

Erratum et Corrigendum to Joseph S. Fulda, "The Logic of 'Improper Cross'," *Artificial Intelligence and Law* 8 (December 2000): 337-341.

fulda@acm.org

Erratum:

(1) p. 337, line 19 should read:

be conveniently represented as $(\exists x)Qx$, with the understanding that the existential

(2) p. 337, line 23 should read:

the answer to $Q?$ as $/Q?/$ and the answers to $(\exists x)Qx$ as $/(\exists x)Qx/$. A "restriction

(3) p. 339, note 6, line 3 should read:

in part, on the testimony of the other side's *other* witnesses—rather than force counsel to call such

(4) p. 340, lines 12-13 should read:

$(\exists x_1)(\exists x_2)(\exists x_3) \dots (Q_1x_1 \ \& \ Q_2x_2 \ \& \ Q_3x_3 \ \& \ \dots \ \& \ \bigwedge_j T(Wp_j) \ \& \ T(Wp_i a)) \vdash^* F$ or, alternatively,

$(\exists x_1)(\exists x_2)(\exists x_3) \dots (Q_1x_1 \ \& \ Q_2x_2 \ \& \ Q_3x_3 \ \& \ \dots) \vdash^* \sim(\bigwedge_j T(Wp_j) \ \&$

Corrigendum:

(1) p. 341, lines 1-2 should read:

answers in the line of questioning and the testimony space of the side being crossed and the testimony of the witness being cross-examined and some possible