Keeping on track: A case study of utilizing anecdotal checklist in clinical practice

Prospero Genosas * , Davao del Norte State College, Panabo, Davao del Norte, Philippines.
Orville Evardo, Davao de Oro State College, Philippines.

Abstract

Anecdotal checklists are becoming more and more common in psychological practice nowadays, but they are not frequently employed in clinical psychology. This study focused on the use of anecdotal checklists in clinical practice and highlighted the experiences, perceptions, potential barriers, and challenges of the use of anecdotal checklists. A case study design was utilized in this study with one participant who utilized the anecdotal checklist during the intervention. The data was gathered through interviews and was analyzed using thematic analysis. Data revealed that the participant expressed improved session structure and overwhelming paperwork and forms management. The participant perceived that using the checklist results in an effective collaboration and intervention. Moreover, challenges involve establishing the psychometric soundness of the checklist. The study highlights the potential benefits of using anecdotal checklists in providing psychological services. Additionally, the study emphasizes the need for further research focusing on the establishment of the psychometric properties of the checklist.

Keywords: Anecdotal checklist; clinical practice; psychological services

* ADDRESS FOR CORRESPONDENCE: Prospero Genosas, Davao del Norte State College, Panabo, Davao del Norte, Philippines.
 E-mail address: pgenosasiii@gmail.com

1. Introduction

Clinical practice in a number of healthcare specialties, such as psychology, psychiatry, and counseling, frequently includes the assessment and treatment of patients with diverse mental health difficulties (He et al., 2022; Altomari et al., 2022). To achieve accurate and thorough evaluations, healthcare providers must employ effective tools and strategies that encourage systematic observation, record-keeping, and treatment planning (Eckert, 2017; Burgess, 2018; Hui et al., 2020, Staneva et al., 2022; Albers et al., 2022). One such method that is gaining popularity in clinical practice is the anecdotal checklist (Rolfe, 2020).

Anecdotal checklists are organized, systematic questionnaires that are used in client sessions or interventions to collect and organize anecdotal observations and relevant data (Chen et al., 2022; Gee et al., 2022). They give medical professionals a useful and systematic tool to document and monitor patient behaviors, symptoms, growth, and other critical aspects of therapy (Helm et al., 1998). According to Perra et al., (2022) and Hooker et al., (2022), these checklists often comprise several specified categories or items relating to the client's health, treatment goals, and specific behaviors of interest. Additionally, clinical documentation frequently calls for subjective interpretations and narrative descriptions, whereas anecdotal checklists offer a more organized and standardized way of obtaining and summarizing observations (Brennan & Houde, 2022; Munder et al., 2022). By utilizing predefined categories and items, clinicians can gather accurate, measurable data that allows for reliable tracking of client progress and the effectiveness of treatment techniques over time.

1.1. Literature Review

1.1.1. Note-taking in Clinical Practice

According to the American Psychological Association (APA), psychologists must maintain accurate and current records of their professional work (Chenneville & Schwartz-Mette, 2020). Relying solely on memory in clinical psychology can lead to drawbacks and limitations due to the unreliability and biases of human memory (Bohart & Tallman, 2022). Memory biases can influence the accuracy of information that psychologists recall, and they can cause them to miss or misremember important details (Kraemer, 2022). Additionally, the capacity of the memory is limited, and important information may be missed or forgotten over time. Psychologists use methods of documentation like note-taking, record-keeping, and standardized testing to solve these problems. According to Pronovost et al., (2008) and Bailey et al., (2010), these procedures enable correct and extensive documentation of client data, make it easier to recall information accurately, and enhance evaluation and treatment planning. According to Locke and Latham (2006), documentation encourages collaboration and good communication among healthcare providers. Psychologists can improve the standard of their therapeutic practice, lessen errors and biases, and adhere to legal and ethical obligations by relying on documentation rather than memory alone (Hand, 2012; Koo & Smith, 2019).

To guarantee accurate and thorough documentation, clinical psychologists take notes (Bolarinwa, 2015). They can keep track of their progress, document important data, and support the use of evidence when making decisions thanks to these practices (Barros et al., 2022). For uniform recordkeeping, psychologists may employ standardized templates or forms (Welfel, 2015; O'Connor & Joffe, 2020). To document the order of sessions, assessments, and treatments, notes are arranged chronologically (Pullen & Loudon, 2006). Focusing on observable behaviors and precise details is a hallmark of clear and objective language (Mathioudakis, 2016; Sorra & Dyer, 2010; Hallgren, 2012; Sándor & Miklósi 2020). Presenting issues, goals, interventions, client reactions, and pertinent observations are all included in thorough reports (Barnett et al., 2007). Environmental impacts and cultural concerns are examples of contextual elements.

Psychologists frequently track the client's development, noting adjustments to the treatment plan, changes in symptoms, and treatment response (Mitchell, 2007). They record outcomes of standardized assessments, test scores, and clinical observations related to cognitive, emotional, or behavioral functioning. Notes may include consultations with other professionals, interdisciplinary team meetings, or communication with healthcare providers (Wampold, 2015). Psychologists prioritize confidentiality, informed consent, and the privacy and security of client records to adhere to legal and ethical guidelines (Welfel, 2015).

1.1.2. Importance of Documentation in Clinical Practice

Introducing a checklist as a note-taking technique keeps practitioners up-to-date with their client's progress and current condition (Andrade, 2019). A checklist is a tool for tracking and organizing chores or other items that need to be done (Krause, 2019). It often consists of a checklist of things or actions that must be done and then crossed off or marked as finished (Winters et al., 2009; Bliese et al., 2008). Checklists are widespread across many industries and contexts, including healthcare and psychology.

Taking notes is a crucial component of therapy and intervention because it enables therapists to track clients' progress toward their goals, keep track of their progress, and keep a thorough record of their sessions (Grover et al., 2022; Zaalberg et al., 2010). Various research provided notable benefits of note-taking in clinical practice. Note-taking accurately documents the client's emotional and psychological health (De Los Reyes et al., 2022; Chang et al., 2016). Clinicians can use these notes to monitor patients' advancement, pinpoint areas that require improvement, and assess the intervention's efficacy (O'Connor & Joffe, 2020). Additionally, it records their actions, which can be helpful in the future when addressing moral or legal dilemmas (Nicholson, 2002).

To guarantee continuity of care notes from therapy sessions can be shared with other healthcare professionals it must be ethically and statistically right and even accessible to public health committee (Benedetto, 2022; Brandenburg et al., 2021; MacDougall et al., 2020). Other practitioners can examine a client's notes with their consent, which saves time by enabling them to read the client's history and determine where they might require support (Delbanco et al., 2012; Kazdin, 2008). Note-taking is a terrific approach to give clients more control over their care, as they may gain by knowing more about it. Sharing these notes with the patient might help them understand their progress, accept responsibility for their interventions, and collaborate with the healthcare professional to reach their objectives (Britto et al., 2017). Conclusively, taking notes is a crucial component of quality assurance since it creates a thorough legal record of the assistance and services given to the client. Clinicians may use their notes for regulatory purposes or as evidence in court as necessary (Litz et al., 2007).

1.1.3. Important Aspects in Clinical Psychology

In the field of behavioral sciences, specifically psychology, psychologists design interventions based on a specific perspective and theory (Fonagy et al., 2015; Fry, 2001; Hawkins & McMahon, 2020), which is found effective in addressing a mental concern or such claim is at test (Brewer-Smyth, 2022). Likewise, the goal, duration, integral parts, and recovery indicators are consistent with the tenets of a theory (McAdams, 2001). However, it may leave the therapy impersonalized to the client's current situation. This supports the inability to develop a specific note-taking strategy for practicing professionals in the field. Hence, objectives must be set clearly during the intake interview with the reason for referral, mental status exam, and clinical observation (Cooper & Xu, 2022; Lambert et al., 2003). The application of SMART (specific, measurable, attainable, realistic, and time-bound) in goal setting is equally imperative (Deslippe et al., 2022). There are three significant aspects that psychologists focus on in every case; the physical aspect, emotional aspect, and cognitive aspect (Eells, 2022). On the other hand, risk assessment highlights the urgency or priority level that a specific intervention design should address (Dippel et al., 2022).

Physical Aspect. Setting treatment objectives or clinical approaches based on physical aspects impacts psychological services (Duarte et al., 2022). Psychologists evaluate clients' physical attributes, such as appearance, movement, speech, eye contact, and posture, to uncover physical symptoms contributing to psychological discomfort (Bevly et al., 2023). For instance, symptoms like a racing heart, perspiration, and tense muscles in clients with anxiety disorders can be markers for follow-up sessions (Rief & Martin, 2014). Psychologists may conduct or assist with physical evaluations or screenings, documenting results and collaborating with medical professionals (Fonagy et al., 2015; Fry, 2001; Hawkins & McMahon, 2020). Addressing physiological issues in therapy can strengthen the therapeutic bond and improve treatment outcomes (Linden, 2013; Kroenke & Sharpe, 2019). Clients may experience higher symptom relief and improved functioning when their mental health is approached holistically, including addressing bodily concerns.

Emotional Aspect. Psychological services' effectiveness is influenced by emotional factors (Palmieri et al., 2022). Overcoming psychological barriers and achieving mental health involves understanding, recognizing, and expressing emotions (Rubin-Falcone et al., 2020). Emotions provide insights into an individual's psychological state, feelings, and thoughts (Greenberg & Goldman, 2019). Exploring emotional experiences helps develop effective coping mechanisms (Beer et al., 2021). Emotion-Focused Therapy (EFT) assists in managing emotions, enhancing self-worth, and improving relationship satisfaction (Goldman & Goldstein, 2022). Processing and expressing emotions facilitate personal development and self-awareness (Sznycer, 2019). The cognitive-behavioral approach (CBT) acknowledges the significance of emotions and effectively treats psychological issues (Strauss et al., 2019). Psychologists assess clients' emotional experiences and self-reported functioning and use standardized tools like STAI, PANAS, and BDI (STAI, PANAS, & BDI) to evaluate emotional functioning. They track progress, establish therapy goals, and integrate emotional components in treatment for enhanced effectiveness and well-being.

Cognitive Aspect. Psychologists evaluate the cognitive aspects of clients, including their thinking, beliefs, and attitudes (Rezaeisharif et al., 2021). They use indications or symptoms related to cognitive functioning to identify and assess cognitive processes and abilities. These markers provide essential information about cognitive capabilities, limitations, and potential impairments. Interventions targeting cognitive aspects help individuals by addressing irrational thinking patterns reinforcing negative behaviors and emotions (Wenzel et al., 2016). Psychologists conduct clinical evaluations, interviews, and treatment sessions, utilizing standardized cognitive evaluation tools (Bauer et al., 2012) such as the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale-WAIS (Lichtenberg & Kaufman, 2012), The California Verbal Learning Test-CVLT (Kramer et al., 2020), and Wisconsin Card Sorting Test-WCST (Miles et al., 2021) to obtain quantitative information about cognitive ability. They observe clients' cognitive processes during tasks and conversations, focusing on language skills, problem-solving abilities, and other cognitive aspects. These observations provide qualitative insights into clients' cognitive functioning in real-world situations. Interviews contribute to understanding clients' cognitive experiences, challenges, and strengths (Kashdan et al., 2020). Psychologists document observed cognitive processes and clients' self-reported difficulties in their notes, employing rating scales or self-report questionnaires for cognitive assessment.

Risk Assessment. The risk assessment, which can be for the self or others, determines the urgency of a specific and how to intricately design intervention (Chen et al., 2022; Gee et al., 2022). Psychologists may use standardized testing procedures to evaluate risk factors like the potential for violence or suicidal thoughts. These tools offer numerical information that helps assess the seriousness and type of risk. The Beck Scale for Suicidal Ideation-SSI (De Berardis et al., 2020) and the Historical, Clinical, Risk Management- HCR-20 (Douglas, 2020) for violence risk are two examples of such measurements. Psychologists combine and evaluate all the gathered data using their clinical experience and discretion. They consider the client's assets, resiliency, and protective factors to assess the risk variables in the situation. When determining the client's present degree of risk, psychologists consider the changing nature of risk.

1.2. Purpose of study

To enhance client care and clinical outcomes, this study examined the experiences and perceptions of a clinical psychologist who used anecdotal checklists. It also tries to pinpoint obstacles and difficulties associated with putting an anecdotal checklist into clinical practice. In the end, this research intends to create a useful checklist for potential use in offering psychiatric treatments by investigating the role of anecdotal checklists in therapeutic practice. By incorporating anecdotal checklists into standard clinical examinations and treatment processes, the findings of this study may establish best practices and recommendations that will improve client care and treatment outcomes.

2. Materials and Method
2.1. Research Design

This study utilized the case study design, a type of qualitative research. According to McCombes (2023), a case study is an in-depth examination of a particular subject, such as a person, group, location, occasion, or phenomenon. A case study is often used in social, educational, clinical, and business research,

which helps describe, contrast, assess, and analyze various facets of a research topic. Since the researcher wants to gather factual, contextual, in-depth knowledge about anecdotal checklists in psychotherapy, a case study suits this study. The study also sought to gain as much knowledge as it could by using the anecdotal checklist so that information might be shared with a broader audience.

2.2. Participants

This research used a nonprobability sampling method, specifically purposive sampling. Purposive sampling, often called judgmental, selective, or subjective sampling, relies on the researcher's judgment when choosing the units that will be researched (Rai & Thapa, 2016). Additionally, researchers decide who should be included in the sample based on a range of factors, such as the person's capacity and willingness to participate in the study or their level of expertise in the research topic (Jupp, 2006).

Since the study is a case study, only one participant is enough for the data gathering of this research. According to Yin (2002), having one participant in a case study is enough as it is used for in-depth exploration and understanding of a specific phenomenon or situation rather than generalizability to a larger population. The selection of the study participant was based on the following inclusion criteria: (1) the participant must be a licensed psychologist; (2) the participant must be a practicing clinical psychologist; (3) the participant must be practicing for three years or more; and (4) the participant must be willing to participate in the study. Participants who did not meet the inclusion criteria were excluded from the study.

2.3. Procedure

The researcher strictly observed the following data-gathering procedure:

To establish the instrument's validity, the researcher subjected the research instrument to content validity. Three (3) experts validated the instrument and obtained a high validity. The researcher began the data gathering by securing a letter of request to conduct the study. After the approval of the study, informed consent was secured and duly signed by the participant indicating the participant's willingness to participate. Upon the acceptance of the participant, the researcher gave a copy of the anecdotal checklist for the participant's therapy and counseling sessions. After this, the researcher arranged the schedule and the place for the in-depth interview a month after utilizing the anecdotal checklist. The researcher ensured that the location for the interview would be safe, comfortable, and conducive for conversation. The researcher used a voice recorder placed between the researcher and the participant. A semi-structured interview guide was used. The recorded interview file was transcribed. Data transcript was analyzed using thematic analysis by Braun & Clarke (2006). According to Braun and Clarke (2006), there are six phases in conducting thematic analysis, which are as follows: (1) familiarizing the data, (2) generating initial codes, (3) searching for themes, (4) reviewing themes, (5) defining and naming themes, and (6) writing the report.

3.   Results

3.1.   Experiences in Using Anecdotal Checklist

In this study, an anecdotal checklist is a form that allows clinicians to record clinical observations during an ongoing therapy session, counseling, or any psychological services a clinical psychologist provides. The participant's experience was recorded, and based on the data gathered, two themes emerged concerning the experiences of the selected participant. The themes include (1) enhanced session structure and (2) overwhelming paperwork and forms management.

3.1.1. Theme 1: Enhanced Session Structure

The participant shared that using an anecdotal checklist enhances the session's structure since it can monitor and capture clinical observations in a detailed manner, thus allowing accurate note-taking. This idea can be seen in the participant's responses.

> *"The checklist was used to monitor the insights, physical manifestations, and emotional regulation of a client manifesting*

> *symptoms of PTSD… It allows me to monitor explicit signs of anxiety*
> *and other physical symptoms throughout the session…"*

The participant found it helpful in monitoring symptoms targeted in the session. The anecdotal checklist served as a monitoring form that allowed the participant to identify and label important symptoms to be addressed in each session. Thus, checklists can evaluate psychosocial symptoms (Zaalberg et al., 2010) and can be used in critical care settings for monitoring and responding to acute symptoms (Chang et al., 2016). The participant also shared that:

> *"[checklist] provide [s] particular progress reports and evidence-based*
> *approaches in the sessions… you can also monitor the progress from*
> *initial intake down to follow-up sessions… "*

The anecdotal checklist offers an objective way to measure and document client progress. Using specific indicators or items on the checklist, the participant could track changes in symptoms, behaviors, and other relevant domains over time. This objective measurement of progress provides a clear and tangible representation of the client's improvement or challenges (Lambert et al., 2003). Therefore, leading to the creation of treatment goals in evidence-based practice (Kazdin, 2008).

Moreover, using the anecdotal checklist increased focus by observing behaviors based on identified domains. While using the checklist, the participant transitioned smoothly in providing attention to problematic behaviors that required immediate intervention. This notion is captured in the narrative of the participant.

> *"It allows swift focus on particular behaviors and observations that*
> *may need direct intervention… simplifies as well the note-taking tasks*
> *of the therapist…"*

This is supported by the study of Andrade (2019) found that checklists can improve cognitive performance, attention, and task completion rates. Hence, it would lead to profound use of time (Winters et al., 2009) and efficient screening (Bliese et al., 2008), adding to the potential success of the therapeutic relationship.

3.1.2. Theme 2: Overwhelming Paperwork and Forms Management

In a therapeutic relationship, psychologists look at specific aspects that can be observed in physical, cognitive, and emotional manifestations. Additionally, psychological services often involve clients with diverse and complex needs. Each client's unique situation requires a tailored approach that may not fit neatly into a standardized checklist. This meticulous clinical procedure requires psychologists to consider many stimuli simultaneously. Nonetheless, the clinical psychologist should dedicate more time to the client instead of looking at the forms. The participant expressed that:

> *"Demanding to write specific aspects for each domain. It may be*
> *helpful if specific indicators are already written for each domain…"*

By outlining what has to be watched or evaluated, indicators offer clarity. There is less potential for ambiguity or misinterpretation because they provide precise instructions on the characteristics or actions that should be highlighted. This makes sure that pertinent data is recorded without leaving out important specifics.

Clinical psychologists use a battery of tests, conduct various screening techniques, and administer clinical interviews and observations requiring filling forms. This administrative task can be a demanding nature of the practice. The participant expressed a downside of using the anecdotal checklist, which adds to the already heavy workload. The participant shared that:

> *"I had my treatment plan, and I had to focus on making sure that I was*
> *utilizing the checklist as well…overwhelming to deal with a lot of*
> *papers and forms at once…"*

The complexity of client presentations can make it challenging to capture the full scope of their experiences and address their specific concerns using a checklist alone (Bohart & Tallman, 2022). Hence,

adding another form to use potentially adds struggles to practicing clinicians. On the other hand, checklists may not provide sufficient context or insight into underlying causes, psychosocial factors, or personal history, limiting a comprehensive understanding of mental health concerns (Kraemer et al., 2022).

The participant's experience using an anecdotal checklist yields promising results supporting the benefits and increasing clinical practice productivity. Anecdotal checklists can help in goal-setting and tracking therapeutic success. Using the checklist, the participant and client can jointly establish specific goals and monitor development over time. A sense of satisfaction and motivation are fostered by this approach, which offers a concrete method for evaluating and celebrating individual accomplishments (Locke & Latham, 2006). Clients can learn about patterns, triggers, or changes in their condition by routinely monitoring and documenting their experiences using the anecdotal checklist. Self-reflection, self-regulation, and self-management are essential for personal development and therapeutic success and can be encouraged by this raised awareness (Schreiner & Malcolm, 2008). The anecdotal checklist's structured design makes it possible to collect data systematically and uniformly, saving time and effort on data collection and documentation. This streamlined strategy can maximize the use of scarce clinical resources, improving workflow and lessening administrative stress (Hand, 2012).

3.2. *Perceptions in Using Anecdotal Checklist*

Based on the data gathered, one theme revolved around the perception of the clinical psychologist in an anecdotal checklist. The theme was Effective Collaboration and Intervention.

3.2.1. *Theme: Effective Collaboration and Intervention*

Clinical psychology involves many tasks and responsibilities to comprehend, evaluate, identify, and treat mental health illnesses and promote psychological well-being. Although clinical psychologists perform various activities, a checklist can help condense and arrange some of the most critical components of their work. The participant conveyed that the use of the anecdotal checklist made note-taking easy.

> *"Simplifies the observation notes of the clinician… provides specific areas for monitoring…create an intervention plan for it…"*

The anecdotal checklist enables the participant to focus on a particular dimension, which allows the participant to design an intervention tailored to the client's needs (Chen et al., 2022; Gee et al., 2022).

> *"Trace domains for enrichment every follow-up session… clarify areas for improvement and become more objective when they refer clients to each other…"*

The anecdotal checklist can be valuable for the participant when communicating assessment or treatment results to clients. The recorded data of the checklist became the source of information, which assures effective communication and reduces errors in psychological services (Pronovost et al., 2008). The anecdotal checklist promotes consistency in communicating results across different clients and sessions. Using the anecdotal checklist, the participant can consistently ensure coverage of all relevant information without inadvertently omitting or emphasizing certain aspects based on subjective biases or preferences.

> *"Client will have an appreciation of her personal progress…boost the client's capacity for self-help…"*

The anecdotal checklist visually represents the tasks or goals that clients have accomplished over time. By checking off completed items on the checklist, clients can see a tangible record of their progress. This visual representation can be motivating and satisfying, demonstrating the steps clients have taken and the milestones achieved. Henceforth, the checklist can improve symptom management.

The participant believed that using an anecdotal checklist in clinical practice could make it easier to record behavioral observations, allowing for enhanced objectivity and enhancing client self-regulation. As opposed to taking notes informally, systematic note-taking can be more effective and time-saving since it allows clinicians to concentrate on particular elements of client behavior (Zaalberg et al., 2010). Additionally,

employing predetermined categories and items enables clinicians to concentrate on particular observable behaviors as opposed to depending simply on subjective interpretations, which can minimize bias and improve the reliability of observations (Bailey et al., 2010). Thus, this will turn to active self-regulation engagement wherein clients can take more ownership of their therapeutic progress and work towards desired goals (Mitchell, 2007).

3.3. Potential Barriers and Challenges in Anecdotal Checklist

Based on the findings, one theme was extracted from the potential barriers and challenges in utilizing anecdotal checklists in clinical practice. The theme was difficulty establishing psychometric soundness.

3.3.1. Theme: Difficulty Establishing Psychometric Soundness

Psychologists are skilled at analyzing and comprehending people's emotions, thought processes, and behavior. Psychologists are taught to use reliable psychometric tools and tests as part of their professional development. To assure their accuracy and validity while assessing particular psychological variables, psychometrically sound tools have undergone extensive development and validation procedures. The participant conveyed that:

> *"...the therapeutic philosophy or paradigm being followed by a clinician. Can be that for a psychoanalytic or other practitioner at that, the anecdotal domains are somewhat irrelevant."*

Not all checklists can be universally applied by psychologists due to variations in therapeutic philosophy and theoretical paradigms (Fonagy et al., 2015; Fry, 2001; Hawkins & McMahon, 2020). Psychologists may adhere to different approaches and theoretical orientations (McAdams, 2001), shaping their understanding of human behavior and guiding their therapeutic interventions.

> *"Structuring or specifying fixed indicators can be helpful to establish validity and reliability."*

The participant revealed that it is simpler to examine and evaluate the goal construct consistently when the indicators or criteria for each item on the checklist are precisely defined and operationalized. This clarity guarantees that future users have a shared understanding of what to look for and how to observe each dimension reliably. It encourages evaluation process standardization, minimizing uncertainty and subjective interpretation (Benedetto, 2022).

> *"Expert validation and inter-rater reliability techniques can also be used...Practitioners may also question its validity and reliability considering that it is susceptible to inter-rater reliability limitations."*

Consistency and reproducibility in measurement are essential in increasing psychometric sound forms (O'Connor & Joffe, 2020). Hence, the anecdotal checklist has to undergo a reliability test to increase confidence among users (Sorra & Dyer, 2010; Hallgren, 2012) and a robust validity check to strengthen the structure and objectivity of the tool, ensuring that it provides accurate and reliable information about the construct being assessed (Bolarinwa, 2015). However, highly structured checklists may impede the therapy relationship's natural flow and spontaneity (Wampold, 2015).

The anecdotal checklist's psychometric features may present obstacles and difficulties when used in therapeutic practice. To make sense of the checklist, its structure must align with the clinical psychologist's theoretical paradigm (Brewer-Smyth, 2022). The assistance it could provide to a certain clinical psychologist depends on the availability of attributed significant components (Mathioudakis et al., 2016; Sorra & Dyer, 2010; Hallgren, 2012). This necessitates careful examination of the anecdotal checklist through validity and reliability tests due to the wide range of therapeutic variables (Welfel, 2015; O'Connor & Joffe, 2020).

4. Conclusion

Genosas, P. & Evardo, O. (2023). Keeping on track: A case study of utilizing anecdotal checklist in clinical practice. *Global Journal of Psychology Research: New Trends and Issues*. *13*(2), 126-138. https://doi.org/10.18844/gjpr.v13i2.8971

The use of an anecdotal checklist in clinical practice yielded positive outcomes, demonstrating the advantages and enhanced productivity of doing so. Anecdotal checklists provide a formal method for defining goals and measuring therapeutic progress. They let participants and clients jointly develop goals and track progress over time. Clients can learn about patterns, triggers, or changes in their condition through routine monitoring and documentation using an anecdotal checklist. Furthermore, the anecdotal checklist's structured design makes it easier to collect data in a systematic, uniform manner, which reduces the time and labor required for data collection and recording. This simplified approach makes the best use possible of the therapeutic resources while enhancing workflow and lowering administrative stress.

However, it is crucial to be aware of any potential barriers and challenges connected to the psychometric properties of the anecdotal checklist. The clinical psychologist's theoretical paradigm must be reflected in the form of a checklist, and the presence of pertinent and important components unique to the therapeutic setting is necessary for it to be effective. Although there is a wide range of therapeutic variables to be considered, a comprehensive investigation of the anecdotal checklist through validity and reliability studies could increase its psychometric soundness.

Overall, the use of an anecdotal checklist in clinical practice shows potential for fostering client self-regulation, improving objectivity, and streamlining therapeutic procedures. Nonetheless, to guarantee their efficacy and applicability in various therapeutic situations, continued research and evaluation of the psychometric features of such checklists are essential.

References

Albers, E. A., Fraterman, I., Walraven, I., Wilthagen, E., Schagen, S. B., van der Ploeg, I. M., ... & de Ligt, K. M. (2022). Visualization formats of patient-reported outcome measures in clinical practice: a systematic review about preferences and interpretation accuracy. *Journal of patient-reported outcomes, 6(1*), 18. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1186/s41687-022-00424-3

Altomari, N., Bruno, F., Laganà, V. R., Smirne, N., Colao, R., Curcio, S. A., Di Lorenzo, R., Frangipane, F., Maletta, R., Puccio, G., & Bruni, A. C. (2022). A Comparison of Behavioral and Psychological Symptoms of Dementia (BPSD) and BPSD Sub-Syndromes in Early-Onset and Late-Onset Alzheimer's Disease. *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease, 85*(2), 691–699. https://doi.org/10.3233/jad-215061

Andrade, H. (2019). A Critical Review of Research on Student Self-Assessment. *Frontiers in Education,* 4. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2019.00087

Bailey, J. J., Sabbagh, M., Loiselle, C. G., Boileau, J., & McVey, L. (2010). Supporting families in the ICU: A descriptive correlational study of informational support, anxiety, and satisfaction with care. *Intensive and Critical Care Nursing, 26(2)*, 114–122. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iccn.2009.12.006

Barnett, J. E., Baker, E., Elman, N. S., & Schoener, G. R. (2007). In pursuit of wellness: The self-care imperative. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice, 38*(6), 603–612. https://doi.org/10.1037/0735-7028.38.6.603

Barros, J. M., Widmer, L. A., Baillie, M., & Wandel, S. (2022). Rethinking clinical study data: why we should respect analysis results as data. *Scientific Data, 9(*1), 686. https://www.nature.com/articles/s41597-022-01789-2

Bauer, R. M., Iverson, G. L., Cernich, A. N., Binder, L. M., Ruff, R. M., & Naugle, R. I. (2012). Computerized Neuropsychological Assessment Devices: Joint Position Paper of the American Academy of Clinical Neuropsychology and the National Academy of Neuropsychology. *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology, 27*(3), 362–373. https://doi.org/10.1093/arclin/acs027

Beer, O. W. J., Phillips, R. L., & Quinn, C. R. (2021). Exploring stress, coping, and health outcomes among social workers. *European Journal of Social Work, 24*(2), 317–330. https://doi.org/10.1080/13691457.2020.1751591

Benedetto, L., Cremonesi, P., Caines, A., Buttery, P., Cappelli, A., Giussani, A., & Turrin, R. (2022). A Survey on Recent Approaches to Question Difficulty Estimation from Text. *ACM Computing Surveys, 55*(9), 1–37. https://doi.org/10.1145/3556538

Genosas, P. & Evardo, O. (2023). Keeping on track: A case study of utilizing anecdotal checklist in clinical practice. *Global Journal of Psychology Research: New Trends and Issues*. *13*(2), 126-138. https://doi.org/10.18844/gjpr.v13i2.8971

Bevly, D., Swan, A. M., & Malacara, A. B. (2023). Opening the door to a person-centered experiential training group: a thematic analysis. *Person-Centered & Experiential Psychotherapies*, 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1080/14779757.2023.2198591

Bliese, P. D., Wright, K. M., Adler, A. B., Cabrera, O. A., Castro, C. A., & Hoge, C. W. (2008). Validating the Primary Care Posttraumatic Stress Disorder Screen and the Posttraumatic Stress Disorder Checklist with soldiers returning from combat. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 76(2), 272–281. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-006x.76.2.272

Bohart, A. C., & Tallman, K. (2022). Client expertise: The active client in psychotherapy. In American Psychological Association eBooks. 13–43. https://doi.org/10.1037/0000303-002

Bolarinwa, O. A. (2015). Principles and methods of validity and reliability testing of questionnaires used in social and health science researches. *The Nigerian Postgraduate Medical Journal, 22*(4), 195. https://doi.org/10.4103/1117-1936.173959

Brandenburg, C., Thorning, S., & Ruthenberg, C. (2021). What are the most common reasons for return of ethics submissions? An audit of an Australian health service ethics committee. *Research Ethics, 17*(3), 346-358. https://doi.org/10.1177/1747016121999935

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3*(2), 77–101. https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa

Brennan, J. F., & Houde, K. A. (2022). History and systems of psychology. Cambridge University Press.

Brewer-Smyth, K. (2022). Overview of Psychological and Psychiatric Interventions After Trauma: Counseling, Psychotherapy, and Medications. Springer eBooks. 273–302. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-08801-8_11

Britto, P. R., Lye, S. J., Proulx, K., Yousafzai, A. K., Matthews, S. G., Vaivada, T., Pérez-Escamilla, R., Rao, N., Ip, P., Fernald, L. C. H., MacMillan, H. L., Hanson, M. A., Wachs, T. D., Yao, H., Yoshikawa, H., Cerezo, A., Leckman, J. F., & Bhutta, Z. A. (2017). Nurturing care: promoting early childhood development. *The Lancet, 389*(10064), 91–102. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(16)31390-3

Burgess, R. (Ed.). (2018). Key variables in social investigation (Vol. 4). Routledge.

Chang, S. S., Boorjian, S. A., Chou, R., Clark, P. U., Daneshmand, S., Konety, B. R., Pruthi, R. S., Quale, D. Z., Ritch, C. R., Seigne, J. D., Skinner, E. C., Smith, N. D., & McKiernan, J. M. (2016). Diagnosis and Treatment of Non-Muscle Invasive Bladder Cancer: AUA/SUO Guideline. *The Journal of Urology, 196*(4), 1021–1029. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.juro.2016.06.049

Chen, S., Jiang, Q., Zhang, Y., & Zeng, C. (2022). Use of Symptom Checklist 90 for exploring psychological factors among the parents of children hospitalized for burn injuries in Shanghai: a cross-sectional study. *Scientific Reports, 12(1)*. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-25470-1

Chenneville, T., & Schwartz-Mette, R. A. (2020). Ethical considerations for psychologists in the time of COVID-19. *American Psychologist, 75(5)*, 644–654. https://doi.org/10.1037/amp0000661

Cooper, M., & Xu, D. (2022). Goals Form: Reliability, validity, and clinical utility of an idiographic goal-focused measure for routine outcome monitoring in psychotherapy. *Journal of Clinical Psychology, 79*(3), 641–666. https://doi.org/10.1002/jclp.23344

De Berardis, D., Olivieri, L., Rapini, G., Serroni, N., Fornaro, M., Valchera, A., Carano, A., Vellante, F., Bustini, M., Serafini, G., Pompili, M., Ventriglio, A., Perna, G., Fraticelli, S., Martinotti, G., & Di Giannantonio, M. (2020). Religious Coping, Hopelessness, and Suicide Ideation in Subjects with First-Episode Major Depression: An Exploratory Study in the Real-World Clinical Practice. *Brain Sciences, 10(12)*, 912. https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci10120912

De Los Reyes, A., Talbott, E., Power, T. J., Michel, J. J., Cook, C. R., Racz, S. J., & Fitzpatrick, O. (2022). The Needs-to-Goals Gap: How informant discrepancies in youth mental health assessments impact service delivery. *Clinical Psychology Review, 92, 1*02114. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2021.102114

Delbanco, T., Walker, J., Bell, S. K., Darer, J. D., Elmore, J. G., Farag, N., Feldman, H. A., Mejilla, R., Ngo, L., Ralston, J. D., Ross, S. L., Trivedi, N., Vodicka, E., & Leveille, S. G. (2012). Inviting Patients to Read Their Doctors' Notes: A Quasi-experimental Study and a Look Ahead. *Annals of Internal Medicine, 157(*7), 461. https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-157-7-201210020-00002

Deslippe, A. L., Bains, A., Loiselle, S., Kasvis, P., Mak, I. L., Weiler, H. A., & Cohen, T. R. (2022). SMART goals of children of 6–12 years enrolled in a family-centred lifestyle intervention for childhood obesity: Secondary analysis of a randomized controlled trial. *Pediatric Obesity, 18*(1). https://doi.org/10.1111/ijpo.12973

Genosas, P. & Evardo, O. (2023). Keeping on track: A case study of utilizing anecdotal checklist in clinical practice. *Global Journal of Psychology Research: New Trends and Issues*. *13*(2), 126-138. https://doi.org/10.18844/gjpr.v13i2.8971

Dippel, N., Szota, K., Cuijpers, P., Christiansen, H., & Brakemeier, E. (2022). Family involvement in psychotherapy for depression in children and adolescents: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *British Journal of Medical Psychology, 95(3)*, 656–679. https://doi.org/10.1111/papt.12392

Douglas, K. S., & Shaffer, C. (2020). The Science of and Practice with the Historical-Clinical-Risk Management-20, Version 3 (HCR-20 V3). Routledge eBooks. 253–293. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315518374-16

Duarte, J., Martínez, C., & Tomicic, A. (2022). Sparks of psychotherapeutic change: How therapists understand moments of meetings' contribution to change in psychotherapy. *Psychotherapy Research, 32*(4), 511–524. https://doi.org/10.1080/10503307.2021.1948138

Eckert, P. (2017). Age as a Sociolinguistic Variable. Blackwell Publishing Ltd eBooks. 151–167. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781405166256.ch9

Eells, T. D. (Ed.). (2022). Handbook of psychotherapy case formulation. Guilford Publications.

Fonagy, P., Gergely, G., & Jurist, E. L. (Eds.). (2015). Affect regulation, mentalization, and the development of the self. Routledge.

Fry, P. S. (2001). The Unique Contribution of Key Existential Factors to the Prediction of Psychological Well-Being of Older Adults Following Spousal Loss. *Gerontologist, 41(1),* 69–81. https://doi.org/10.1093/geront/41.1.69

Gee, B., Berry, C., Hodgekins, J., Greenwood, K., Fitzsimmons, M., Lavis, A., Notley, C., Pugh, K., Birchwood, M., & Fowler, D. (2022). A qualitative process evaluation of social recovery therapy for enhancement of social recovery in first-episode psychosis (SUPEREDEN3). *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy, 51(*2), 133–145. https://doi.org/10.1017/s135246582200056x

Goldman, R., & Goldstein, Z. (2022). Guiding task work in the context of an emotion-focused relationship. Journal of Clinical Psychology. https://doi.org/10.1002/jclp.23472

Greenberg, L. S., & Goldman, R. N. (2019). Theory of practice of emotion-focused therapy. In American Psychological Association eBooks, 61–89. https://doi.org/10.1037/0000112-003

Grover, S., Avasthi, A., & Nischal, A. (2022). Ethical and legal issues in psychotherapy. *Indian Journal of Psychiatry, 64(7)*, 47. https://doi.org/10.4103/indianjpsychiatry.indianjpsychiatry_50_21

Hallgren, K. A. (2012). Computing Inter-Rater Reliability for Observational Data: An Overview and Tutorial. *Tutorials in Quantitative Methods for Psychology, 8(1)*, 23–34. https://doi.org/10.20982/tqmp.08.1.p023

Hand, D. J. (2012). Assessing the Performance of Classification Methods. *International Statistical Review, 80(3)*, 400–414. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-5823.2012.00183.x

Hawkins, P., & McMahon, A. (2020). Supervision in the Helping Professions 5e. McGraw-Hill Education (UK).

He, M., Wang, Q., Yao, D., Li, J., & Bai, G. (2022). Association Between Psychosocial Disorders and Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Journal of Neurogastroenterology and Motility, 28(2)*, 212–221. https://doi.org/10.5056/jnm21044

Helm, J. H., Beneke, S., & Steinheimer, K. (1998). Windows on learning: documenting young children's work. In Teachers College Press eBooks. http://ci.nii.ac.jp/ncid/BA83954373

Hooker, L., Toone, E., Wendt, S., Humphreys, C., & Taft, A. (2022). RECOVER - Reconnecting Mothers and Children After Family Violence: The Child-Parent Psychotherapy Pilot: Research Report.

Hui, B. P. H., Ng, J. C. K., Berzaghi, E., Cunningham-Amos, L. A., & Shallcross, A. J. (2020). Rewards of kindness? A meta-analysis of the link between prosociality and well-being. *Psychological Bulletin, 146(12)*, 1084–1116. https://doi.org/10.1037/bul0000298

Jupp, V. (2006). The SAGE Dictionary of Social Research Methods. SAGE Publications Ltd eBooks. https://doi.org/10.4135/9780857020116

Kashdan, T. B., Disabato, D. J., Goodman, F. R., Doorley, J. D., & McKnight, P. E. (2020). Understanding psychological flexibility: A multimethod exploration of pursuing valued goals despite the presence of distress. *Psychological Assessment, 32(9)*, 829–850. https://doi.org/10.1037/pas0000834

Kazdin, A. E. (2008). Evidence-based treatment and practice: new opportunities to bridge clinical research and practice, enhance the knowledge base, and improve patient care. *American Psychologist, 63*(3), 146–159. https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066x.63.3.146

Koo, A., & Smith, J.T. (2019). Does learning from mistakes have to be painful? Analysis of 5 years' experience from the Leeds radiology educational cases meetings identifies common repetitive reporting errors and suggests acknowledging and celebrating excellence (ACE) as a more positive way of teaching the same lessons. *Insights Imaging 10(6*8). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13244-019-0751-5

Genosas, P. & Evardo, O. (2023). Keeping on track: A case study of utilizing anecdotal checklist in clinical practice. *Global Journal of Psychology Research: New Trends and Issues*. *13*(2), 126-138. https://doi.org/10.18844/gjpr.v13i2.8971

Kraemer, P., Weilbächer, R. A., Mechera-Ostrovsky, T., & Gluth, S. (2022). Cognitive and neural principles of a memory bias on preferential choices. *Current Research in Neurobiology, 3*, 100029. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crneur.2022.100029

Kramer, A. O., Casaletto, K. B., Umlauf, A., Staffaroni, A. M., Fox, E. B., You, M., & Kramer, J. H. (2020). Robust normative standards for the California Verbal Learning Test (CVLT) ages 60–89: A tool for early detection of memory impairment. *Clinical Neuropsychologist, 34(*2), 384–405. https://doi.org/10.1080/13854046.2019.1619838

Krause, D. (2019). TeachCheck- The effectiveness of a checklist as a learning tool for entry-level anesthesiologists (Doctoral dissertation).

Kroenke, K., & Sharpe, M. (2019). The Interface of Physical and Psychological Symptoms. In Psychiatry of Pandemics, 109-119, Springer, Cham.

Lambert, M. J. (2003). Bergin and Garfield's Handbook of Psychotherapy and Behavior Change. http://ci.nii.ac.jp/ncid/BB14148496

Lichtenberger, E. O., & Kaufman, A. S. (2012). Essentials of WAIS-IV assessment. John Wiley & Sons.

Linden, M. (2013). How to Define, Find and Classify Side Effects in Psychotherapy: From Unwanted Events to Adverse Treatment Reactions. *Clinical Psychology & Psychotherapy, 20(*4), 286–296. https://doi.org/10.1002/cpp.1765

Litz, B. T., Engel, C. C., Bryant, R. A., & Papa, A. (2007). A Randomized, Controlled Proof-of-Concept Trial of an Internet-Based, Therapist-Assisted Self-Management Treatment for Posttraumatic Stress Disorder. *American Journal of Psychiatry, 164(11*), 1676–1684. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2007.06122057

Locke, E. A., & Latham, G. P. (2006). New Directions in Goal-Setting Theory. *Current Directions in Psychological Science, 15(5*), 265–268. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8721.2006.00449.x

MacDougall, M., Cameron, H. S., & Maxwell, S. R. (2020). Medical graduate views on statistical learning needs for clinical practice: a comprehensive survey. *BMC Medical Education, 20*, 1-17. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1186/s12909-019-1842-1

Mathioudakis, A. G., Rousalova, I., Gagnat, A. A., Saad, N., & Hardavella, G. (2016). How to keep good clinical records. *Breathe, 12(4*), 369–373. https://doi.org/10.1183/20734735.018016

McAdams, D. P. (2001). The Psychology of Life Stories. *Review of General Psychology, 5*(2), 100–122. https://doi.org/10.1037/1089-2680.5.2.100

McCombes, S. (2023). What Is a Case Study? Definition, Examples & Methods. Scribbr. https://www.scribbr.com/methodology/case-study/

Miles, S., Howlett, C. A., Berryman, C., Nedeljkovic, M., Moseley, G. L., & Phillipou, A. (2021). Considerations for using the Wisconsin Card Sorting Test to assess cognitive flexibility. *Behavior Research Methods, 53(5*), 2083–2091. https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-021-01551-3

Mitchell, B. (2007). Documentation in Counseling Records: An Overview of Ethical, Legal, and Clinical Issues.

Munder, T., Geisshüsler, A., Krieger, T., Zimmermann, J., Wolf, M., Berger, T., & Watzke, B. (2022). Intensity of Treatment as Usual and Its Impact on the Effects of Face-to-Face and Internet-Based Psychotherapy for Depression: A Preregistered Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics, 91(*3), 200–209. https://doi.org/10.1159/000521951

Nicholson, R. E. (2002). Dilemma of Psychotherapy Notes and HIPAA. Dilemma of Psychotherapy Notes and HIPAA/AHIMA, American Health Information Management Association.

O'Connor, C. M., & Joffe, H. (2020). Intercoder Reliability in Qualitative Research: Debates and Practical Guidelines. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods, 19,* 160940691989922. https://doi.org/10.1177/1609406919899220

Palmieri, A., Fernandez, K. C., Cariolato, Y., Kleinbub, J. R., Salvatore, S., & Gross, J. J. (2022). Emotion Regulation in Psychodynamic and Cognitive-Behavioural Therapy: An Integrative Perspective. *PubMed, 19(2),* 103–113. https://doi.org/10.36131/cnfioritieditore20220204

Perra, A., De Lorenzo, V., Zaccheddu, R., Locci, A., Piludu, F., Preti, A., Di Natale, L., Galetti, A., Nardi, A. E., Cossu, G., Sancassiani, F., Barbato, S., Cesaretti, O., Kurotshka, P. K., & Carta, M. G. (2022). Cognitive Remediation Virtual Reality Tool a Recovery-Oriented Project for People with Bipolar Disorder: Protocol of a Feasibility Randomized Clinical Trial. *Clinical Practice & Epidemiology in Mental Health, 18*(1). https://doi.org/10.2174/17450179-v18-e2208220

Pronovost, P. J., Berenholtz, S. M., & Needham, D. M. (2008). Translating evidence into practice: a model for large scale knowledge translation. *BMJ, 337*, a1714. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.a1714

Genosas, P. & Evardo, O. (2023). Keeping on track: A case study of utilizing anecdotal checklist in clinical practice. *Global Journal of Psychology Research: New Trends and Issues*. *13*(2), 126-138. https://doi.org/10.18844/gjpr.v13i2.8971

Pullen, I. M., & Loudon, J. C. (2006). Improving standards in clinical record-keeping. *Advances in Psychiatric Treatment, 12(*4), 280–286. https://doi.org/10.1192/apt.12.4.280

Rai, N., Thapa B. (2016). A Study on Purposive Sampling Method in Research. Ksl. https://www.academia.edu/28087388/A_STUDY_ON_PURPOSIVE_SAMPLING_METHOD_IN_RESEARCH

Rezaeisharif, A., Karimi, A., & Naeim, M. (2021). Effectiveness of the Cognitive Restructuring Approach on Irrational Beliefs and Hopelessness in Individuals with a Substance Abuse Disorder: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Addictive Disorders & Their Treatment, 20*(4), 326–335. https://doi.org/10.1097/adt.0000000000000264

Rief, W., & Martin, A. (2014). How to Use the New DSM-5 Somatic Symptom Disorder Diagnosis in Research and Practice: A Critical Evaluation and a Proposal for Modifications. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology, 10*(1), 339–367. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-032813-153745

Rolfe, S. A. (2020). Direct observation. In Doing early childhood research, 224-239, Routledge.

Rubin-Falcone, H., Weber, J., Kishon, R., Ochsner, K. N., Delaparte, L., Doré, B. P., Raman, S., Denny, B. T., Oquendo, M. A., Mann, J. J., & Miller, J. S. (2020). Neural predictors and effects of cognitive behavioral therapy for depression: the role of emotional reactivity and regulation. *Psychological Medicine, 50*(1), 146–160. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0033291718004154

Sándor, K., & Miklósi, Á. (2020). How to report anecdotal observations? A new approach based on a lesson from "puffin tool use". Frontiers in Psychology, 2620. https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.555487/full?&utm_source=Email_to_authors_&utm_medium=Email&utm_content=T1_11.5e1_author&utm_campaign=Email_publication&field=&journalName=Frontiers_in_Psychology&id=555487

Schreiner, I. H., & Malcolm, J. P. (2008). The Benefits of Mindfulness Meditation: Changes in Emotional States of Depression, Anxiety, and Stress. *Behaviour Change, 25(3),* 156–168. https://doi.org/10.1375/bech.25.3.156

Sorra, J., & Dyer, N. A. (2010). Multilevel psychometric properties of the AHRQ hospital survey on patient safety culture. *BMC Health Services Research, 10*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6963-10-199

Staneva, A., Carmignani, F., & Rohde, N. (2022). Personality, gender, and age resilience to the mental health effects of COVID-19. *Social Science & Medicine, 301*, 114884. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2022.114884

Strauss, A. Y., Kivity, Y., & Huppert, J. D. (2019). Emotion Regulation Strategies in Cognitive Behavioral Therapy for Panic Disorder. Behavior Therapy, 50(3), 659–671. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.beth.2018.10.005

Sznycer, D. (2019). Forms and Functions of the Self-Conscious Emotions. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 23(*2), 143–157. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2018.11.007

Wampold, B. E. (2015). How important are the common factors in psychotherapy? An update. *World Psychiatry, 14*(3), 270–277. https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20238

Welfel, E. R. (2015). Ethics in Counseling & Psychotherapy. Cengage Learning.

Wenzel, A., Dobson, K. S., & Hays, P. A. (2016). Cognitive behavioral therapy techniques and strategies. In American Psychological Association eBooks. https://doi.org/10.1037/14936-000

Winters, B. D., Gurses, A. P., Lehmann, H. P., Sexton, J. B., Rampersad, C. J., & Pronovost, P. J. (2009). Clinical review: Checklists - translating evidence into practice. *Critical Care, 13(*6), 210. https://doi.org/10.1186/cc7792

Yin, R. K. (2002). Case Study Research: Design and Methods, 3rd Edition. https://www.amazon.com/Case-Study-Research-Methods-Applied/dp/0761925538

Zaalberg, A., Nijman, H., Bulten, E., Stroosma, L., & Van Der Staak, C. P. F. (2010). Effects of nutritional supplements on aggression, rule-breaking, and psychopathology among young adult prisoners. *Aggressive Behavior, 36(*2), 117–126. https://doi.org/10.1002/ab.20335