

Part Two

ORGANIZATIONAL POSTHUMANISM

Abstract. Building on existing forms of critical, cultural, biopolitical, and sociopolitical posthumanism, in this text a new framework is developed for understanding and guiding the forces of technologization and posthumanization that are reshaping contemporary organizations. This ‘organizational posthumanism’ is an approach to analyzing, creating, and managing organizations that employs a post-dualistic and post-anthropocentric perspective and which recognizes that emerging technologies will increasingly transform the kinds of members, structures, systems, processes, physical and virtual spaces, and external ecosystems that are available for organizations to utilize. It is argued that this posthumanizing technologization of organizations will especially be driven by developments in three areas: 1) technologies for human augmentation and enhancement, including many forms of neuroprosthetics and genetic engineering; 2) technologies for synthetic agency, including robotics, artificial intelligence, and artificial life; and 3) technologies for digital-physical ecosystems and networks that create the environments within which and infrastructure through which human and artificial agents will interact.

Drawing on a typology of contemporary posthumanism, organizational posthumanism is shown to be a hybrid form of posthumanism that combines both analytic, synthetic, theoretical, and practical elements. Like analytic forms of posthumanism, organizational posthumanism recognizes the extent to which posthumanization has already transformed businesses and other organizations; it thus occupies itself with understanding organizations as they exist today and developing strategies and best practices for responding to the forces of posthumanization. On the other hand, like synthetic forms of posthumanism, organizational posthumanism anticipates the fact that intensifying and accelerating processes of posthumanization will create future realities quite different from those seen today; it thus attempts to develop conceptual schemas to account for such potential developments, both as a means of expanding our theoretical knowledge of organizations and of enhancing the ability of contemporary organizational stakeholders to conduct strategic planning for a radically posthumanized long-term future.

I. INTRODUCTION

‘Posthumanism’ can be defined briefly as an intellectual framework for understanding reality that is post-anthropocentric and post-dualistic; for posthumanism, the ‘natural’ biological human being as traditionally understood becomes just one of many intelligent subjects acting within a complex ecosystem.¹ Some forms of posthumanism focus on the ways in which our notion of typical human beings as the only members of society has been continuously challenged over the centuries through the generation of cultural products like myths and works of literature that feature quasi-human beings such as monsters, ghosts, angels, anthropomorphic animals, cyborgs, and space aliens (i.e., through processes of nontechnological ‘posthumanization’).² Other forms of posthumanism address the ways in which the circle of persons and intelligent agents dwelling within our world is being transformed and expanded through the engineering of new kinds of entities such as human beings possessing neuroprosthetic implants, genetically modified human beings, social robots, sentient networks, and other advanced forms of artificial intelligence (i.e., through processes of technological posthumanization).³ The development of sound and discerning forms of posthumanist

¹ This definition builds on the definitions formulated by scholars of posthumanism such as Ferrando, Miller, Herbrechter, Miah, and Birnbacher, as well as on our own typology of posthumanism found in Part One of this volume, “A Typology of Posthumanism: A Framework for Differentiating Analytic, Synthetic, Theoretical, and Practical Posthumanisms.” See Ferrando, “Posthumanism, Transhumanism, Antihumanism, Metahumanism, and New Materialisms: Differences and Relations” (2013), p. 29; Miller, “Conclusion: Beyond the Human: Ontogenesis, Technology, and the Posthuman in Kubrick and Clarke’s 2001” (2012), p. 164; Herbrechter, *Posthumanism: A Critical Analysis* (2013), pp. 2-3; Miah, “A Critical History of Posthumanism” (2008), p. 83; and Birnbacher, “Posthumanity, Transhumanism and Human Nature” (2008), p. 104.

² Such forms of posthumanism include the critical and cultural posthumanism pioneered by Haraway, Halberstam and Livingstone, Hayles, Badmington, and others. See, e.g., Haraway, “A Manifesto for Cyborgs: Science, Technology, and Socialist Feminism in the 1980s” (1985); Haraway, *Simians, Cyborgs, and Women: The Reinvention of Nature* (1991); *Posthuman Bodies*, edited by Halberstam & Livingstone (1995); Hayles, *How We Became Posthuman: Virtual Bodies in Cybernetics, Literature, and Informatics* (1999); Graham, *Representations of the Post/Human: Monsters, Aliens and Others in Popular Culture* (2002); Badmington, “Cultural Studies and the Posthumanities” (2006); and Herbrechter (2013).

³ Such forms of posthumanism include philosophical posthumanism, bioconservatism, and transhumanism, which are analyzed in Miah (2008), pp. 73-74, 79-82, and Ferrando (2013), p. 29. Such approaches can be seen, for example, in Fukuyama, *Our Posthuman Future: Consequences of the Biotechnology Revolution* (2002); Bostrom, “Why I Want to Be a Posthuman When I Grow Up” (2008); and other texts in *Medical Enhancement and Posthumanity*, edited by Gordijn & Chadwick (2008).

thought is becoming increasingly important as society grapples with the ontological, ethical, legal, and cultural implications of emerging technologies that are generating new forms of posthumanized existence.

The establishing of conceptual links between organizational management and the idea of the ‘posthuman’ is nothing new. As early as 1978, management scholars Bourgeois, McAllister, and Mitchell had written that “Much of the organization theory literature from the posthuman relations era concentrates on defining which organizational structures, management styles, et cetera are most appropriate (effective) for different technologies and/or environmental contingencies.”⁴ Writing in 1996, Gephart drew on fictional depictions of cyborgs to envision an emerging ‘Postmanagement Era’ in which an organization’s complex network of computerized systems – with its own synthetic values and logic – would become the true manager of an organization that no longer exists and acts for the sake of human beings. Although a human being might still appear to function as a ‘manager’ within such an organization, in reality she would be neither a manager nor a natural, biological human being; instead she would possess the form of a cyborg who has been permanently integrated into her employer’s operational, financial, and technological systems and who has been weaponized for commercial ends – a being whose human agency has been dissolved until she becomes little more than a cold and lethally efficient “posthuman subject, ripping at flesh as part of her job.”⁵

More recently, scholars have explored potential relationships between posthumanism and particular specialized fields within organizational theory and management. For example, Mara and Hawk consider the relationship of posthumanism to the technical communication that constitutes an important

⁴ Bourgeois et al., “The Effects of Different Organizational Environments upon Decisions about Organizational Structure” (1978), pp. 508-14. This allusion to the posthuman is not elaborated upon elsewhere in the text. The article describes an empirical study that was conducted to test hypotheses relating to the default behavior of managers when their organizations encounter “turbulent and threatening business environments” (p. 508).

⁵ See Gephart, “Management, Social Issues, and the Postmodern Era” (1996), pp. 36-37, 41. Strictly speaking, Gephart’s approach is more postmodernist than posthumanist. While there are areas of overlap between postmodernism and posthumanism, postmodernism generally posits a more nihilistic deconstruction of the notion of ‘humanity,’ while posthumanism seeks to transform and expand the historically anthropocentric concepts of personal agency and subjectivity to incorporate quasi-human, parahuman, and nonhuman entities. See Part One of this volume, “A Typology of Posthumanism: A Framework for Differentiating Analytic, Synthetic, Theoretical, and Practical Posthumanisms,” and Herbrechter (2013).

form of information flow within contemporary organizations that are so dependent on technology. They note the evolving roles that organizations' human and nonhuman actors play in change management, organizational culture, human-computer interaction (HCI), and the integration of technology into the workplace within the context of a complex posthuman organizational ecology in which "it is no longer tenable to divide the world into human choice and technological or environmental determinism."⁶ Barile, meanwhile, explores the impact that technologies for augmented reality play in creating 'posthuman consumers' by breaking down boundaries between the virtual and the actual and supplanting previous forms of HCI with "a new kind of interaction where the machines become softer and immaterial, emotions become contents, and places become media."⁷

Other scholars have sought to identify the ultimate drivers of the processes of posthumanization that are expected to increasingly impact organizations of all types. For example, Herbrechter notes the ongoing and intensifying 'technologization' of humanity, by which technoscientific forces that had previously constituted just one element of society attempt to gain economic and political power over all aspects of human culture.⁸ Insofar as all organizations exist within human cultures, utilize technology, and are subject to economic and political forces, they become a participant in these dynamics of technologization and posthumanization. However, while the forces of technologization are undoubtedly real, they may not fully explain the rising prominence of posthuman dynamics and motifs within organizational life. Indeed, it has even been suggested that the popular notion of posthumanism may have been engineered as a sort of ruse generated by the power structures of postmodern neoliberal capitalism to pacify the masses with the hope or fear (or both) of a radically different future that looms just over the horizon.⁹ According to that view, posthumanist imagery, themes, and philosophies are a mechanism employed by some organizations in order to facilitate the achievement of their strategic objectives.

While a diverse array of connections between posthumanism and organizational management has thus been hinted at for some time, it has not been

⁶ Mara & Hawk, "Posthuman rhetorics and technical communication" (2009), pp. 1-3.

⁷ Barile, "From the Posthuman Consumer to the *Ontobranding* Dimension: Geolocalization, Augmented Reality and Emotional Ontology as a Radical Redefinition of What Is Real" (2013), p. 101.

⁸ See Herbrechter (2013), p. 19.

⁹ See the discussion of such cynical interpretations of posthumanism in Herbrechter (2013), p. 80.

comprehensively or systematically explored. Much scholarship has been dedicated to understanding fields such as literature,¹⁰ film,¹¹ computer games,¹² biomedical engineering,¹³ and politics and economics¹⁴ in light of posthumanist thought. However, efforts to apply posthumanist methodologies and insights to organizational management have remained relatively underdeveloped. This is striking, given the fact that many of the issues of interest to posthumanism have strong organizational repercussions.

In this text, we attempt to address this lacuna by presenting one approach to developing a comprehensive ‘organizational posthumanism.’ After formulating a definition for organizational posthumanism, we compare it to established forms of post-dualistic and post-anthropocentric posthumanist thought, arguing that it constitutes a type of ‘hybrid posthumanism’ that incorporates both analytic, synthetic, theoretical, and practical aspects. We then consider six organizational elements that will increasingly be impacted by the forces of posthumanization: namely, an organization’s members, personnel structures, information systems, processes, physical and virtual spaces, and external environment. Finally, three main types of technologies that facilitate the development of organizational posthumanity are described; these are technologies for human augmentation and enhancement (including implantable computers, neuroprosthetic devices, virtual reality systems, genetic engineering, new forms of medicine, and life extension); technologies for synthetic agency (including social robotics, artificial intelligence, and artificial life); and technologies for building digital-physical ecosystems and networks (such as the Internet of Things). It is our hope that the questions raised and the framework formulated within this text can offer a useful starting point for those scholars and management practitioners who will address

¹⁰ See posthumanist analyses of literature in, e.g., Hayles (1999); *Posthumanist Shakespeares*, edited by Herbrechter & Callus (2012); and Thomsen, *The New Human in Literature: Posthuman Visions of Change in Body, Mind and Society after 1900* (2013).

¹¹ Examples can be found in the articles relating to cinema in *Posthuman Bodies* (1995); Short, *Cyborg Cinema and Contemporary Subjectivity* (2005); and Miller (2012).

¹² For such studies, see, e.g., Schmeink, “Dystopia, Alternate History and the Posthuman in Bioshock” (2009); Krzywinska & Brown, “Games, Gamers and Posthumanism” (2015); and Boulter, *Parables of the Posthuman: Digital Realities, Gaming, and the Player Experience* (2015).

¹³ See, e.g., *Medical Enhancement and Posthumanity* (2008); Thacker, “Data made flesh: biotechnology and the discourse of the posthuman” (2003); and Lee, “Cochlear implantation, enhancements, transhumanism and posthumanism: some human questions” (2016).

¹⁴ Examples of such analyses include Gray, *Cyborg Citizen: Politics in the Posthuman Age* (2002); Fukuyama (2002); and Cudworth & Hobden, “Complexity, ecologism, and posthuman politics” (2013).

in an ever more explicit manner the increasingly important intersection of organizational life and posthumanist thought.

II. DEFINITION OF ORGANIZATIONAL POSTHUMANISM

Having considered the nature of posthumanism and some links that have been suggested between posthumanism and the theory and management of organizations, we are in a position to explicitly formulate a systematic approach that applies posthumanist insights and methodologies to the study and management of organizations. This approach can be described as *organizational posthumanism*.

Lune defines an organization as “a group with some kind of name, purpose, and a defined membership” that possesses “a clear boundary between its inside and its outside” and which can take the form of either a formal organization with clearly defined roles and rules, an informal organization with no explicitly defined structures and processes, or a semi-formal organization that possesses nominal roles and guidelines that in practice are not always observed.¹⁵ Meanwhile, Daft et al. define organizations as “(1) social entities that (2) are goal-directed, (3) are designed as deliberately structured and coordinated activity systems, and (4) are linked to the external environment.”¹⁶ Such organizations include businesses, nonprofit organizations, schools, religious groups, professional associations, political parties, governments, and military organizations. Other collections of human beings – such as cities, families, or the proponents of a particular philosophical perspective – share some of the characteristics of organizations but are not generally classified as such.

The very nature of organizations is changing as ongoing technological and social change reshapes the capacities and relationality of the human beings who belong to organizations and creates new kinds of entities (like social robots) that can engage in goal-directed social interaction with human beings and one another. Organizational posthumanism can aid us in making sense of – and, ideally, anticipating and controlling – such changes. By way of a formal definition, we would suggest that:

Organizational posthumanism is an approach to analyzing, understanding, creating, and managing organizations that employs a post-anthropocentric and post-dualistic perspective; it recognizes that the emerging technologies

¹⁵ Lune, *Understanding Organizations* (2010), p. 2.

¹⁶ Daft et al., *Organization Theory and Design* (2010), p. 10.

which complement traditional biological human beings with new types of intelligent actors also transform the kinds of members, structures, dynamics, and roles that are available for organizations.

As we shall see, while organizational posthumanism shares elements in common with established disciplines such as philosophical posthumanism, critical posthumanism, and biopolitical posthumanism, it also possesses unique and contrasting elements that prevent it from being understood simply as a subfield of one of those disciplines. Rather, we would argue that as defined above, organizational posthumanism is better viewed as an independently conceptualized body of thought within posthumanism. When understood in the context of organizational and management theory, organizational posthumanism does not represent a new discipline, insofar as it still addresses historical topics of organizational structures, systems, and processes; however, it does constitute an entirely new perspective and set of methodologies – a new approach.

III. CLASSIFICATION OF ORGANIZATIONAL POSTHUMANISM AS A TYPE OF POSTHUMANISM

It is possible to categorize different forms of posthumanism into general types by employing a two-dimensional conceptual framework that classifies a form of posthumanism based on its understanding of posthumanity and the role or purpose for which the posthumanism was developed. With regard to its perspective on posthumanity, a form of posthumanism may be: 1) an *analytic posthumanism* that understands posthumanity as a sociotechnological reality that already exists in the contemporary world and which needs to be analyzed; or 2) a *synthetic posthumanism* that understands posthumanity as a collection of hypothetical future entities whose development can be either intentionally realized or prevented, depending on whether or not human society chooses to research and deploy certain transformative technologies. With regard to the purpose or role for which it was created, a form of posthumanism can be: 1) a *theoretical posthumanism* that seeks primarily to develop new knowledge and understanding; or 2) a *practical posthumanism* that seeks primarily to bring about some social, political, economic, or technological change in the world.¹⁷ This framework yields five general types of posthumanism:

¹⁷ For a more detailed discussion of the distinctions between analytic, synthetic, theoretical, and practical posthumanisms, see Part One of this book, “A Typology of Posthumanism.”

- **Analytic theoretical posthumanisms** seek to understand the posthumanized present and include fields like critical and cultural posthumanism. Such disciplines can collectively be understood as constituting a ‘posthumanism of critique’ that employs posthumanist methodologies to diagnose hidden anthropocentric biases and posthumanist aspirations contained within different fields of human activity.¹⁸
- **Synthetic theoretical posthumanisms** envision hypothetical forms of posthumanity and include such pursuits as philosophical posthumanism and many forms of science fiction. Such fields could be seen as representing a ‘posthumanism of imagination’ that creatively conceptualizes future (or otherwise inexistent) posthumanities so that their implications can be explored.¹⁹
- **Analytic practical posthumanisms** seek to reshape the posthumanized present and include some forms of metahumanism and neohumanism. Such movements can be understood as constituting a ‘posthumanism of conversion’ that is aimed at changing hearts and minds and influencing the way in which human beings view and treat the world around themselves.²⁰
- **Synthetic practical posthumanisms** seek to steer the processes that can generate a future posthumanity; they include such movements as transhumanism and bioconservatism. Such programs can be viewed as representing a ‘posthumanism of control’ that seeks to develop new technologies that give individuals control over their own posthumanization or to implement legal or economic controls to block the development of such technologies.²¹
- **Hybrid posthumanisms** that span all four spheres of the analytic, synthetic, practical, and theoretical include such phenomena as sociopolitical posthumanism and the metahumanism of Del Val and Sorgner. Such

¹⁸ For an example, see the critical posthumanism described in Herbrechter (2013).

¹⁹ Regarding, e.g., posthumanist aspects of science fiction, see Short (2005); Goicoechea, “The Posthuman Ethos in Cyberpunk Science Fiction” (2008); Miller (2012); and Herbrechter (2013), pp. 115–17.

²⁰ Regarding different forms of metahumanism, see Ferrando (2013), p. 32. For the form of neohumanism developed by Sarkar, see Sarkar, “Neohumanism Is the Ultimate Shelter (Discourse 11)” (1982). A classification of different forms of metahumanism and neohumanism is found in Part One of this volume, “A Typology of Posthumanism.”

²¹ For examples, see Fukuyama (2002); Bostrom, “A History of Transhumanist Thought” (2005); and Bostrom (2008).

ventures can be understood as examples of a ‘posthumanism of production’ that develops a robust and rigorous theoretical framework that is then utilized to successfully generate concrete products or services within the contemporary world.²²

By applying this framework, organizational posthumanism can be classified as a form of hybrid posthumanism that integrates strong analytic, synthetic, theoretical, and practical elements. We can consider each of these elements of organizational posthumanism in more detail.

A. THEORETICAL ASPECTS

Organizational posthumanism is theoretical insofar as it involves efforts to understand the ways in which organizations’ form and dynamics are being affected by (and are shaping) processes of posthumanization. Such work involves developing new conceptual frameworks that can explain and predict the unique ways in which organizations will become agents and objects of posthumanization and will exist as elements of a larger posthumanized ecosystem.

For example, scholars can explore the ways in which organizations’ members, personnel structures, processes, information systems, physical and virtual spaces, and external environment will be altered by the integration of artificial general intelligences, sentient robotic swarms, sapient networks, neuroprothetically augmented cyborgs, genetically engineered human beings, and other posthumanized entities into organizations whose membership was previously the exclusive domain of unmodified, ‘natural’ biological human beings. Such posthumanization may allow the creation of new organizational forms that were previously impossible while simultaneously rendering some traditional organizational forms ineffective or obsolete.

In its theoretical aspects, organizational posthumanism draws on and can inform fields such as organizational theory, systems theory, and cybernetics. It can work in parallel with sociopolitical posthumanism, which explores at a theoretical level the impact of posthumanization on legal, political, and economic systems and institutions. Similarly, organizational posthumanism can take up many existing lines of theoretical inquiry within fields such as philo-

²² For an instance of sociopolitical posthumanism as it relates to law, see Berman, “Posthuman Law: Information Policy and the Machinic World” (2002). For the form of metahumanism developed by Sorgner and Del Val, see Del Val & Sorgner, “A *Metahumanist* Manifesto” (2011), and Del Val et al., “Interview on the Metahumanist Manifesto with Jaime del Val and Stefan Lorenz Sorgner” (2011).

sophical, critical, and biopolitical posthumanism and science fiction and advance them in a way that is informed by a deeper concern for and insight into their implications at the organizational level.

For example, Miah notes posthumanism's longstanding interest in the blurring physical and cognitive boundaries between human beings and the tools that we use to accomplish work. Drawing on Mazlish, Miah notes that tools have historically served to extend human beings' capacities and freedom while simultaneously subjugating human beings to the organizational systems required for the tools' production and effective use.²³ Whereas tools can serve as an 'artificial skin' that mediates our relationship with our environment and offers us protection, they have also facilitated the creation of large, impersonal organizations in which human beings are reduced to functional bodies that provide some economic value. The creation of new tools such as neuroprosthetic devices is serving to make human beings "more machine-like, physically and cognitively," while the creation of increasingly autonomous tools such as artificial intelligences threatens to replace human beings altogether as components of some organizational systems.²⁴ Organizational posthumanism can develop new theoretical frameworks that shed light on such relationships between agent and instrument, between human 'employee' and nonhuman 'tool,' within the evolving context of posthumanized organizations.

B. PRACTICAL ASPECTS

Organizational posthumanism is also practical, insofar as its goal is not simply to understand at an abstract level the ways in which posthuman realities are affecting organizations but also to aid managers in proactively designing, creating, and maintaining organizations that can survive and thrive within novel competitive environments such as those emerging as a result of the posthumanization of our world. Just as sociopolitical posthumanism works to produce new legal, political, and economic systems that are adapted to emerging posthuman realities, so organizational posthumanism works to produce successfully posthumanized organizations – and, through them, to produce the goods, services, and other resources that such organizations release into the wider ecosystem. In its more practical aspects, organizational

²³ See Miah (2008), p. 82, and its discussion of Mazlish, *The Fourth Discontinuity: The Co-Evolution of Humans and Machines* (1993).

²⁴ Miah (2008), p. 82.

posthumanism draws on, shapes, and acts through disciplines like organizational design, organizational architecture, enterprise architecture, organization development, management cybernetics, and strategic management.

Research has already begun to explore the practical implications of technological posthumanization (though without necessarily naming the phenomenon as such) for areas such as strategic planning, business models, entrepreneurship, marketing, knowledge management, and customer relationship management (CRM);²⁵ change management, organizational culture, and organizational HCI;²⁶ potential roles for artificial intelligences in leading teams of human workers;²⁷ and the creation of neurocybernetically linked organizational systems.²⁸

C. ANALYTIC ASPECTS

The fact that processes of posthumanization are expected to accelerate and expand in the future does not diminish the posthumanizing impacts that have already been felt and which every day are creating new opportunities and challenges for organizations. Organizational posthumanism is analytic, insofar as it strives to understand the changes to organizations that have already occurred as a result of such previous and ongoing processes of posthumanization. On the basis of such knowledge, managers and other organizational stakeholders can develop strategies and best practices to optimize the functioning of real-world organizations today.

For example, researchers in the field of organizational posthumanism might, for example, attempt to anticipate the implications of employing artificial general intelligences (AGIs) to fill roles as senior executives within otherwise human organizations.²⁹ Such efforts to imagine the eventual impacts of radically posthumanized far-future technological systems complement or-

²⁵ See the thoughtful overview of the impacts of posthumanizing technologies on such areas in Berner, *Management in 20XX: What Will Be Important in the Future – A Holistic View* (2004).

²⁶ See Mara & Hawk (2009).

²⁷ See Gladden, “Leveraging the Cross-Cultural Capacities of Artificial Agents as Leaders of Human Virtual Teams” (2014); Gladden, “The Social Robot as ‘Charismatic Leader’: A Phenomenology of Human Submission to Nonhuman Power” (2014); and Gladden, “Managerial Robotics: A Model of Sociality and Autonomy for Robots Managing Human Beings and Machines” (2014).

²⁸ See Gladden, “Neural Implants as Gateways to Digital-Physical Ecosystems and Posthuman Socioeconomic Interaction” (2016).

²⁹ See, e.g., Gladden, “The Social Robot as ‘Charismatic Leader’” (2014).

ganizational posthumanism's efforts to analyze the impact that is already being felt on organizations by more rudimentary technologies for artificial intelligence, such as those that control industrial robots for assembly-line manufacturing,³⁰ automated systems for resource scheduling and planning,³¹ web-based chatbots for basic interactions with customers,³² and robotic sales associates for dispensing goods and services to customers.³³

D. SYNTHETIC ASPECTS

In addition to analyzing the kinds of posthumanized organizations that already exist today, organizational posthumanism seeks to envision the kinds of even more radically posthumanized organizations that may be able to exist in the future thanks to accelerating forces of technologization and other anticipated sociotechnological change.

In a sense, all long-term organizational decision-making involves a sort of 'futurology,' as stakeholders make decisions on the basis of their empirically grounded projections, estimates, or intuitions about how an organization's external context is likely to evolve over time (e.g., as captured in a PESTLE analysis³⁴) and how the impact of a decision is likely to reshape the organization's internal form and dynamics. Organizational posthumanism involves a specialized form of organizational futurology that attempts to conceptualize and predict the ways in which organizations in general (or one organization in particular) will be transformed by the dynamics of posthumanization or will be able to exploit those dynamics for their own strategic purposes.

Within organizational posthumanism, the analytic and theoretical effort to understand effective posthumanized organizations and the synthetic and practical effort to design and create them are thus joined as two sides of a single coin.

³⁰ For an overview of such technologies, see, e.g., Perlberg, *Industrial Robotics* (2016).

³¹ See, e.g., *Automated Scheduling and Planning: From Theory to Practice*, edited by Etaner-Uyar et al. (2013).

³² Such technologies are described, e.g., in Perez-Marin & Pascual-Nieto, *Conversational Agents and Natural Language Interaction: Techniques and Effective Practices* (2011).

³³ See, e.g., the account from a consumer's perspective of interactions with such technologies in Nazario, "I went to Best Buy and encountered a robot named Chloe – and now I'm convinced she's the future of retail" (2015).

³⁴ See Cadle et al., *Business Analysis Techniques: 72 Essential Tools for Success* (2010), pp. 3-6, for a description of various versions of this analytic tool.

IV. ORGANIZATIONAL POSTHUMANIZATION AS REFLECTED IN ORGANIZATIONAL ELEMENTS

One aspect of posthumanization is the emergence of a world in which natural human beings are joined by other kinds of entities such as cyborgs, social robots, AGIs, sapient networks, and artificial life-forms in serving as employees, collaborators, and consumers. This posthuman reality will increasingly be reflected in various aspects of organizational life. Particular implications of such posthumanization can be identified in the kinds of *members, structures, systems, processes, spaces, and external ecosystems* that organizations will possess.³⁵ Below we consider each of these elements.

A. POSTHUMANIZED MEMBERS

Traditionally, the members of organizations have been ‘natural’ biological human beings who have not been engineered or extensively enhanced with the aid of biomedical technologies. The membership of future organizations will comprise a much more diverse array of entities. It is expected that increasingly the members of organizations will, for example, also include:³⁶

- Human beings possessing implantable computers (such as devices resembling subcutaneous smartphones)
- Human beings equipped with sensory, cognitive, or motor neuroprosthetics, including human beings who possess full cyborg bodies
- Genetically engineered human beings
- Human beings who are long-term users of virtual reality systems and whose interaction with other persons and their environment takes place largely within virtual worlds
- Social robots
- Artificial general intelligences

³⁵ Structures, processes, and systems constitute the three main elements within the ‘congruence model’ of organizational architecture as conceptualized by Nadler and Tushman. See Nadler & Tushman, *Competing by Design: The Power of Organizational Architecture* (1997), p. 47.

³⁶ For an overview of the roles that such beings may play in future organizations, see Berner (2004). Discussions of specific types of posthumanized organizational members are found, e.g., in Bradshaw et al., “From Tools to Teammates: Joint Activity in Human-Agent-Robot Teams” (2009); Samani et al., “Towards Robotics Leadership: An Analysis of Leadership Characteristics and the Roles Robots Will Inherit in Future Human Society” (2012); Wiltshire et al., “Cybernetic Teams: Towards the Implementation of Team Heuristics in HRI” (2013); Gladden, “The Social Robot as ‘Charismatic Leader’” (2014); Gladden, “The Diffuse Intelligent Other: An Ontology of Nonlocalizable Robots as Moral and Legal Actors” (2016); and Gladden, “Neural Implants as Gateways” (2016).

- Artificial life-forms
- Sapient networks
- Human and synthetic beings whose thoughts and volitions have been cybernetically linked to create ‘hive minds’

Such members will be discussed in more detail later in this text, in our analysis of technological changes facilitating organizational posthumanization. From an organizational perspective, the capacities, vulnerabilities, needs, and forms of interaction demonstrated by such entities can differ radically from those of the natural human beings who have historically constituted an organization’s membership. The use of posthuman entities (including artificial beings) to fill organizational roles as senior executives, product designers, or the providers of sensitive goods or services (such as health care or military activities) raises a range of complex ethical, legal, and information security questions.³⁷ Organizational posthumanism can investigate the theoretical constraints and possibilities for creating organizations that include such posthumanized members and can develop practical approaches for the management of organizations that incorporate them.

B. POSTHUMANIZED STRUCTURES

The types of internal and external structures that are available for use by organizations are expected to be reshaped and expanded by emerging posthuman realities. When managing contemporary organizations, possible organizational forms identified by Horling and Lesser include hierarchies (which can be either simple, uniform, or multi-divisional), holarchies (or ‘holonic organizations’), coalitions, teams, congregations, societies, federations (or ‘federated systems’), matrix organizations, compound organizations, and

³⁷ For a discussion of questions that can arise when entrusting organizational roles and responsibilities to robots and AIs, see, e.g., Stahl, “Responsible Computers? A Case for Ascribing Quasi-Responsibility to Computers Independent of Personhood or Agency” (2006); Sparrow, “Killer Robots” (2007); Calverley, “Imagining a non-biological machine as a legal person” (2008); Grodzinsky et al., “Developing Artificial Agents Worthy of Trust: ‘Would You Buy a Used Car from This Artificial Agent?’” (2011); Coeckelbergh, “Can We Trust Robots?” (2012); Datteri, “Predicting the Long-Term Effects of Human-Robot Interaction: A Reflection on Responsibility in Medical Robotics” (2013); Gladden, “The Social Robot as ‘Charismatic Leader’” (2014); and Gladden, “The Diffuse Intelligent Other” (2016). Regarding questions that arise in the case of neurocybernetically enhanced human workers, see, e.g., McGee, “Bioelectronics and Implanted Devices” (2008); Kooops & Leenes, “Cheating with Implants: Implications of the Hidden Information Advantage of Bionic Ears and Eyes” (2012); and Gladden, “Neural Implants as Gateways” (2016).

sparsely connected graph structures (which may either possess statically defined elements or be an ‘adhocracy’).³⁸ Such structures have been developed over time to suit the particular characteristics of the members that constitute contemporary organizations – i.e., natural biological human beings. As organizations evolve to include members that possess radically different physical and cognitive capacities and novel ways of interacting with one another, the kinds of structures that are available to organize the work of these groups of members will change, and novel organizational structures are expected to become feasible and even necessary.³⁹

For example, an organization composed of neuroprosthethically augmented human members may be able to link them through a decentralized network that enables the direct sharing of thoughts and sentiments between members’ minds, allowing information to be disseminated in an instantaneous fashion and decisions to be made in a distributed and collective manner that is impossible for conventional human organizations.⁴⁰ The reporting and decision-making structures of such an organization might reflect multidimensional cybernetic network topologies that were previously possible only for computerized systems (or some nonhuman animal species) but which could not be effectively employed within human organizations.⁴¹ Organizational posthumanism can conceptualize such new possibilities and develop

³⁸ Horling & Lesser, “A Survey of Multi-Agent Organizational Paradigms” (2004).

³⁹ For the sake of convenience, it is possible to refer to such developments as ‘novel *personnel* structures’ – however it must be kept in mind that the ‘personnel’ constituting such future organizations will not necessarily be human ‘persons’ but may include, e.g., such radically different types of entities as nanorobot swarms or sapient networks of computerized devices.

⁴⁰ Regarding the prospect of creating hive minds and neuroprosthethically facilitated collective intelligence, see, e.g., McIntosh, “The Transhuman Security Dilemma” (2010); Roden, *Posthuman Life: Philosophy at the Edge of the Human* (2014), p. 39; and Gladden, “Utopias and Dystopias as Cybernetic Information Systems: Envisioning the Posthuman Neuropolity” (2015). For a classification of different kinds of potential hive minds, see Chapter 2, “Hive Mind,” in Kelly, *Out of Control: The New Biology of Machines, Social Systems and the Economic World* (1994); Kelly, “A Taxonomy of Minds” (2007); Kelly, “The Landscape of Possible Intelligences” (2008); Yonck, “Toward a standard metric of machine intelligence” (2012); and Yampolskiy, “The Universe of Minds” (2014). For critical perspectives on hive minds, see, e.g., Maguire & McGee, “Implantable brain chips? Time for debate” (1999); Bendle, “Teleportation, cyborgs and the posthuman ideology” (2002); and Heylighen, “The Global Brain as a New Utopia” (2002).

⁴¹ See, e.g., Gladden, “Utopias and Dystopias as Cybernetic Information Systems” (2015). Efforts by organizational posthumanists to envision and implement new kinds of posthumanized organizational structures should be distinguished from management approaches such as the Holacracy movement, which abolishes job titles and hierarchical structures for decision-making and authority and replaces them with largely self-organizing, self-guiding circles of employees. From the perspec-

concrete recommendations regarding organizational structures that are especially well- or poorly suited for organizations comprising posthumanized members.

C. POSTHUMANIZED (INFORMATION) SYSTEMS

The word ‘system’ is used with different meanings in different organizational contexts. From the perspective of management cybernetics, an organization as a whole can be considered a ‘viable system,’ as can each of its constituent subsystems.⁴² On the other hand, within the context of contemporary organizational architecture, ‘systems’ are typically computerized information systems such as manufacturing systems that govern and constitute a physical assembly line, an internally hosted accounting database, a cloud-based HR management system, a public-facing website for handling retail transactions, or a social media platform for use in marketing and public relations.

tive of Holacracy, an organization can essentially be viewed as though it were a conventional electronic computer and each of the organization’s human members were components of that computer. The *Holacracy Constitution* provides an organization with a complex set of decision-making rules and procedures that constitute the organization’s ‘operating system’ and which – after this ‘OS’ has become sufficiently engrained in employees’ interactions and decision-making patterns – allow new business processes to be implemented in the form of ‘apps’ which, in theory, can be downloaded and installed in the minds and behaviors of the organization’s human employees in a manner similar to that of installing a new program on a desktop computer. See Robertson, *Holacracy: The New Management System for a Rapidly Changing World* (2015), pp. 9-14, and the *Holacracy Constitution v4.1* (2015).

Superficially, Holacracy shares some elements in common with posthumanism, insofar as it recognizes the fact that innovative new organizational structures that draw inspiration from sources other than traditional human institutions are increasingly becoming possible and even necessary. However, Holacracy diverges from the principles of organizational posthumanism by declining to acknowledge that the circle of intelligent actors within organizations is expanding to include entities other than natural biological human beings. Holacracy is essentially anthropocentric, insofar as it presumes that natural biological human beings are and will continue to be the lone relevant actors within organizations; it simply attempts to induce such human beings to behave as if they were electronic computer components rather than human persons. Such an approach may prove more effective in the future, if implantable computers, neurocybernetics, long-term immersive virtual environments, and other technologizing phenomena lead to the development of human workers that display sufficiently ‘computronic’ characteristics. (See Part Three of this volume, “The Posthuman Management Matrix: Understanding the Organizational Impact of Radical Biotechnological Convergence,” for a discussion of such phenomena.) However, current attempts at implementing approaches such as Holacracy would appear to significantly underestimate the fundamental structural and behavioral differences that presently exist between human and synthetic agents.

⁴² For cybernetic accounts of viable systems from a management perspective, see, e.g., Beer, *Brain of the Firm* (1981); Barile et al., “An Introduction to the Viable Systems Approach and Its Contribution to Marketing” (2012); and Gladden, “The Artificial Life-Form as Entrepreneur: Synthetic Organism-Enterprises and the Reconceptualization of Business” (2014).

Traditionally, the relationship of human employees to such systems has been relatively straightforward: human workers serve as the designers, programmers, data-entry specialists, and end users of the information systems, while the systems themselves are assigned the role of receiving, storing, and transmitting data securely and manipulating it in an efficient and accurate fashion, as instructed by human employees. However, the boundary between the electronic systems that store and process information and the human workers that use them are expected to increasingly blur as implantable computers, neuroprosthetic devices, and persistent virtual reality environments integrate human workers ever more intimately into organizational information systems at both the physical and cognitive levels.⁴³ Moreover, the growing sophistication of artificial intelligence platforms for use in data mining and other applications⁴⁴ is expected to increasingly create information systems that are self-organizing, self-analyzing, and even self-aware. Through the use of such systems, organizations may move beyond the era of Big Data and Smart Data and into an era of ‘Sapient Data’ in which information systems utilize human workers as tools rather than being utilized by them. Organizational posthumanism can offer critical perspectives regarding both the ontological and ethical aspects of such human–electronic systems as well as their practical implementation.

D. POSTHUMANIZED PROCESSES

The essential processes found within an organization do not simply include those by which it directly generates the end products for which the organization is known – such as the actions used to physically assemble some device on an assembly line (for a consumer electronics company) or to generate sounds from musical instruments during a concert (for a symphony orchestra). An organization’s fundamental processes also include all of those

⁴³ For an in-depth analysis of the ways in which such historical barriers between human workers and electronic information systems are being dissolved, see Part Three of this text, “The Posthuman Management Matrix.”

⁴⁴ Regarding the prospects of developing autonomous AI systems for data mining, see, for example, Warkentin et al., “The Role of Intelligent Agents and Data Mining in Electronic Partnership Management” (2012); Bannat et al., “Artificial Cognition in Production Systems” (2011), pp. 152-55; and Wasay et al., “Queriosity: Automated Data Exploration” (2015).

behaviors and dynamics through which resources (including human resources, financial resources, material resources, and information)⁴⁵ are acquired from the external environment, created internally, transmitted between different parts of the organization, combined or transformed, or released into the external environment – as well as all of the second-order processes by which those behaviors and dynamics are planned, led, organized, and controlled.⁴⁶ Such second-order processes include the use of the three key mechanisms of programming, feedback, and hierarchical supervision to coordinate the activities of an organization’s members.⁴⁷ They also include compensation and incentive schemes that are used to reward and motivate desired behaviors on the part of an organization’s members, as well as processes of career advancement which ensure that an organization’s most talented and effective workers move into positions in which their abilities can be employed to their fullest potential.⁴⁸

In the case of contemporary organizations that include only traditional biological human members, there exists a rich body of theory and best practices relating to the design and implementation of such processes. However, it is clear that the nature of these processes can change dramatically within a radically posthumanized organizational context. For example, some kinds of advanced robots and AIs may require no compensation at all – other than ‘compensation’ in the form of an electric power supply, physical maintenance and software upgrades, and other resources needed to ensure their continued operation. However, very sophisticated AGIs whose cognitive dynamics are based on those of human beings might request – and, as a practical matter, require – compensation in the form of intellectual stimulation, self-fulfillment, and generic financial resources (i.e., a paycheck) that an entity can spend as it sees fit to pursue its own personal goals or objectives in its spare time.⁴⁹ Similarly, neurocybernetically augmented human employees may be

⁴⁵ For the role of such resources in organizational dynamics, see, e.g., Pride et al., *Foundations of Business* (2014), p. 8., and Gladden, “The Artificial Life-Form as Entrepreneur” (2014).

⁴⁶ Planning, organizing, leading, and controlling are considered to be the four primary functions that must be performed by managers. See Daft, *Management* (2011).

⁴⁷ For a review of the scholarship on such mechanisms and their role in organizations, see Puranam et al., “Organization Design: The Epistemic Interdependence Perspective” (2012), p. 431.

⁴⁸ See Brickley et al., “Corporate Governance, Ethics, and Organizational Architecture” (2003), p. 43; Puranam et al. (2012); and Nadler & Tushman (1997), loc. 862, 1807.

⁴⁹ For an in-depth analysis of the prospects of developing AGIs with human-like cognitive capacities and psychological needs, see Friedenber, *Artificial Psychology: The Quest for What It Means to Be Human* (2008).

able to instantly acquire new skills or capacities in ways that render traditional professional advancement schemes outdated and irrelevant, and such employees might demand new forms of compensation (such as lifetime technical support for neuroprosthetic devices that have been implanted to enable the fulfillment of their official organizational responsibilities⁵⁰). Organizational posthumanism can develop theoretical accounts of such posthumanized processes as well as best practices to facilitate their management.

E. POSTHUMANIZED SPACES

The physical spaces in which an organization's members come together to plan and execute its activities have historically included venues such as factories, office buildings, warehouses, retail stores, farms, campuses, military bases, and other specialized locations. As organizations evolve and expand to include nonhuman members such as sapient networks or robotic swarms, the range of physical spaces in which such organizational members can (or need) to work will be similarly transformed. Moreover, building on the use of technologies such as telephony, email, instant messaging, and videoconferencing, even the traditional biologically human members of organizations will find themselves interacting in new posthumanized venues such as persistent virtual worlds. Within such new physical and virtual organizational spaces, one member of an organization may or may not always know whether the other intelligent members with which the member is interacting socially are natural biological human beings, neurocybernetically enhanced human beings, robots, AIs, or other kinds of entities.⁵¹ Organizational posthumanism can engage with practitioners in the fields of architecture, facilities design, ergonomics, operations management, and logistics to create and operate posthumanized physical facilities for organizations functioning in such a deanthropocentrized context. With regard to the development and use of posthumanized virtual spaces, organizational posthumanism can provide a conceptual bridge by seeking out insights from fields as diverse as biocybernetics, HCI, psychology, anthropology, communications, philosophy of mind, computer game design, science fiction, and film and television studies to develop immersive multisensory worlds that serve as effective venues for organizational life.

⁵⁰ See Gladden, "Neural Implants as Gateways" (2016).

⁵¹ See Grodzinsky et al. (2011) and Gladden, "The Social Robot as 'Charismatic Leader'" (2014).

F. POSTHUMANIZED EXTERNAL ENVIRONMENTS AND ECOSYSTEMS

An organization can be understood as a viable system that operates within a broader ecosystem (or ‘suprasystem’) that includes other competing or collaborating organizations as well as natural resources, potential consumers, and other external environmental features.⁵² These ecosystems are expected to take on an increasingly posthumanized nature. For example, new environmental elements might include other organizations that consist entirely of intelligent nonhuman members such as robotic swarms and societies of AIs. Similarly, a highly interconnected Internet of Things might be filled with informational resources that are no longer simply passive sets of data but which – through their integration with AI platforms – become intelligent, volitional, and potentially even sapient collections of data that act to pursue their own goals and interests.⁵³ The world’s increasingly rich and complex digital-physical ecosystems might be populated by self-generating, self-propagating, highly adaptable memes in the form of evolvable computer worms or viruses that shape human popular culture as a whole and the thoughts and memories of individual human beings in particular, either through traditional forms of communication and social interaction or through the targeted reprogramming or technological manipulation of, for example, neurocybernetically augmented human beings.⁵⁴ The emergence of such new posthuman ecosystems is expected to significantly reshape the kinds of resources that organizations are able to obtain from their environments, the nature of collaboration and competition with external organizations, the types of consumers available to utilize the goods and services produced by an organization, and the organization’s definition of long-term viability and success.

The roles that individual organizations play within societies may also be radically reshaped. For example, if future AIs and robotic systems are able to efficiently perform all of the functions of food production and preparation,

⁵² Regarding viable systems and their environments, see, e.g., Beer (1981) and Gladden, “The Artificial Life-Form as Entrepreneur” (2014).

⁵³ For discussions of the theoretical and practical possibilities for and obstacles to the emergence of such systems, see, e.g., Gladden, “From Stand Alone Complexes to Memetic Warfare: Cultural Cybernetics and the Engineering of Posthuman Popular Culture” (2016), and Gladden, “The Artificial Life-Form as Entrepreneur” (2014).

⁵⁴ Regarding the growing possibilities that ideas and other forms of information might exist as actors that can propagate themselves through interaction with other nonhuman or human actors within complex posthumanized digital-physical ecosystems, see, e.g., Gladden, “From Stand Alone Complexes to Memetic Warfare” (2016), and Kowalewska, “Symbionts and Parasites – Digital Ecosystems” (2016).

health care, education, construction, transportation, energy production, retail sales, accounting, security, and other tasks that are needed for human beings and societies to thrive, there will no longer be a financial or operational need for organizations to employ human beings as workers in such roles. In that case, governments might take on the role of coordinating their human citizens' access to such superabundant resources, perhaps offering a 'universal basic income' redeemable in goods or services. The societal roles of governmental and commercial organizations would thus be dramatically transformed. On the other hand, widespread roboticization resulting in mass unemployment could potentially yield a loss of purpose for human beings, social unrest, violent revolution, and the oppression of the human species by automated systems; in this case, processes of posthumanization might result in 'dystopian' rather than 'utopian' organizational outcomes.⁵⁵ Organizational posthumanism can provide a theoretical bridge that links the consideration of posthumanization at an organizational level with that at a broader social or environmental level (as considered by fields such as economics, political science, sociology, evolutionary biology, or environmental science), while also developing concrete practices to aid organizations with optimizing their use of resources from and contribution of products to a posthumanized external environment.

V. TECHNOLOGICAL CHANGES FACILITATING ORGANIZATIONAL POSTHUMANIZATION

While advanced technologies play an essential role in contemporary processes of posthumanization, they are not the only mechanisms through which such processes operate. As noted earlier, there exist many forms of 'posthumanism without technology.'⁵⁶ Such nontechnological critical or cultural posthumanism might focus, for example, on historical references to ghosts, angels, monsters, and semidivine heroes in theology and the arts and the

⁵⁵ For the debate on whether mass roboticization and the end of human employment as we know it is likely to generate utopian, dystopian, or less extreme social impacts, see, e.g., Sachs et al., "Robots: Curse or Blessing? A Basic Framework" (2015); Nourbakhsh, "The Coming Robot Dystopia" (2015); and Ford, *Rise of the Robots: Technology and the Threat of a Jobless Future* (2015). For longer-term interdisciplinary perspectives, see the texts in *Singularity Hypotheses*, edited by Eden et al. (2012).

⁵⁶ Herbrechter (2013), p. 157.

ways in which they have long encouraged human beings to expand the boundaries of society to include a nonhuman ‘other.’⁵⁷

Posthumanized beings have always been part of organizations. Even if only tangentially, human organizations have always incorporated such quasi-human, parahuman, or nonhuman others. For example, the decision-making processes of Ancient Roman governmental and military organizations relied on augurs that were supposed by their practitioners to reveal the will of the gods.⁵⁸ According to the Catholic Church’s traditional teaching on the Communion of Saints, the organization of the Church incorporates both human members who are presently living on earth, members who have died but are still undergoing a purification, and members who have died and now contemplate God in His heavenly glory.⁵⁹ In a metaphorical sense, the ‘ghost’ of a company’s beloved founder can continue to guide the company’s actions even after his or her death, gazing watchfully from framed portraits on office walls and inspiring new generations of employees through aphorisms quoted reverently in the company’s mission statement or employee handbook. And non-human others in the form of dogs, horses, and other animals have long been incorporated into human military organizations and businesses (e.g., family farms or circuses) in important roles as intelligent – if not sapient – agents.

Technologization is changing the nature of posthumanization. However, even critical posthumanists who argue that the processes of posthumanization have historically taken many forms unrelated to technological change will acknowledge that in today’s world, the accelerating and intensifying technologization of humanity has become an essential – if not the most essential – driver of posthumanization.⁶⁰ Herbrechter notes that from the time of its prehistoric origins, humanity has always utilized technology. Indeed, it was only the creation of techniques and technologies for performing such tasks as making fire, hunting animals, and communicating information symbolically that humankind as such was able to develop; “Culture in a sense is therefore always ‘technoculture’, namely achieved and transmitted by technics.”⁶¹ However, the manner and extent of our integration with workplace

⁵⁷ Herbrechter (2013), pp. 2-3, 106. See also Graham (2002).

⁵⁸ See Hamilton, “What Is Roman Ornithomancy? A Compositional Analysis of an Ancient Roman Ritual” (2007), and Green, “Malevolent gods and Promethean birds: Contesting augury in Augustus’s Rome” (2009).

⁵⁹ See the *Catechism of the Catholic Church, Second Edition* (2016), pp. 249-250.

⁶⁰ See Herbrechter (2013), pp. 15, 6-7.

⁶¹ Herbrechter (2013), p. 152.

technologies is now undergoing a qualitative transformation. Herbrechter suggests that the human operators of equipment are increasingly merging with their tools in order to manipulate them more effectively, thereby undergoing a process of cyborgization. But just as we are becoming more dependent on our technology, our technology is becoming less dependent on us – thanks to the growing sophistication of artificial intelligence and automated systems that can make decisions without any need for human input. Human agency is thus being attenuated by technology at the same time that the world of ‘smart objects’ is gaining its own agency.⁶²

The new kinds of posthumanized beings produced through such technologization will become incorporated into human organizations in novel fashions. A ghost or saint or animal can indeed be ‘incorporated’ into the life and behaviors of an organization in meaningful ways – but not, for example, as an employee of the organization. The ‘ghost’ of a company’s founder might offer vague principles to guide decision-making but cannot determine which of three smartphone models to offer for sale in a particular country. A horse can transport a company’s goods from place to place but cannot formulate the company’s long-term business strategy. However, posthuman beings in the form of artificial intelligences, social robots, sentient (and even sapient) networks, and cyborgs *will* be able to do such things. Increasingly, such posthumanized entities will not simply operate at the fringes of an organization or in supporting roles that aid the decision-making of the organization’s natural human members; such posthuman beings will instead increasingly fill critical roles as designers, producers, strategists, and decision-makers within organizations.⁶³

While processes such as roboticization, cyborgization, and virtualization have not created the phenomenon of posthumanization, they are making its dynamics visible in new and more vivid ways.⁶⁴ Hayles suggests that some forms of ‘uncritical’ posthumanism (including strains of transhumanism and cybernetics) possess a naïvely technologized interpretation of these processes: such a perspective understands the human body as merely a prosthesis or computational substrate and the mind as a collection of informational patterns; it considers the biological organism of a human being, a social robot

⁶² For a discussion of these simultaneous trends, see Herbrechter (2013), p. 150.

⁶³ An exploration of these possibilities can be found, e.g., in Samani et al. (2012) and Gladden, “The Social Robot as ‘Charismatic Leader’” (2014).

⁶⁴ See Herbrechter (2013), p. 77.

resembling a human being, and a computer simulation of a human being to be just three interchangeable manifestations of the same sort of viable system.⁶⁵ Critical posthumanists such as Hayles and Herbrechter reject such simplistic ‘technoeuphoria’ and argue that more rigorous critical posthumanist thought is necessary in order to understand, anticipate, and guide the processes of sociotechnological transformation that are challenging our concept of humanity and altering humanity’s role in the world.⁶⁶ Organizational posthumanism is well-positioned to explore such questions of technological posthumanization in a way that marries the circumspectness of critical posthumanism with a strategic awareness of the fact that the ability to generate and embrace radical new forms of technological transformation is growing ever more important to the survival of organizations.

Three categories of posthumanizing technologies. For the purposes of this text, there are three broad categories of ongoing or anticipated technological developments that are contributing to posthumanization in especially relevant ways: 1) technologies for human augmentation and enhancement, which include many forms of neuroprosthetics and genetic engineering; 2) technologies for synthetic agency, which include robotics, artificial intelligence, and artificial life; and 3) technologies for digital-physical ecosystems and networks that help create the environments within which and infrastructure through which human and artificial agents will interact.⁶⁷ We can consider these three types of technologies in turn.

A. TECHNOLOGIES FOR HUMAN AUGMENTATION AND ENHANCEMENT

Technologies that are expected to alter the sensory, motor, and cognitive capacities of human beings include implantable computers, advanced neuro-

⁶⁵ See Hayles (1999), pp. 2-3, and its discussion in Herbrechter (2013), p. 42.

⁶⁶ Herbrechter (2013), p. 200.

⁶⁷ For a discussion of the role of such technologies in posthumanization, see Herbrechter (2013), pp. 90-91, and its analysis of Graham (2002) and Graham, “Post/Human Conditions” (2004). Note that while we focus in this text on three kinds of posthumanizing technologization that have a particular impact on the form and dynamics of organizations, they are by no means the only kinds of technologization that will contribute to posthumanization. Technological developments in other fields such as agriculture, transportation, energy, space exploration, and the military will also likely contribute to the posthumanization of our world and the organizations within it.

prosthetics, genetic engineering, and the use of immersive virtual reality systems.⁶⁸ The implementation of such technologies will result in a posthumanization of organizations' **members** (e.g., as an organization purposefully hires cyborgs to fill particular roles or the organization's current employees acquire cybernetic enhancements on their own initiative), **structures** (e.g., as implantable computers and communication devices allow workers to engage in new types of decision-making and reporting relationships), **systems** (e.g., by giving human workers new abilities to control, be controlled by, and otherwise interface with an organization's technological infrastructure), **processes** (e.g., by facilitating direct brain-to-brain communication and providing workers with in-body access to organizational databases), **spaces** (e.g., by allowing cyborg workers to operate in areas dangerous or inaccessible to natural human beings), and **external ecosystems** (e.g., by creating cyborg consumers that need new kinds of goods and services and external cyborg partners and consultants that can provide them). We can consider such posthumanizing technologies in more detail.

1. IMPLANTABLE COMPUTERS

The universe of contemporary information and communications technology (ICT) includes a wide range of implantable devices such as passive RFID tags that are not in themselves computers but which can interact with computers and serve as elements of computerized systems. However, an increasing number of implantable devices indeed constitute full-fledged computers that possess their own processor, memory, software, and input/output mechanisms and whose programming can be updated after they are implanted into the body of their human host. Among these are many implantable medical devices (IMDs) such as pacemakers, defibrillators, neuroprostheses including retinal and cochlear implants, deep brain stimulation (DBS) devices, body sensor networks (BSNs), and even some of the more sophisticated implantable RFID transponders.⁶⁹ A growing number of these implantable computers utilize sophisticated biocybernetic control loops that allow the

⁶⁸ Such technologies are reviewed, e.g., in Bostrom (2008); Fukuyama (2002); Gray (2002); and Herbrechter (2013), pp. 90-91.

⁶⁹ See Gasson et al., "Human ICT Implants: From Invasive to Pervasive" (2012); Gasson, "ICT Implants" (2008); and Gladden, *The Handbook of Information Security for Advanced Neuroprosthetics* (2015), pp. 19-20.

physiological and cognitive activity of their host to be detected, processed, and interpreted for use in exercising real-time computer control.⁷⁰

The implantable computers that have been developed to date typically serve a restorative or therapeutic medical purpose: they are used to treat a particular illness or restore to their user a sensory, motor, or cognitive ability that has been lost through illness or injury. Increasingly, though, implantable computers will be developed not to restore some regular human capacity that has been lost but to augment their users' physical or intellectual capacities in ways that exceed typical human abilities.⁷¹ For example, implantable computers resembling miniaturized subcutaneous smartphones might provide their users with wireless communication capacities including access to cloud-based services.⁷² The elective use of implantable computers for physical and cognitive augmentation will expand the market for such devices to broader segments of the population beyond those who currently rely on them to address medical conditions.⁷³

2. ADVANCED NEUROPROSTHETICS

Drawing on definitions offered by Lebedev and others, we can define a neuroprosthesis as a technological device that is integrated into the neural circuitry of a human being; such devices are often categorized as being sensory, motor, bidirectional sensorimotor, or cognitive.⁷⁴ While there is much overlap between implantable computers and neuroprosthetic devices, not all implantable computers interface directly with their host's neural circuitry and not all neuroprosthetic devices are implantable.⁷⁵

The power and potential applications of neuroprosthetic devices are expected to grow significantly in the coming years. For example, it is anticipated

⁷⁰ See Fairclough, "Physiological Computing: Interfacing with the Human Nervous System" (2010), and Park et al., "The Future of Neural Interface Technology" (2009).

⁷¹ Regarding the anticipated increasing use of implantable computers for purposes of human enhancement, see, e.g., Warwick & Gasson, "Implantable Computing" (2008); Berner (2004), p. 17; and Gladden, *The Handbook of Information Security for Advanced Neuroprosthetics* (2015), p. 28.

⁷² For discussion of such a device, see Gladden, *The Handbook of Information Security for Advanced Neuroprosthetics* (2015), p. 93.

⁷³ See McGee (2008) and Gasson et al. (2012).

⁷⁴ Such a classification is discussed in Lebedev, "Brain-Machine Interfaces: An Overview" (2014), and Gladden, *The Handbook of Information Security for Advanced Neuroprosthetics* (2015), pp. 21-22.

⁷⁵ For this distinction, see Gladden, *The Handbook of Information Security for Advanced Neuroprosthetics* (2015), p. 32.

that current types of retinal implants that demonstrate very limited functionality will be supplanted by future sensory neuroprosthetics such as artificial eyes⁷⁶ that give their human hosts the capacity to experience their environments in dramatic new ways, such as through the use of telescopic or night vision⁷⁷ or by presenting an augmented reality that overlays actual sense data with supplemental information from a neuroprosthetic device's computer.⁷⁸ A neuroprosthetic device could also allow all of the sense data experienced by a human mind to be recorded as a stream of digital data that can be played back on demand by other human beings, enabling them to vicariously experience the world as though they were temporarily occupying the body of the device's host. Similar technologies might allow a person to play back any of his or her own earlier sensory experiences with perfect fidelity or replace the sense data generated by his or her actual external environment with sense data depicting some fictional virtual world.⁷⁹

Meanwhile, cognitive neuroprosthetic devices may offer their user the ability to create, delete, or otherwise edit memories stored within his or her brain's biological neural network; such abilities could be used, for example, to acquire new knowledge or skills or to erase existing fears.⁸⁰ Some scholars envision the development of ingestible 'knowledge pills' whose contents (perhaps a swarm of networked nanorobots⁸¹) travel to the brain, where they manipulate neurons to create engrams containing particular memories.⁸² Other researchers foresee the possibility of being able to simply download new

⁷⁶ Regarding such possibilities, see Berner (2004), p. 17, and Koops & Leenes (2012).

⁷⁷ Such enhanced forms of vision are discussed, e.g., in Gasson et al. (2012) and Merkel et al., "Central Neural Prostheses" (2007).

⁷⁸ See Koops & Leenes (2012) and Gladden, *The Handbook of Information Security for Advanced Neuroprosthetics* (2015), pp. 32-33.

⁷⁹ Regarding such sensory playback and virtual reality systems, see Gladden, *The Handbook of Information Security for Advanced Neuroprosthetics* (2015), pp. 33, 156-57; Koops & Leenes (2012), pp. 115, 120, 126; Merkel et al. (2007); Robinett, "The Consequences of Fully Understanding the Brain" (2002); and McGee (2008), p. 217.

⁸⁰ Such possibilities build on experimental techniques and technologies that are currently being tested in mice. See Han et al., "Selective Erasure of a Fear Memory" (2009); Ramirez et al., "Creating a False Memory in the Hippocampus" (2013); McGee (2008); Warwick, "The Cyborg Revolution" (2014), p. 267; and Gladden, *The Handbook of Information Security for Advanced Neuroprosthetics* (2015), p. 148.

⁸¹ See Pearce, "The Biointelligence Explosion" (2012).

⁸² For such possibilities, see Spohrer, "NBICS (Nano-Bio-Info-Cogno-Socio) Convergence to Improve Human Performance: Opportunities and Challenges" (2002).

skills or knowledge onto a memory chip implanted within the brain.⁸³ Cognitive neuroprosthetic devices might also be used to provide their human hosts with enhanced levels of intelligence⁸⁴ and creativity,⁸⁵ more desirable emotional dynamics and behavior,⁸⁶ enhanced conscious awareness (e.g., by reducing the need for sleep),⁸⁷ a strengthened or modified conscience,⁸⁸ and real-time assistance with decision-making to mitigate the impact of cognitive biases.⁸⁹

Similarly, a motor neuroprosthetic device might grant its user enhanced control over his or her existing biological body, expand the user's body to incorporate new devices (such as an exoskeleton or robotic vehicle) through body schema engineering, replace most of the user's existing biological body with electromechanical components to turn the individual into a cyborg,⁹⁰ allow the user to control external networked physical systems such as drones

⁸³ See McGee (2008) and Gladden, *The Handbook of Information Security for Advanced Neuroprosthetics* (2015), p. 33.

⁸⁴ Berner (2004), p. 17.

⁸⁵ Increases in creativity have been anecdotally reported to occur after the use of neuroprosthetics for deep brain stimulation. See Cosgrove, "Session 6: Neuroscience, brain, and behavior V: Deep brain stimulation" (2004); Gasson, "Human ICT Implants: From Restorative Application to Human Enhancement" (2012); Gladden, *The Handbook of Information Security for Advanced Neuroprosthetics* (2015), p. 149; Gladden, "Neural Implants as Gateways" (2016); and Gasson (2012), pp. 23-24.

⁸⁶ Regarding the intentional creation of emotional neuroprosthetics, see, e.g., Soussou & Berger, "Cognitive and Emotional Neuroprostheses" (2008). Effects on emotion have already been observed, for example, with devices used for deep brain stimulation. See Kraemer, "Me, Myself and My Brain Implant: Deep Brain Stimulation Raises Questions of Personal Authenticity and Alienation" (2011).

⁸⁷ Regarding efforts by the DARPA military research agency and others to develop neurotechnologies that can increase soldiers' alertness and reduce their need for sleep, see, e.g., Falconer, "Defense Research Agency Seeks to Create Supersoldiers" (2003); Moreno, "DARPA On Your Mind" (2004); Clancy, "At Military's Behest, Darpa Uses Neuroscience to Harness Brain Power" (2006); Wolf-Meyer, "Fantasies of extremes: Sports, war and the science of sleep" (2009); Kourany, "Human Enhancement: Making the Debate More Productive" (2013), pp. 992-93; and Gladden, *The Handbook of Information Security for Advanced Neuroprosthetics* (2015), p. 151.

⁸⁸ The conscience can be understood as a set of metavalitions, or desires about the kinds of volitions that a person wishes to possess. See Calverley (2008) and Gladden, *The Handbook of Information Security for Advanced Neuroprosthetics* (2015), pp. 151-52. To the extent that a neuroprosthetic device enhances processes of memory and emotion that allow for the development of the conscience, it may enhance one's ability to develop, discern, and follow one's conscience.

⁸⁹ Regarding the potential use of neuroprosthetic devices for such purposes, see Gladden, "Neural Implants as Gateways" (2016). For a description of common cognitive biases and their impact on organizational decision-making, see Kinicki & Williams, *Management: A Practical Introduction* (2010), pp. 217-19.

⁹⁰ See Lebedev (2014) and Berner (2004), p. 16.

or 3D printers, or provide the host with a radically nonhuman body for use in sensing and manipulating a virtual environment.⁹¹

3. VIRTUAL REALITY

In principle, a virtual reality system may be capable of creating a fully immersive visual, auditory, olfactory, gustatory, and tactile environment that its human user would find impossible to qualitatively distinguish from the real world, if the system is capable of presenting either roughly 200 Gbps of raw sense data to the body's sensory organs (such as the retina, hair cells in the ear, and taste buds) through their external stimulation or roughly 250 Mbps of already-processed sense data in the form of direct electrochemical stimulation either of the nerves (such as the optic and cochlear nerves) that carry such data to the brain or of the relevant brain regions themselves.⁹² Such fully immersive – and potentially continuous and long-term – virtual reality experiences could be facilitated through the use of advanced neuro-prosthetic devices that provide a human brain with all of its sense data, perhaps aided by the use of genetic engineering to make the brain or sensory organs better suited to receive input from such devices.⁹³

There is no logical necessity for these fully immersive virtual worlds to resemble our real world in all respects: within a virtual world, human beings might be given new kinds of sensory capacities⁹⁴ or even radically nonhuman bodies.⁹⁵ Moreover, the laws of physics and biology that hold sway within the real world need not apply in a virtual world; the designers of such worlds could formulate their own cultural, social, biological, physical, and even logical and ontological principles that govern or mediate the interactions of subjects and objects within a virtual world. For example, a world designer might decide that within a particular virtual world all human beings, all computers possessing artificial general intelligence, and some of the more intelligent

⁹¹ Gladden, “Cybershells, Shapeshifting, and Neuroprosthetics: Video Games as Tools for Posthuman ‘Body Schema (Re)Engineering’” (2015).

⁹² See Berner (2004), pp. 37-38, 45-47.

⁹³ On implantable systems for augmented or virtual reality, see Sandor et al., “Breaking the Barriers to True Augmented Reality” (2015), pp. 5-6. Regarding the theoretical possibilities and limits of such technologies, taking into account human physiological and psychological constraints, see Gladden, “Cybershells, Shapeshifting, and Neuroprosthetics” (2015).

⁹⁴ See Merkel et al. (2007).

⁹⁵ Such possibilities are explored in Gladden, “Cybershells, Shapeshifting, and Neuroprosthetics” (2015).

forms of animals represented within it are able to instantaneously share their thoughts and emotions with one another through a form of ‘telepathy,’ thereby creating new kinds of communal creativity, thought, and agency.⁹⁶

Such technologies could potentially have significant negative consequences; for example, particularly immersive and stimulating virtual environments may become addictive, with their users unable or unwilling to leave them.⁹⁷ Moreover, if a user possesses a permanently implanted virtual reality device that is able to alter or replaces its host’s sensory perceptions, it may be impossible for the user to know which (if any) of the sense data that he or she is experiencing corresponds to some actual element of an external physical environment and which is ‘virtual’ or simply ‘false’; such an individual may lose the ability (and perhaps desire) to distinguish between real and virtual experiences and worlds.⁹⁸

4. GENETIC ENGINEERING, MEDICINE, AND LIFE EXTENSION

Notwithstanding the many serious questions about whether such applications are ontologically coherent and ethically acceptable, as a practical matter scholars expect that new techniques for genetic engineering will eventually be used, for example, to produce a continually refreshed inventory of personalized replacement organs that can be implanted when their human host’s previous organs ‘wear out’ – or even organs that regenerate themselves

⁹⁶ Such options available to the designers of virtual worlds in immersive and long-term multisensory VR environments are discussed in Gladden, “Cybershells, Shapeshifting, and Neuroprosthetics” (2015), and Gladden, “‘Upgrading’ the Human Entity: Cyberization as a Path to Posthuman Utopia or Digital Annihilation?” (2015).

⁹⁷ Regarding the ramifications of long-term immersion in virtual reality environments, see, e.g., Heim, *The Metaphysics of Virtual Reality* (1993); Koltko-Rivera, “The potential societal impact of virtual reality” (2005); and Bainbridge, *The Virtual Future* (2011). Regarding the danger of ‘toxic immersion’ in a virtual world, see Castronova, *Synthetic Worlds: The Business and Culture of Online Games* (2005). See also Berner (2004), p. 16, and Gladden, *The Handbook of Information Security for Advanced Neuroprosthetics* (2015), pp. 55-56.

⁹⁸ For the possibility that a device designed to receive raw data from an external environment could have that data replaced with other data transmitted from some external information system, see Kooops & Leenes (2012). Regarding the possibility of neuroprosthetic devices being used to provide false data or information to their hosts or users, see McGee (2008), p. 221, and Gladden, *The Handbook of Information Security for Advanced Neuroprosthetics* (2015). For an analysis of the relationship between physical and virtual reality and ways in which entities can move between these worlds, see Kedzior, “How Digital Worlds Become Material: An Ethnographic and Netnographic Investigation in Second Life” (2014). For more general analyses of the phenomenon of virtual reality, see, e.g., *Communication in the Age of Virtual Reality*, edited by Biocca & Levy (1995); *Cybersociety 2.0: Revisiting Computer-Mediated Communication and Community*, edited by Jones (1998); and Lyon, “Beyond Cyberspace: Digital Dreams and Social Bodies” (2001).

within their host's body.⁹⁹ It is also anticipated that gene therapy will be employed not simply to replace damaged body components with healthy replicas but to modify the form and functioning of an individual's body or to create new human beings who possess particularly desirable characteristics.¹⁰⁰

Some scholars expect that the use of medical technologies for radical life extension will become more widespread even as the availability of such technologies remains restricted for legal, ethical, financial, or cultural reasons. Those individuals who possess access to such technologies may be allowed to extend their life indefinitely (in whatever form such a life might take) and may be permitted and expected to choose the time of their own death.¹⁰¹

Genetic engineering may also be used to create new forms of sensory, motor, or computing devices within the human body. For example, a neuroprosthetic device need not be electronic in nature: ongoing developments in fields such as genetic engineering, synthetic biology, bionanotechnology, and biomolecular computing are expected to make possible the creation of neuroprosthetic devices that are partially or wholly composed of biological material (perhaps based on the DNA of the device's host) or other non-electronic components.¹⁰² Other advances in medical technology may involve the use of more traditional electronics and robotics. For example, a swarm of nanorobots that has been injected or ingested may travel to a specific location within the body to perform surgery, clean clogged arteries, or modify or stimulate neurons to

⁹⁹ See Berner (2004), p. 61, and Ferrando (2013), p. 27.

¹⁰⁰ For a range of perspectives on such possibilities, see, e.g., Berner (2004), p. 17; Panno, *Gene Therapy: Treating Disease by Repairing Genes* (2005); Mehlman, *Transhumanist Dreams and Dystopian Nightmares: The Promise and Peril of Genetic Engineering* (2012); Bostrom, "Human Genetic Enhancements: A Transhumanist Perspective" (2012); Lilley, *Transhumanism and Society: The Social Debate over Human Enhancement* (2013); and De Melo-Martín, "Genetically Modified Organisms (GMOs): Human Beings" (2015).

¹⁰¹ For a discussion of various approaches to human life extension, see Koene, "Embracing Competitive Balance: The Case for Substrate-Independent Minds and Whole Brain Emulation" (2012). See also Berner (2004), pp. 16-17, and Ferrando (2013), p. 27.

¹⁰² Such technologies are discussed, e.g., in Ummat et al., "Bionanorobotics: A Field Inspired by Nature" (2005); Andrianantoandro et al., "Synthetic biology: new engineering rules for an emerging discipline" (2006); Cheng & Lu, "Synthetic biology: an emerging engineering discipline" (2012); Lamm & Unger, *Biological Computation* (2011); and Berner (2004), pp. 15, 18, 31, 61-62. For a hybrid biological-electronic interface device that includes a network of cultured neurons, see Rutten et al., "Neural Networks on Chemically Patterned Electrode Arrays: Towards a Cultured Probe" (2007). Hybrid biological-electronic interface devices are also discussed by Stieglitz in "Restoration of Neurological Functions by Neuroprosthetic Technologies: Future Prospects and Trends towards Micro-, Nano-, and Biohybrid Systems" (2007).

create new information within neural networks.¹⁰³ Ingestible robotic pills might be used to evaluate an individual's internal biological processes and to administer precise dosages of drugs according to complex criteria.¹⁰⁴

More futuristic and contentious is the concept of 'mind uploading' as a means of extending the life (or if not the life, then in some sense the 'agency') of a particular human being by somehow copying or transferring the structures and processes of his or her mind from their original biological substrate to a new electronic form – for example, by gradually replacing all of a brain's original biological neurons with electronic artificial neurons. Many scholars argue that while it may, for example, be possible to copy the data that comprise the contents of a mind's memories to some external system, it is impossible to transfer or extend the conscious awareness of the mind itself in such a fashion. Nevertheless, some transhumanist proponents of mind uploading argue that such a process would not truly destroy the consciousness or essence of its human host – and that even if it did, they would be willing to transform their own bodies in this fashion, insofar as it might provide a bridge that would allow them to duplicate their memories and patterns of mental activity in a robotic or computerized body that could survive indefinitely.¹⁰⁵

B. TECHNOLOGIES FOR SYNTHETIC AGENCY: ROBOTICS, AI, AND ARTIFICIAL LIFE

Ongoing rapid developments are expected in those fields such as robotics, artificial intelligence, and artificial life that involve the creation of entities that possess artificial agency and which are able to receive data from their environment, process information, select a course of action, and act to influence their world. For example, research within the field of artificial intelligence is expected to yield artificial agents that possess human-like levels of intelligence, creativity, learning capacity, sociality, and cultural knowledge

¹⁰³ Medical and other applications of such technologies are discussed in Spohrer (2002); Berner (2004), pp. 18, 76; Pearce (2012); and Ferrando (2013), p. 27.

¹⁰⁴ Berner (2004), p. 76.

¹⁰⁵ For different perspectives on techniques such as the use of artificial neurons to gradually replace the natural biological neurons within a living human brain as a means of effecting 'mind uploading,' see Moravec, *Mind Children: The Future of Robot and Human Intelligence* (1990); Hanson, "If uploads come first: The crack of a future dawn" (1994); Proudfoot, "Software Immortals: Science or Faith?" (2012); Koene (2012); Pearce (2012); and Ferrando (2013), p. 27.

and which will eventually claim to possess consciousness and their own spirituality.¹⁰⁶ Such artificial agents might be capable of serving as charismatic leaders of human beings by utilizing their powers of persuasion, inspiration, and interpersonal attractiveness,¹⁰⁷ and they may be able to draw on their social capacities and cultural knowledge to serve, for example, as the managers of vast global virtual teams of human workers.¹⁰⁸

Significant changes are also expected regarding the physical substrates upon which robots and AI platforms are based, as it becomes possible to design systems utilizing components that are increasingly miniaturized, spatially dispersed, and biological; no longer will an artificially intelligent software-based system be chained to the electronic physical substrate found in traditional computers.¹⁰⁹ Entirely new kinds of robots and AI systems may become possible thanks to emerging technologies for physical neural networks,¹¹⁰ photonic computing, quantum computing, the use of DNA for digital data storage and computing, and other kinds of biocomputing.¹¹¹ Thanks to advances in nanorobotics, robots will come to outnumber human beings and

¹⁰⁶ Regarding the prospect of robots and AIs that possess truly human-like cognitive capacities, see Friedenber (2008) and Berner (2004), pp. 16-17, 38. For discussion of robots that interact socially with human beings, see Breazeal, "Toward sociable robots" (2003); Kanda and Ishiguro, *Human-Robot Interaction in Social Robotics* (2013); *Social Robots and the Future of Social Relations*, edited by Seibt et al. (2014); *Social Robots from a Human Perspective*, edited by Vincent et al. (2015); and *Social Robots: Boundaries, Potential, Challenges*, edited by Nørskov (2016). Regarding elements that must be present in order for a computerized device to develop its own spirituality, see, e.g., Geraci, "Spiritual robots: Religion and our scientific view of the natural world" (2006); Nahin, "Religious Robots" (2014); and Section 6.2.3.2 on "Religion for Robots" in Yampolskiy, *Artificial Superintelligence: A Futuristic Approach* (2015).

¹⁰⁷ See Gladden, "The Social Robot as 'Charismatic Leader'" (2014).

¹⁰⁸ Regarding potential managerial roles for robots and AIs, see Samani & Cheok, "From human-robot relationship to robot-based leadership" (2011); Samani et al. (2012); and Gladden, "Leveraging the Cross-Cultural Capacities of Artificial Agents" (2014). Regarding the possibility of 'supersocial' AIs that can simultaneously maintain social relations with massive numbers of human colleagues or subordinates, see, e.g., Gladden, "Managerial Robotics" (2014).

¹⁰⁹ Regarding the evolving physical form of robots, see, e.g., Gladden, "The Diffuse Intelligent Other" (2016), and Berner (2004), p. 16.

¹¹⁰ Regarding AIs that utilize physical neural networks rather than running as an executable software program on a conventional computer employing a Von Neumann architecture, see, e.g., Snider, "Cortical Computing with Memristive Nanodevices" (2008); Versace & Chandler, "The Brain of a New Machine" (2010); and *Advances in Neuromorphic Memristor Science and Applications*, edited by Kozma et al. (2012).

¹¹¹ For discussion of DNA-based and biological computing, see, e.g., Berner (2004), pp. 15, 18, 31, 61-62; Ummat et al. (2005); Andrianantoandro et al. (2006); Lamm & Unger (2011); Church et al., "Next-generation digital information storage in DNA" (2012); and Cheng & Lu (2012).

become truly ubiquitous: through the use of piezoelectric components, nanoscale switches and sensors can be created that require no electrical power source, allowing clouds of nanorobots to float on the air and fill the space around us with an invisible mesh of sensors, actuators, and information-processors.¹¹² Such swarms of customized nanorobots might be sent into dangerous environments to aid with disaster relief or to conduct military operations,¹¹³ and moving beyond today's relatively simple 3D printing systems, portable (perhaps even handheld) manufacturing facilities could be created that employ specialized swarms of nanorobots to produce highly sophisticated physical goods.¹¹⁴

Ongoing developments in the fields of synthetic biology, bionanotechnology, biologically inspired robotics, soft robotics, evolutionary robotics, and artificial life are expected to result in robotic systems whose structures and dynamics resemble those of living organisms and ecosystems or are even composed of biological material. For example, researchers envision the development of robotic systems controlled not by a traditional CPU-based computer but by a synthetic brain;¹¹⁵ autonomous robots that can learn, adapt, reproduce themselves, and evolve through competition for resources within a digital-physical ecosystem;¹¹⁶ autonomous computer networks that function as a living entity¹¹⁷ that possesses its own immune system and whose remaining networked components are able to automatically take over the work of a member computer that has been disconnected or destroyed;¹¹⁸ and software programs that can repair damage to themselves or even reprogram themselves to accomplish a new purpose, as well as computer chips or entire ro-

¹¹² Berner (2004), pp. 16, 18, 38, 40-41.

¹¹³ See Coeckelbergh, "From Killer Machines to Doctrines and Swarms, or Why Ethics of Military Robotics Is Not (Necessarily) About Robots" (2011), and Berner (2004), pp. 16-17.

¹¹⁴ Berner (2004), p. 17.

¹¹⁵ See Warwick (2014) and Berner (2004), p. 17.

¹¹⁶ See Gladden, "The Artificial Life-Form as Entrepreneur" (2014), and Berner (2004), pp. 16, 18.

¹¹⁷ Regarding collectively conscious computer networks, see Callaghan, "Micro-Futures" (2014). For a future Internet that is technically 'self-aware' (if not subjectively conscious), see Galis et al., "Management Architecture and Systems for Future Internet Networks" (2009), pp. 112-13. A sentient Internet is also discussed in Porterfield, "Be Aware of Your Inner Zombie" (2010), p. 19. For a future Internet whose degree of self-awareness resembles that of a living entity, see Hazen, "What is life?" (2006). See also Gladden, "The Artificial Life-Form as Entrepreneur" (2014).

¹¹⁸ See Berner (2004), pp. 17, 31.

bots that can intentionally repair or automatically heal damage to themselves.¹¹⁹ Emerging technologies are expected to eventually allow the development of ‘biological operating systems’ for groups of cells and entire organisms as well as the design of entirely new species¹²⁰ that could be understood alternatively as either artificial biological organisms or biological robots.

Together, technologies that create advanced synthetic agents such as social robots, artificial general intelligences, and artificial life-forms are expected to drive an ongoing posthumanization of organizations’ **members** (e.g., by allowing such nonhuman entities to serve as organizational members alongside or instead of human beings), **structures** (e.g., by allowing optimized decision-making and reporting structures designed through genetic algorithms that are free from human cognitive biases and limitations), **systems** (e.g., by allowing the development of organizational systems that are operated by synthetic beings with high speed and accuracy, without the need for human workers to enter data or access information through the slow and error-prone processes of reading printed text), **processes** (e.g., by allowing an organization’s synthetic members to analyze data and make decisions faster, more accurately, or more imaginatively than is possible for human beings), **spaces** (e.g., by eliminating the need for physical facilities whose atmosphere, temperature, radiation levels, and other characteristics can sustain human life), and **external ecosystems** (e.g., by creating external resource-providers and consumers that are synthetic beings whose needs and capacities differ widely from those of human beings).

C. TECHNOLOGIES FOR DIGITAL-PHYSICAL ECOSYSTEMS AND NETWORKS: CONNECTIVITY, RELATIONSHIPS, AND KNOWLEDGE

Many technological changes are either underway or expected that do not relate exclusively to human or artificial agents but which instead shape the larger networks and ecosystems within which all intelligent agents interact. Through the incorporation into the Internet of all public knowledge that has been generated by the human species, the expansion of the Internet of Things

¹¹⁹ Berner (2004), pp. 17-18. Regarding self-maintenance and self-healing as one capacity that robotic systems must possess in order to be fully autonomous, see Gladden, “The Diffuse Intelligent Other” (2016).

¹²⁰ Berner (2004), pp. 16, 61. See also the discussion in Friedenber (2008), pp. 201-03, of essential elements that must be present in order for an artificial entity to be ‘alive,’ which are based on the criteria for biological life presented in Curtis, *Biology* (1983).

to encompass a growing variety and number of networked devices (including ubiquitous sensors conducting real-time surveillance),¹²¹ and the use of RFID or other technologies to assign a unique identifier to any physical object, cyberspace can in effect become a virtual representation of the entire world.¹²² Successor networks to the current-day Internet may serve as a mesh that creates a digital-physical ecosystem tying together all kinds of intelligent agents that are able to access the network through biological, electronic, or other means, including unmodified ‘natural’ human beings, genetically engineered human beings, human beings with extensive cybernetic augmentations, human minds that dwell permanently within virtual realities, social robots, artificially intelligent software, nanorobot swarms, and sapient networks.¹²³ Within such vast, complex digital ecosystems, most communication will no longer involve human beings but will take place between networked devices,¹²⁴ as real-time data mining is performed by automated systems to continually unearth new theoretical, historical, and predictive knowledge.¹²⁵ Some researchers expect that so close will be the symbiotic¹²⁶ integration of computerized networks with their natural environment that it may be possible to ‘reboot’ entire ecosystems as needed, in order to save or improve the lives of their inhabitants.¹²⁷

In particular, neuroprosthetic devices may serve as gateways that unite the human and electronic inhabitants of a digital-physical ecosystem, allowing their human hosts to participate in new kinds of technologically mediated

¹²¹ This evolution in the Internet of Things is discussed in Evans, “The Internet of Everything: How More Relevant and Valuable Connections Will Change the World” (2012).

¹²² See Berner (2004), pp. 18, 35, and Gladden, “Utopias and Dystopias as Cybernetic Information Systems” (2015).

¹²³ Cybernetic networks that can link such entities are discussed in Gladden, “Utopias and Dystopias as Cybernetic Information Systems” (2015).

¹²⁴ See Berner (2004), p. 18, and Evans (2012).

¹²⁵ See Berner (2004), p. 32. Existing semi-automated data-mining processes are described, e.g., in Giudici, *Applied Data Mining: Statistical Methods for Business and Industry* (2003), and Provost & Fawcett, *Data Science for Business* (2013), p. 7. Regarding the prospects of developing more fully autonomous AI systems for data mining, see, for example, Warkentin et al. (2012); Bannat et al. (2011), pp. 152-55; and Wasay et al. (2015).

¹²⁶ For a philosophical exploration (drawing on Actor-Network Theory) of ways in which nonhuman and human actors coexisting within digital-physical ecosystems might enter into ‘symbioses’ that are not simply metaphorical but are instead true symbiotic relationships, see Kowalewska (2016).

¹²⁷ This possibility is raised in Berner (2004), p. 16.

social relations and structures that were previously impossible – perhaps including new forms of merged agency¹²⁸ or cybernetic networks that display utopian (or dystopian) characteristics that are not possible for non-neuro-prosthetically-enabled societies.¹²⁹ Neuroprosthetic devices may also link hosts or users in ways that form communication and information systems¹³⁰ that can generate greater collective knowledge, skills, and wisdom than are possessed by any individual member of the system.¹³¹ Because this ubiquitous digital-physical mesh of networked neuroprosthetic devices, sensors, actuators, data pools, and servers will allow human and synthetic minds to exchange thoughts with one another in a manner that seems direct, instantaneous, and unmediated and to control physical systems and objects and virtual environments, it will create what is, for practical purposes, a ‘quasi-magical’ world in which beings demonstrate functional telepathy and telekinesis.¹³²

Such technological change will not only result in a posthumanization of the larger **external ecosystems** within which organizations exist; it will also spur an ongoing posthumanization of organizations’ **members** (e.g., by increasing or decreasing members’ sensory input, span of motor control, and social interaction with other intelligent nodes within the environment), **structures** (e.g., by allowing decision-making and reporting relations to be overlaid on top of naturally existing cybernetic relationships created between members within the environment), **systems** (e.g., by providing free or fee-based public information systems that can be utilized by an organization), **processes** (e.g., by allowing an organization to develop its own customized processes or exploit SaaS-based approaches that utilize the environment’s publically ac-

¹²⁸ See McGee (2008), p. 216, and Koops & Leenes (2012), pp. 125, 132.

¹²⁹ Different forms that such societies might take are discussed in Gladden, “Utopias and Dystopias as Cybernetic Information Systems” (2015).

¹³⁰ The intentional or *ad hoc* creation of such systems is discussed, e.g., in McGee (2008), p. 214; Koops & Leenes (2012), pp. 128-29; Gasson (2012), p. 24; and Gladden, “‘Upgrading’ the Human Entity” (2015).

¹³¹ The dynamics through which this can occur are discussed, e.g., in Wiener, *Cybernetics: Or Control and Communication in the Animal and the Machine* (1961), loc. 307off., 3149ff.; Gladden, “Utopias and Dystopias as Cybernetic Information Systems” (2015); and Gladden, *The Handbook of Information Security for Advanced Neuroprosthetics* (2015), pp. 160-61.

¹³² See Berner (2004), pp. 16-17, 38; Gladden, “Cybershells, Shapeshifting, and Neuroprosthetics” (2015); and the potential indistinguishability of advanced technology and magic, as famously discussed in Clarke, “Hazards of Prophecy: The Failure of Imagination” (1973), p. 36.

cessible cloud infrastructure), and **spaces** (e.g., by creating ready-made physical and virtual spaces that an organization can move into and adapt for its own ends).

VI. CONCLUSION

The relationship of posthumanist thought to organizational studies and management is a topic that is increasingly worth exploring, thanks largely to the ongoing acceleration and intensification of technological change that is fashioning a new organizational context which can appropriately be described as ‘posthuman.’ Within this text, we have attempted to advance the development of this new sphere of academic inquiry and management practice by presenting one approach to formulating a systematic organizational posthumanism.

We began by noting that established forms of posthumanism could be divided into analytic types that view posthumanity as an existing sociotechnological reality that is best understood from a post-dualist and post-anthropocentric perspective and synthetic types that view posthumanity as a kind of future entity whose creation can either be intentionally brought about or avoided. Similarly, established forms of posthumanism can be understood as either theoretical or practical in nature, depending on whether their goal is to expand human knowledge or generate some concrete impact in the world. We have argued that organizational posthumanism combines analytic, synthetic, theoretical, and practical elements as a type of hybrid posthumanism. It is analytic and theoretical insofar as it attempts to identify and understand the ways in which contemporary organizations’ structures and dynamics are being affected by emerging sociotechnological realities, and it is synthetic and practical insofar as its goal is to fashion a new ‘posthuman entity’ not in the form of a genetically or neuroprosthetically augmented human being but in the form of organizations that can survive and thrive within a rapidly evolving posthumanized ecosystem. Building on concepts from the field of organizational architecture, six particular aspects of organizations were identified that are likely to be impacted by ongoing posthumanization: namely, an organization’s members, structures, information systems, processes, physical and virtual spaces, and external environment. Finally, we explored the manner in which technologies for human augmentation and enhancement, synthetic agency, and the construction of digital-physical ecosystems and networks are expected to increasingly drive the development of organizational posthumanity. It is our hope that this investigation of the ways in which a

current and emerging posthumanity is transforming the shape, dynamics, and roles of organizations will both raise new questions and offer a path to developing creative insights that can inform the work of those who seek to understand the nature of organizations and those who are charged with managing them now and in the future.

REFERENCES

- 2001: *A Space Odyssey*. Directed by Stanley Kubrick. 1968. Warner Home Video, 2001. DVD.
- Ablett, Ruth, Shelly Park, Ehud Sharlin, Jörg Denzinger, and Frank Maurer. "A Robotic Colleague for Facilitating Collaborative Software Development." *Proceedings of Computer Supported Cooperative Work (CSCW 2006)*. ACM, 2006.
- Abrams, Jerold J. "Pragmatism, Artificial Intelligence, and Posthuman Bioethics: Shusterman, Rorty, Foucault." *Human Studies* 27, no. 3 (September 1, 2004): 241-58. doi:10.1023/B:HUMA.0000042130.79208.c6.
- Advances in Neuromorphic Memristor Science and Applications*, edited by Robert Kozma, Robinson E. Pino, and Giovanni E. Paziienza. Dordrecht: Springer Science+Business Media, 2012.
- Agent-Based Manufacturing: Advances in the Holonic Approach*, edited by S.M. Deen. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2003.
- Aier, Stephan. "The Role of Organizational Culture for Grounding, Management, Guidance and Effectiveness of Enterprise Architecture Principles." *Information Systems and E-Business Management* 12, no. 1 (2014): 43-70.
- Alford, John R., and John R. Hibbing. "The origin of politics: An evolutionary theory of political behavior." *Perspectives on Politics* 2, no. 04 (2004): 707-23.
- Ames, Edward. "The Place of an Individual in an Economy." In *Essays in Contemporary Fields of Economics: In Honor of Emanuel T. Weiler (1914-1979)*, edited by George Horwich and James P. Quirk, pp. 24-40. West Lafayette, IN: Purdue University Press, 1981.
- Anders, Günther. *Die Antiquiertheit des Menschen. Band 1: Über die Seele im Zeitalter der zweiten industriellen Revolution*, Munich: Beck, 1956 [1992].
- Anderson, Donald L. *Organization Development: The Process of Leading Organizational Change*, 3e. SAGE Publications, 2015.
- Anderson, Michael L. "Embodied cognition: A field guide." *Artificial intelligence* 149, no. 1 (2003): 91-130.
- Anderson, Walter Truett. "Augmentation, symbiosis, transcendence: technology and the future(s) of human identity." *Futures* 35, no. 5 (2003): 535-46.

- Andrianantoandro, Ernesto, Subhayu Basu, David K. Karig, and Ron Weiss. "Synthetic biology: new engineering rules for an emerging discipline." *Molecular Systems Biology* 2, no. 1 (2006).
- "Anthropotech." <http://www.anthropotech.org.uk/>. 2013. Accessed January 29, 2016.
- Appleseed* [アップルシード / *Appurushīdo*]. Directed by Shinji Aramaki. 2004. Houston: Sentai Selects, 2010. Blu-Ray.
- Aristotle, *Politics*, Book 1, Section 1253a. In *Aristotle in 23 Volumes*, Vol. 21, translated by H. Rackham. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1944. <http://www.perseus.tufts.edu/hopper/text?doc=Perseus%3Atext%3A1999.01.0058%3Abook%3D1%3Asection%3D1253a>. Accessed March 4, 2016.
- Arkin, Ronald C., and J. David Hobbs. "Dimensions of communication and social organization in multi-agent robotic systems." In *From Animals to Animats 2: Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Simulation of Adaptive Behavior*, edited by Jean-Arcady Meyer, H. L. Roitblat and Stewart W. Wilson, pp. 486-93. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press, 1993.
- Artificial General Intelligence*, edited by Ben Goertzel and Cassio Pennachin. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2007.
- Artificial General Intelligence: 8th International Conference, AGI 2015: Berlin, Germany, July 22-25, 2015: Proceedings*, edited by Jordi Bieger, Ben Goertzel, and Alexey Potapov. Springer International Publishing, 2015.
- Asimov, Isaac. *I, Robot*. New York: Gnome Press, 1950.
- Austerberry, David. *Digital Asset Management*, second edition. Burlington, MA: Focal Press, 2013.
- Automated Scheduling and Planning: From Theory to Practice*, edited by A. Şima Etaner-Uyar, Ender Özcan, and Neil Urquhart. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2013.
- Badmington, Neil. "Cultural Studies and the Posthumanities," edited by Gary Hall and Claire Birchall. *New Cultural Studies: Adventures in Theory*, pp. 260-72. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press, 2006.
- Bainbridge, William Sims. *The Virtual Future*. London: Springer, 2011.
- Băjenescu, Titu-Marius, and Marius I. Băzu. *Reliability of Electronic Components: A Practical Guide to Electronic Systems Manufacturing*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1999.
- Bannat, Alexander, Thibault Bautze, Michael Beetz, Juergen Blume, Klaus Diepold, Christoph Ertelt, Florian Geiger, et al. "Artificial Cognition in Production Systems." *IEEE Transactions on Automation Science and Engineering* 8, no. 1 (2011): 148-74.
- Barca, Jan Carlo, and Y. Ahmet Sekercioglu. "Swarm robotics reviewed." *Robotica* 31, no. 03 (2013): 345-59.

- Barile, Nello. "From the Posthuman Consumer to the *Ontobranding* Dimension: Geolocalization, Augmented Reality and Emotional Ontology as a Radical Redefinition of What Is Real." *intervalla: platform for intellectual exchange*, vol. 1 (2013). http://www.fus.edu/intervalla/images/pdf/9_barile.pdf. Accessed May 18, 2016.
- Barile, S., J. Pels, F. Polese, and M. Saviano. "An Introduction to the Viable Systems Approach and Its Contribution to Marketing," *Journal of Business Market Management* 5(2) (2012): 54-78 (2012).
- Beer, Stafford. *Brain of the Firm*. 2nd ed. New York: John Wiley, 1981.
- Bekey, G.A. *Autonomous Robots: From Biological Inspiration to Implementation and Control*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 2005.
- Bendle, Mervyn F. "Teleportation, cyborgs and the posthuman ideology." *Social Semiotics* 12, no. 1 (2002): 45-62.
- Bera, Rajendra K. "Synthetic Biology and Intellectual Property Rights." In *Biotechnology*, edited by Deniz Ekinci. Rijeka: InTech, 2015.
- Berman, Sandra. "Posthuman Law: Information Policy and the Machinic World." *First Monday* 7, no. 12 (2002). <http://www.ojphi.org/ojs/index.php/fm/article/view/1011/932>. Accessed March 15, 2016.
- Berner, Georg. *Management in 20XX: What Will Be Important in the Future – A Holistic View*. Erlangen: Publicis Corporate Publishing, 2004.
- Berrigan, Joseph R. "The Prehumanism of Benzo d'Allesandria." *Traditio* (1969): 249-63.
- Better Off Dead: The Evolution of the Zombie as Post-Human*, edited by Deborah Christie and Sarah Juliet Lauro. New York: Fordham University Press, 2011.
- The Bible and Posthumanism*, edited by Jennifer L. Koosed. Atlanta: Society of Biblical Literature, 2014.
- Birnbacher, Dieter. "Posthumanity, Transhumanism and Human Nature." In *Medical Enhancement and Posthumanity*, edited by Bert Gordijn and Ruth Chadwick, pp. 95-106. The International Library of Ethics, Law and Technology 2. Springer Netherlands, 2008.
- Boly, Melanie, Anil K. Seth, Melanie Wilke, Paul Ingmundson, Bernard Baars, Steven Laureys, David B. Edelman, and Naotsugu Tsuchiya. "Consciousness in humans and non-human animals: recent advances and future directions." *Frontiers in Psychology* 4 (2013).
- Bostrom, Nick. "A History of Transhumanist Thought." *Journal of Evolution and Technology* vol. 14, no. 1 (2005). <http://jetpress.org/volume14/bostrom.html>.
- Bostrom, Nick. "Human Genetic Enhancements: A Transhumanist Perspective." In *Arguing About Bioethics*, edited by Stephen Holland, pp. 105-15. New York: Routledge, 2012.
- Bostrom, Nick. "Why I Want to Be a Posthuman When I Grow Up." In *Medical Enhancement and Posthumanity*, edited by Bert Gordijn and Ruth Chadwick, pp. 107-37. The International Library of Ethics, Law and Technology 2. Springer Netherlands, 2008.

- Boulter, Jonathan. *Parables of the Posthuman: Digital Realities, Gaming, and the Player Experience*. Detroit: Wayne State University Press, 2015.
- Bourgeois, III, L.J., Daniel W. McAllister, and Terence R. Mitchell. "The Effects of Different Organizational Environments upon Decisions about Organizational Structure." *Academy of Management Journal*, vol. 21, no. 3 (1978), pp. 508-14.
- Bradford, David L., and W. Warner Burke. *Reinventing Organization Development: New Approaches to Change in Organizations*. John Wiley & Sons, 2005.
- Bradshaw, Jeffrey M., Paul Feltovich, Matthew Johnson, Maggie Breedy, Larry Bunch, Tom Eskridge, Hyuckchul Jung, James Lott, Andrzej Uszok, and Jurriaan van Diggelen. "From Tools to Teammates: Joint Activity in Human-Agent-Robot Teams." In *Human Centered Design*, edited by Masaaki Kurosu, pp. 935-44. Lecture Notes in Computer Science 5619. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2009.
- Brambilla, Manuele, Eliseo Ferrante, Mauro Birattari, and Marco Dorigo. "Swarm robotics: a review from the swarm engineering perspective." *Swarm Intelligence* 7, no. 1 (2013): 1-41.
- Breazeal, Cynthia. "Toward sociable robots." *Robotics and Autonomous Systems* 42 (2003): 167-75.
- Brickley, James A., Clifford W. Smith, and Jerold L. Zimmerman. "Corporate Governance, Ethics, and Organizational Architecture." *Journal of Applied Corporate Finance* 15, no. 3 (2003): 34-45.
- Burton, Richard M., Børge Obel, and Dorthe Døjbak Håkonsson. *Organizational Design: A Step-by-Step Approach*. Cambridge University Press, 2015.
- Cadle, James, Debra Paul, and Paul Turner. *Business Analysis Techniques: 72 Essential Tools for Success*. Swindon: British Informatics Society Limited, 2010.
- Caetano, Artur, António Rito Silva, and José Tribolet. "A Role-Based Enterprise Architecture Framework." In *Proceedings of the 2009 ACM Symposium on Applied Computing*, pp. 253-58. ACM, 2009.
- Callaghan, Vic. "Micro-Futures." Presentation at Creative-Science 2014, Shanghai, China, July 1, 2014.
- Calverley, D.J. "Imagining a non-biological machine as a legal person." *AI & SOCIETY* 22, no. 4 (2008): 523-37.
- Camenzind, Samuel. "On Clone as Genetic Copy: Critique of a Metaphor." *NanoEthics* 9, no. 1 (2015): 23-37.
- Canton, James. "Designing the future: NBIC technologies and human performance enhancement." *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* vol. 1013, (2004): 186-98.
- Casadesus-Masanell, Ramon, and Joan E. Ricart. "How to Design a Winning Business Model." *Harvard Business Review* 89, no. 1-2 (2011): 100-07.
- Castronova, Edward. "Theory of the Avatar." CESifo Working Paper No. 863, February 2003. http://www.cesifo.de/pls/guestci/download/CESifo+Working+Papers+2003/CESifo+Working+Papers+February+2003+/cesifo_wp863.pdf. Accessed January 25, 2016.

- Castronova, Edward. *Synthetic Worlds: The Business and Culture of Online Games*. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press, 2005.
- Catechism of the Catholic Church, Second Edition*. Washington, DC: United States Conference of Catholic Bishops, 2016.
- Cesaroni, John L. "Designer Human Embryos as a Challenge for Patent Law and Regulation." *Quinnipiac Law Review* 30, no. 4 (2012).
- Chella, Antonio, Enrico Pagello, Emanuele Menegatti, Rosario Sorbello, Salvatore Maria Anzalone, Francesco Cinquegrani, Luca Tonin, F. Piccione, K. Prifitis, C. Blanda, E. Buttita, and E. Tranchina. "A BCI teleoperated museum robotic guide." In *International Conference on Complex, Intelligent and Software Intensive Systems, 2009 (CISIS'09)*, pp. 783-88. IEEE, 2009.
- Cheng, Allen A., and Timothy K. Lu. "Synthetic biology: an emerging engineering discipline." *Annual Review of Biomedical Engineering* 14 (2012): 155-78.
- Church, George M., Yuan Gao, and Sriram Kosuri. "Next-generation digital information storage in DNA." *Science* 337, no. 6102 (2012): 1628.
- Clancy, Frank. "At Military's Behest, Darpa Uses Neuroscience to Harness Brain Power." *Neurology Today* 6, no. 2 (2006): 4-8.
- Clark, Andy. *Natural-born cyborgs: Minds, Technologies, and the Future of Human Intelligence*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2004.
- Clark, Stephen R.L. *The Political Animal: Biology, Ethics and Politics*. London: Routledge, 1999.
- Clarke, Arthur C. "Hazards of Prophecy: The Failure of Imagination." In *Profiles of the Future: An Inquiry into the Limits of the Possible*, revised edition. Harper & Row, New York, 1973.
- Coeckelbergh, Mark. "Can We Trust Robots?" *Ethics and Information Technology* 14 (1) (2012): 53-60. doi:10.1007/s10676-011-9279-1.
- Coeckelbergh, Mark. "From Killer Machines to Doctrines and Swarms, or Why Ethics of Military Robotics Is Not (Necessarily) About Robots." *Philosophy & Technology* 24, no. 3 (2011): 269-78.
- Coker, Christopher. "Biotechnology and War: The New Challenge." *Australian Army Journal* vol. II, no. 1 (2004): 125-40.
- Communication in the Age of Virtual Reality*, edited by Frank Biocca and Mark R. Levy. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Publishers, 1995.
- Contreras-Vidal, Jose L., and Robert G. Grossman. "NeuroRex: A clinical neural interface roadmap for EEG-based brain machine interfaces to a lower body robotic exoskeleton." In *2013 35th Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (EMBC)*, pp. 1579-82. IEEE, 2013.
- Converging Technologies for Improving Human Performance: Nanotechnology, Biotechnology, Information Technology and Cognitive Science*, edited by William Sims Bainbridge. Dordrecht: Springer Science+Business Media, 2003.

- Cosgrove, G.R. "Session 6: Neuroscience, brain, and behavior V: Deep brain stimulation." Meeting of the President's Council on Bioethics. Washington, DC, June 24-25, 2004. <https://bioethicsarchive.georgetown.edu/pcbe/transcripts/june04/session6.html>. Accessed June 12, 2015.
- Coughlin, Thomas M. *Digital Storage in Consumer Electronics: The Essential Guide*. Burlington, MA: Newnes, 2008.
- Cudworth, Erika, and Stephen Hobden. "Complexity, ecologism, and posthuman politics." *Review of International Studies* 39, no. 03 (2013): 643-64.
- Curtis, H. *Biology*, 4th edition. New York: Worth, 1983.
- Curtis, Neal. "The Inhuman." *Theory, Culture & Society* 23, 2-3 (2006): 434-36.
- Cyberculture, Cyborgs and Science Fiction: Consciousness and the Posthuman*, edited by William S. Haney II. Amsterdam: Rodopi, 2006.
- Cyberpsychology*, edited by Ángel J. Gordo-López and Ian Parker. New York: Routledge, 1999.
- Cyberpsychology: Journal of Psychosocial Research* 1, no. 1 (2007) and subsequent issues. <http://www.cyberpsychology.eu/index.php>. Accessed January 29, 2016.
- Cybersociety 2.0: Revisiting Computer-Mediated Communication and Community*, edited by Steven G. Jones. Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications, 1998.
- Daft, Richard L. *Management*. Mason, OH: South-Western / Cengage Learning, 2011.
- Daft, Richard L., Jonathan Murphy, and Hugh Willmott. *Organization Theory and Design*. Andover, Hampshire: Cengage Learning EMEA, 2010.
- DaSilva, Carlos M., and Peter Trkman. "Business Model: What It Is and What It Is Not." *Long Range Planning* 47 (2014): 379-89.
- Datteri, E. "Predicting the Long-Term Effects of Human-Robot Interaction: A Reflection on Responsibility in Medical Robotics." *Science and Engineering Ethics* vol. 19, no. 1 (2013): 139-60.
- Dautenhahn, Kerstin. "Robots we like to live with?! - A Developmental Perspective on a Personalized, Life-long Robot Companion." In *Proceedings of the 2004 IEEE International Workshop on Robot and Human Interactive Communication*, pp. 17-22. IEEE, 2004.
- De Melo-Martín, Inmaculada. "Genetically Modified Organisms (GMOs): Human Beings." In *Encyclopedia of Global Bioethics*, edited by Henk ten Have. Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht. Version of March 13, 2015. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-05544-2_210-1. Accessed January 21, 2016.
- Del Val, Jaime, and Stefan Lorenz Sorgner. "A Metahumanist Manifesto." *The Agonist* IV no. II (Fall 2011). http://www.nietzschecircle.com/AGONIST/2011_o8/ME-TAHUMAN_MANIFESTO.html. Accessed March 2, 2016.

- Del Val, Jaime, Stefan Lorenz Sorgner, and Yunus Tuncel. "Interview on the Metahumanist Manifesto with Jaime del Val and Stefan Lorenz Sorgner." *The Agonist* IV no. II (Fall 2011). http://www.nietzschecircle.com/AGONIST/2011_o8/Interview_Sorgner_Stefan-Jaime.pdf. Accessed March 2, 2016.
- Dhar, Vasant. "Should You Trust Your Money to a Robot?" *Big Data* 3, no. 2 (2015): 55-58.
- Doyle, Jon. "Big problems for artificial intelligence." *AI Magazine* 9, no. 1 (1988): 19-22.
- Dudai, Yadin. "The Neurobiology of Consolidations, Or, How Stable Is the Engram?" *Annual Review of Psychology* 55 (2004): 51-86.
- Dumas II, Joseph D. *Computer Architecture: Fundamentals and Principles of Computer Design*. Boca Raton: CRC Press, 2006.
- Duncan, Sean C. "Mandatory Upgrades: The Evolving Mechanics and Theme of Android: Netrunner." Presentation at Well-Played Summit @ DiGRA 2014, Salt Lake City, August 3-6, 2014.
- Emmons, Robert A. "Is spirituality an intelligence? Motivation, cognition, and the psychology of ultimate concern." *The International Journal for the psychology of Religion* 10, no. 1 (2000): 3-26.
- "Ethical Guidelines and Professional Standards for Organization Development and Group Process Consultants." IAGP - International Association for Group Psychotherapy and Group Processes. <http://www.iagp.com/docs/IAGPOrgEthicalguidelinesEnglishv1.o.pdf>. Accessed December 20, 2014.
- Evans, Dave. "The Internet of Everything: How More Relevant and Valuable Connections Will Change the World." Cisco Internet Solutions Business Group: Point of View, 2012. <https://www.cisco.com/web/about/ac79/docs/innov/IoE.pdf>. Accessed December 16, 2015.
- Fabbro, Franco, Salvatore M. Aglioti, Massimo Bergamasco, Andrea Clarici, and Jaak Panksepp. "Evolutionary aspects of self-and world consciousness in vertebrates." *Frontiers in human neuroscience* 9 (2015).
- Fairclough, S.H. "Physiological Computing: Interfacing with the Human Nervous System." In *Sensing Emotions*, edited by J. Westerink, M. Krans, and M. Ouwerkerk, pp. 1-20. Philips Research Book Series 12. Springer Netherlands, 2010.
- Falconer, Bruce. "Defense Research Agency Seeks to Create Supersoldiers," *Government Executive*, November 10, 2003. <http://www.govexec.com/defense/2003/11/defense-research-agency-seeks-to-create-supersoldiers/15386/>. Accessed May 22, 2016.
- Ferber, Jacques, Olivier Gutknecht, and Fabien Michel. "From agents to organizations: an organizational view of multi-agent systems." In *Agent-Oriented Software Engineering IV*, pp. 214-30. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2004.
- Ferrando, Francesca. "Posthumanism, Transhumanism, Antihumanism, Metahumanism, and New Materialisms: Differences and Relations." *Existenz: An International Journal in Philosophy, Religion, Politics, and the Arts* 8, no. 2 (Fall 2013): 26-32.

- Fleischmann, Kenneth R. "Sociotechnical Interaction and Cyborg-Cyborg Interaction: Transforming the Scale and Convergence of HCI." *The Information Society* 25, no. 4 (2009): 227-35. doi:10.1080/01972240903028359.
- Ford, Martin. *Rise of the Robots: Technology and the Threat of a Jobless Future*. New York: Basic Books, 2015.
- Freitas Jr., Robert A. "Preface and Acknowledgements for the First Edition." In *Xenology: An Introduction to the Scientific Study of Extraterrestrial Life, Intelligence, and Civilization*. Sacramento: Xenology Research Institute, 1979. <http://www.xenology.info/Xeno/PrefaceFirstEdition.htm>, last updated October 22, 2009. Accessed January 30, 2016.
- Friedenberg, Jay. *Artificial Psychology: The Quest for What It Means to Be Human*, Philadelphia: Psychology Press, 2008.
- Friedman, Batya, and Helen Nissenbaum. "Bias in Computer Systems." In *Human Values and the Design of Computer Technology*, edited by Batya Friedman, pp. 21-40. CSL Lecture Notes 72. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1997.
- Fukuyama, Francis. *Our Posthuman Future: Consequences of the Biotechnology Revolution*. New York: Farrar, Straus, and Giroux, 2002.
- The Future of Automated Freight Transport: Concepts, Design and Implementation*, edited by Hugo Priemus and Peter Nijkamp. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing, 2005.
- The Future of Bioethics: International Dialogues*, edited by Akira Akabayashi, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2014.
- Galis, Alex, Spyros G. Denazis, Alessandro Bassi, Pierpaolo Giacomini, Andreas Berl, Andreas Fischer, Hermann de Meer, J. Srassner, S. Davy, D. Macedo, G. Pujolle, J. R. Loyola, J. Serrat, L. Lefevre, and A. Cheniour. "Management Architecture and Systems for Future Internet Networks." In *Towards the Future Internet: A European Research Perspective*, edited by Georgios Tselentis, John Domingue, Alex Galis, Anastasius Gavras, David Hausheer, Srdjan Krco, Volkmar Lotz, and Theodore Zahariadis, pp. 112-22. IOS Press, 2009.
- Gane, Nicholas. "Posthuman." *Theory, Culture & Society* 23, 2-3 (2006): 431-34.
- Garg, Anant Bhaskar. "Embodied Cognition, Human Computer Interaction, and Application Areas." In *Computer Applications for Web, Human Computer Interaction, Signal and Image Processing, and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 369-74. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2012.
- Gasson, M.N. "Human ICT Implants: From Restorative Application to Human Enhancement." In *Human ICT Implants: Technical, Legal and Ethical Considerations*, edited by Mark N. Gasson, Eleni Kosta, and Diana M. Bowman, pp. 11-28. Information Technology and Law Series 23. T. M. C. Asser Press, 2012.
- Gasson, M.N. "ICT implants." In *The Future of Identity in the Information Society*, edited by S. Fischer-Hübner, P. Duquenoy, A. Zuccato, and L. Martucci, pp. 287-95. Springer US, 2008.

- Gasson, M.N., Kosta, E., and Bowman, D.M. "Human ICT Implants: From Invasive to Pervasive." In *Human ICT Implants: Technical, Legal and Ethical Considerations*, edited by Mark N. Gasson, Eleni Kosta, and Diana M. Bowman, pp. 1-8. Information Technology and Law Series 23. T. M. C. Asser Press, 2012.
- Gene Therapy of the Central Nervous System: From Bench to Bedside*, edited by Michael G. Kaplitt and Matthew J. During. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 2006.
- Gephart, Jr., Robert P. "Management, Social Issues, and the Postmodern Era." In *Post-modern Management and Organization Theory*, edited by David M. Boje, Robert P. Gephart, Jr., and Tojo Joseph Thatchenkery, pp. 21-44. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications, 1996.
- Geraci, Robert M. "Spiritual robots: Religion and our scientific view of the natural world." *Theology and Science* 4, issue 3 (2006). doi: 10.1080/14746700600952993.
- Geraci, Robert M. *Apocalyptic AI: Visions of Heaven in Robotics, Artificial Intelligence, and Virtual Reality*. New York: Oxford University Press, 2010.
- Giudici, P. *Applied Data Mining: Statistical Methods for Business and Industry*. Wiley, 2003.
- Gladden, Matthew E. "The Artificial Life-Form as Entrepreneur: Synthetic Organism-Enterprises and the Reconceptualization of Business." In *Proceedings of the Fourteenth International Conference on the Synthesis and Simulation of Living Systems*, edited by Hiroki Sayama, John Rieffel, Sebastian Risi, René Doursat and Hod Lipson, pp. 417-18. The MIT Press, 2014.
- Gladden, Matthew E. "Cybershells, Shapeshifting, and Neuroprosthetics: Video Games as Tools for Posthuman 'Body Schema (Re)Engineering'." Keynote presentation at the Ogólnopolska Konferencja Naukowa Dyskursy Gier Wideo, Facta Ficta / AGH, Kraków, June 6, 2015.
- Gladden, Matthew E. "The Diffuse Intelligent Other: An Ontology of Nonlocalizable Robots as Moral and Legal Actors." In *Social Robots: Boundaries, Potential, Challenges*, edited by Marco Nørskov, pp. 177-98. Farnham: Ashgate, 2016.
- Gladden, Matthew E. "From Stand Alone Complexes to Memetic Warfare: Cultural Cybernetics and the Engineering of Posthuman Popular Culture." Presentation at the 50 Shades of Popular Culture International Conference. Facta Ficta / Uniwersytet Jagielloński, Kraków, February 19, 2016.
- Gladden, Matthew E. *The Handbook of Information Security for Advanced Neuroprosthetics*, Indianapolis: Synthypnion Academic, 2015.
- Gladden, Matthew E. "Leveraging the Cross-Cultural Capacities of Artificial Agents as Leaders of Human Virtual Teams." *Proceedings of the 10th European Conference on Management Leadership and Governance*, edited by Visnja Grozdanić, pp. 428-35. Reading: Academic Conferences and Publishing International Limited, 2014.
- Gladden, Matthew E. "Managerial Robotics: A Model of Sociality and Autonomy for Robots Managing Human Beings and Machines." *International Journal of Contemporary Management* 13, no. 3 (2014), pp. 67-76.

- Gladden, Matthew E. "Neural Implants as Gateways to Digital-Physical Ecosystems and Posthuman Socioeconomic Interaction." In *Digital Ecosystems: Society in the Digital Age*, edited by Łukasz Jonak, Natalia Juchniewicz, and Renata Włoch, pp. 85-98. Warsaw: Digital Economy Lab, University of Warsaw, 2016.
- Gladden, Matthew E. "The Social Robot as 'Charismatic Leader': A Phenomenology of Human Submission to Nonhuman Power." In *Sociable Robots and the Future of Social Relations: Proceedings of Robo-Philosophy 2014*, edited by Johanna Seibt, Raul Hakli, and Marco Nørskov, pp. 329-39. *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence and Applications* 273. IOS Press, 2014.
- Gladden, Matthew E. "'Upgrading' the Human Entity: Cyberization as a Path to Posthuman Utopia or Digital Annihilation?" Lecture in the Arkana Fantastyki lecture cycle, Centrum Informatyki Naukowej i Biblioteka Akademicka (CINiBA), Katowice, May 27, 2015.
- Gladden, Matthew E. "Utopias and Dystopias as Cybernetic Information Systems: Envisioning the Posthuman Neuropolity." *Creatio Fantastica* nr 3 (50) (2015).
- Gockley, Rachel, Allison Bruce, Jodi Forlizzi, Marek Michalowski, Anne Mundell, Stephanie Rosenthal, Brennan Sellner, Reid Simmons, Kevin Snipes, Alan C. Schultz, and Jue Wang. "Designing Robots for Long-Term Social Interaction." In *2005 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS 2005)*, pp. 2199-2204. 2005.
- Goffette, Jérôme. *Naissance de l'anthropotechnie: De la médecine au modelage de l'humain*. Paris: Vrin, 2006.
- Goicoechea, María. "The Posthuman Ethos in Cyberpunk Science Fiction." *CLCWeb: Comparative Literature and Culture* 10, no. 4 (2008): 9. <http://docs.lib.purdue.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1398&context=clcweb>. Accessed May 18, 2016.
- Goodall, Noah. "Ethical decision making during automated vehicle crashes." *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board* 2424 (2014): 58-65.
- Goodman, Paul S., and Linda Argote. "New Technology and Organizational Effectiveness." Carnegie-Mellon University, April 27, 1984.
- Graham, Elaine. "Post/Human Conditions." *Theology & Sexuality* 10:2 (2004), pp. 10-32.
- Graham, Elaine. *Representations of the Post/Human: Monsters, Aliens and Others in Popular Culture*. Manchester: Manchester University Press, 2002.
- Graham, Stephen. "Imagining Urban Warfare: Urbanization and U.S. Military Technoscience." In *War, Citizenship, Territory*, edited by Deborah Cowen and Emily Gilbert. New York: Routledge, 2008.
- Gray, Chris Hables. *Cyborg Citizen: Politics in the Posthuman Age*, London: Routledge, 2002.
- Gray, Chris Hables. "The Ethics and Politics of Cyborg Embodiment: Citizenship as a Hypervalue." *Cultural Values* 1, no 2 (1997): 252-58.

- Green, Steven J. "Malevolent gods and Promethean birds: Contesting augury in Augustus's Rome." In *Transactions of the American Philological Association*, vol. 139, no. 1, pp. 147-167. The Johns Hopkins University Press, 2009.
- Grodzinsky, F.S., K.W. Miller, and M.J. Wolf. "Developing Artificial Agents Worthy of Trust: 'Would You Buy a Used Car from This Artificial Agent?'" *Ethics and Information Technology* 13, no. 1 (2011): 17-27.
- Gross, Dominik. "Traditional vs. modern neuroenhancement: notes from a medico-ethical and societal perspective." In *Implanted minds: the neuroethics of intracerebral stem cell transplantation and deep brain stimulation*, edited by Heiner Fangerau, Jörg M. Fegert, and Thorsten Trapp, pp. 291-312. Bielefeld: transcript Verlag, 2011.
- Guizzo, Erico, and Harry Goldstein. "The rise of the body bots [robotic exoskeletons]." *IEEE Spectrum* 42, no. 10 (2005): 50-56.
- Gunkel, David J. *The Machine Question: Critical Perspectives on AI, Robots, and Ethics*. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press, 2012.
- Hamilton, S. "What Is Roman Ornithomancy? A Compositional Analysis of an Ancient Roman Ritual." *Proceedings of the Multidisciplinary Graduate Research Conference*. Lethbridge: University of Lethbridge Graduate Students Association, 2007.
- Han, J.-H., S.A. Kushner, A.P. Yiu, H.-W. Hsiang, T. Buch, A. Waisman, B. Bontempi, R.L. Neve, P.W. Frankland, and S.A. Josselyn. "Selective Erasure of a Fear Memory." *Science* 323, no. 5920 (2009): 1492-96.
- Handbook of Cloud Computing*, edited by Borko Furht and Armando Escalante. New York: Springer, 2010. DOI 10.1007/978-1-4419-6524-0.
- Handbook of Psychology, Volume 6: Developmental Psychology*, edited by Richard M. Lerner, M. Ann Easterbrooks, and Jayanthi Mistry. Hoboken: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2003.
- Hanson, R. "If uploads come first: The crack of a future dawn." *Extropy* 6, no. 2 (1994): 10-15.
- Haraway, Donna. "A Manifesto for Cyborgs: Science, Technology, and Socialist Feminism in the 1980s." *Socialist Review* vol. 15, no. 2 (1985), pp. 65-107.
- Haraway, Donna. *Simians, Cyborgs, and Women: The Reinvention of Nature*. New York: Routledge, 1991.
- Harrison, Albert A., and Alan C. Elms. "Psychology and the search for extraterrestrial intelligence." *Behavioral Science* 35, no. 3 (1990): 207-18.
- Hassan, Ihab. "Prometheus as Performer: Toward a Posthumanist Culture? A University Masque in Five Scenes." *The Georgia Review* vol. 31, no. 4 (1977): 830-50.
- Hatfield, B., A. Haufler, and J. Contreras-Vidal. "Brain Processes and Neurofeedback for Performance Enhancement of Precision Motor Behavior." In *Foundations of Augmented Cognition. Neuroergonomics and Operational Neuroscience*, edited by Dylan D. Schmorrow, Ivy V. Estabrooke, and Marc Grootjen, pp. 810-17. Lecture Notes in Computer Science 5638. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2009.

- Haykin, Simon. *Neural Networks and Learning Machines*, third edition. New York: Pearson Prentice Hall, 2009.
- Hayles, N. Katherine. *How We Became Posthuman: Virtual Bodies in Cybernetics, Literature, and Informatics*, Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1999.
- Hayles, N. Katherine. *My Mother Was a Computer: Digital Subjects and Literary Texts*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2005.
- Hazen, Robert. "What is life?", *New Scientist* 192, no. 2578 (2006): 46-51.
- Heim, Michael. *The Metaphysics of Virtual Reality*. New York: Oxford University Press, 1993.
- Heinrichs, Jan-Hendrik. "The promises and perils of non-invasive brain stimulation." *International journal of law and psychiatry* 35, no. 2 (2012): 121-29.
- Herbrechter, Stefan. *Posthumanism: A Critical Analysis*. London: Bloomsbury, 2013. [Kindle edition.]
- Heylighen, Francis. "The Global Brain as a New Utopia." In *Renaissance der Utopie. Zukunftsfiguren des 21. Jahrhunderts*, edited by R. Maresch and F. Rötzer. Frankfurt: Suhrkamp, 2002.
- Holacracy Constitution v4.1*. Spring City, PA: HolacracyOne, 2015. <http://www.holacracy.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/07/Holacracy-Constitution-v4.1.pdf>. Accessed May 21, 2016.
- Hoogervorst, Jan. "Enterprise Architecture: Enabling Integration, Agility and Change." *International Journal of Cooperative Information Systems* 13, no. 03 (2004): 213-33.
- Horling, Bryan, and Victor Lesser. "A Survey of Multi-Agent Organizational Paradigms." *The Knowledge Engineering Review* 19, no. 04 (2004): 281-316.
- I, Robot*. Directed by Alex Proyas. 2004. 20th Century Fox, 2005. DVD.
- Ihrig, M. "Simulating Entrepreneurial Opportunity Recognition Processes: An Agent-Based and Knowledge-Driven Approach." In *Advances in Intelligent Modelling and Simulation: Simulation Tools and Applications*, edited by A. Byrski, Z. Oplatková, M. Carvalho, and M. Kisiel-Dorohinicki, pp. 27-54. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 2012.
- Industrial Applications of Holonic and Multi-Agent Systems*, edited by Vladimír Mařík, Arnd Schirrmann, Damien Trentesaux, and Pavel Vrba. Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence 9266. Springer International Publishing AG Switzerland, 2015.
- Intelligent Production Machines and Systems*, edited by Duc T. Pham, Eldaw E. Eldukhri, and Anthony J. Soroka. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 2006.
- Interface Zero 2.0: Full Metal Cyberpunk*, developed by David Jarvis, Curtis Lyons, Sarah Lyons, Thomas Shook, David Viars, and Peter J. Wacks, Gun Metal Games, 2013.
- "The International Organization Development Code of Ethics." Organizational Development English Library, The OD Institute. http://www.theodinstitute.org/od-library/code_of_ethics.htm. Accessed December 17, 2014.

- Josselyn, Sheena A. "Continuing the Search for the Engram: Examining the Mechanism of Fear Memories." *Journal of Psychiatry & Neuroscience : JPN* 35, no. 4 (2010): 221-28.
- Kanda, Takayuki, and Hiroshi Ishiguro. *Human-Robot Interaction in Social Robotics*. Boca Raton: CRC Press, 2013.
- Kawano, Tomonori, François Bouteau, and Stefano Mancuso. "Finding and defining the natural automata acting in living plants: Toward the synthetic biology for robotics and informatics in vivo." *Communicative & Integrative Biology* 5, no. 6 (2012): 519-26.
- Kedzior, Richard. *How Digital Worlds Become Material: An Ethnographic and Netnographic Investigation in Second Life*. Economics and Society: Publications of the Hanken School of Economics Nr. 281. Helsinki: Hanken School of Economics, 2014.
- Kelly, Kevin. "The Landscape of Possible Intelligences." *The Technium*, September 10, 2008. <http://kk.org/thetechnium/the-landscape-o/>. Accessed January 25, 2016.
- Kelly, Kevin. *Out of Control: The New Biology of Machines, Social Systems and the Economic World*. Basic Books, 1994.
- Kelly, Kevin. "A Taxonomy of Minds." *The Technium*, February 15, 2007. <http://kk.org/thetechnium/a-taxonomy-of-m/>. Accessed January 25, 2016.
- Kerr, Paul K., John Rollins, and Catherine A. Theohary. "The Stuxnet Computer Worm: Harbinger of an Emerging Warfare Capability." Congressional Research Service, 2010.
- Khushf, George. "The use of emergent technologies for enhancing human performance: Are we prepared to address the ethical and policy issues." *Public Policy and Practice* 4, no. 2 (2005): 1-17.
- Kinicki, Angelo, and Brian Williams. *Management: A Practical Introduction*, 5th edition. New York: McGraw Hill, 2010.
- Koene, Randal A. "Embracing Competitive Balance: The Case for Substrate-Independent Minds and Whole Brain Emulation." In *Singularity Hypotheses*, edited by Amnon H. Eden, James H. Moor, Johnny H. Søraker, and Eric Steinhart, pp. 241-67. The Frontiers Collection. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2012.
- Koltko-Rivera, Mark E. "The potential societal impact of virtual reality." *Advances in virtual environments technology: Musings on design, evaluation, and applications* 9 (2005).
- Koops, B.-J., and R. Leenes. "Cheating with Implants: Implications of the Hidden Information Advantage of Bionic Ears and Eyes." In *Human ICT Implants: Technical, Legal and Ethical Considerations*, edited by Mark N. Gasson, Eleni Kosta, and Diana M. Bowman, pp. 113-34. Information Technology and Law Series 23. T. M. C. Asser Press, 2012.
- Kourany, J.A. "Human Enhancement: Making the Debate More Productive." *Erkenntnis* 79, no. 5 (2013): 981-98.

- Kowalewska, Agata. "Symbionts and Parasites – Digital Ecosystems." In *Digital Ecosystems: Society in the Digital Age*, edited by Łukasz Jonak, Natalia Juchniewicz, and Renata Włoch, pp. 73-84. Warsaw: Digital Economy Lab, University of Warsaw, 2016.
- Kraemer, Felicitas. "Me, Myself and My Brain Implant: Deep Brain Stimulation Raises Questions of Personal Authenticity and Alienation." *Neuroethics* 6, no. 3 (May 12, 2011): 483-97. doi:10.1007/s12152-011-9115-7.
- Krishnan, Armin. "Enhanced Warfighters as Private Military Contractors." In *Super Soldiers: The Ethical, Legal and Social Implications*, edited by Jai Galliot and Mianna Lotz. London: Routledge, 2015.
- Krüger, Oliver. *Virtualität und Unsterblichkeit: Die Visionen des Posthumanismus* [Virtuality and Immortality]. Freiburg: Rombach, 2004.
- Krzywinska, Tanya, and Douglas Brown. "Games, Gamers and Posthumanism." In *The Palgrave Handbook of Posthumanism in Film and Television*, pp. 192-201. Palgrave Macmillan UK, 2015.
- Kurzweil, Ray. *The Age of Spiritual Machines: When Computers Exceed Human Intelligence*. New York: Penguin Books, 2000.
- Kurzweil, Ray. *The Singularity is Near: When Humans Transcend Biology*. New York: Viking Penguin, 2005.
- Lamm, Ehud, and Ron Unger. *Biological Computation*, Boca Raton: CRC Press, 2011.
- Le Breton, David. *L'Adieu au corps*. Paris: Métailié, 1999.
- Lebedev, M. "Brain-Machine Interfaces: An Overview." *Translational Neuroscience* 5, no. 1 (March 28, 2014): 99-110.
- Lee, Joseph. "Cochlear implantation, enhancements, transhumanism and posthumanism: some human questions." *Science and engineering ethics* 22, no. 1 (2016): 67-92.
- Lilley, Stephen. *Transhumanism and Society: The Social Debate over Human Enhancement*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- Litzsinger, Lukas. *Android: Netrunner* [card game]. Roseville, MN: Fantasy Flight Games, 2012.
- Lohn, Andrew J., Patrick R. Mickel, James B. Aimone, Erik P. DeBenedictis, and Matthew J. Marinella. "Memristors as Synapses in Artificial Neural Networks: Biomimicry Beyond Weight Change." In *Cybersecurity Systems for Human Cognition Augmentation*, edited by Robinson E. Pino, Alexander Kott, and Michael Shevenell, pp. 135-50. Springer International Publishing, 2014.
- Longuet-Higgins, H.C. "Holographic Model of Temporal Recall." *Nature* 217, no. 5123 (1968): 104. doi:10.1038/217104a0.
- Lovecraft, Howard Phillips. *At the Mountains of Madness and Other Novels*. Sauk City, Wisconsin: Arkham House, 1985.
- Lovecraft, Howard Phillips. *The Dunwich Horror and Others*. Sauk City, Wisconsin: Arkham House, 1983.

- Lune, Howard. *Understanding Organizations*, Cambridge: Polity Press, 2010.
- Lyon, David. "Beyond Cyberspace: Digital Dreams and Social Bodies." In *Education and Society*, third edition, edited by Joseph Zajda, pp. 221-38. Albert Park: James Nicholas Publishers, 2001.
- Magoulas, Thanos, Aida Hadzic, Ted Saarikko, and Kalevi Pessi. "Alignment in Enterprise Architecture: A Comparative Analysis of Four Architectural Approaches." *Electronic Journal Information Systems Evaluation* 15, no. 1 (2012).
- Magretta, Joan. "Why Business Models Matter." *Harvard Business Review* 80, no. 5 (2002): 86-92.
- Maguire, Gerald Q., and Ellen M. McGee. "Implantable brain chips? Time for debate." *Hastings Center Report* 29, no. 1 (1999): 7-13.
- Man Is by Nature a Political Animal: Evolution, Biology, and Politics*, edited by Peter K. Hatemi and Rose McDermott. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press, 2011.
- Mara, Andrew, and Byron Hawk. "Posthuman rhetorics and technical communication." *Technical Communication Quarterly* 19, no. 1 (2009): 1-10.
- Martinez, Dolores. "Bodies of future memories: the Japanese body in science fiction anime." *Contemporary Japan* 27, no. 1 (2015): 71-88.
- Mazlish, Bruce. *The Fourth Discontinuity: The Co-Evolution of Humans and Machines*. New Haven: Yale University Press, 1993.
- McBurney, Peter, and Simon Parsons. "Engineering democracy in open agent systems." In *ESAW* vol. 3071, pp. 66-80. 2003.
- McGee, E.M. "Bioelectronics and Implanted Devices." In *Medical Enhancement and Posthumanity*, edited by Bert Gordijn and Ruth Chadwick, pp. 207-24. The International Library of Ethics, Law and Technology 2. Springer Netherlands, 2008.
- McGrath, Rita Gunther. *The End of Competitive Advantage: How to Keep Your Strategy Moving as Fast as Your Business*. Boston: Harvard Business Review Press, 2013.
- McIndoe, Robert S. "Health Kiosk Technologies." In *Ethical Issues and Security Monitoring Trends in Global Healthcare: Technological Advancements: Technological Advancements*, edited by Steven A. Brown and Mary Brown, pp. 66-71. Hershey: Medical Information Science Reference, 2010.
- McIntosh, Daniel. "The Transhuman Security Dilemma." *Journal of Evolution and Technology* 21, no. 2 (2010): 32-48.
- Medical Enhancement and Posthumanity*, edited by Bert Gordijn and Ruth Chadwick. The International Library of Ethics, Law and Technology 2. Springer Netherlands, 2008.
- Mehlman, Maxwell J. *Transhumanist Dreams and Dystopian Nightmares: The Promise and Peril of Genetic Engineering*. Baltimore: The Johns Hopkins University Press, 2012.

- Merdan, Munir, Thomas Moser, W. Sunindyo, Stefan Biffl, and Pavel Vrba. "Workflow scheduling using multi-agent systems in a dynamically changing environment." *Journal of Simulation* 7, no. 3 (2013): 144-58.
- Merkel, R., G. Boer, J. Fegert, T. Galert, D. Hartmann, B. Nuttin, and S. Rosahl. "Central Neural Prostheses." In *Intervening in the Brain: Changing Psyche and Society*, 117-60. Ethics of Science and Technology Assessment 29. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2007.
- Miah, Andy. "A Critical History of Posthumanism." In *Medical Enhancement and Posthumanity*, edited by Bert Gordijn and Ruth Chadwick, pp. 71-94. The International Library of Ethics, Law and Technology 2. Springer Netherlands, 2008.
- Michaud, M.A.G. *Contact with Alien Civilizations: Our Hopes and Fears about Encountering Extraterrestrials*. New York: Springer, 2007.
- Milán, Victor. "Transfigurations" and "Appendix: The Science of the Wild Card Virus: Excerpts from the Literature." In *Wild Cards*, edited by George R. R. Martin. Bantam Books: 1986.
- Miller, Jr., Gerald Alva. "Conclusion: Beyond the Human: Ontogenesis, Technology, and the Posthuman in Kubrick and Clarke's 2001." In *Exploring the Limits of the Human through Science Fiction*, pp. 163-90. American Literature Readings in the 21st Century. Palgrave Macmillan US, 2012.
- Moravec, Hans. *Mind Children: The Future of Robot and Human Intelligence*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1990.
- Moreno, Jonathan. "DARPA On Your Mind." *Cerebrum* vol. 6 issue 4 (2004): 92-100.
- Mueller, Scott. *Upgrading and Repairing PCs, 20th Edition*. Indianapolis: Que, 2012.
- Murphy, Robin. *Introduction to AI Robotics*. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press, 2000.
- Nadler, David, and Michael Tushman. *Competing by Design: The Power of Organizational Architecture*. Oxford University Press, 1997. [Kindle edition.]
- Nahin, Paul J. "Religious Robots." In *Holy Sci-Fi!*, pp. 69-94. Springer New York, 2014.
- Naufel, Stephanie. "Nanotechnology, the Brain, and Personal Identity." In *Nanotechnology, the Brain, and the Future*, edited by Sean A. Hays, Jason Scott Robert, Clark A. Miller, and Ira Bennett, pp. 167-78. Dordrecht: Springer Science+Business Media, 2013.
- Nazario, Marina. "I went to Best Buy and encountered a robot named Chloe – and now I'm convinced she's the future of retail." *Business Insider*, October 23, 2015. <http://www.businessinsider.com/what-its-like-to-use-best-buys-robot-2015-10>. Accessed May 20, 2016.
- Nealon, Jeffrey T. *Foucault Beyond Foucault*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press, 2008.
- Neohumanist Educational Futures: Liberating the Pedagogical Intellect*, edited by Sohail Inayatullah, Marcus Bussey, and Ivana Milojević. Tansui, Taipei: Tamkang University Press, 2006. <http://www.metafuture.org/Books/nh-educational-futures-foreword.htm>. Accessed March 16, 2016.

- NIST Special Publication 800-53, Revision 4: Security and Privacy Controls for Federal Information Systems and Organizations*. Joint Task Force Transformation Initiative. Gaithersburg, Maryland: National Institute of Standards & Technology, 2013.
- Norman, Kent L. *Cyberpsychology: An Introduction to Human-Computer Interaction*. New York: Cambridge University Press, 2008.
- Nourbakhsh, Illah Reza. "The Coming Robot Dystopia." *Foreign Affairs* 94, no. 4 (2015): 23.
- Nouvel, Pascal. "A Scale and a Paradigmatic Framework for Human Enhancement." In *Inquiring into Human Enhancement*, edited by Simone Bateman, Jean Gayon, Sylvie Allouche, Jérôme Goffette, and Michela Marzano, pp. 103-18. Palgrave Macmillan UK, 2015.
- Novaković, Branko, Dubravko Majetić, Josip Kasać, and Danko Brezak. "Artificial Intelligence and Biorobotics: Is an Artificial Human Being our Destiny?" In *Annals of DAAAM for 2009 & Proceedings of the 20th International DAAAM Symposium "Intelligent Manufacturing & Automation: Focus on Theory, Practice and Education"*, edited by Branko Katalinic, pp. 121-22. Vienna: DAAAM International, 2009.
- Null, Linda, and Julia Lobur. *The Essentials of Computer Organization and Architecture*, second edition. Sudbury, MA: Jones and Bartlett Publishers, 2006.
- Ochsner, Beate, Markus Spöhrer, and Robert Stock. "Human, non-human, and beyond: cochlear implants in socio-technological environments." *NanoEthics* 9, no. 3 (2015): 237-50.
- Olson, Eric T. "Personal Identity." *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy* (Fall 2015 Edition), edited by Edward N. Zalta. <http://plato.stanford.edu/archives/fall2015/entries/identity-personal/>. Accessed January 17, 2016.
- "Organization and Human Systems Development Credo." OD Network. <http://www.od-network.org/?page=ODCredo>. Accessed December 17, 2014.
- Panno, Joseph. *Gene Therapy: Treating Disease by Repairing Genes*. New York: Facts on File, 2005.
- Park, M.C., M.A. Goldman, T.W. Belknap, and G.M. Friehs. "The Future of Neural Interface Technology." In *Textbook of Stereotactic and Functional Neurosurgery*, edited by A.M. Lozano, P.L. Gildenberg, and R.R. Tasker, 3185-3200. Heidelberg/Berlin: Springer, 2009.
- Payr, S., and Trapp, R. "Agents across Cultures." In *Intelligent Virtual Agents*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, No. 2792, pp. 320-24. 2003.
- Pearce, David. "The Bointelligence Explosion." In *Singularity Hypotheses*, edited by A.H. Eden, J.H. Moor, J.H. Soraker, and E. Steinhart, pp. 199-238. The Frontiers Collection. Berlin/Heidelberg: Springer, 2012.
- Pepperell, R. *The Posthuman Condition: Consciousness Beyond the Brain*. Bristol, UK: Intellect Books, 2003.

- Pereira, Alexandre L.D. "Intellectual Property and Medical Biotechnologies." In *Legal and Forensic Medicine*, edited by Roy G. Beran, pp. 1735-54. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2013.
- Pérez, Jimena Escudero. "Sympathy for the Clone: (Post) Human Identities Enhanced by the 'Evil Science' Construct and its Commodifying Practices in Contemporary Clone Fiction." *Between* 4, no. 8 (2014).
- Perez-Marin, D., and I. Pascual-Nieto. *Conversational Agents and Natural Language Interaction: Techniques and Effective Practices*. Hershey, PA: IGI Global, 2011.
- Perlberg, James. *Industrial Robotics*. Boston: Cengage Learning, 2016.
- Philips, M. "How the Robots Lost: High-Frequency Trading's Rise and Fall." *BloombergView* June 6, 2012. <http://www.bloomberg.com/bw/articles/2013-06-06/how-the-robots-lost-high-frequency-tradings-rise-and-fall>. Accessed April 29, 2015.
- Pinedo, Michael L. *Scheduling: Theory, Algorithms, and Systems*, fourth edition. New York: Springer Science+Business Media, 2012.
- Pino, Robinson E., and Alexander Kott. "Neuromorphic Computing for Cognitive Augmentation in Cyber Defense." In *Cybersecurity Systems for Human Cognition Augmentation*, edited by Robinson E. Pino, Alexander Kott, and Michael Shevenell, pp. 19-46. Springer International Publishing, 2014.
- Plowright, Stephen. "Neurocomputing: some possible implications for human-machine interfaces." *ASHB News* 8, no. 2 (1996): pp. 4-6.
- Ponstee, Albert, and Rob J. Kusters. "Classification of Human and Automated Resource Allocation Approaches in Multi-Project Management." *Procedia – Social and Behavioral Sciences* 194 (2015): 165-73.
- Porterfield, Andrew. "Be Aware of Your Inner Zombie." *ENGINEERING & SCIENCE* (Fall 2010): 14-19.
- Poster, Mark. *What's the Matter with the Internet?* Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 2001.
- Posthuman Bodies*, edited by Judith Halberstam and Ira Livingstone. Bloomington, IN: Indiana University Press, 1995.
- Posthumanist Shakespeares*, edited by Stefan Herbrechter and Ivan Callus. Palgrave Shakespeare Studies. Palgrave Macmillan UK, 2012.
- Pribram, K.H. "Prolegomenon for a Holonomic Brain Theory." In *Synergetics of Cognition*, edited by Hermann Haken and Michael Stadler, pp. 150-84. Springer Series in Synergetics 45. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1990.
- Pribram, K.H., and S.D. Meade. "Conscious Awareness: Processing in the Synaptodendritic Web – The Correlation of Neuron Density with Brain Size." *New Ideas in Psychology* 17, no. 3 (December 1, 1999): 205-14. doi:10.1016/S0732-118X(99)00024-0.
- Pride, W., R. Hughes, and J. Kapoor. *Foundations of Business*, 4e. Stamford, CT: Cengage Learning, 2014.

- Primate Politics*, edited by Glendon Schubert and Roger D. Masters. Carbondale: Southern Illinois University Press, 1991.
- “Principles of OD Practice.” OD Network. <http://www.odnetwork.org/?page=PrinciplesOfODPracti>. Accessed December 17, 2014.
- Proudfoot, Diane. “Software Immortals: Science or Faith?” In *Singularity Hypotheses*, edited by Amnon H. Eden, James H. Moor, Johnny H. Søraker, and Eric Steinhart, pp. 367-92. The Frontiers Collection. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2012.
- Provost, Foster, and Tom Fawcett. *Data Science for Business*. Sebastopol, CA: O’Reilly Media, Inc., 2013.
- Pulver, David L. *GURPS Robots*. Austin, TX: Steve Jackson Games, 1995.
- Pulver, David L. *Transhuman Space*, second edition. Austin, TX: Steve Jackson Games, 2002.
- Puranam, Phanish, Marlo Raveendran, and Thorbjørn Knudsen. “Organization Design: The Epistemic Interdependence Perspective.” *Academy of Management Review* 37, no. 3 (July 1, 2012): 419-40.
- Ramirez, S., X. Liu, P.-A. Lin, J. Suh, M. Pignatelli, R.L. Redondo, T.J. Ryan, and S. Tonegawa. “Creating a False Memory in the Hippocampus.” *Science* 341, no. 6144 (2013): 387-91.
- Rankin, T. Murray. “Business Secrets Across International Borders: One Aspect of the Transborder Data Flow Debate.” *Canadian Business Law Journal* 10 (1985): 213.
- Rao, Umesh Hodeghatta, and Umesha Nayak. *The InfoSec Handbook*. New York: Apress, 2014.
- Regalado, Antonio. “Engineering the perfect baby.” *MIT Technology Review* 118, no. 3 (2015): 27-33.
- Rijntjes, Tom. “On the Viability of Automated Entrepreneurship.” Presentation of Media Technology MSc program graduation project, Universiteit Leiden, Leiden, January 22, 2016.
- Riva, Giuseppe, and Carlo Galimberti. *Towards CyberPsychology: Mind, Cognition, and Society in the Internet Age*, edited by Giuseppe Riva and Carlo Galimberti. Amsterdam: IOS Press, 2001.
- Robertson, Brian J. *Holacracy: The New Management System for a Rapidly Changing World*. New York: Henry Holt, 2015.
- Robinett, W. “The consequences of fully understanding the brain.” In *Converging Technologies for Improving Human Performance: Nanotechnology, Biotechnology, Information Technology and Cognitive Science*, edited by M.C. Roco and W.S. Bainbridge, pp. 166-70. National Science Foundation, 2002.
- Roden, David. *Posthuman Life: Philosophy at the Edge of the Human*. Abingdon: Routledge, 2014.

- Rohloff, Michael. "Framework and Reference for Architecture Design." In *AMCIS 2008 Proceedings*, 2008. <http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.231.8261&rep=rep1&type=pdf>.
- Rosenau, Pauline Marie. *Post-Modernism and the Social Sciences: Insights, Inroads, and Intrusions*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1992.
- Rowlands, Mark. *Can Animals Be Moral?* Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2012.
- Rubin, Charles T. "What Is the Good of Transhumanism?" In *Medical Enhancement and Posthumanity*, edited by Bert Gordijn and Ruth Chadwick, pp. 137-56. The International Library of Ethics, Law and Technology 2. Springer Netherlands, 2008.
- Rutten, W. L. C., T. G. Ruardij, E. Marani, and B. H. Roelofsen. "Neural Networks on Chemically Patterned Electrode Arrays: Towards a Cultured Probe." In *Operative Neuromodulation*, edited by Damianos E. Sakas and Brian A. Simpson, pp. 547-54. *Acta Neurochirurgica Supplements* 97/2. Springer Vienna, 2007.
- Sachs, Jeffrey D., Seth G. Benzell, and Guillermo LaGarda. "Robots: Curse or Blessing? A Basic Framework." NBER Working Papers Series, Working Paper 21091. Cambridge, MA: National Bureau of Economic Research, 2015.
- Salvini, Pericle, Cecilia Laschi, and Paolo Dario. "From robotic tele-operation to tele-presence through natural interfaces." In *The First IEEE/RAS-EMBS International Conference on Biomedical Robotics and Biomechatronics, 2006. BioRob 2006*, pp. 408-13. IEEE, 2006.
- Samani, Hooman Aghaebrahimi, and Adrian David Cheok. "From human-robot relationship to robot-based leadership." In *2011 4th International Conference on Human System Interactions (HSI)*, pp. 178-81. IEEE, 2011.
- Samani, Hooman Aghaebrahimi, Jeffrey Tzu Kwan Valino Koh, Elham Saadatian, and Doros Polydorou. "Towards Robotics Leadership: An Analysis of Leadership Characteristics and the Roles Robots Will Inherit in Future Human Society." In *Intelligent Information and Database Systems*, edited by Jeng-Shyang Pan, Shyi-Ming Chen, and Ngoc Thanh Nguyen, pp. 158-65. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science* 7197. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2012.
- Samuelson, William F., and Stephen G. Marks. *Managerial Economics*, seventh edition. Hoboken: John Wiley & Sons, 2012.
- Sanbonmatsu, John. *The Postmodern Prince: Critical Theory, Left Strategy, and the Making of a New Political Subject*. New York: Monthly Review Press, 2004.
- Sandberg, Anders. "Ethics of brain emulations." *Journal of Experimental & Theoretical Artificial Intelligence* 26, no. 3 (2014): 439-57.
- Sandor, Christian, Martin Fuchs, Alvaro Cassinelli, Hao Li, Richard Newcombe, Goshiro Yamamoto, and Steven Feiner. "Breaking the Barriers to True Augmented Reality." arXiv preprint, *arXiv:1512.05471 [cs.HC]*, December 17, 2015. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1512.05471>. Accessed January 25, 2016.
- Sarkar, Prabhat Rainjan. "Neohumanism Is the Ultimate Shelter (Discourse 11)." In *The Liberation of Intellect: Neohumanism*. Kolkata: Ananda Marga Publications, 1982.

- Sasse, Martina Angela, Sacha Brostoff, and Dirk Weirich. "Transforming the 'weakest link'—a human/computer interaction approach to usable and effective security." *BT technology journal* 19, no. 3 (2001): 122-31.
- Schacht, Kurt N. "The Buzz about Robo-Advisers." *CFA Institute Magazine* 26, no. 5 (2015): 49.
- Schmeink, Lars. "Dystopia, Alternate History and the Posthuman in Bioshock." *Current Objectives of Postgraduate American Studies* 10 (2009). <http://copas.uni-regensburg.de/article/viewArticle/113/137>. Accessed May 19, 2016.
- Scopino, G. "Do Automated Trading Systems Dream of Manipulating the Price of Futures Contracts? Policing Markets for Improper Trading Practices by Algorithmic Robots." *Florida Law Review*, vol. 67 (2015): 221-93.
- Shadowrun: Core Rulebook 5*, edited by Kevin Killiany and Katherine Monasterio. Lake Stevens, Washington: Catalyst Game Labs, 2013.
- Sharf, S. "Can Robo-Advisors Survive A Bear Market?" *Forbes*, January 28, 2015. <http://www.forbes.com/sites/samanthasharf/2015/01/28/can-robo-advisors-survive-a-bear-market/>. Accessed April 29, 2015.
- Sharkey, Noel. "Killing Made Easy: From Joysticks to Politics." In *Robot Ethics: The Ethical and Social Implications of Robotics*, edited by Patrick Lin, Keith Abney, and George A. Bekey, pp. 111-28. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press, 2012.
- Shaw-Garlock, Glenda. "Loving machines: Theorizing human and sociable-technology interaction." In *Human-Robot Personal Relationships*, edited by Maarten H. Lamers and Fons J. Verbeek, pp. 1-10. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2011.
- Short, Sue. *Cyborg Cinema and Contemporary Subjectivity*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2005.
- Siewert, Charles. "Consciousness and Intentionality." *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy* (Fall 2011 Edition), edited by Edward N. Zalta. <http://plato.stanford.edu/archives/fall2011/entries/consciousness-intentionality/>.
- Siewiorek, Daniel, and Robert Swarz. *Reliable Computer Systems: Design and Evaluation*, second edition. Burlington: Digital Press, 1992.
- Singh, Kshitij Kumar. *Biotechnology and Intellectual Property Rights: Legal and Social Implications*. Springer, 2014.
- Singularity Hypotheses*, edited by A.H. Eden, J.H. Moor, J.H. Søraker, and E. Steinhart. The Frontiers Collection. Berlin/Heidelberg: Springer, 2012.
- Sixth World Almanac*, edited by Jason Hardy and John Helfers. The Topps Company, 2010.
- Sloman, Aaron. "Some Requirements for Human-like Robots: Why the recent over-emphasis on embodiment has held up progress." In *Creating brain-like intelligence*, pp. 248-77. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2009.
- Smith, Andrew James. *Genetic Gold: The Post-human Homunculus in Alchemical and Visual Texts*. M.A. Thesis. University of Pretoria, 2009.

- Snider, Greg S. "Cortical Computing with Memristive Nanodevices." *SciDAC Review* 10 (2008): 58-65.
- Social Robots and the Future of Social Relations*, edited by Johanna Seibt, Raul Hakli, and Marco Nørskov. Amsterdam: IOS Press, 2014.
- Social Robots from a Human Perspective*, edited by Jane Vincent, Sakari Taipale, Bartolomeo Sapio, Giuseppe Lugano, and Leopoldina Fortunati. Springer International Publishing, 2015.
- Social Robots: Boundaries, Potential, Challenges*, edited by Marco Nørskov. Farnham: Ashgate Publishing, 2016.
- Sorbello, R., A. Chella, and R. C. Arkin. "Metaphor of Politics: A Mechanism of Coalition Formation." *vectors* 1, no. 40 (2004): 20.
- Soussou, Walid V., and Theodore W. Berger. "Cognitive and Emotional Neuroprostheses." In *Brain-Computer Interfaces*, pp. 109-23. Springer Netherlands, 2008.
- Sparrow, R. "Killer Robots." *Journal of Applied Philosophy* vol. 24, no. 1 (2007): 62-77.
- Spohrer, Jim. "NBICS (Nano-Bio-Info-Cogno-Socio) Convergence to Improve Human Performance: Opportunities and Challenges." In *Converging Technologies for Improving Human Performance: Nanotechnology, Biotechnology, Information Technology and Cognitive Science*, edited by M.C. Roco and W.S. Bainbridge, pp. 101-17. Arlington, Virginia: National Science Foundation, 2002.
- Stahl, B. C. "Responsible Computers? A Case for Ascribing Quasi-Responsibility to Computers Independent of Personhood or Agency." *Ethics and Information Technology* 8, no. 4 (2006): 205-13.
- Stieglitz, Thomas. "Restoration of Neurological Functions by Neuroprosthetic Technologies: Future Prospects and Trends towards Micro-, Nano-, and Biohybrid Systems." In *Operative Neuromodulation*, edited by Damianos E. Sakas, Brian A. Simpson, and Elliot S. Krames, 435-42. *Acta Neurochirurgica Supplements* 97/1. Springer Vienna, 2007.
- Stiles, Noelle R.B., and Shinsuke Shimojo. "Sensory substitution: A new perceptual experience." In *The Oxford Handbook of Perceptual Organization*, edited by Johan Wagemans. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2016.
- Surbrook, Michael. *Kazei-5*. Hero Games, 1998.
- Teilhard de Chardin, Pierre. *Le Phénomène humain*. Paris: Seuil, 1955.
- Thacker, Eugene. "Data made flesh: biotechnology and the discourse of the posthuman." *Cultural Critique* 53, no. 1 (2003): 72-97.
- Thacker, Eugene. "What Is Biomedica?" *Configurations* 11 (2003): 47-79.
- Theoretical Foundations of Artificial General Intelligence*, edited by Pei Wang and Ben Goertzel. Paris: Atlantis Press, 2012.
- Thompson, David A. "The Man-Robot Interface in Automated Assembly." In *Monitoring Behavior and Supervisory Control*, edited by Thomas B. Sheridan and Gunnar Johansson, pp. 385-91. NATO Conference Series 1. New York: Plenum Press, 1976.

- Thomsen, Mads Rosendahl. *The New Human in Literature: Posthuman Visions of Change in Body, Mind and Society after 1900*. London: Bloomsbury, 2013.
- Thornton, Stephanie. *Understanding Human Development: Biological, Social and Psychological Processes from Conception to Adult Life*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2008.
- Tomas, David. "Feedback and Cybernetics: Reimagining the Body in the Age of the Cyborg." In *Cyberspace, Cyberbodies, Cyberpunk: Cultures of Technological Embodiment*, edited by Mike Featherstone and Roger Burrows, pp. 21-43. London: SAGE Publications, 1995.
- Truong, Jean-Michel. *Totalement inhumaine*. Paris: Seuil, 2001.
- The Turing Test: The Elusive Standard of Artificial Intelligence*, edited by James H. Moor. Studies in Cognitive Systems 30. Springer Netherlands, 2003.
- Turner, John N. "The right to privacy in a computronic age." In *Position Papers of the Conference on Computers, Privacy and Freedom of Information*. Kingston: Queen's University, 1970.
- Turner, Matt. "The computers have won, and Wall Street will never be the same." *Business Insider*, May 10, 2016. <http://www.businessinsider.com/quant-funds-dominant-hedge-fund-rich-list-2016-5>. Accessed June 5, 2016.
- Tweedale, Jeffrey W., and Lakhmi C. Jain. "Agent Oriented Programming." In *Embedded Automation in Human-Agent Environment*, pp. 105-24. Adaptation, Learning, and Optimization 10. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2011.
- Ullrich, Günter. *Automated Guided Vehicle Systems: A Primer with Practical Applications*, translated by Paul A. Kachur. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2015.
- Ummat, Ajay, Atul Dubey, and Constantinos Mavroidis. "Bionanorobotics: A Field Inspired by Nature." In *Biomimetics: Biologically Inspired Technologies*, edited by Yoseph Bar-Cohen, pp. 201-26. Boca Raton: CRC Press, 2005.
- Van den Berg, Bibi. "Pieces of Me: On Identity and Information and Communications Technology Implants." In *Human ICT Implants: Technical, Legal and Ethical Considerations*, edited by Mark N. Gasson, Eleni Kosta, and Diana M. Bowman, pp. 159-73. Information Technology and Law Series 23. T. M. C. Asser Press, 2012.
- Van Oost, Ellen, and Darren Reed. "Towards a Sociological Understanding of Robots as Companions." In *Human-Robot Personal Relationships*, edited by Maarten H. Lamers and Fons J. Verbeek, pp. 11-18. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2011.
- Vänni, Kimmo J., and Annina K. Korpela. "Role of Social Robotics in Supporting Employees and Advancing Productivity." In *Social Robotics*, pp. 674-83. Springer International Publishing, 2015.
- Versace, Massimiliano, and Ben Chandler. "The Brain of a New Machine." *IEEE spectrum* 47, no. 12 (2010): 30-37.
- Viirre, Erik, Françoise Baylis, and Jocelyn Downie. "Promises and perils of cognitive performance tools: A dialogue." *Technology* 11, no. 1 (2008): 9-25.

- Vinciarelli, A., M. Pantic, D. Heylen, C. Pelachaud, I. Poggi, F. D'Errico, and M. Schröder. "Bridging the Gap between Social Animal and Unsocial Machine: A survey of Social Signal Processing." *IEEE Transactions on Affective Computing* 3:1 (January-March 2012): 69-87.
- Vogiatzis, D., Dimitrios Galanis, V. Karkaletsis, Ion Androutsopoulos, and C. D. Spyropoulos. "A conversant robotic guide to art collections." In *Proceedings of the 2nd Workshop on Language Technology for Cultural Heritage Data, Language Resources and Evaluation Conference, Marrakech, Morocco*, pp. 55-60. 2008.
- Wallach, Wendell, and Colin Allen. *Moral machines: Teaching robots right from wrong*. Oxford University Press, 2008.
- Warkentin, Merrill, Vijayan Sugumaran, and Robert Sainsbury. "The Role of Intelligent Agents and Data Mining in Electronic Partnership Management." *Expert Systems with Applications* 39, no. 18 (2012): 13277-88.
- Warwick, K. "The Cyborg Revolution." *Nanoethics* 8 (2014): 263-73.
- Warwick, K., and M. Gasson. "Implantable Computing." In *Digital Human Modeling*, edited by Y. Cai, pp. 1-16. Lecture Notes in Computer Science 4650. Berlin/Heidelberg: Springer, 2008.
- Wasay, A., M. Athanassoulis, and S. Idreos. "Queriosity: Automated Data Exploration." In *2015 IEEE International Congress on Big Data (BigData Congress)*, pp. 716-19, 2015. doi:10.1109/BigDataCongress.2015.116.
- Wearable Robots: Biomechatronic Exoskeletons*, edited by Jose L. Pons. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons, 2008.
- Whitby, Blay. "Do You Want a Robot Lover? The Ethics of Caring Technologies." In *Robot Ethics: The Ethical and Social Implications of Robotics*, edited by Patrick Lin, Keith Abney, and George A. Bekey, pp. 233-48. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press, 2012.
- Wiener, Norbert. *Cybernetics: Or Control and Communication in the Animal and the Machine*, second edition. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press, 1961. [Quid Pro ebook edition for Kindle, 2015.]
- Wilson, Margaret. "Six views of embodied cognition." *Psychonomic bulletin & review* 9, no. 4 (2002): 625-36.
- Wiltshire, Travis J., Dustin C. Smith, and Joseph R. Keebler. "Cybernetic Teams: Towards the Implementation of Team Heuristics in HRI." In *Virtual Augmented and Mixed Reality. Designing and Developing Augmented and Virtual Environments*, edited by Randall Shumaker, pp. 321-30. Lecture Notes in Computer Science 8021. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2013.
- Witt, Ronald. "Francesco Petrarca and the Parameters of Historical Research." *Religions* 3, no. 3 (2012): 699-709.
- Wolf-Meyer, Matthew. "Fantasies of extremes: Sports, war and the science of sleep." *BioSocieties* 4, no. 2 (2009): 257-71.

- Wolin, Richard. "Foucault the Neohumanist?" *The Chronicle of Higher Education*. The Chronicle Review (September 1, 2006).
- Xu, J., B. Archimede, and A. Letouzey. "A Distributed Multi-Agent Framework for Shared Resources Scheduling." *Information Control Problems in Manufacturing* 14, no. 1 (2012): 775-80.
- Yagi, Akihiro. "Engineering psychophysiology in Japan." In *Engineering psychophysiology: Issues and applications*, edited by Richard W. Backs and Wolfram Boucsein, pp. 361-68. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Publishers, 2000.
- Yampolskiy, Roman V. "The Universe of Minds." arXiv preprint, *arXiv:1410.0369 [cs.AI]*, October 1, 2014. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1410.0369>. Accessed January 25, 2016.
- Yampolskiy, Roman V. *Artificial Superintelligence: A Futuristic Approach*. Boca Raton: CRC Press, 2015.
- Yampolskiy, Roman V., and Joshua Fox. "Artificial General Intelligence and the Human Mental Model." In *Singularity Hypotheses*, edited by Amnon H. Eden, James H. Moor, Johnny H. Søraker, and Eric Steinhart, pp. 129-45. The Frontiers Collection. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2012.
- Yonck, Richard. "Toward a standard metric of machine intelligence." *World Future Review* 4, no. 2 (2012): 61-70.