter-In short, Merleau-Ponty intuits that lighting and reflection are effec-

of inhabiting all the environments which the world contains, the key to entails, is a bodily operation, and I cannot effect it otherwise than by our abode in a certain setting of colour, with the transposition which it entering into the new atmosphere, because my body is my general power metaphorical; it is also corporeal. As Merleau-Ponty writes, "Taking up particular, allows us to see. It illuminates. This relation is more than connected to metaphors of lighting and to sight. Light, white light in It is not, then, coincidental that thought and enlightenment are

all those transpositions and equivalences which keep it constant" (*PP*, 311/359). If perception is primary and hence precedes and intertwines with the cognitive, then our bodily ability to move into new lighting levels, new spatial levels, has corporeal effects that simultaneously affect and intertwine with the cognitive. For if we keep in mind Merleau-Ponty's insight into the logic of lighting, as well as Dyer's linking of hue, skin color, and signification, it follows that the light of Western metaphysics sets a particular level by which we see the world according to a seemingly neutral and universal en-light-enment. "My gaze 'knows' the significance of a certain patch of light in a certain context; it understands the logic of lighting" and conforms to it (*PP*, 326/377). Hence, a thing is never perceived outside a field of relations, outside a certain logic that my body understands as a type of synergy. A thing is "not actually given in perception, it is internally taken up by us, reconstituted and experienced by us in so far as it is bound up with a world" (*PP*, 326/377).

ontological function when it ceases to be a specific color and instead helps to confirm the world in which we live. Hence, it "always tends to of yellow upon the whole field even as it "ceases to be visible as particuing to the degree and mode of their resistance to this new atmosphere" ing, [it] tends to become anterior to any colour, [it] tends towards absence (VI, 217/271). Indeed, as the yellow light assumes "the function of lightbecomes the "color of the illumination, the dominant color of the field" ble and the Invisible that a color, yellow, for instance, can take on an become 'neutral' for us" (PP, 311/359). Merleau-Ponty writes in The Visicognitive or mind is privileged over the body is perceptually taken up means that the laying down of a spatial and bodily level whereby the yellow becoming a dimension of being through which "every possible field, takes on an ontological function because it imposes its particularity itself "Sensorality." Hence, the color yellow, when it illuminates the croaches upon the same world shared by the other senses, a world that is incommunicable for the other senses." Still, each sense opens or enwhole," although not in terms of a "sign-signification" relation. This being" is expressed. A sensible object can then be "representative of the lar" (VI, 217–18/270). Each sense opens onto this yellow world, the color (PP, 311/359). Each of our senses is in itself a world that is "absolutely "objects distribute the colours of the spectrum among themselves accord colour" (PP, 311/359; emphasis added). Correspondingly, he writes The logic of lighting is one, then, that we come to understand, that

paradoxically not in terms of representation, but rather in terms of privileging the representational itself as a bodily level.

some way be preceded by a style or generality of being that hence overlaps tasted and in which we have moved. Just as the sensible is invisible, a some way relate back to the world we have seen, heard, touched, and a generality of style" (VI, 237/290) that affects the ways that things apon how racism is perpetuated through representation and signification it exceeds signification and representation. Hence, merely focusing only the color yellow can become an ideality, an essence, or a style of being with the cognitive in its formulation as a concept.13 Moreover, because its conceptual formulation. For Merleau-Ponty, every concept must in concept, such as humanity, has a style or a horizon of being that precedes pear. 12 Since perception is primary, even the most abstract concepts in as humanity (Menschheit) can itself be taken up as a "horizonal generality, too can set up a level that is itself invisible. For example, a concept such will not lead us to inquire into the ontological foundations that dictate how things and people appear according to a racist and sexist lighting Like the color yellow, which can set up a level or a horizon, a concept

atmosphere of neutrality and normality, white is associated with purity neutral judgment. Sherene Razak, quoting Kristin Bumiller, describes affect how victim and accused appear according to the classic rape script. and disembodiment. These equivalences that accompany white logic can extent that women are worthy of trust and protection." 14 As Razak how the classic rape trial, which emphasizes the "victim's purity," reinjustice system belongs, the courtroom should be the exemplary site of or white men" is taken less seriously.15 As Patricia Hill Collins points racial symbol. However, the rape of "Black women either by Black men their purity as having been violated, even as this purity itself stands as a woman." White women, in this light, are distanced from their bodies and "considered inherently less innocent and less worthy than white women points out, this means that aboriginal women and "women of color" are forces the "presumption that punishing violent men is justified to the Although according to the Enlightenment lighting level to which the according to this script, as sexual and animal-like because they have been out, from this perspective of the history of slavery, black women are seen, hence their sexuality and desire; this distancing is necessary to uphold ...[since] the classic rape in legal discourse is the rape of a white For example, according to a white lighting level that has taken on the

over from one situation to the next. according to the logic of a white lighting level, people and objects appear closely associated with nature, the body, and sexuality as a justification in a field of relations, and according to a certain constancy that spills be raped. In extending Razak's analysis, then, we can begin to see how, ture, black women were not raped, for they were not subjects who could thinking that justified slavery according to an assumed hierarchy of nafor the violent exploitation of their sexuality and labor under slavery.16 According to the lighting level of eighteenth-century Enlightenment

early critique of Merleau-Ponty's chapter on sexuality in the Phenomenol skin is almost always underlit (W, 89-103). White is considered the color a level that is in itself invisible, and yet casts its seemingly neutral light ogy of Perception, in which he assumes a normal subject who is European the field. Indeed, Merleau-Ponty's own work demonstrates that despite or of neutrality and universality, which does not show itself, but illuminates reveals in his study of film and lighting that the norm for cinema lighting upon the field of relations of all possible skin colors. For example, Dyer reveal how white logic can set up certain equivalences that contribute to heterosexual male perspective. This claim is exemplified in Judith Butler's he himself could not see beyond the cultural level of a Eurocentered perhaps even because of his meticulous phenomenological descriptions, Accordingly, in shots that include both black and white actors, black is that which is produced according to the appearance of white skin color. things and people appear within a field of relations. They also help to and heterosexism, as examples, can become levels, invisible in and of heterosexual, and male.17 themselves. These levels cast rays of illumination and shift the ways that Merleau-Ponty's intuitions, then, help to reveal how racism, sexism,

after his death from AIDS, how this logic of constancy can so effectively Marlon Riggs shows, in his last film, "Black Is . . . Black Ain't," completed exemplified by the effects of skin tanning and skin bleaching; despite the 57). At the same time, it has a certain constancy that has little to do and the Irish at different historical and geographical junctures (W, 52operate. 18 African Americans, he argues, have in some sense been neces actual changing of skin color, one remains respectively black or white with literal whiteness and everything to do with "racial" signification as of the category of white skin color to both include and exclude the Jews danger of being lost, as exemplified by Dyer's description of the slippage Importantly, whiteness is a status that is precarious, that is always in

> exploration of the color "black" as a category used to justify suppression, context of its being destructive to black community. This film, as an a field of relations. sion, a unity, I would argue, that is only logical according to a white community rather than a unity based on the inherited violence of excluviolation, and exclusion, is offered by Riggs as a plea for an expansive a black, gay man dying of AIDS is a reminder of how bodies, and some demarcates who counts as black. Riggs's own bodily status in the film as reversing this logic through self-naming was crucial to the civil rights appear according to the logic of white naming. Although, as he argues sarily made complicit in a white logic that has, in the past, made them lighting level in which color status is determined in advance from within bodies in particular, have been devalued in the light of Western culture. logic persists under the banner of an exclusionary identity that rigidly movement and to black identity, the tendency to identify with white Thus crucial in this film is a challenge to homophobia and sexism in the

system. Our perception in its entirety is animated by a logic which assigns 352; emphasis added). Similarly, then, despite tanning, skin bleaching, or thought-of-quality, but through a non-sensory presence" (PP, 305) ances as the background persists beneath the figure, that is, not as a seen which moral blackness is visible. The real colour persists beneath appearwhen it is overlaid with reflected light, and is visible only in the sense in of blackness than a sombre power which radiates from the object, even sun's rays." He continues: "But this blackness is less the sensible quality example, his black fountain pen, which he still sees as black "under the Color persists even when it is not visually apparent, as in the case of, for apparent discrepancies, for constancy inheres in the objects themselves. which 'cancel out' as unreal all stray data; it is entirely sustained by the forms, all sense-data and the significance of objects which go to form a which no two other colors are seen as having opposites (W, 48). As spatial level. These equivalences, he notes, are linked to a dualistic sysor other such superficial attempts to alter skin color, as Dyer argues, in to each object its determinate features in virtue of those of the rest, and in the organization of a field: it is not only colours, but also geometrical Merleau-Ponty himself writes, "We now begin to see a deeper meaning tem that sees black as the opposite of white within a color system in in fact, so much about color as about its equivalences within a particular this white lighting level the "real color" of skin persists, since it is not, Merleau-Ponty explains how color constancy can be upheld despite

certainty of the world" (*PP*, 313/362; emphasis added). Thus stray data that do not conform to the logic of lighting are canceled out by the certainty of a world that exists; it is not merely the other side of the visible, but is that which does not even appear as absence according to this particular lighting level.

painting (PP, 313/361). tative values are disturbed, as is the internal logic of the lighting of the taken out of its context, loses its thickness and its colour." The represencolour itself changes, and this green, which was meadow green, when of color. If one stands too close, isolating a "part of the field, then the level of the picture itself as well as the representative values of the daubs horizon of significance allows us to determine both the internal lighting an art gallery must be viewed from the appropriate distance so that a the horizon of all our experiences" (PP, 313/362). A picture hanging in constancies . . . is grounded in the primordial constancy of the world as Merleau-Ponty, it would seem that the "true significance of perceptual into the unity, then this unity is open to exclusion. According to which is not confirmed appears as a stray datum because it does not fit 368). The question is whether this unity is one that erases differences to shape, these tactile properties, this resonance, this odour" (PP, 318-19) maintain the whole, or whether it allows for a coexistence of differences clared that a picture contains within itself even the smell of the world, providing a unity of the senses. Indeed, Cézanne, he writes, "de-If we expect that which we hear to confirm that which we see, and that landscape. . . . [A] thing would not have this colour had it not also this As Merleau-Ponty explains it, each sense opens out onto the same

Black Is... Black Ain't opens with blurred images of Riggs running naked through a forest. These images, his voiceover later narrates, are his attempt to search through the clutter, through the attempts to confine him to some space where he is not seen for the "naked truth" of who he is. Indeed, it would seem that the blurring of the images is integral to this attempt to unanchor established spatial and lighting levels and to unhinge representational thinking that categorizes and shapes our encounters with others. Riggs connects these images to the images that hold together his own self-identity, "images of the woods, the rivers, the steamboats, the shacks" of his own living memory. This living memory is not, however, representational; rather, it is a gathering of that which has affected him, of that which is meaningful to his sense of self. It is

about living life intensely even as he was himself dying in hospital as the film was being completed.

set spatial and lighting levels. They unanchor our preconceived representhat he hopes she will use in abundance, provide this unanchoring from stancy" seems to occur "only in things and not [for example] in the difand anything is possible. He concludes that "the phenomenon of condeterminate things-their object status is suspended, colors are liberated closes one's eyes, Merleau-Ponty writes, then one no longer perceives screen dislocates the structures of the viewer's perception. If one halfnot support objects but rather detached color patches (PP, 307/354). The screen unanchors the field establishing a "fictional plane," which does can no longer 'dominate' (übershauen) the relationships introduced by a field. For when the subject "looks through the window of a screen, [she ages with the "naked truth" of who is he is, this connection does not his image from the expected field of relations. tations of who he is and what we expect of him because they unanchor that the blurred images of himself, which Riggs tells his unseen colleague fuse space of after-images" (PP, 308/356). Accordingly, it would seem to one another from within the structures with which she is familiar. The screens, what becomes evident is that the perceiver perceives from within of lived perception that establishes representational values from within a seem so strange in light of Merleau-Ponty's intuition into the constancy lighting" (PP, 308/355). The subject cannot perceive objects in relation If we return to Merleau-Ponty's discussion of the experiments with horizon that takes its field of meaning from preestablished equivalences. Although it would seem paradoxical that Riggs connects blurred im-

The paradox of phenomenal perception, then, is that we perceive objects and people from within the horizon of a "certain atmosphere," which sets out in advance how things and people will appear in relation to one another and in relation to the perceiver, even as it is perception that opens us to otherness as well (PP, 305/352). Each perceiving subject brings with her the sedimented levels that shape the way she encounters each new situation. There is, then, an inherent conservatism to perception that denies the appearance of stray data. At the same time, however, our corporeal ability to move into new situations and to take them up still leaves us open to creative sedimentation, to seeing anew. It is, Merleau-Ponty tells us, the "instability of levels [that] produces not only the intellectual experience of disorder, but the vital experience of giddi-

ness and nausea, which is the awareness of our contingency, and the horror with which it fills us" (PP, 254/294).

sedimented representations and significations to the world we encounter, only be certain of that which we represent to ourselves. Still, Merleausubjects to pure consciousnesses, denying the "links which unite the and which we can then encounter with certainty, since we have encounthings themselves, challenging Cartesian certainty, the belief that we can sphere" (PP, 320/370). But what Merleau-Ponty phenomenally describes of thinking, since these qualities "give the impression of being autonoto say, Cartesian thinking reduces the world to objects in themselves and tion, or a communion "of our body with things" (PP, 320/370). That is of the world we encounter. "The prejudices arising from objective thinkpatch of colours not only its colour value, but also a certain representative tered the same world before. If we return to his example of the picture in Ponty's own descriptions reveal the extent to which we apply previously phenomenologically to go straight to the thing itself is exactly to perceive noting the colour of the eyes" (PP, 305/352). What this means is that value, "just as it is able to fasten upon the expression of a gaze without perception goes straight to the things, bypassing color as a representative objectlike status of color recedes. For Merleau-Ponty, this indicates that is that when we do, in fact, engage with the world and with others, this "present us with an object rather than introducing us into an atmomous, and . . . less directly linked to our body." Visual qualities appear to up our world." Visual qualities, in particular, lend themselves to this way thing and the embodied subject, leaving only sensible qualities to make ing," however, obscure the recognition that perception is a communicavalue" (PP, 313/361). These representative values allow us to make sense the art gallery, the picture, seen at an ideal distance, "confers upon each the thing from within an atmosphere that confers specific equivalences. Merleau-Ponty, as a phenomenologist, reveals our contact with the

However, in Bruce Nauman's films, to which I want to return, it is impossible to go straight to the thing, to a humanist notion of the artist himself, bypassing the color of his skin, since the films are about the encoloring of his body and the significations these colors confer. Importantly, these meditations on the repetitive gestures of the body do not hold the gaze. Viewers wander by each film but few linger; for the repetitive habitual gestures that gather an identity are presented in these works spread out over time just as they are in the mundaneness of daily existence, or the temporal process of creating an artwork. What is revealed,

then, is precisely that the mundaneness of these corporeal gestures enacts a process of signification—in color and at the surface—and that this repetitive process that maintains a certain constancy is that which is at stake. Still, we do learn something about the artist, not in the humanistic terms of an interior subject, but rather phenomenally in terms of what is perceived at the surface that outlines the artist's commitment to challenge the viewer to question how she sees herself in relation to everything and everyone else around her.

and his face seem more alive; the shapes and contours of his muscles and painted consecutively over the white, reveal the fleshiness of Nauman's ond and third films, Pink and Green, named after the colors that are with these films also reveals how color exceeds representation. The secof the camera. This happens twice and only in Green. These moments body in contrast to its receding in white. Emerging in pink, his muscles ous absence of his recognition is an absence I had not even noticed until aware of wanting him to look again, to acknowledge my look; the previviewer, I must simultaneously recognize my own engagement. I become are powerful because they expose the viewer's complicity, my complicity, the camera, perhaps toward a mirror, suddenly looks directly into the lens in the film Green, his gaze, which was previously always directed beyond hands seem somehow more sensuous. The body is revealed as subject. But his body seems to undulate, to come alive, and the movements of his bone structure emerge in the light. Painted pink, the very fleshiness of in the system as a disengaged onlooker. For, in being recognized as a that moment. In challenging existing equivalences, a phenomenological encounter

It is in the last film, *Black*, however, that the full implications of the symbolization of color that invade or intertwine with our phenomenal perception emerge. As Nauman paints his body black, the color seems to absorb light under the particular lighting used for this film; his body seems fleshy and larger than it did when painted white. In this film, he wraps his arms around his body, a seemingly protective gesture. As a viewer, I find myself again wanting his eyes to recognize me, to gaze at the camera, to complete the circuit. They do not. It would seem that he has simultaneously reminded the viewer of interiority even as he refuses to engage with it. But the disturbing realization of the extent of Western color signification and my own complicity in this system, in particular as someone who is white, is revealed by his simple gestures of opening his mouth, blowing out his cheeks and showing his teeth. His face seems

or 87

suddenly, disturbingly, "baboonlike." It is a gesture that can only become significant and significantly disturbing from within the long historical chain of representation with which I am complicit, which connects black skin with animality, and which is simultaneously reactivated and broken open in these last simple gestures of the film series.

application of art makeup. What is revealed is not the inner qualities of getting."20 There is, then, a revealing through a concealing, through the giving, not quite exposing. . . . You're not going to get what you're not self is quoted as saying that "make-up is not necessarily anonymous but enal, corporeal surface, that is never exactly what it seems. Nauman himup. For it is important that Nauman chose to make four separate films, systems of representation even as it shows what it means to break them uncomfortable self-recognition that implicates the viewer in the epochal each proceeding from the level of the last, the level provided by the color viewed. This surface reflects the viewer back to herself, allowing for an the artist but rather the reversibility between the viewer and the surface it's distorted in some way, it's something to hide behind. It's not quite tantly, while these films show up the sensuality of color as it exceeds certing, drawing attention to the existence of the level itself. Importions.21 Although this is not how I viewed them myself, I can imagine films were to be projected simultaneously on four walls in life-size proporpainted on his body. Apparently, it was Nauman's intention that these and black bodies according to its equivalences and significations. level of Western lighting, which representationally reveals white bodies representation, they simultaneously reveal the tenacity of the dominant that the effect of asserting four levels at once would be effectively discon-These films are an exploration of the surface, of the habitual, phenom-

In "Eye and Mind," Merleau-Ponty criticizes Descartes for understanding color as mere ornament, since color too presents us with "things, forests, storms—in short the world" (EM, 172/43). And yet Merleau-Ponty remains critical of abstract art, which he sees as a move "toward multiplying the systems of equivalences, [by]... severing their adherence to the envelope of things." Although he maintains that this effort might involve creating "new materials or new means of expression," he still questions why it could not be attained through reexamining and reinvesting "those which existed already" (EM, 182/71–71). In making this claim, he thus reveals this tension in his own work, and in the phenomenal body itself, between thinking creatively and adhering to sedimented structures. Merleau-Ponty's critique of abstract art hence exhibits his un-

tational structure that gives form and contour to the phenomenal world within a horizon of meaning and a logic of lighting, to sever the represenwillingness to sever our connection to the world of objects that appear of his own intuitions about the strength and tenacity of the spatial and remains whether a new system of equivalences, of new meanings, could I would suggest, moreover, that he did not fully realize the implications existence of multiple lighting and spatial levels to coexist, thereby showitself as a unifying power. What is needed, it seems, is an opening for the be created if the lighting level itself were not shifted or challenged in lighting levels that bind us to a world of equivalences. The question then to adhere to the level itself. It is just that which Nauman accomplishes levels are not neutral or universal even as the belief that they are tends it (PP, 254/294). For what the shifting of levels itself reveals is that these for the instability and the nausea that can accompany the experience of ing up the contingency of the level itself, a contingency we tend to avoid are open to change. in his films; he reveals the contingency of the level itself through exposing his viewers to different lighting and color levels; as contingent they

tries to unsettle the equivalences themselves by exposing the relation the viewer reflect upon her complicity with what is viewed, Riggs's film existence of a singular lighting level. Just as Nauman's films demand that page incurred in the designation of white skin color, Riggs similarly shows nity, which could only be one of exclusion. Just as Dyer reveals the slip-Riggs shows, provide a shaky foundation upon which to build a commubetween hue, skin color, and symbol that Dyer outlines. These relations, cumstance. Near the start of the film, the actors chant, "Black is blue, how the color black shifts according to historical and geographical cirthe possibilities for the creation of meaning and structuring equivalences. her preconceptions of the meaning of black even as they begin to multiply black is red, black is high, black is low," at once exposing the viewer to the attempt to release his own sense of self from the net of significations Riggs's aim, however, to reveal the naked truth of who he is, I take to be stock of the state of his health. As these counts dipped to dangerously marks that at first he kept track of his T-cell counts to help him take ing. In recounting his experience of hospitalization with AIDS, he reimposed upon him and to open this sense to his own creative rememberto how he felt. It is not that Riggs wants to sever the bonds of community low levels, he tells us, he stopped counting, and instead began to attend Marlon Riggs's film "Black Is . . . Black Ain't" similarly challenges the

that link us together. Rather, he wants to multiply the possibilities of what counts as community, of how we understand ourselves. He draws upon his mother's gumbo as a helpful metaphor for a community of inclusion; for the gumbo included everything one could imagine along with the secret ingredients that made it his mother's gumbo. But were the gumbo to be made too thick, the ingredients would lose their individual flavors and the taste of the gumbo itself would be diminished.

initary logic. to appear as absence or, more importantly, as that which breaks open a or new equivalences, that allows for that which has been canceled out mity of our senses, to make the lighting level visible in a way that allows or changing what previously seemed neutral and universal begin to multhe inherent contingency of the level itself, the possibilities cording to a particular lighting level or way of thinking, even as we begin phenomenologically aware of the ways that things and people appear acneaning? For the strength of phenomenological description is that it oody for dismantling structures, for creating new ways of relating and now can this logic be revealed in a way that opens up the potential of the pears within a particular logic to which the phenomenal body responds, act with a world that has an established lighting level. If the world apus: how to achieve creative expression and new meanings from our conhis capacity to reveal and perhaps to break open the tendency toward a iply. I would maintain that creations such as Nauman's and Riggs's have shows us where we are and hence how to proceed. 22 Indeed, if we become This, then, is the heart of the paradox that Merleau-Ponty presents to

Notes

- Bruce Nauman, Art Make-Up, No. 1, White (1967); Art Make-Up, No. 2, Pink (1967–68);
 Yrt Make-Up, No. 3, Green (1967–68); Art Make-Up, No. 4, Black (1967–68), films, 16 mm, color, ilent.
- See Richard Dyer, White (London: Routledge, 1997), 45–46. This work is hereafter cited s W.
- 3. For further discussion, see Patricia Hill Collins, Black Feminist Though: Knowledge, Conciousness, and the Politics of Empowerment (Boston: Unwin Hyman, 1990).
- 4. Maurice Merleau-Ponty, The Visible and the Invisible, trans. Alphonso Lingis (Evanston: lorthwestern University Press, 1968), 132; Le visible et l'invisible (Paris: Gallimard, 1964), 174–75. This work is hereafter cited as VI, with two sets of page numbers, the first referring to the English dition, the second to the French.
- 5. Merleau-Ponty, "Eye and Mind," in The Primacy of Perception, trans. Carleton Dallery (Ev-

anston: Northwestern University Press, 1964), 174; *Uceil et l'esprit* (Paris: Gallimard, 1964), 50. This work is hereafter cited as EM, with two sets of page numbers, the first referring to the English edition and the second to the French.

- Coletre Guillaumin, Racism, Sexism, Power, and Ideology (London: Routledge, 1995).
- /. Ibid., 140-41.
- 8. Evan Thompson points out that for Newton, "whiteness is the usual color of light," since "no ray ever exhibits this colour, and it requires proportions of all the primary colours." Colour Vision: A Study in Cognitive Science and the Philosophy of Perception (London: Routledge, 1995), 11.
- See Aristotle, De Anima, trans. Hugh Lawson Trangred (London: Penguin Books, 1986).
 4–75.
- 10. Merleau-Ponty, Phenomenology of Perception, trans. Colin Smith (London: Routledge and Kegan Paul, 1962), 5; Phénoménologie de la perception (Paris: Gallimard, 1945), 10–11. This work is hereafter cited as PP, with two sets of page numbers, the first referring to the English edition and the second to the French.
 11. See, for example, Luce Irigaray, "Flesh Colors," in Sexes and Genealogies, trans. Gillian C.
- 11. See, for example, Luce Irigaray, "Flesh Colors," in Sexes and Genealogies, trans. Gillian C. Gill (New York: Columbia University Press, 1993); originally published as "Les couleurs de la chair," in Sexes et parentés (Paris: Les Éditions de Minuit, 1987).
- 12. For further discussion, see Samuel B. Mallin, Art Line Thought (Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic 1996), 284–90.
- 13. I explore this transposition between the perceptual world and ideality more thoroughly in my article "The Sum of What She is Saying's Bringing Essentials Back to the Body," in Resistance Flight Creation: Feminist Enactments of French Philosophy, ed. Dorothea Olkowski (Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 2000).
- 14. Kristin Bumiller, "Fallen Angels: The Representation of Violence Against Women in Legal Culture," in At the Boundaries of Law, ed. M. A. Fineman and N. S. Thomadsen (New York: Routledge, 1991), 97. Quoted in Sherene H. Razack, Looking White People in the Eye (Toronto: University of Toronto Press, 1998), 68.
- 15. Razack, Looking White People in the Eye, 69.
- 16. Collins, Black Feminist Thought.
- 17. Judith Butler, "Sexual Ideology and Phenomenological Description: A Feminist Critique of Merleau-Ponty's Phenomenology of Perception," in The Thinking Muse, ed. Jeffner Allen and Iris Marion Young (Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 1989).
- 18. Marlon T. Riggs with Nicole Atkinson, Christiane Badgley, and Bob Paris, Black Is... Black Ain't: A Personal Journey Through Black Identity (San Francisco: California Newsreel, 1995).
- 19. For a detailed critique of Merleau-Ponty's intuition of spacial levels, see Olkowski, Gilles Deleuze, 59–88. Olkowski clearly demonstrates how Merleau-Ponty does not recognize the inherent conservatism apparent in his own phenomenological descriptions.
- 20. Coosje van Bruggen, Bruce Nauman (New York: Rizzoli International, 1988), 196.
- Jane Livingston, "Bruce Nauman," in Bruce Nauman: Work from 1965 to 1972 (New York: Praeger). 27.
- 22. Indeed, this questioning of "how to proceed," indeed even "how to proceed correctly," has been attributed to Nauman. See Heinz Peter Schwerfel, Make Me Think: Bruce Nauman (U.K.: Artcore Production for the Arts Council of England, 1997).