


Luc Brisson | Edward C. Halper | Richard D. Parry [Eds.]

# Plato's Sophist

Selected Papers of the Thirteenth Symposium Platonicum



VERLAG KARL ALBER



## International Plato Studies

Published under the auspices of the  
International Plato Society



Series Editors:

Claudia Marsico | Francesco Fronterotta

Thomas M. Tuozzo | Dimitri El Murr | Filip Karfik

Volume 42

Luc Brisson | Edward C. Halper | Richard D. Parry [Eds.]

# Plato's Sophist

Selected Papers of the Thirteenth Symposium Platonicum

VERLAG KARL ALBER



© Cover photo: markara – istockphoto.com

1st Edition 2024

© The Authors

Published by

Verlag Karl Alber – within

Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft mbH & Co. KG

Waldseestraße 3–5 | 76530 Baden-Baden

[www.verlag-alber.de](http://www.verlag-alber.de)

Production of the printed version:

Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft mbH & Co. KG

Waldseestraße 3–5 | 76530 Baden-Baden

ISBN 978-3-495-99135-0 (Print)

ISBN 978-3-495-99136-7 (ePDF)

DOI <https://doi.org/10.5771/9783495991367>



Online Version  
Nomos eLibrary



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution  
4.0 International License.

# Table of Contents

## I. Philosophers and Sophists

*François Renaud*

Socrate citateur d'Homère dans le prologue du *Sophiste*:  
considérations morales et *elenchos* 17

*Christopher Rowe*

The *Sophist*, Sophists, and Socrates 25

*Noburu Notomi*

Similarities between the Sophist and the Philosopher 39

*Mauro Bonazzi*

Of Dogs and Wolves, Fathers and Sons, Sophists and Philosophers 55

*Cătălin Enache*

The Sophist's Invisibility vs The Philosopher's Invisibility 71

*Nikos G. Charalabopoulos*

Invisible epiphanies in Plato's *Sophist* (253c-254b) 79

## II. The Method of Division

*Fernando Muniz and George Rudebusch*

Division and Metaphysics in Plato 89

*Naoya Iwata*

What is the Process of Division for? 99

Verity Harte

Dialectical Know-How: A Deflationary Approach to *Sophist*  
253b-254a 107

Filippo Sirianni

*Dynamis*, Definition and Division in Plato's *Sophist* 125

G.R.F. Ferrari

Plato's *Sophist*: Rebarbative by Design? 135

Sebastian Odzuck

Why Should We Assume There is Divine Productive Art? 143

### III. Eleatic Stranger and Noble Sophist

Jan Szaif

Philosophical *paideia* in Plato's *Sophist* 153

Zdenek Lenner

*Erōs*-Hunter in the *Sophist*: who chases whom, how and where? 163

Nestor-Luis Cordero

The Stranger of the *Sophist*: A Citizen of Elea "Different" from the  
Eleatic Philosophers 173

Julia Pfefferkorn

Auf der Jagd nach einem Gestaltwandler: Zur Komposition des  
*Sophistes*  
(Chasing a Shape-Shifter: On the Composition of the *Sophist*) 183

Mario Regali

Platone γενναῖος σοφιστής: per un'interpretazione metaletteraria  
della sezione sulla "nobile sofistica" (*Sph.* 226b-231c) 193

<i>Alessandro Stavru</i>	
How Socratic Is the “Noble Art of Sophistry”? Tracing the <i>Gennaia Sophistike</i> of the Sixth Diaeresis Back to Old Comedy	201
<i>Franco Trabattoni</i>	
Platone confuta Parmenide, senza commettere parricidio.	211
<i>Francesco Ferro</i>	
Parmenide padre superficiale e testardo. L’eredità eleatica nel <i>Sofista</i> .	219
<i>Florian Marion</i>	
The Late-Learners of the School of Names: <i>Sophist</i> , 251a8-c6: ὁ ἀγαθὸς ἄνθρωπος (the good man) and 白馬 (white horse)	227
<i>Simon Noriega-Olmos</i>	
The Enigmatic <i>Locus Desperatus</i> at <i>Sophist</i> 244d11–12	237
 <b>IV. Dynamis and Being</b>	
<i>Francisco J. Gonzalez</i>	
The <i>Kinêsis</i> of Being in Plato’s <i>Sophist</i> and the Motivation for Aristotle’s Notion of <i>Energeia</i>	249
<i>Carolina Araujo</i>	
Power of Connection as the Mark of Beings	257
<i>Lloyd P. Gerson</i>	
Being and Power in Plato’s <i>Sophist</i>	265
<i>Yan Lu</i>	
Modes of Power and Different Beings in <i>Sophist</i> 246a-249d	273
<i>Raúl Gutiérrez</i>	
<i>Koinōnía</i> , <i>Dynamis</i> y Justicia	283

Maurizio Migliori

To Act and to Suffer: Allusions to Plato's Dialectical Metaphysics in the *Sophist* 291

Paolo Gigli

Like a Child Begging for Both (Pl. *Sph.* 248-249) 307

Richard Patterson

Knower and Known: The Making of a *Sophistes* 315

## V. Being and Non-Being

John Palmer

What completely is, what in no way is, and what is and is not in Plato's *Sophist* and *Republic* 325

Claudia Gianturco

*Malum esse duplex, et le non ens ipsum*: la distinzione tra τὸ μὴ ὄν e τὸ μηδαμῶς ὄν del *Sofista* di Platone nella soluzione procliana al problema del male 333

André Luiz Braga da Silva

Does Plato Revise His Ontology in *Sophist* 256a? Notes on the "being" of the Ideas 341

Roberto Granieri

The Referents of 'Being' in Plato's *Sophist* 349

Jenny K. Strandberg

Finding Certainty in the Being of Non-Being: A Final Rejoinder to Protagoras 357

David J. Murphy

Σκοπός and the Unity of the *Sophist* 365



Rafael Ferber

The Overt Argument Against Conceptualism in the *Parmenides* and the Covert Argument for Conceptualism in the *Sophist* (with a Particular Focus on the Being of Not-Being) 373

Thomas Tuozzo

The *Eidos* of Non-existence in Plato's *Sophist*: 257a11-b4 381

Yuji Kurihara

Two Ontological Functions of the Nature of Difference in Plato's *Sophist* 389

## VI. Kinds

Lesley Brown

Sixty-five years of the communion of kinds: a reappraisal of Ackrill's 'Plato and the Copula' 401

Nicolas Zaks

Why are Change and Stability Different from Difference and Sameness? A Reappraisal of *Sophist* 255a4-255b7 417

Michael Wiitala

Parts of Difference in Plato's *Sophist*, with Help from *Republic* V 425

Béatrice Lienemann

Self-Participation of Forms in Plato? An Analysis of *Sophist* 255e3-6 433

## VII. Truth and Falsehood

Paolo Crivelli

The *Sophist* on Truth and Falsehood: Between Aristotle and Frege 445

Manfred Kraus

Ἀληθείης δόξα in the *Sophist* between *Theaetetus* and *Seventh Letter* 461

*Table of Contents*

*Monique Dixsaut*

L'homme apprend, Théétète est-assis et Théétète vole. 469

*Michele Corradi*

Sulla lotta e le altre τέχναι. Protagora nel *Sofista* 485

**VIII. Before and After the Sophist**

*Ronna Burger*

Socratic Philosophy on Trial: Plato's *Sophist* and its Homeric Model 495

*Claudia Marsico*

What is Wrong with Theaetetus' Flight? Antisthenes and the Eleatic Hints in Plato's *Sophist* 511

*Harold Tarrant*

The Place of the *Sophist* in Old Academic Theory and Curriculum 527

*Anna Motta*

L'eredità del *Sofista* nell'isagogica neoplatonica: le testimonianze di Giamblico e Proclo 535

References 543

Index Nominum 567

Index Subjectium 571

# The Referents of ‘Being’ in Plato’s *Sophist*

Roberto Granieri

I argue that part of Plato’s strategy to neutralize the paradox of falsehood is to pin down various referents of the name ‘being’, τὸ ὄν. I focus on four and track their role in the dialogue’s argument. They are: (1) any primary being; (2) the Kind Being; (3) any participant in the Kind Being; (4) a set of participants in the Kind Being. Being, reference, paradox, falsehood, Kind

## 1. Introduction<sup>1</sup>

In *Sophistical Refutations* 1 Aristotle claims that one of the most prolific and widespread causes of paralogisms turns upon the nature of names. Human language is inevitably ambiguous because names are finite, and the objects they are devised to signify infinite. Inability or inexperience in disambiguating names leads for Aristotle to committing or failing to recognize paralogisms.<sup>2</sup>

Plato’s conception of homonymy is different from Aristotle’s.<sup>3</sup> Plato does not dwell on theorizing on ambiguity. And his account of language, whereby names are designed to univocally signify an essence or nature,<sup>4</sup> is *prima facie* hard to reconcile with the idea that a single name may correspond to two different λόγοι τῆς οὐσίας.<sup>5</sup>

1 Unless otherwise indicated, Greek texts are cited in the most recent OCT editions, and translations modify Rowe 2015. This paper is part of a project that has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (Grant agreement No. 885273). Thanks to Lesley Brown, Paolo Crivelli, and David Sedley for feedback.

2 Cf. Arist. *SE* 1.165a3-17 (also 165b31-166a6), with Fait 2007, 102-104.

3 Cf. e.g. *Pl. Ph.* 78e2; *Prm.* 133d3; *Ti.* 52a5, where ὁμώνυμος practically means ἐπώνυμος (cp. e.g. *Phd.* 92d9, 102b2, c10, 103b7-8; *Prm.* 130e6); also Arist. *Metaph.* A 6.987b10 Primavesi: συνωνύμων, with Alex. Aphr. in *Metaph.* 51.2-25, 77.10-3 Hayduck; Syrian. in *Metaph.* 108.27-9, 112.2-6, 114.35-115.3 Kroll. See also Anton 1968. Plato also uses ὁμώνυμος simply for two things sharing a name, cf. e.g. *Prt.* 311b5-6; *Phdr.* 266a1; *R.* 330b3; *Tht.* 147d1; *Sph.* 218b3; *Plt.* 258a1.

4 Cf. esp. *Cra.* 393d4-5, 428e1-2, 436e3; with Sedley 2006, 216-221 and Crivelli 2019<sup>2</sup>, 485-492.

5 Cf. e.g. Arist. *Cat.* 1.1a1-3 (but τῆς οὐσίας at 1a2 is controversial since antiquity and excised by Bodéüs); *Top.* A 15.107a20. On Plato’s limited contribution to the study of

Yet, Plato is of course aware that words are *de facto* used to signify different things<sup>6</sup> and that this linguistic phenomenon can be sophisticatedly exploited. At *Sph.* 218b8-c5 the Eleatic Stranger (ES) stresses that he and Theaetetus need to investigate the *logos* of what a sophist is, because so far they only have the name σοφιστής in common (περί τοῦνομα μόνον ἔχομεν κοινῆ), and perhaps they have privately used it to name different things (τὸ δὲ ἔργον ἐφ' ᾧ καλοῦμεν ἐκάτερος τάχ' ἂν ἰδίᾳ παρ' ἡμῖν αὐτοῖς ἔχομεν), whereas it is always about the thing itself (περί τὸ πρᾶγμα αὐτό), through *logoi*, that two should agree upon, rather than merely about the name without a *logos*.<sup>7</sup> At *Euthd.* 277d1-278d1 Socrates warns Clinias that he ought to learn 'the correctness of names' (277e4: ὀνομάτων ὀρθότητος) if he wants to resist the playful assaults of Euthydemus and Dionysodorus, who deceive him by exploiting 'the difference of names' (278b6-7: τὴν τῶν ὀνομάτων διαφορὰν;<sup>8</sup> see also 295d1-2). Thus, Plato was also credited in antiquity, by Eudemus of Rhodes, with resolving many sophistical problems by introducing τὸ δισσόν, i.e. the double meaning of words.<sup>9</sup> Moreover, although the distinction of the senses of a term is not part and parcel of Plato's philosophical method, as it is of Aristotle's, the dialogues often stage works of linguistic clarification, aimed at identifying the primary referents of names, as opposed to secondary or just wrong ones.

My thesis here is that *part of* Plato's strategy to neutralize that particular paralogism that is the paradox of falsehood is to pin down various referents of the name 'being', τὸ ὄν.<sup>10</sup> I want to chart some of these referents and track their role in the dialogue's argument. My project is thus different from the investigation of Plato's supposed distinction of various senses or uses of the verb εἶναι, which has been a prevalent worry of most studies on Plato's conception of being (and not-being) in the *Sophist*. The two projects may

---

ambiguity see Robinson 1942a; Blackson 1991; Stewart and Sprague 1977, 35-44; and Sedley 2006, 223-226.

6 'Ambiguity was a general feature of Greek literature and not the special invention of philosophers' Stewart and Sprague 1977, 61.

7 Cf. Pl. *Cra.* 439b6-8 (countering 435e-436a), and Simp. in *Cat.* 24.10-25.9 Kalbfleisch.

8 This is the closest Plato gets to a name for ambiguity. The term ἀμφιβολία and cognates (on which cf. e.g. Arist. *SE* 4.166a6-21; *Top.* Z 6.145b24-5; *Po.* 24.1461a25-6; *S.E. PH* 2.256-9 Mutschmann-Mau; *D.L.* 7.62 Dorandi) never occur in Plato, with the interesting exception of *Cra.* 437a7 (ἀμφίβολον).

9 Cf. Simp. in *Ph.* 98.1-2 Diels (echoed by *in Cat.* 22.9-13 Kalbfleisch), with Shorey 1930.

10 Arguably, Plato often uses τὸ ὄν and ἡ οὐσία indifferently (cf. e.g. Pl. *R.* 486a9 and 508d4, with 521d5 and 523a3; or *Sph.* 250c2 and 256a1, with 250b9, and 252a2). But this should be demonstrated rather than assumed, so here I only focus on τὸ ὄν.

partly overlap but are not the same. And I suspect Plato worried more about the former issue.

I argue that τὸ ὄν refers in the *Sophist* at least to four different things:

- 1 Any primary being;
- 2 The Kind Being;
- 3 Any participant in the Kind Being;
- 4 A set of participants in the Kind Being.

There may be further referents. But I think these are worth zooming in on.

## 2. The question

It first ought to be shown that Plato thinks that answering the question of the reference of τὸ ὄν matters for his project in the *Sophist*. Let us note, to begin with, that the problem of the reference of names is prominent from the very start of the dialogue, with the question of whether the three names (ὀνόματα), σοφιστής, πολιτικός and φιλόσοφος refer to three distinct kinds, or two, or one (217a4-9). Then, especially starting from the exposition of the puzzles about not-being (236d5-242b5), the emphasis on language correctness becomes increasingly conspicuous. In this stretch of text the occurrences of ὀρθός and cognates with verbs and names of saying are plentiful (e.g. 237c11; 238b4, c5, c8; 239a8, b9). More interestingly, Plato coins here the term ὀρθολογία (239b4), a *hapax* of his corpus and attested again no earlier than half a millennium later (Hdn. Gr. iii 2.513.6 Lentz).<sup>11</sup> Plato introduces it in relation to τὸ μὴ ὄν. But remember how the ES sets out to proceed: since not-being is intractable, one should start off with being, 'the most important and chief thing' (243d1); but no later than 243c2-5 the possibility arises that being is as obscure as not-being, a worry confirmed twice later (244a7-8; 245e8-246a2). An ὀρθολογία περὶ τοῦ ὄντος seems then equally, and indeed preliminarily, required.

Further, the whole enquiry into being pursued by the ES and Theaetetus is driven by questions on the exact reference of τὸ ὄν, which those thinkers who have so far made pronouncements about how many beings there are and what they are like (242c5-6: τὰ ὄντα διορίσασθαι πόσα τε καὶ ποιὰ) have uncritically failed to clarify, thereby making their views underdetermined and ultimately incomprehensible (242c4-243b10). Thus, the two interlocutors agree at 243d3-6 that they 'need first to talk about being (τὸ ὄν), and

---

11 There is another Platonic *hapax* at 236e5, ἐναντιολογία (see below).

track down exactly what those who use the expression think they're indicating (δηλοῦν) by it'. Then at 244a5-6 the ES asks those who have spoken about being what they intend to signify when they utter the word 'ὄν' (τί ποτε βούλεσθε σημαίνειν ὅποταν 'ὄν' φθέγγησθε). Yet again, at 244b6-7 he proposes to inquire from the monists 'what exactly they say being is' (τί ποτε λέγουσι τὸ ὄν). Once light has been shed on the referent(s) of ὄν, one can do the same with τὸ μὴ ὄν and hopefully solve the paradox.

Answering the question of the referent(s) of τὸ ὄν is therefore key to Plato's project in the *Sophist*. Let us now inspect how Plato answers that question.

### 3. Four Referents of τὸ ὄν

As anticipated, I argue that at least four referents of τὸ ὄν emerge from the *Sophist's* inquiry into being:

1) First in the order of exposition is the one implicit in the *πόσα τε καὶ ποιά* inquiry (242c5-6), pursued by the other thinkers who have spoken about being. Arguably, by claiming that τὰ ὄντα are, say, two, e.g. the hot and the cold, those thinkers did not contend that any other object except hot and cold is a blank nothing, i.e. that the furniture of the world counts only two items. Instead, they probably meant that hot and cold (or similar pairs) are basic constituents of all things,<sup>12</sup> perhaps on the assumption that, unlike everything else, they alone are immune from generation and corruption (things may be more complicated, but not necessarily different, with the monists). The notion of a *being* assumed by these thinkers compares therefore to that of a *principle*, like e.g. in Aristotle's *Ph.* A 2 or *Metaph.* Z 1 (see Mansfeld 1986, 5-11).

Likely, this is also the meaning relevant to the notorious τῶ παντελῶς ὄντι at 248e8-249a1. I will not open the can of worms of the interpretation of this phrase. But if we take it to refer to *perfect* being, then τὸ ὄν may stand not only for any primary being, but also for the *domain* of those beings. And this chimes with Plato's familiar use of τὸ ὄν (or οὐσία) to signify the whole intelligible realm, in contrast with γένεσις.

Now, cross-examining the thinkers who have spoken about being, the ES pushes them to clarify their notion of being: when they say that certain

12 Either as basic material stuffs (e.g., Frede 1996, 186-187) or as fundamental properties (e.g., Cornford 1935, 218; Rosen 1983, 207; and Crivelli 2012, 74-75). Perhaps these thinkers were insensitive to this distinction.

(primary or fundamental) beings *are*, they attribute *being* to them; but what exactly does this *being* in turn refer to? The question rests on the implicit assumption that 'being' must refer to some real thing, something that counts itself as a being (see e.g. Rosen 1983, 208; and Crivelli 2012, 76), an assumption in turn based on a robust realist theory of meaning. Thus, throughout the assessment of the rival views on being, a conception of being as a distinct entity finds its way through the dialogue.

2) This leads us to the second referent of τὸ ὄν, the Kind Being,<sup>13</sup> one of the 'greatest' or 'most important' Kinds. This Kind is introduced with the assessment of the so-called 'children's prayer' (at 249d3-4), and has a pivotal role thenceforth. It should be conceived of, I submit, neither as a mere generalization over whatever is,<sup>14</sup> nor as a most comprehensive genus of all genera, located atop of a universal Porphyrian tree.<sup>15</sup> It is instead, like any other Form, the entity metaphysically responsible for its participants' possession of the property its name names, i.e. being (*simpliciter*). A distinctive feature of this Kind is that it is all-pervasive (256d12-e3, 259a5-6), i.e. cannot fail to be participated in. Further, it is well-known that Forms play for Plato a special role in setting the norm for the correct use of language.<sup>16</sup> Notably, they are the primary bearers of names and their participants inherit their name from them.<sup>17</sup> This suggests that the Kind Being has a referential primacy in the *Sophist's* 'orthological' inquiry into being; and that any participant in the Kind Being is also thereby derivatively called ὄν.

3) This brings me to the third referent of τὸ ὄν. Just as Plato calls καλόν both the Form of Beauty and what partakes in it, so too he calls ὄν not only the Kind Being, but also any of its participants. Thus, Motion is a being, because it partakes in (the Kind) Being (256d8-9: κίνησις...ἐστι ὄν ἐπειταίρω τοῦ ὄντος μετέχει); and so are all other Kinds (e2-4: σύμπαντα [γένη]...ὅτι μετέχει τοῦ ὄντος, εἶναι τε καὶ ὄντα).

4) But Plato can also refer to a given set of participants in the Kind Being, with the collective singular τὸ ὄν (see O'Brien 2005, 146-147). We come here to the fourth referent. The notorious phrase πολὺν τὸ ὄν at 256e6 should, I think, be interpreted in this way. In saying that 'in relation to each of the Forms being is manifold' (e6: περὶ ἕκαστον ἄρα τῶν εἰδῶν πολὺν μὲν ἐστι τὸ ὄν), the ES means that the beings signified by the predicates that hold true

13 Cf. e.g. Pl. *Sph.* 250c2, 254d4; 259a4-5, b1-2.

14 Pace e.g. Bostock 1984, 101.

15 Pace e.g. Stenzel 1931, 94-97; Diès 1925, 286; Frede 1996, 197-198.

16 Cf. e.g. Pl. *Cra.* 435e6-440e1, with Cambiano 1991, 181-185 and Barney 2001, 138-155.

17 Cf. e.g. Pl. *Phd.* 102b1-3; *R.* 596a7-9; *Prm.* 130e5-131a2.

of a given Form are manifold, i.e. that each Form partakes in several other Forms.<sup>18</sup> Notice in this regard the backreference at 263b11-2 to the point made at 256e6-7, now restated in a slightly different phraseology: ‘for we said, I think, that there are many things that are about each thing, and many that are not’ (πολλὰ μὲν γὰρ ἔφαμεν ὄντα περὶ ἕκαστον εἶναι που, πολλὰ δὲ οὐκ ὄντα). The πολὺ ὄν at 256e6-7 is now πολλὰ ὄντα, which confirms the collective meaning of τὸ ὄν in the former passage.

#### 4. Contribution to the Solution to the Paradox

How does this work of semantic clarification about τὸ ὄν contribute to the solution of the paradox of falsehood? A few words on the paradox itself first. In outline, it contends that (i) false speech says that the things that are, are not and that the things that are not, are;<sup>19</sup> thus (ii) false speech implies a reference to not-being; but (iii) Parmenides established that the not-being is neither thinkable nor sayable; (iv) therefore false speech is impossible. The critical notion is obviously that of not-being (τὸ μὴ ὄν): false speech entails it; Parmenides banishes it. I will not, of course, reconstruct Plato’s entire solution to the paradox. I want to focus on the role that the distinction of referents of τὸ ὄν plays in this solution. Here is my proposal:

After setting aside the notion of being implicit in previous accounts of being (referent 1) as unhelpful in solving the paradox, Plato suggests that in the notions of τὸ μὴ ὄν involved respectively in the Parmenidean ban and in falsehood, not only is the negative particle μὴ to be understood differently (as indicating contrariety in the former and mere difference in the latter), but also, I submit, the name ὄν, i.e. as respectively referring to the Kind Being (referent 2) and a set of beings (referent 4), viz. those signified by the predicates true of something; and since referent 3 (any participant in the Kind Being) is foundational for referent 4, the importance of the whole fourfold distinction becomes apparent.

---

18 See O’Brien 2005, 147-148. The conclusion at 256e6-7 need not be inferred from 256e3-4 alone. It is instead more naturally read as resulting from the entire argument initiated at 255e8 (*pace* e.g. Owen 1971, 255-259; cf. Heinaman 1983a, 6-10).

19 My formulation reflects what Crivelli calls ‘bipolar’ description of falsehoods, which replaces the initial ‘unipolar’ description (cf. Pl. *Sph.* 240d9-10; also, 237a3-4, 260c3-4 and d2-3), with a view to Plato’s solution to the paradox (cf. Crivelli 2012, 60-63). My account concerns Plato’s solution and does not cover the referent of being in a ‘unipolar’ description, which seems to be *what is the case*.



Let me explain. When at 258e6-259a1 the ES denies having in any way rehabilitated a contrary of being (τὸναντίον τοῦ ὄντος), by adding that he and Theaetetus have long since said farewell to that contrary (ἡμεῖς γὰρ περὶ μὲν ἐναντίου τινὸς αὐτῷ χαίρειν πάλαι λέγομεν; see O'Brien 2011), he must have in mind the impossible Parmenidean not-being, the μηδαμῶς ὄν (237b7-8) which, in the context of the falsehood paradox, entailed an ἐναντιολογία (236e5; another Platonic *hapax*<sup>20</sup>). But at this stage of his analysis this is reconceived of, I submit, as the contrary of the *Kind Being*. For (a) when earlier at 257b3-4 the ES concludes that τὸ μὴ ὄν is not something contrary, but only different from ὄν (οὐκ ἐναντίον τι λέγομεν τοῦ ὄντος ἀλλ' ἕτερον μόνον), he should have in mind what was said about Motion at 256d5-9, that since it is different from ὄν, it is an οὐκ ὄν; and also what was said about Difference at 256d12-e4, that by rendering each a different thing from being (ἕτερον ἀπεργαζομένη τοῦ ὄντος ἕκαστον), it makes it a not-being (οὐκ ὄν ποιεῖ). In both cases ὄν stands for the *Kind Being*. For the ES is quick to point out that Motion and all other Kinds, while being not-beings, nevertheless *are* because they also *partake in* τὸ ὄν (d8-9: καὶ ὄν, ἐπεὶ περ τοῦ ὄντος μετέχει; e3-4: καὶ πάλιν, ὅτι μετέχει τοῦ ὄντος, εἶναι τε καὶ ὄντα). (b) Second, this *Kind* is universally participated in; but since contrariety implies absence of participation,<sup>21</sup> there can be no contrary of Being. Hence, τὸ μὴ ὄν involved in the Parmenidean ban, as reformulated in the *Sophist's* analysis, is the (impossible) contrary of the *Kind Being*.

But this is not the referent of ὄν denied by the μὴ ὄν involved in the ES' account of falsehood. At 263b7-12, he concludes that false speech says things that are not, as if they are (τὰ μὴ ὄντ' ἄρα ὡς ὄντα λέγει); and yet what it says are things that are, just different things that are from those that are about Theaetetus (ὄντων δέ γε ὄντα ἕτερα περὶ σοῦ). Here we find the back-reference to 256e6-7: 'for we said, I think, that there are many things that are about each and every thing, and many that are not'. Just as the manifold being (πολὺ ὄν) at 256e6 is here rephrased as 'many things that are' (πολλὰ ὄντα), so too the not-being unlimited in multiplicity (ἄπειρον πλήθει τὸ μὴ ὄν) at 256e7 is here rephrased as 'many [things] that are not' (πολλὰ οὐκ ὄντα). I should leave aside the issue of the substitution of 'unlimited in multiplicity' for 'many'.<sup>22</sup> The point is that the μὴ ὄν involved in falsehood is not the negation of the *Kind Being* (neither as a contrary of it, nor as differ-

20 Cf. 268b4: ἐναντιολογεῖν, itself a Platonic *hapax* (cf. Arist. *GC A* 7.323b17 Rashed). My hunch is that the two *hapax*, ὀρθολογία (239b4) and ἐναντιολογία (236e5), are related.

21 See Pl. *Phd.* 102d6-7 (also 104b6-c1); *Sph.* 252d2-10; with O'Brien 2005, 129-133.

22 See O'Brien 1995, 79-81. For a different reading see Crivelli 2019<sup>2</sup>, 459-500 n. 47.

ent from it), but of a given set of beings, i.e. those signified by predicates that hold true of a subject – our referent 4. Thus, the μή ὄν involved in falsehood is the set of beings that are *different from* (and therefore *are not*) all those signified by the predicates that hold true of a subject.

This is how the work of referential clarification about τὸ ὄν contributes to solving the paradox. The solution requires, among other things, elucidating the meaning(s) of τὸ μή ὄν; but to do so, we need to distinguish both different meanings of the negative particle μή, but also, I have argued, different referents of the name τὸ ὄν.

## References

- Abbate, M. 1998. 'Parypóstasis: il concetto di male nella quarta dissertazione del *Comento alla Repubblica* di Proclo.' *Rivista di storia della filosofia* 1: 109-115.
- Abbate, M. 2016. 'Die dynamische und lebendige Natur des intelligiblen Seins bei Plato und in der neuplatonischen Überlieferung.' In *Selbstbewegung und Lebendigkeit: die Seele in Platons Spätwerk*, edited by M. Abbate, J. Pfefferkorn, and A. Spinelli, 227-42. Berlin.
- Ackrill, J. L. 1955. 'ΣΥΜΠΛΟΚΗ ΕΙΔΩΝ'. *Bulletin of the Institute of Classical Studies* 2: 31-35.
- Ackrill, J. L. 1957. 'Plato and the Copula: *Sophist* 251-259'. *Journal of Hellenic Studies* 77 (1): 1-6.
- Ackrill, J. L. 1973. 'Anamnesis in the *Phaedo*'. In *Exegesis and Argument*, edited by E. N. Lee, A. P. D. Mourelatos, R. M. Rorty, 177-95. *Phronesis* suppl. vol. 1. Reprinted in Ackrill 1997, 13-32.
- Ackrill, J. L. 1997. *Essays on Plato and Aristotle*. Oxford.
- Adam, J. 1963. *The Republic of Plato*. Vol. I. Cambridge.
- Alekniéné, T. 2017. 'Le skopos du *Philèbe*'. *EPlaton* 13
- Alieva, O. 2024. 'Xenocrates on the Number of Syllables: A Reconstruction of the Argument'. *Ancient Philosophy* 44: 123-46
- Alikan, N. F. 2017. 'The good, the bad and the ugly: does Plato make room for negative forms in his ontology?' In *The Journal of Natural and Social Philosophy* 13-3: 154-91.
- Allen, R. E. 1980. 'Ideas as Thought: *Parmenides* 132bc'. *Ancient Philosophy* 1: 29-38.
- Allen, R. E. 1983. *Plato's Parmenides, Translation and Analysis*. Minneapolis.
- Allen, R.E. 1997, *Plato's Parmenides*, 2nd ed., New Haven.
- Ambuel, D. 2007. *Image and Paradigm in Plato's Sophist*. Las Vegas.
- Annas, J. 1981. *An Introduction to Plato's Republic*. Oxford.
- Amden, B., P. Flensted-Jensen, T. H. Nielsen, A. Schwartz, and C. G. Tortzen, eds. 2002. *Noctes Atticae. 34 Articles on Graeco-Roman Antiquity and Its Nachleben. Studies Presented to Jørgen Mejer*. Copenhagen.
- Anton, J. P. 1968. 'The Aristotelian Doctrine of Homonymy in the *Categories* and Its Platonic Antecedents'. *Journal of the History of Philosophy* 6: 315-26
- Apelt, O. 1897. *Platonis Sophista, Recensuit, Prelegomenis et Commentariis*. Leipzig.
- Arruzza, C. 2019. *A Wolf in the City. Tyranny and the Tyrant in Plato's Republic*. Oxford.
- Assaturian, S. 2020. 'What the Forms Are Not: Plato on Conceptualism in *Parmenides* 132b-c'. *Philosophical Studies* 177: 353-368.
- Astius, F. 1820. *Platonis Quae Extant Opera, Accedunt Platonis Quae Feruntur Scripta*. Vol. 2. Leipzig.
- Aubenque, P. 1991. Une occasion manquée. In *Études sur le Sophiste de Platon*, edited by P. Aubenque. Napoli, 365-385

## References

- Aubenque, P. 1991. *Études sur le Sophiste de Platon*. Napoli.
- Aubenque, P. 2009. *Problèmes Aristotéliens: Philosophie Théorique*. Paris.
- Badham, C. 1865. *Platonis Euthydemus et Lache*. London.
- Baltzly, D. 2017. 'The Skopos Assumption: Its Justification and Function in the Neoplatonic Commentaries on Plato'. *The International Journal of the Platonic Tradition* 11: 173–95.
- Barney, R. 2001. *Name and Nature in Plato's Cratylus*. New York.
- Bechtle, G. 2002. 'Dihairesis, Definition, Analysis, Synthesis: Betrachtungen zu Jamblichs Skopos-Lehre und zur Interpretation des platonischen *Sophistes* (253d1–e5)'. *Wiener Studien* 115: 175–218.
- Beere, J. 2009. *Doing and Being: An Interpretation of Aristotle's Metaphysics Theta*. Oxford.
- Beierwaltes, W. 1957. *Lux intelligibilis. Untersuchung zur Lichtmetaphysik der Griechen*. Diss. München.
- Benardete, S. 1963. 'Some Misquotations of Homer in Plato'. *Phronesis* 8 (2): 173–178.
- Benardete, S. 1984. *The Being of the Beautiful: Plato's Theaetetus, Sophist, and Statesman*. Chicago.
- Benardete, S. 1993. 'Sophist'. *The Review of Metaphysics* 46, 747–80.
- Benardete, S. 2000. "On Plato's Sophist". In *The Argument of the Action: Essays on Greek Poetry and Philosophy*, edited by R. Burger and M. Davis, 323–353. Chicago.
- Benitez, E. 1996. 'Characterisation and Interpretation: The Importance of Drama in Plato's Sophist'. *Literature & Aesthetics* 6: 27–39.
- Berthiaume, G. 1982. *Les rôles du mâgeiros. Étude sur la boucherie, la cuisine et le sacrifice dans la Grèce ancienne*. Leiden.
- Berger, F. 1965. 'Rest and Motion in the Sophist'. *Phronesis* 10: 70–77.
- Bird, A. and E. Tobin. 2016. 'Natural Kinds'. In *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, edited by Edward N. Zalta. Accessed August 9, 2016, URL = <<http://plato.stanford.edu/archives/spr2015/entries/natural-kinds/>>.
- Blackson, T. A. 1991. 'Plato and the Senses of Words'. *Journal of the History of Philosophy* 29: 169–82.
- Blondell, R. 2002. *The Play of Character in Plato's Dialogues*. Cambridge.
- Blondell, R. 2003. 'The Man With No Name. Socrates and the Visitor from Elea'. In *Plato As Author: The Rhetoric of Philosophy*, edited by A. Michelini, 247–66. Leiden.
- Bluck, R. 1957. 'Forms as Standards'. *Phronesis* 2: 155–127.
- Bluck, R. 1975. *Plato's Sophist*. edited by G.C. Neal. Manchester.
- Bonazzi, M. 2022. 'Political, All Too Political. Again on Protagoras' Myth in Its Intellectual Context'. *Polis* 39: 425–45.
- Bondeson, W. B. 1976. 'Some Problems about Being and Predication in Plato's Sophist 242–249'. *Journal of the History of Philosophy* 14 (1):1–10.
- Bossi, B. 2005. 'Is Socrates Really Defending Conceptualism in *Parmenides* 132b3–d4?'. In *Plato's Parmenides: Proceedings of the Fourth Symposium Platonicum Pragense*, edited by Ales Havlíček, 58–74. Prague.

- Bossi, B. 2013. 'Back to the Point: Plato and Parmenides – Genuine Parricide?'. In *Plato's Sophist Revisited*, edited by B. Bossi. and T. Robinson, 157-74. Berlin.
- Bossi, B. and Robinson T., eds. 2013. *Plato's 'Sophist' Revisited*. Berlin.
- Bostock, D. 1984. 'Plato on "Is Not"'. *Oxford Studies in Ancient Philosophy* 2: 89–119.
- Bradley, F. H. 1916. *Appearance and Reality. A Metaphysical Essay*. London.
- Braga da Silva, A. L. 2017. *Plato, the Good, and the fragility of human raft*. São Paulo.
- Braga da Silva, A. L. 2022. 'The "Ideas as Thoughts" Hypothesis of Parmenides 132b-c: A Historical Approach'. In *Plato's Parmenides*, edited by L. Brisson, A. Macé and O. Renaut, 59–66. Baden-Baden.
- Brancacci, A. 1990. *Oikeios logos. La filosofia del linguaggio di Antistene*. Napoli.
- Brancacci, A. 1993. 'Antisthène et la tradition antiplatonicienne au IV siècle'. In *Contre Platon I. Le platonisme dévoilé*, edited by M. Dixsaut, 31–53. Paris.
- Brancacci, A. 1999. 'Eutidemo e Dionisodoro, gli opsimateis del Sophista e un passo dell' Eutidemo'. *Elenchos* 20: 381-96.
- Brancacci, A. 2005. 'Episteme and Phronesis in Antisthenes'. *Méthexis* 18: 7-28.
- Brann, E., P. Kalkavage, and E. Salem, trans. 1996. *Plato: Sophist or The Professor of Wisdom*. Newburyport, MA.
- Bremer, D. 1973. 'Hinweise zum griechischen Ursprung und zur europäischen Geschichte der Lichtmetaphysik'. *Archiv für Begriffsgeschichte* 17: 7–35.
- Bremond, M. 2019. 'Melissos, Gorgias et Platon dans la première hypothèse du Parménide'. *Revue de Philosophie Ancienne* 37-1: 61–99.
- Brisson, L. 1982. *Platon, Les mots et les mythes*. Paris.
- Brisson, L. 1994. *Platon, Parménide, traduction inédite, introduction et notes*. Paris.
- Brisson, L. 2008. 'La définition de l'être par la puissance: un commentaire de *Sophiste* 247B-249D'. In *Dunamis: autour de la puissance chez Aristote*, edited by M. Crubellier, A. Jaulin, D. Lefebvre, and P.-M. Morel, 172–187. Louvain la neuve.
- Brisson, L. 2016. 'La notion de *phthónos* chez Platon'. In *Lectures de Platon*, L. Brisson, 219–234. Paris.
- Brisson, L. and O. Renaut. 2017. *Érotique et politique chez Platon. Erôs, genre et sexualité dans la cité platonicienne*. Sankt Augustin.
- Broadie, S. 2021. *Plato's Sun-Like Good. Dialectic in the Republic*. Cambridge.
- Brown, L. 1986. 'Being in the Sophist: A Syntactical Enquiry'. *Oxford Studies in Ancient Philosophy* 4: 49-70. Reprinted in *Plato 1: Metaphysics and Epistemology*, ed. G. Fine, 455-478. 1999.
- Brown, L. 1994. 'The verb "to be" in greek philosophy'. In: Everson, S. (ed.). *Language*. Cambridge.
- Brown, L. 1998. 'Innovation and Continuity: The Battle of Gods and Giants', *Sophist* 245-249'. In *Method in Ancient Philosophy*, edited by J. Gentzler, 181–207. Oxford.
- Brown, L. 2008, 2019. 'The Sophist on Statements, Predication and Falsehood'. In *The Oxford Handbook of Plato*, edited by G. Fine, 437-62. Updated version in 2019, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition, 309-336.
- Brown, L. 2010. 'Definition and Division in Plato's Sophist'. In *Definition in Greek Philosophy*, edited by D. Charles, 151-171. Oxford.

## References

- Brown, L. 2012. 'Negation and Not-Being: Dark Matter in the *Sophist*'. *Presocratics & Plato*, edited by R. Patterson, V. Karasmanis, and A. Hermann, 233-54. Las Vegas.
- Brown, L. 2018. 'Aporia in Plato's *Theaetetus* and *Sophist*'. In *The Aporetic Tradition in Ancient Philosophy*, edited by G. Karamanolis and V. Politis, 91-111. Cambridge.
- Brunschwig, J. 2000. 'Metaphysics  $\Lambda$  9'. In *Aristotle's Metaphysics  $\Lambda$ , Symposium Aristotelicum*, edited by D. Charles and M. Frede, 275-306. Oxford.
- Blondell, R. 2002. *The Play of Character in Plato's Dialogues*. Cambridge.
- Bluck, R. 1975. *Plato's Sophist. A commentary*. Edited by G. C. Neal. Manchester.
- Buchheim, T. 2013. 'Megista genê und Weisen der Gemeinschaft in Platons *Sophistes* (249d-259e)'. *Zeitschrift für philosophische Forschung* 67 (4): 538-65.
- Buckels, C. 2016. 'The Ontology of the Secret Doctrine in Plato's *Theaetetus*'. *Phronesis* 61: 243-59.
- Burkert, W. 1962. 'Τόης. Zum griechischen "Schamanismus"'. *Rheinisches Museum für Philologie*, 105: 36-55.
- Burnet, J., ed. 1900. *Platonis Opera*, Vol. 1. Oxford.
- Burnet, J. 1914. *Greek Philosophy, I, Thales to Plato*. London.
- Burnyeat, M. 1990. *The Theaetetus of Plato, with a translation of Plato's Theaetetus by M. J. Levett*. Indianapolis.
- Burnyeat, M. 1997. 'First Words: A Valedictory Lecture', *Proceedings of the Cambridge Philological Society* 43: 1-20.
- Burnyeat, M. F. 2003. 'Socrates, Money and the Grammar of GIGNESTHAI'. *Journal of Hellenic Studies* 123: 1-25.
- Buxton, R. 2013. 'Wolves and Werewolves in Greek Thought'. In *Myths and Tragedies in their Ancient Greek Contexts*, Oxford.
- Capizzi, A. 1955. *Protagora. Le testimonianze e i frammenti*. Firenze.
- Carone, G. R. 2005. *Plato's Cosmology and Its Ethical Dimensions*. Cambridge.
- Carroll, N. 2009. *On Criticism*. London.
- Calzolari, V., Barnes, J. 2009. *L'œuvre de David l'Invincible*, Leiden.
- Campbell, L. 1867. *The Sophistes and Politicus of Plato, with a Revised Text and English Notes*. Oxford.
- Cambiano, G. 1991. *Platone e le tecniche*. Roma.
- Casadesús Bordoy, F. 2013. 'Why is it so Difficult to Catch a Sophist? Pl. *Sph.* 218d3 and 261a5'. In *Plato's Sophist Revisited*, edited by B. Bossi and T. M. Robinson, 15-27. Berlin.
- Casertano, G. 2007. *Paradigmi della verità in Platone*. Roma.
- Cassin, B. 1980. *Si Parménide. Le traité anonyme «De Melisso Xenophane Gorgia»*. Lille. *Cahiers de Philologie* 4.
- Centrone, B. 1998. *Platone. Fedro. Traduzione di Piero Pucci. Introduzione e note di Bruno Centrone*. Roma.
- Centrone, B. 2005. 'L'eidos come holon in Platone e i suoi riflessi in Aristotele'. In *Eidos - Idea. Platone, Aristotele e la tradizione platonica*, edited by F. Fronterotta and W. Leszl, 103-114. Sankt Augustin.

- Centrone, B. 2008. *Platone. Sofista*. Torino.
- Centrone, B. 2009. *Platone. Simposio. Traduzione e commento di Matteo Nucci. Introduzione di Bruno Centrone*. Torino.
- Chantraine. P. 1968-1980. *Dictionnaire étymologique de la langue grecque*, 4 vols. Paris. Reissued in 1999 in one vol.
- Cherniss, H. 1944. *Aristotle's Criticism of Plato and the Academy*. Baltimore.
- Chiaradonna, R. 2008. 'Energeia et Kinêsis chez Plotin et Aristote (Enn. VI 1, [42], 16. 4-19)'. In *Dunamis: autour de la puissance chez Aristote*, 471-91. Louvain-la-Neuve.
- Classen, C.J. 1959. *Sprachliche Deutung als Triebkraft platonischen und sokratischen Philosophierens*. München.
- Classen, C. J. 1960. *Untersuchungen zu Platons Jagdbildern*. Berlin.
- Cohen, S. M. 1973. 'Plato's Method of Division'. In *Patterns in Plato's Thought*, edited by J. M. E. Moravcsik, 181-191. Boston.
- Collobert, C. 2020. *Territoire philosophique, territoire poétique : l'annexion platonicienne*. Grenoble.
- Cooper, J. M., ed. 1997. *Plato, Complete Works*. Indianapolis. 'Sophist', 235-93; 'Theaetetus', 157-234.
- Corcilius, K. 2018. 'Ideal Intellectual Cognition in *Tim.* 37a2-c5', *Oxford Studies in Ancient Philosophy* 54: 51-106.
- Cordero, N.-L. 1991. 'L'invention de l'école éléatique: Platon, *Sophiste*, 242 D'. In *Études sur le Sophiste de Platon*, edited by P. Aubenque & M. Narcy, 91-124. Napoli.
- Cordero, N.-L. 1993. *Platon, Le Sophiste. Traduction inédite, introduction et notes*. Paris.
- Cordero, N.-L. 2007. 'Il faut rétablir la version originale de *Sophiste* 240b7-9'. *Elenchos* 28: 403-13.
- Cordero, N.-L. 2013. 'The relativization of "separation" (*chorismos*) in the *Sophist*'. In *Plato's Sophist Revisited*, edited by B. Bossi and T. Robinson, 187-201.
- Cordero, N.-L. 2014a. *Cuando la realidad palpataba. La concepción dinámica del ser en la filosofía griega*. Buenos Aires.
- Cordero, N.-L. 2014b. *Platón contra Platón. La autocrítica del Parménides y la ontología del Sofista*. Buenos Aires.
- Cordero, N.-L. 2020. 'Parménides y la concepción ante-predicativa de la verdad'. *Revista Archai* 30: 1-21.
- Cornford, F. M. 1935. *Plato's Theory of Knowledge*. London. Reprinted: 1957, 2000, 2010, 2013.
- Cornford, F. M. 1939. *Plato and Parmenides*. London.
- Corradi, M. 2012. *Protagora tra filologia e filosofia. Le testimonianze di Aristotele*. Pisa.
- Corradi, M. 2022. 'Πρὸς τοὺς ἐν τῷ ὄν εισάγοντας. Protagora davanti a Parmenide e Zenone'. In *Le vie dell'essere. Studi sulla ricezione antica di Parmenide*, a cura di Enrico Volpe, 87-108. Baden-Baden.
- Corradi, M. 2023. 'Protagoras on Being: Between ὀρθοέπεια and the Eleatic Legacy'. *Rhizomata* 11: 189-207.
- Coulter, J. A. 1976. *The Literary Microcosm. Theories of Interpretation of the Later Neoplatonists*. Leiden.

## References

- Crivelli, P. 2012. *Plato's Account of Falsehood: A Study of the Sophist*. Cambridge.
- Crivelli, P. 2019. 'Plato's Philosophy of Language'. In *The Oxford Handbook of Plato*, edited by G. Fine, 481-505. Oxford.
- Alessandro and Carmen Cusinato, G. 2023. *Periagoge: Theory of Singularity and Philosophy as an Exercise of Transformation*, trans. R. Shibuya and K. Whittle, Leiden
- da Motta, G. D. 2019. 'What Beauty is Socrates Seeking by Chasing Handsome Youths?' In *Looking at Beauty to Kalon in Western Greece*, edited by H. L. Reid and T. Leyh, 149-160. Sioux City, Iowa.
- Delcomminette, S. 2014. 'Odysseus and the Home of the Stranger from Elea'. *Classical Quarterly* 64: 533-541.
- Denniston, J. D. 1952. *Greek Prose Style*. Oxford.
- Derbolav, J. 1972. *Platons Sprachphilosophie im Kratylos und in den späteren Schriften*. Darmstadt.
- de Rijk, L. M. 1986. *Plato's Sophist: A Philosophical Commentary*. Amsterdam.
- de Romilly, J. 1975. *Magic and Rhetoric in Ancient Greece*. Cambridge, Mass.
- Derrida, J. 1972. *La dissémination*. Paris.
- Detel, W. 1972. *Platons Beschreibung des falschen Satzes im Theätet und Sophistes*. Göttingen.
- Detienne, M., and J.-P. Vernant. 1989. *The Cuisine of Sacrifice among the Greeks*. Translated by Paula Wissing. Chicago.
- Deuschle, J. 1857. *Platons Werke*. Stuttgart.
- Deussen, P. 1869. Bonn: Adolphus Marcus.
- Diès, A. 1909. *La définition de l'être et la nature des Idées dans le Sophiste de Platon*. Paris.
- Diès, A. 1923. *Platon. Œuvres complètes*, t. VIII, 1<sup>ère</sup> partie, *Parménide* ; 1925. 3<sup>ème</sup> Partie, *Le Sophiste*. Paris.
- Dillon, J. 1973. *Iamblichus Chalcidensis in Platonis Dialogos Commentariorum Fragmenta*. Leiden.
- Dinan, M. 2013. 'Of Wolves and Dogs: The Eleatic Stranger's Socratic Turn in the *Sophist*'. In *Socratic Philosophy and Its Others*, edited by C. Dunn and D. Schaeffer, 115-139. Lanham, Md.
- Dixsaut, M. 1997. 'What is it Plato Calls "Thinking"?' In *Proceedings of the Boston Area Colloquium in Ancient Philosophy* 13: 1-27. Leiden.
- Dixsaut, M. 2000. *Platon et la question de la pensée*. 'Qu'appelle-t-on penser ? Du dialogue intérieur de l'âme selon Platon', 45-70; 'L'analogie intenable: le Soleil et le Bien', 121-51; 'Platon, Parménide et le logos', 175-223. Paris.
- Dixsaut, M. 2001. *Le Naturel philosophe : Essai sur les dialogues de Platon, 3e édition, revue et corrigée*. Paris.
- Dixsaut, M. 2001. *Métamorphoses de la dialectique dans les dialogues de Platon*. Paris.
- Dixsaut, M. 2006. 'Platon et ses deux mémoires'. In *Mémoire et souvenir. Six Études sur Platon, Aristote, Hegel et Husserl*, edited by A. Brancacci and G. Gigliotti, 13-45. Napoli.
- Dixsaut, M. 2015. *Platon-Nietzsche: L'autre manière de philosopher*. Paris.
- Dixsaut, M. 2022. *Platon, le Sophiste*. Intro., texte grec, trad. et commentaire. Paris.



- Dixsaut, M., D. El Murr, M.-A. Garavay, A. Hasnaoui, E. Helmer, A. Larivée, A. de la Taille, F. Tesseirenc. 2018. *Platon. Le Politique. Introduction, traduction (texte grec vis à vis) et commentaire*. Paris.
- Dominick, Y.H. 2018, 'The image of the noble sophist'. *Epoche* 22: 203-220.
- Dorion, L.-A. 1990. 'La subversion de l'"elenchos" juridique dans l'"Apologie de Socrate"'. *Revue philosophique de Louvain* 88: 311-43.
- Dorion, L.-A. 2011. *Xénophon. Memorables. Livre IV*, Paris.
- Dorion, L.-A. 2012. 'Aristotle's definition of elenchus in the light of Plato's *Sophist*'. In *The Development of Dialectic from Plato to Aristotle*, edited by J. L. Fink, 251-69. Cambridge.
- Dorter, K. 1994. *Forms and Good in Plato's Eleatic Dialogues: The Parmenides, Theaetetus, Sophist, and Statesman*. Berkeley.
- Dorion, Louis-André. 2016. 'La critique de l'*elenchos* socratique dans *La République* (VII 537D-539D)'. In *Logique et dialectique dans l'antiquité*, ed. by J.-B. Gourinat and J. Lemaire, 43-66. Paris.
- Dover, K. J. 1978. *Greek Homosexuality*. London.
- Duerlinger, J. 2009. *A Translation of Plato's Sophist with an Introductory Commentary..* New York.
- Duke, E. A., W. F. Hicken, W. S. M. Nicoll, D. B. Robinson, and J. C. D. Strachan, eds. 1995. *Platonis Opera*, Vol. I. Oxford.
- Duncombe, M. 2020. *Ancient Relativity: Plato, Aristotle, Stoics, and Sceptics*. Oxford.
- Ebert, T. 2004. *Platon. Phaidon*. Göttingen.
- Eckroth, G. 2007. 'Meat in Ancient Greece: Sacrificial, Sacred or Secular?' *Food & History* 5: 249-72.
- El Murr, D. 2016. 'Logique ou dialectique? La puissance normative de la division platonicienne'. In *Logique et dialectique dans l'antiquité*, edited by J.-B. Gourinat et J. Lemaire, 107-133. Paris.
- Else, G. F. 1972. *The structure and date of Book X of Plato's Republic*. Heidelberg.
- van Emde Boas, E., A. Rijksbaron, L. Huitink, and M. de Bakker. 2019. *The Cambridge Grammar of Classical Greek*. Cambridge.
- Enache, C. 2008. 'Der unsichtbare Totengott. Platons Namendeutung des Hades im Phaidon (80d-81c) und im Kratylos (403a-404b)'. *Rheinisches Museum für Philologie* 151: 61-82.
- Erler, M. 1987. *Der Sinn der Aporien in den Dialogen Platons*. Berlin.
- Erler, M. 2003. 'To Hear the Right Thing and to Miss the Point: Plato's Implicit Poetics'. In *Plato as Author: The Rhetoric of Philosophy*, edited by A. N. Michelini, 153-73. Leiden.
- Esses, D. 2019. 'Philosophic Appearance and Sophistic Essence in Plato's *Sophist*: A New Reading of the Definitions', *Ancient Philosophy* 39: 295-317.
- Fait, P. 2007. *Aristotele. Le confutazioni Sofistiche*. Roma.
- Fait, P. 2021, 'Protagoras' Attack on Wrestling and the Other Arts: The Cradle of Antilogic and Eristic'. In *L'eristique: définitions, caractérisations et historicité*, textes réunis et édités par Sylvain Delcomminette et Geneviève Lachance, 47-81. Bruxelles.

- Ferber, R. 1989. *Platos Idee des Guten, Zweite, durchgesehene und erweiterte Auflage*. St. Augustin.
- Ferber, R. 2020a. *Platonische Aufsätze*. Berlin.
- Ferber, R. 2020b. "Auf diese Weise nun gebe ich selbst meine Stimme ab" – Einige Bemerkungen zu Platons später Ideenlehre unter besonderer Berücksichtigung des Timaios'. *Gymnasium: Zeitschrift für Kultur der Antike und Humanistische Bildung* 105: 419–44. Reprinted with modifications in R. Ferber. 2020. *Platonische Aufsätze*, 219–37. Berlin.
- Ferber R. 2021. "Second Sailing towards Immortality and God: On Plato's Phaedo, 99e4-100a3, with an Outlook on Descartes' Meditations, AT VII, 67." *Mnemosyne*, 74: 371-400
- Ferrari, F. 2000. 'Teoria delle idee e ontologia'. In *Platone. La Repubblica*. Traduzione e commento a cura di M. Vegetti. Vol. IV. Libro V, 365–91. Napoli.
- Ferrari, F. 2003. 'L'idea del bene: collocazione ontologica e funzioni causale'. In: *Platone. La Repubblica*. Trad. e comm. a cura di M. Vegetti. Vol. V. Napoli, 289-325.
- Ferrari, F. 2013. 'L'interpretazione del Teeteto e la natura della epistemologia platonica. Alcune osservazioni'. *Elenchos* 34: 399–422.
- Ferrari, F. 2020. 'Die „Seele“ des Seienden bei Platon *Sophistes* 248e–249a und *Timaios* 30a–31c'. In *Anima Mundi: On the Origins and Fortune of a fundamental idea*, edited by C. Helmig, 77–90. Berlin.
- Ferrari, F. 2022. 'La maschera di Parmenide: riduzionismo ed equiparazionismo nella prima parte del *Parmenide* di Platone'. *Philologia Philosophica* 1: 63–89.
- Ferrari, F. 2023. 'Al di là dei nomi e del pensiero: Platone di fronte all'indicibile e all'ineffabile'. *Eranos Yearbook* 75 [2019–2020–2021], 37–83. Einsiedeln.
- Ferrari, J. 2007. 'The Three-Part Soul'. In *The Cambridge Companion to Plato's Republic*, edited by J. Ferrari, 165–201. Cambridge.
- Ferro, F. 2022. 'The Eleatic Palamedes: Zeno's Defence of the Eleatic Doctrine of the One-all in the *Phaedrus*'. *Méthexis* 34: 1–23.
- Foucault, M. 1966. *Les Mots et les Choses, Une archéologie des sciences humaines*. Paris.
- Fournier, H. 1946. *Les Verbes "dire" en grec ancien*. Paris.
- Fowler, H. N., trans. 2006. *Plato: In Twelve Volumes. 7: Theaetetus. Sophist*. Reprinted. The Loeb Classical Library 123. Cambridge, Mass.
- Frances, B. 1996. 'Plato's Response to the Third Man Argument in the Paradoxical Exercise of the Parmenides', *Ancient Philosophy* 16: 47–64.
- Fraser, C. 2020. 'Paradoxes in the School of Names'. In *Dao Companion to Chinese Philosophy of Logic*, edited by Yiu-ming Fung, 285–307. Cham.
- Frede, M. 1962. 'Bemerkungen zum Text der Aporienpassage in Platon's "Sophistes"'. *Phronesis* 7: 132-36.
- Frede, M. 1967. *Prädikation und Existenzaussage*. Göttingen.
- Frede, M., Brunschwig, J. 1989. 'Les Origines de la Notion de Cause'. *Revue de Métaphysique et de Morale* 4, 483-511.
- Frede, M. 1992. 'Plato's Sophist on False Statements'. In *The Cambridge Companion to Plato*, edited by Richard Kraut, 397–424. Cambridge.
- Frede, M. 1996a. 'Die Frage nach dem Seienden: *Sophistes*'. In *Platon*, edited by T. Kobusch and B. Mojsisch, 181-99. Darmstadt.

- Frede, M. 1996b. 'The Literary Form of the *Sophist*'. In *Form and Argument in Late Plato*, edited by C. Gill and M. M. McCabe, 135-51. Oxford.
- Frede, D. 2005. *Platons Phaidon: Der Traum von der Unsterblichkeit der Seele*. Darmstadt.
- Frege, G. 1884. *Die Grundlange der Arithmetik: Eine logisch-mathematische Untersuchung über den Begriff der Zahl*. Breslau.
- Frege, G. 1892a. 'Über Begriff und Gegenstand', *Vierteljahrsschrift für wissenschaftliche Philosophie* 16: 192-205.
- Frege, G. 1892b. 'Über Sinn und Bedeutung'. *Zeitschrift für Philosophie und philosophische Kritik* 100: 23-50. Quoted from G. Frege. 1967. *Kleine Schriften*, edited by I. Angelelli, Hildesheim, 43-162. Beany, M., ed. 1997. 'On Sinn and Bedeutung'. In *The Frege Reader*, trans. By M. Black, 151-71. Oxford.
- Frege, G. 1918-19, 'Die Verneinung: Eine logische Untersuchung', *Beiträge zur Philosophie des deutschen Idealismus* 1: 143-57.
- Friedländer, P. 1975. *Platon*. III. Berlin-New York.
- Fronterotta, F. 1995. 'L'être et la participation de l'autre: une nouvelle ontologie dans le *Sophiste*'. *Les Études philosophiques* 3: 311-353.
- Fronterotta, F. 2007. *Platone: Sofista. Introduzione, traduzione e note*. Milano.
- Fronterotta, F. 2008. 'La Notion de Δύναμις Dans Le Sophiste'. In *Dunamis: Autour de La Puissance Chez Aristote*, edited by M. Crubellier, A. Jaulin, D. Lefebvre, and P.-M. Morel, 187-224. Louvain-la-Neuve.
- Fronterotta, F. 2013. 'Theaetetus Sits –Theaetetus Flies: Ontology, predication and truth in Plato's *Sophist*'. In *Plato's Sophist Revisited*, edited by B. Bossi and T. M. Robinson, 204-24.
- Fronterotta, F. 2018. "Movimento, Vita, Anima e Intelligenza". La ΣΕΜΝΟΤΗΣ deo ΠΑΝΤΕΛΩΣ ON Nel *Sofista* Platonico'. *Antiquorum Philosophia* 12: 27-36.
- Fung, Y.-M. 2009. 'The School of Names'. In *History of Chinese Philosophy*, edited by Bo Mou, 164-188. London.
- Gallop, D. 1975. *Plato. Phaedo*. Oxford.
- Gallop, D. 1984. *Fragments. Parmenides of Elea: a text and translation with an introduction*. Toronto
- Garfield, J. L. & Priest, G. 2021. 'Knots in the Dao'. In *What Can't Be Said: Paradox and Contradiction in East Asian Thought*, edited by Y. Degushi, J. L. Garfield, G. Priest and R. H. Sharf, 13-41. Oxford.
- Gavray, M.-A. 2021, 'Protagoras, la lutte et les *Discours terrassants*'. In *L'éristique: définitions, caractérisations et historicité*, textes réunis et édités par Sylvain Delcomminette et Geneviève Lachance, 23-46. Bruxelles.
- Ge, T. 2019. 'The Status of Xenocrates in the History of the Text of Plato's Corpus Reconsidered'. *Phoenix* 73, 372-87.
- Gerson, L. (ed.) 2010. *The Cambridge History of Philosophy in Late Antiquity*. Cambridge.
- Gerson, L. 2022. 'Review of Broadie' 2021. In *Ancient Philosophy* 42 (1): 307-11.
- Gertz, S. 2010. 'Do Plato and Aristotle Agree on Self-Motion in Souls?' In *Conversations Platonic and Neoplatonic: Intellect, Soul and Nature*, edited by J. F. Finamore and R. M. Berchman, 73-87. Sankt Augustin.

- Giannantoni, G. 1990. *Socratis et Socraticorum Reliquae*. Napoli.
- Giannopoulou, Z. 2022. 'Socratic Midwifery and Noble Sophistry: An Intertextual Reading'. In *New Explorations in Plato's Theaetetus: Belief, Knowledge, Ontology, Reception*, edited by D. Zucca, 163-176. Leiden.
- Gill, M. L. and P. Ryan. 1996. *Plato*, Parmenides. Indianapolis.
- Gill, M. L. 2002. 'Plato on Being a Not-Being: The Text of *Parmenides* 162a-b'. In *Noctes Atticae*, edited by B. Amden, P. Flensted-Jensen, T. H. Nielsen, A. Schwartz, and C. G. Tortzen, 121-29. Copenhagen.
- Gill, M. L. 2010. 'Division and Definition in Plato's *Sophist* and *Statesman*'. In *Definition in Greek Philosophy*, edited by D. Charles, 172-200. Oxford.
- Gill, M. L. 2012. *Philosophos: Plato's Missing Dialogue*. Oxford.
- Gill, M. L. 2021. 'Images of Wisdom in the Prologue of Plato's *Sophist*'. *Journal of Greco-Roman Studies* 60: 137-152.
- Gili, L. 2017. 'Plato's *Sophist* 216a3-4'. *Méthexis* 29: 171-73.
- Gómez-Lobo, A. 1977. 'Plato's Description of Dialectic in the *Sophist*, 253d1-e2'. *Phronesis* 22 (1): 29-47
- Gonzalez, F. 2001. 'The Eleatic Stranger: His Master's voice?'. In *Who Speaks for Plato? Studies in Platonic Anonymity*, edited by G. A. Press, 161-181. Lanham, Md.
- Gonzalez, F. 2011. 'Being as Power in Plato's *Sophist* and Beyond.' In *Plato's Sophist: Proceedings of the Seventh Symposium Platonicum Pragense*, edited by A. Havlíček and F. Karfik, 63-95. Prague.
- Gonzalez, F. J. 2019. 'Being as Activity: A Defense of the Importance of *Metaphysics* 1048b18-35 for Aristotle's Ontology'. *Oxford Studies in Ancient Philosophy* 56: 123-91.
- Gonzalez, F. J. 2020. 'Thinking as Conversation in Plato's *Theaetetus*'. In *Plato's Theaetetus Revisited*, edited by B. Bossi and T. M. Robinson, 173-92. Berlin.
- Goodwin, W. W. 1890. *Syntax of the Moods and Tenses of the Greek Verb*. Boston.
- Gordon, J. 2012. *Plato's Erotic World. From Cosmic Origins to Human Death*. Cambridge.
- Grasso, E. 2008. 'Socrate dans le *Sophiste* : Platon, le juge et le prétendant'. In *Socratica 2005 : Studi sulla Letteratura socratica antica*, edited by L. Rossetti, 305-25. Bari.
- Graeser, A. 2003. 'Platons Parmenides'. In *Akademie der Wissenschaften und Literatur, Mainz, Abhandlungen der geistes- und sozialwissenschaftlichen Klasse* 3. Stuttgart.
- Granieri, R. 2023. 'Hermodorus of Syracuse and Sextus Empiricus' "Pythagoreans" on Categories and Principles'. *Classical Quarterly* 73: 1-15.
- Grote, G. 1875. *Plato and the Other Companions of Sokrates*. London.
- Grote, G. 1884. *Plato and the Other Companions of Socrates*, vol. III. London.
- Guglielminetti, E. 2012. 'Gemelli diversi : Sulla "piccola differenza" tra il sofista e il filosofo'. *Spazio Filosofico* 4: 115-124.
- Guthrie, W. K. C. 1965. *A History of Greek Philosophy*. Vol. II. *The Presocratic Tradition from Parmenides to Democritus*. Cambridge.
- Guthrie, W. K. C. 1975. *History of Greek Philosophy*. Vol. IV. Cambridge.
- Gutiérrez, R. 2002. "'La Lógica de la Decadencia". En torno a las formas deficientes de gobierno en la República de Platón'. *Estudios de Filosofía* 26: 43-60.

- Gutiérrez, R. 2012. 'The Three Waves of Dialectic in Plato's *Republic*'. In *Plato's Styles and Characters. Between Literature and Philosophy*, edited by G. Cornelli, 12–32. Berlin.
- Gutiérrez, R. 2017. *El arte de la conversión. Un estudio sobre la República de Platón*. Lima.
- Gutiérrez, R. 2022. *Koinōnía* y Justicia. De la *República* al *Parménides*. *Areté* 34: 201–213.
- Hackforth, R. 1945. *Plato's Examination of Pleasure*. Cambridge.
- Hadot, P. 1960. 'Être, vie et pensée chez Plotin et avant Plotin'. In *Les Sources des Plotin*, 107–57. Vandoeuvres-Genève.
- Halfwassen, J. 1992. *Der Aufstieg zum Einen. Untersuchungen zu Platon und Plotin*. Stuttgart.
- Halfwassen, J. 2001. 'Monismus und Dualismus in Platons Prinzipienlehre'. In *Platonisches Philosophieren*, edited by T. A. Szlezák, 67–85. Zürich.
- Halper, E. (forthcoming). 'Dynamis and Agency in the *Sophist*'. In *Platonic Power*, edited by C. Araujo.
- Hansen, C. 1983. *Language and Logic in Ancient China*. Ann Arbor.
- Harte, V. 2002. *Plato on Parts and Wholes. The Metaphysics of Structure*. Oxford.
- Haskins, C. E. 1891. 'On Homeric Fishing-Tackle'. *The Journal of Philology* 19: 238–40.
- Heath, M. 1989. 'The Unity of Plato's *Phaedrus*'. *Oxford Studies in Ancient Philosophy* 7: 151–73.
- Heinaman, R. 1981. 'Self-Predication in the *Sophist*'. *Phronesis* 26: 55–66.
- Heinaman, R. 1983a. 'Being in the *Sophist*'. *Archiv für Geschichte der Philosophie* 65: 1–17.
- Heinaman, R. 1983b. 'Communion of Forms'. *Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society* n.s. 83: 175–90.
- Heindorf, L.F. 1806. *Platonis dialogi selecti – Cratylus Parmenides Euthydemus*, Berlin.
- Helmig, C. 2007. 'Plato's Arguments against Conceptualism. *Parmenides* 123b3–c11 Reconsidered'. *Elenchos, Rivista di Studi sul Pensiero antico* 28: 303–336.
- Henry, D. 2012. 'A Sharp Eye for Kinds: Plato on Collection and Division'. *Oxford Studies in Ancient Philosophy* 41: 229–55.
- Hermannus, C. 1865. *Platonis Dialogi Secundum Trasylly Tetralogias Dispositi Ex Recognitione Caroli Friderici Hermanni*. Vol. I. Leipzig.
- Herrmann, F.-G. 1998. 'On Plato's *Sophist* 226B–231B'. *Hermes* 126: 109–117.
- Horky, P. 2022. 'Aristotle's Intermediates and Xenocrates' Mathematics'. *Revue de philosophie ancienne* 40: 79–112.
- Horn, C. 1997. 'Platons *epistēmê–doxa*–Unterscheidung und die Ideetheorie (Buch V 474b–480a und Buch X 595c–597e)'. In: *Platon–Politeia*, edited by Otfried Höffe, 291–312. Berlin.
- Hösle, V. 2019. 'The Tübingen School'. In *Brill's Companion to German Platonism*, edited by A. Kim, 328–48. Leiden.
- Howland, J. 1997. *The Paradox of Political Philosophy*. Lanham, Md.
- Ildefonse, F. 1997. *La naissance de la grammaire dans l'antiquité grecque*. Paris.
- Ildefonse, F. and J. Lallot. 2002. *Aristote. Catégories*. Paris.

- Ionescu, C. 2013. 'Dialectic in Plato's *Sophist*: Division and the Communion of Kinds'. *Arethusa* 46 (1): 41-64.
- Irani, T. 2022. 'Perfect Change in Plato's *Sophist*'. *Oxford Studies in Ancient Philosophy* 60: 45-93.
- Irwin, E. 2005. *Solon and Early Greek Poetry*. Cambridge.
- Isaac, D. 2003. *Proclus. Trois études sur la providence. De l'existence du mal (III), traduction*. Paris.
- Jankélévitch, V. 1957. *Le Je-ne-sais-quoi et le presque-rien*. Paris.
- Johnston, M. 2006. 'Hylomorphism'. *Journal of Philosophy* 103: 652-698.
- Jones, R.M. 1916. *The Platonism of Plutarch*, Menasha, Wis. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. 1980. New York.
- Jouanna, J. 1988, *Hippocrates. Des vents – De l'art*. Paris.
- Jürgasch, T. 2013. *Theoria versus Praxis? Zur Entwicklung eines Prinzipienwissens im Bereich der Praxis in Antike und Spätantike*. Berlin.
- Kahn, C. H. 1966. 'The Greek Verb "To Be" and the Concept of Being'. *Foundations of Language* 2 (3): 245-65.
- Kahn, C. H. 1981. 'Some Philosophical Uses of "To Be" in Plato'. *Phronesis* 26: 105-34.
- Kahn, C. H. 1988. 'Being in Parmenides and Plato'. *La parola del passato* 43: 237-61.
- Kahn, C. H. 1996. *Plato and the Socratic Dialogue: The Philosophical Use of a Literary Form*. Cambridge.
- Kahn, C. H. 2013. *Plato and the Post-Socratic Dialogues: The Return to the Philosophy of Nature*. Cambridge.
- Karfik, F. 2011. 'Pantelôs on and megista genê (Plato, *Soph.* 242c-259b)'. In *Plato's Sophist: Proceedings of the Seventh Symposium Platonicum Pragense*, edited by A. Havlíček and F. Karfik, 120-145. Prague.
- Kerferd, G. B. 1954. 'Plato's Noble Art of Sophistry'. *Classical Quarterly* 4: 84-90.
- Keyt, D. 1969. 'Plato's Paradox That the Immutable Is Unknowable'. *Philosophical Quarterly* 19: 1-14.
- Keyt, D. 1973. 'Plato on falsity : *Sph.* 263b'. In *Exegesis and Argument, Studies in Greek Philosophy presented to G. Vlastos*, edited by E. N. Lee, A. P. D. Mourelatos, and R. M. Rorty. *Phronesis* suppl. vol. 1, 285-305. Assen.
- Kohnke, F. W. 1957. 'Plato's conception of τὸ οὐκ ὄντως οὐκ ὄν'. *Phronesis* 2: 32-40.
- Kolb, P. 1997. *Platons Sophistes: Theorie des Logos und Dialektik*. Würzburg.
- Konstantakos, I. M. 2017. 'Aristophanic Shape-Shifters: Myth, Fairytale, Satire'. *Λογέιον/Logeion* 7: 108-44.
- Kostman, J. 1989. 'The Ambiguity of "Partaking" in Plato's *Sophist*'. *Journal of the History of Philosophy* 27: 343-63.
- Krämer, H.-J. 1959. *Arete bei Platon und Aristoteles*. Heidelberg.
- Krämer, H.J. 1964. *Der Ursprung der Geistmetaphysik: Untersuchungen zur Geschichte des Platonismus zwischen Platon und Plotin*. Amsterdam.
- Krämer, H. J. 1969. 'Epekeina tês ousías'. *Archiv für Geschichte der Philosophie* 51, 1-30.

- Kraus, M. 2018. 'Δοκεῖν, δόξα and εἰκός in the *Phaedo*'. In *Plato's Phaedo. Selected Papers from the Eleventh Symposium Platonicum*, edited by G. Cornelli, T. M. Robinson, F. Bravo, 268–72. Sankt Augustin.
- Künne, W. 2004. 'Die „Gigantomachie“ in Platons *Sophistes*: Versuch Einer Analytischen Rekonstruktion'. *Archiv für Geschichte der Philosophie* 86 (3): 307–21.
- Labarbe, J. 1949. *L'Homère de Platon*. Liège.
- Laks, A. 2000. 'Metaphysics Λ 7'. In *Aristotle's Metaphysics Lambda. Symposium Aristotelicum*, edited by M. Frede and D. Charles, 207–44. Oxford.
- Lane, M. 2021. 'Statecraft as a Ruling, Caring, and Weaving *dunamis*: 303d4–305e7'. In *Plato's Statesman: A Philosophical Discussion*, edited by P. Dimas, M. Lane, S. Sauvé Meyer, 195–216. Oxford.
- Langbein, W. 1911. *De Platonis ratione poetas laudandi*. Jena.
- Larsen, K. 2007. 'The Soul of Sophistry: Plato's *Sophist* 226a9–231b9 revisited'. *Filosofiske Studier* 102: 1–14.
- Larsen, J. K., V. V. Haraldsen, and J. Vlasits, eds. 2022. *New Perspectives on Platonic Dialectic: A Philosophy of Inquiry*. New York.
- Lefebvre, D. 2018. *Dynamis. Sens et genèse de la notion aristotélicienne de puissance*. Paris.
- Lafrance, Y. 2015. *La théorie platonicienne de la doxa*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Paris.
- Lee, E. N. 1972. 'Plato on Negation and Not-Being in the *Sophist*'. *The Philosophical Review* 81 (3): 267–304.
- Leigh, F. 2008. 'The Copula and Semantic Continuity in Plato's *Sophist*'. *Oxford Studies in Ancient Philosophy* 34, 105–21.
- Leigh, F. 2010. 'Being and Power in Plato's *Sophist*'. *Apeiron* 43: 63–85.
- Leigh, F. 2012. 'Restless Forms and Changeless Causes'. *Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society* 112: 239–261
- Lévystone, D. 2005. 'La figure d'Ulysse chez les Socratiques: Socrate *polutropos*'. *Phronesis* 50 (3): 181–214.
- Lloyd, A. C. 1987. 'Parhypostasis in Proclus'. In *Proclus et son influence. Actes du Colloque de Neuchâtel (1985)*, edited by G. Boss and G. Steel, 145–157. Zürich.
- Long, A. A. 2004. 'Parmenides on Thinking Being'. In *Frühgriechisches Denken*, edited by V. G. Rechenauer, 227–251. Göttingen.
- Long, A. A. 2015. 'Who Let the Dogs Out? Tracking the Philosophical Life Among the Wolves and Dogs of Plato's *Republic*'. In *Plato's Animals: Gadflies, Snakes, Stingrays, Swans, and Other Philosophical Beasts*, edited by Jeremy Bell and Michael Naas. Bloomington, IN.
- Long, A. A. 2020. 'What is the Principle of Movement, the Self-Moved (Plato) or the Unmoved (Aristotle)? The Exegetic Strategies of Hermias of Alexandria and Simplicius in Late Antiquity'. In *Studies in Hermias' Commentary on Plato's Phaedrus*, edited by J. F. Finamore, C.-P. Manolea, and S. K. Wear, 115–141. Leiden.
- Louis, P. 1945. *Les Métaphores de Platon*. Paris.
- Lucas, T. 2005. 'Later Mohist Logic, "Lei", Classes, and Sorts', *Journal of Chinese Philosophy*, 32-3: 349–365.

- Lucas, T. 2012. 'Why White Horses are not Horses and other Chinese Puzzles', *Logique et Analyse* 218: 185-203.
- Luz, M. 2015. 'Socrates, Alcibiades, and Antisthenes in PFlor 113'. In *From the Socratics to the Socratic Schools*, edited by U. Zilioli, 192-210. New York.
- Macé, A. 2006. *Platon, Philosophie de L'Agir et du Pâtir*. Sankt Augustin.
- Magee, J. 1998. *Anicii Manlii Severini Boethii De Divisione Liber*. Leiden.
- Mainoldi, C. 1984. *L'image du loup et du chien dans la Grèce ancienne. D'Homère à Platon*. Paris.
- Malcolm, J. 2006. 'Some cautionary remarks on the "is"/"teaches" analogy'. *Oxford Studies in Ancient Philosophy* 31: 281-296.
- Mann, J. E. 2012. *Hippocrates. On the Art of Medicine*. Leiden.
- Mansfeld, J. 1986. 'Aristotle, Plato and the Preplatonic Doxography and Chronography'. In *Storiografia e dossografia nella filosofia antica*, edited by G. Cambiano, 61-85. Torino.
- Mansfeld, J. 2016. 'Melissus in the ancient tradition, from Isocrates to Simplicius'. In *Eleatica 2012: Melissus between Miletus and Elea*, edited by M. Pulpito, 85-94. Sankt Augustin.
- Marcos, G. 1991. 'Aporías del no ser y aporías de lo falso en *Sofista* 237b-239c'. *Revista Latinoamericana de Filosofía* 27-3: 259-74.
- Marmodoro, A. 2021. *Forms and Structure in Plato's Metaphysics*. Oxford.
- Marsico, C. 2005. *Platón, Crátilo*. Introducción, traducción y notas. Buenos Aires.
- Marsico, C. 2007. *Polémicas y paradigmas en la invención de la gramática*. Córdoba.
- Marsico, C. 2014a. *Filósofos Socráticos: Testimonios y fragmentos II. Antístenes, Fedón, Esquines y Simón*. Buenos Aires.
- Marsico, C. 2014b. 'The methodologic dimension of antisthenic philosophy'. In *Antisthenica, Cynica, Socratica*, edited by V. Suvak, 226-245. Prague.
- Marsico, C. 2024. 'Preeminent in *phronesis*: Xenophon and Aristotle on the Intellectual Virtues'. In *Xenophon and Aristotle on the Virtues*, edited by G. Danzig *et al.*
- Mawford, K. E. 2020. *Changing Shapes and Fluid Forms: Shapeshifters in Greek Poetry*. PhD diss. Univ. of Manchester.
- McCabe, M. M. 2000. *Plato and his Predecessors*. Cambridge.
- McDowell, J. 1973. *Plato, Theaetetus*. Trans. with notes. Oxford.
- Meijer, P. Stork, P. 2017. *A New Perspective on Antisthenes*.
- Meinwald, C. 1991. *Plato's Parmenides*, Oxford.
- Meinwald, C. 1992. 'Good-bye to the Third Man'. In *The Cambridge Companion to Plato*, edited by R. Kraut, 365-96. Cambridge.
- Mesch, W. 2011. 'Die Bewegung des Seienden in Platons *Sophistes*'. In *Plato's Sophist: Proceedings of the Seventh Symposium Platonicum Pragense*, edited by A. Havlíček and F. Karfik, 96-119. Prague.
- Mesquita, P. 2018. 'La Koinōnía en Platón'. *Areté* 30 (2): 209-224.
- Mié, F. 2001. 'Identidad, definición y clases naturales en el método platónico de la división'. *Methexis* 14 (1): 87-99.



- Migliori, M. 1990. *Dialettica e Verità. Commentario filosofico al "Parmenide" di Platone*. Milano.
- Migliori M. 2007. *Plato's Sophist, Value and Limitation on Ontology*, Sankt Augustin.
- Migliori M. 2013. *Il disordine ordinato. La filosofia dialettica di Platone. 2 vols., I. Dialettica, metafisica e cosmologia; II. Dall'anima alla prassi etica e politica*. Brescia.
- Miller, D. 2004. 'Fast and Loose about Being: Criticism of Competing Ontologies in Plato's *Sophist*'. *Ancient Philosophy* 24 (2): 339–63.
- Miller, M. 2016. 'What the Dialectician Discerns: A New Reading of *Sophist* 253d-e'. *Ancient Philosophy* 36 (2): 321-52.
- Molas, J. M. and Villarroel, P. S. 2013. 'Plato's Enquiry Concerning the Sophist as a Way towards "Defining" Philosophy'. In *Plato's Theaetetus Revisited*, edited by B. Bossi and T. M. Robinson, 29-39. Berlin.
- Moore, H. 2015. 'Animal Sacrifice in Plato's Later Methodology'. In *Plato's Animals: Gadflies, Horses, Swans, and Other Philosophical Beasts*, edited by J. Bell and M. Naas, 179-192. Bloomington.
- Moravcsik, J. M. E. 1962. 'Being and Meaning in the *Sophist*'. *Acta Philosophica Fennica* 14: 23–78.
- Moravcsik, J. M. E. 1973. 'Plato's Method of Division'. In *Patterns in Plato's Thought*, edited by J. M. E. Moravcsik, 158-180. Boston.
- Moreschini, C. 1966, *Platonis Parmenides – Phaedrus*, Rome.
- Morgan, M. L. 1993. 'Philosophy in Plato's *Sophist*'. *Proceedings of the Boston Area Colloquium in Ancient Philosophy* 9: 83-111.
- Morrow, G. and J. Dillon. 1987. *Proclus' Commentary on Plato's Parmenides*. Princeton.
- Motta, A. 2014. *Prolegomeni alla filosofia di Platone*. Roma.
- Motta, A. 2018. *λόγους ποιεῖν. L'eredità platonica e il superamento dell'aporia dei dialoghi*. Napoli.
- Motta, A. & Petrucci, F.M. 2022. 'Introduction: Towards the Isagogical Crossroads'. In *Isagogical Crossroads from the Early Imperial Age to the End of Antiquity*, edited by A. Motta and F. M. Petrucci, 1–15. Leiden.
- Mou, B. 2009. 'On some methodological issues concerning Chinese philosophy: an introduction'. In *History of Chinese Philosophy*, edited by B. Mou, 1-39. London.
- Mouze, L. 2020. *Chasse à l'homme et faux-semblants dans le Sophiste de Platon*. Paris.
- Movia, G. 1991. *Apparenze essere e verità. Commentario storico-filosofico al 'Sofista' di Platone*. Milan.
- Müller, H. and Steinhardt K. 1852. *Platon's Samtliche Werke, Übersetzt von Hieronimus Müller mit Einleitungen Begleitet von Karl Steinhardt*. Vol. 3. Leipzig.
- Muniz F. and Rudebusch G. 2018. 'Dividing Plato's Kinds'. *Phronesis* 63: 392-407.
- Muniz F. and Rudebusch G. 2023. 'Genos and Eidos in Plato'. *Ancient Philosophy*. 43: 35-50
- Murgatroyd, P. 2007. *Mythical Monsters in Classical Literature*. London.
- Murphy, D. J. 2023. 'The Sophist's Puzzling *Epistēmē* in the *Sophist*', *Classical Quarterly* 73 (1): 53-65.
- Murray, A. T. 1924. *The Iliad with English Translation*. Cambridge, Mass.

- Nails, D. 1995. *Agora, Academy and the Conduct of Philosophy*. Boston.
- Narcy, M. 2013a. 'Pourquoi l'erreur?'. In *La mesure du savoir: Études sur le "Théétète" de Platon*, edited by D. El Murr, 95–128. Paris.
- Narcy, M. 2013b. 'Remarks on the First Five Definitions of the Sophist (*Soph.* 221c–235a)'. In *Plato's Sophist Revisited*, edited by B. Bossi and T. M. Robinson, 57–70. Berlin.
- Nehamas, A. 1982. 'Participation and Predication in Plato's Later Thought'. In: *Review of Metaphysics* 36: 343–74.
- Nehamas, A. 1999. 'Eristic, Antilogic, Sophistic, Dialectic: Plato's Demarcation of Philosophy from Sophistry', In Id., *Virtues of Authenticity. Essays on Plato and Socrates*, 108–122. Princeton.
- Notomi, N. 1999. *The Unity of Plato's Sophist: Between the Sophist and the Philosopher*. Cambridge.
- Notomi, N. 2007. 'Plato against Parmenides: *Sophist* 236D - 242B'. In *Reading Ancient Texts. Volume I: Presocratics and Plato. Essays in Honour of Denis O'Brien*, edited by S. Stern-Gillet and K. Corrigan, 167–187. Leiden.
- Notomi, N. 2011. 'Image-Making in *Republic* X and the *Sophist*'. In *Plato and the Poets*, edited by P. Destrée and F.-G. Herrmann, 299–326. Leiden.
- Notomi, N. 2017. 'Reconsidering the Relations between the Statesman, the Philosopher, and the Sophist'. In *Plato's Statesman: Dialectic, Myth, and Politics*, edited by J. Sallis, 183–195. Albany.
- Notomi, N. 2018. 'The Soul and Forms in Plato's *Phaedo*'. In *Plato's Phaedo: Selected Papers from the Eleventh Symposium Platonicum*, edited by G. Cornelli, T. M. Robinson and F. Bravo, 288–293. Sankt Augustin.
- Notomi, N. 2019. 'Imagination for Philosophical Exercise in Plato's *Republic*: The Story of Gyges' Ring and the Simile of the Sun'. In *Psychology and Ontology in Plato*, edited by L. Pitteloud and E. Keeling, 1–13. Cham.
- Notomi, N. 2022. 'Homonymy and Similarity in Plato's *Parmenides*'. In *Plato's Parmenides: Selected Papers of the Twelfth Symposium Platonicum*, edited by L. Brisson, A. Macé, and O. Renaut, 211–219. Sankt Augustin.
- Nussbaum M., ed. 1986. *Logic, Science and Dialectic: Collected Papers in Greek Philosophy*. Itacha, N.Y.
- Oberhammer, A. A. 2016. *Buchstaben als 'Paradeigma' in Platons Spätdialogen: Dialektik und Modell im "Theaitetos", "Sophistes", "Politikos" und "Philebos"*. Berlin.
- O'Brien, D. 1995. *Le non-être. Deux études sur le Sophiste de Platon*. Sankt Augustin.
- O'Brien, D. 2000. 'Parmenides and Plato on what is not'. In *The Winged Chariot. Collected Essays on Plato and Platonism in Honour of L.M. de Rijk*, edited by M. Kardaun and J. Spruyt, 19–104. Leiden.
- O'Brien, D. 2005. 'La forma del non essere nel Sofista di Platone'. In *Eidos - Idea. Platone, Aristotele e la tradizione platonica*, edited by W. Leszl and F. Fronterotta, 115–59. Sankt Augustin.
- O'Brien, D. 2007. 'L'hypothèse de Parménide (Platon, *Parménide* 137 a7–b 4)'. *Revue des Études Grecques* 120 (2): 414–480.

- O'Brien, D. 2011. 'The Stranger's Farewell (258E6-259A1)'. In *Plato's Sophist*, edited by A. Havlíček and F. Karfik, 199-220. Prague.
- O'Brien, D. 2013a. 'Forms and Concepts'. In *Plato, Poet and Philosopher*, edited by E. Moutsopoulos and M. Protopapas-Marneli, 193-244. Athens.
- O'Brien, D. 2013b. 'A Form that 'is' of what 'is not'. Existential *einai* in Plato's Sophist'. In *The Platonic Art of Philosophy*, edited by G. Boys-Stones, D. El-Murr and C. Gill, 221-248. Cambridge.
- O'Brien, D. 2013c. 'Does Plato Refute Parmenides?' In *Plato's Sophist Revisited*, edited by B. Bossi and T. M. Robinson, 117-155. Berlin.
- O'Brien, D. 2017. 'Plotinus on Evil: Proclus and the Author of the Divine Names'. In *Defining Platonism, Essays in honor of the 75th birthday of John M. Dillon*, edited by J. F. Finamore and S. K. Wear, 130-161. Steubenville, Ohio.
- O'Meara, D. J. 2005. 'The Metaphysics of Evil in Plotinus: Problems and Solutions'. In *Agonistes. Essays in Honour of Denis O'Brien*, edited by J. Dillon and M. Dixsaut, 179-85. London.
- Opsomer, J., Steel, C. 2014. *Proclus. On the Existence of Evils, translation*. London, New York.
- Opsomer, J. 2020. 'The Platonic Soul, from the Early Academy to the First Century CE'. In *Body and Soul in Hellenistic Philosophy*, edited by B. Inwood, and J. Warren, 171-98. Cambridge.
- Oscanyan, F. S. 1972. 'On six definitions of the sophist: *Soph.* 221c- 231e'. *The Philosophical Forum* 4: 241-58.
- Owen, G. E. L. 1960. 'Eleatic Questions'. *The Classical Quarterly* 10: 84-102.
- Owen, G. E. L. 1966. 'Plato and Parmenides on the Timeless Present'. *Monist* 50 (3): 317-40.
- Owen, G. E. L. 1971. 'Plato on Not-Being'. In *Plato 1: Metaphysics and Epistemology*, edited by Gregory Vlastos, 223-67. New York. Reprinted 1971. Garden City, N.Y.
- Owen, G. E. L. 1986. 'Dialectic and Eristic in the treatment of Forms'. In *Logic, Science and Dialectic*, edited by M. Nussbaum. Itacha, N.Y.
- Palumbo, L. 2021. 'Mimêsis teorizzata e mimêsis realizzata nel Sofista platonico'. In *Platonic Mimesis Revisited*, edited by J. Pfefferkorn and A. Spinelli, 193-209. Sankt Augustin.
- Palmer, J. A. 1999. *Plato's Reception of Parmenides*. Oxford.
- Palmer, J. A. 2009. *Parmenides and Presocratic Philosophy*. Oxford.
- Paparella, F. 2014. *Proclo. Tria Opuscola. Provvidenza, Libertà, Male, traduzione*. Milano.
- Parry, R. D. 1996. *Plato's Craft of Justice*. Albany.
- Parry, R. D. 2021. 'Episteme and Techne'. *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy* (Winter 2021 Edition), Edward N. Zalta (ed.), URL = <<https://plato.stanford.edu/archives/win2021/entries/episteme-techne/>>.
- Patterson, R. 1985. *Image and Reality in Plato's Metaphysics*, Indianapolis.
- Patterson, R. 2023. 'εἰκων'. *The Bloomsbury Handbook of Plato*, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., edited by G. Press and M. Duque. London.

- Pelletier, F. J. and Zalta, E. N. 2000. 'How to Say Goodbye to the Third Man', *Nous* 34 (2): 165–202.
- Petraki, Z. 2022. 'Alcibiades' epiphanic experience in Plato's *Symposium*'. *Illinois Classical Studies* 47: 45–73.
- Petridou, G. 2015. *Divine Epiphany in Greek Literature & Culture*. Oxford.
- Pfefferkorn, J. & Spinelli, A., eds. 2021. *Platonic Mimesis Revisited*. Sankt Augustin.
- Pippin, R. B. 1979. 'Negation and not-being in Wittgenstein's *Tractatus* and Plato's *Sophist*'. *Kant-Studien* 70-1-4: 179–196.
- Polansky, R. M. 1992. *Philosophy and Knowledge: A Commentary on Plato's Theaetetus*. Lewisburg, Penn.
- Politis, V. 2006a. 'Aporia and Searching in the Early Plato'. In *Remembering Socrates*, edited by L. Judson and V. Karasmanis, 88–109. Oxford.
- Politis, V. 2006b. 'The Argument for the Reality of Change and Changelessness in Plato's *Sophist* (248e7–249d5)'. In *New Essays on Plato*, edited by F. G. Herrmann, 149–75. Swansea.
- Praechter, K. 1910. 'Richtungen und Schulen in Neuplatonismus'. In *Genethliakon Carl Robert*, edited by G. Halensis, 105–56. Berlin.
- Prince, S. 2015. *Antisthenes of Athens: Text, Translations, and Commentary*. Ann Arbor.
- Priou, A. 2013. 'The Philosopher in Plato's *Sophist*'. *Hermathena* 195: 5–30.
- Priou, A. 2023. *Defending Socrates: Political Philosophy Before the Tribunal of Science*. Macon.
- Queneau, R. 1933. *Le Chiendent*. Paris.
- Quine, W.V. 1969. *Ontological Relativity and Other Essays*. New York.
- Remes, P. 2021. 'The *Prooimion* and the *Skopos*. Proclus' *Commentary of the Alcibiades I*'. In *Framing the Dialogues: How to Read Openings and Closures in Plato*, edited by E. Kaklamanou, M. Pavlou, A. Tsakmakis, 263–79. Leiden.
- Renaud, F. 2021. 'Homère interlocuteur ? La citation dialectique chez Platon'. In *Platon citeur: un exemple de réappropriation par la philosophie des discours de savoir antérieurs*, edited by M.-L. Desclos, 79–101. Paris.
- Renaud, F. 2022. *La Justice du dialogue et ses limites : Étude du Gorgias de Platon*. Paris.
- Rickless, S. C. 2017. *Plato's Forms in Transition. A Reading of the Parmenides*. New York.
- Roberts, J. 1986. 'The Problem about Being in the *Sophist*'. *History of Philosophy Quarterly* 3: 229–243.
- Robinson, D. B. 1999. 'Textual Notes on Plato's *Sophist*'. *Classical Quarterly* 49 (1): 139–60.
- Robinson, D. B. 2001. 'The Phantom of the *Sophist*: τὸ οὐκ ὄντως οὐκ ὄν (240a-c)'. *Classical Quarterly* 51: 435–57.
- Robinson, R. 1941. *Plato's Earlier Dialectic*. Ithaca, N.Y. 2nd edition. 1953. Oxford.
- Robinson, R. 1942a. 'Plato's Consciousness of Fallacy'. *Mind* 51: 97–114.
- Robinson, R. 1942b. 'Plato's *Parmenides* II'. *Classical Philology* 37: 159–86.
- Robinson, T. M. 2013. 'Protagoras and the Definition of "Sophist"'. In *Plato's Sophist Revisited*, edited by B. Bossi and T. M. Robinson, 3–13. Berlin.

- Rochol, H. 1971. 'The Dialogue *Parmenides*: An Insoluble Enigma in Platonism'. *International Philosophical Quarterly* 11: 496–520.
- Rosen, S. 1983. *Plato's Sophist: The Drama of Original and Image*. New Haven.
- Ross, D. 1951. *Plato's Theory of Ideas*. Oxford.
- Rowe, C. 1995. *Plato, Statesman, with translation and commentary*. Warminster.
- Rowe, C. 2005. *Plato, Phaedrus, translated with an introduction and notes*. London.
- Rowe, C. 2013. "La fin du Théétète." In *La Mesure du savoir, Études sur le Théétète de Platon*, edited by D. El Murr, 173–187. Paris.
- Rowe, C. 2015<sup>1</sup>. *Plato: Theaetetus and Sophist*. Cambridge.
- Rowe, C. 2015<sup>2</sup>. 'Plato, Socrates, and the *genai gennaia sophistikê* of *Sophist* 231b'. In *Second Sailing: Alternative Perspectives on Plato*, edited by D. Nails and H. Tarrant, 149–67. Helsinki.
- Russell, B. 1903. *Principles of Mathematics*. Cambridge.
- Russell, B. 1919. *Introduction to Mathematical Philosophy*. London.
- Russell, B. 1945. *A History of Western Philosophy; And Its Connection with Political and Social Circumstances from the Earliest Times to the Present Day*. New York.
- Ryle, G. 1939. 'Plato's "Parmenides" (II.)'. *Mind* 48: 302–25.
- Ryle, G. 1966. *Plato's Progress*. Cambridge.
- Sabrier, P. 2016. *The Role of Kinêsis and Stasis in Plato's Sophist: An Inquiry into the Two Forgotten Megista Gene of the Sophist*. PhD Dissertation.
- Sabrier, P. 2019. 'Parts, Forms, and Participation in the *Parmenides* and *Sophist*: A Comparison'. *EPlaton* 15
- Santas, G. 1980. The Form of the Good in Plato's Republic. *Philosophical Inquiry* 2 (1).
- Sassi, M. M. 2020. 'The indiscreet charm of brightness: from early Greek thought to Plato'. In *Colour Psychology in the Graeco-Roman World*, edited by K. Ierodiakonou. *Entretiens sur l'antiquité Classique* 66. Vandoeuvres.
- Sayre, K. M. 1969. *Plato's Analytic Method*. Chicago.
- Sayre, K. M. 1983. *Plato's Late Ontology: A Riddle Resolved*. Princeton.
- Schleiermacher, F. 1824. *Platons Werke*. 2nd ed. Vol. 2. Berlin.
- Schnapp, A. 1984. 'Éros en chasse'. In *La Cité des images : religion et société en Grèce antique*, edited by Institut d'archéologie et d'histoire ancienne (Lausanne) and Centre Louis Gernet (Paris), 67–83. Lausanne.
- Schnapp, A. 1997. *Le chasseur et la cité. Chasse et érotique dans la Grèce ancienne*. Paris.
- Schofield, M. 1974. 'Plato on Unity and Sameness'. *Classical Quarterly* 24: 33–45.
- Schofield, M. 1996. 'Likeness and Likenesses in the *Parmenides*', In *Form and Argument in Late Plato*, edited by C. Gill and M. M. McCabe, 49–77. Oxford.
- Schwabe, W. 2001. 'Der Geistcharakter des "überhimmschlichen Raumes"'. In *Platonisches Philosophieren. Zehn Vorträge zu Ehren von Hans Joachim Krämer*, edited by T. A. Szlezák, 181–331. Hildesheim.
- Scolnicov, S. 2003. *Plato's Parmenides*. Berkeley.
- Scott, D., 1995, *Recollection and Experience*. Cambridge.
- Sedley, D. 1998. 'Platonic Causes'. *Phronesis* 43: 114–132.

## References

- Sedley, D. 2006. 'Plato on Language'. In *A Companion to Plato*, edited by H. Benson, 214-27. Oxford.
- Sedley, D. 2021a. 'Xenocrates' Invention of Platonism'. In *Authority and Authoritative Texts in the Platonist Tradition*, edited by M. Erler, J. E. Heßler, and F. M. Petrucci, 12-37. Cambridge.
- Sedley, D. 2021b. 'An Iconography of Xenocrates' Platonism'. In *Authority and Authoritative Texts in the Platonist Tradition*, edited by M. Erler, J. E. Heßler, F. M. Petrucci, 38-63. Cambridge.
- Seeck, G. A. 2011. *Platons Sophistes. Ein kritischer Kommentar*. München.
- Shorey, P. 1891. 'On Parmenides 162 A. B.'. *American Journal of Philology* 12: 349-53.
- Shorey, P. 1895. *The Idea of Good in Plato's Republic*. Chicago.
- Shorey, P. 1900. 'Review of: A. Patin, *Parmenides im Kampf gegen Heraklit*, Leipzig 1899'. *American Journal of Philology* 1: 200-216.
- Shorey, P. 1930. 'Plato Sophist 255C and τὸ δισσοῦν'. *Classical Philology* 25: 80.
- Sidgwick, H. 1872. 'The Sophists'. *Journal of Philology* 4: 228-307.
- Sidgwick, H. 1873. 'The Sophists'. *Journal of Philology* 5: 66-68.
- Skemp, J. B. 1963. 'Sophist'. In *The Collected Dialogues of Plato*, edited by E. Hamilton and H. Cairns. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Skemp, J. B. 1967. *The Theory of Motion in Plato's Later Dialogues*. Amsterdam.
- Smyth, H.W. 1956, *Greek Grammar*, rev. by G.M. Messing. 1984. Cambridge, Mass.
- Speliotis, E. 2013. 'Sophist and Philosopher in Plato's *Sophist*'. In *Socratic Philosophy and its Others*, edited by C. Dunn and D. Schaeffer, 197-215. Lanham, Md.
- Stallbaum, G. 1839. *Platonis Parmenides*, Leipzig.
- Stallbaum, G. 1840. *Platonis Opera Omnia Vol. Viii, Sect. Ii Continens Sophistam*. Vol. viii, sect. ii. Gotha.
- Starobinski, J. 2000. 'Jalons pour une histoire du concept d'imagination'. In *L'Œil Vivant II: La Relation critique*. Paris.
- Steel, C. 1998. 'Proclus. On the Existence of Evil'. *Proceedings of the Boston Area Colloquium in Ancient Philosophy* 14: 83-102.
- Stenzel, J. 1931. *Studien zur Entwicklung der platonischen Dialektik von Sokrates zu Aristoteles*. Leipzig.
- Stenzel, J. 1940. *Plato's Method of Dialectic*, translated and edited by D. J. Allan. Oxford.
- Stewart, M. A. and R. K. Sprague. 1977. 'Plato's Sophistry'. *Aristotelian Society Supplementary Volume* 51: 21-62.
- Stover, J. 2016. *A New Work by Apuleius: The Lost Third Book of the De Platone*. Oxford.
- Strobel, B. 2014. *Proklos. Tria opuscula, Textkritisch kommentierte Retroversion der Übersetzung Wilhelms von Meorbeke*. Berlin.
- Strobel, B. 2021. 'Bild und falsche Meinung in Platons *Sophistes*'. In *Platonic Mimesis Revisited*, edited by J. Pfefferkorn and A. Spinelli, 249-273. Sankt Augustin.
- Suter, R., L. Indraccolo, and W. Behr., eds. 2020. *The Gongsun Longzi and Other Neglected Texts. Aligning Philosophical and Philological Perspectives*. Berlin.
- Syropoulos, S. 2018. *A Bestiary of Monsters in Greek Mythology*. Oxford.

- Szaif, J. 1996. *Platons Begriff der Wahrheit*. Freiburg.
- Szaif, J. 2000. 'Platon über Wahrheit und Kohärenz'. *Archiv für Geschichte der Philosophie* 82: 119-148.
- Szaif, J. 2018. 'Socrates and Benefits of Puzzlement'. In *The Aporetic Tradition in Ancient Philosophy*, ed. by G. Karamanolis and V. Politis, 29-47. Cambridge.
- Szaif, J. 2022. 'Aporetic Discourse and Protreptic in Plato's *Lysis*'. *Revista Archai* 32, supplementum: *Studies on Plato's Lysis*. <https://impactumjournals.uc.pt/archai/issue/view/754>
- Szlezák, T. A. 1989. *Platon und die Schriftlichkeit der Philosophie*. Berlin.
- Szlezák, T. A. 2004. *Das Bild des Dialektikers in Platons späten Dialogen*. Berlin/New York: De Gruyter.
- Szlezak, T. A. 2011. 'Die Aufgabe des Gastes aus Elea: Zur Bedeutung der Eingangsszene des *Sophistes* (216a-218a)'. In *Plato's Sophist: Proceedings of the Seventh Symposium Platonicum Pragense*, edited by A. Havlíček and F. Karfík, 11-34. Prague.
- Szlezák, T. A. 2019 'The Indefinite Dyad in Sextus Empiricus's Report and Plato's *Parmenides*'. In: *Aufsätze zur griechischen Literatur und Philosophie*, 601-614. Baden Baden..
- Szlezák, T. A. 2021. *Platon: Meisterdenker der Antike*. Munich.
- Tarrant, H. 2020. 'Answering early critics of the *Phaedrus*' styles and strategies'. In *Studies in Hermias' Commentary on Plato's Phaedrus*, edited by J. Finamore, C. Manolea and S. Wear, 187-201. Leiden.
- Tarrant, H. and Baltzly, D. 2018. 'Hermias and the Interpretation of the *Phaedrus*'. In *Brill's Companion to the Reception of Plato in Antiquity*, edited by H. Tarrant, D.A. Layne, D. Baltzly, and F. Renaud, 486-497. Leiden.
- Tarrant, H. (forthcoming). 'Hermias and Alexandrian Platonists on early *Phaedrus*-Reception'. In *Plato's Phaedrus: Eros, Philosophy, and the Mysteries*, edited by M. Bizoń and A. Serafin. Leiden.
- Taylor, A. E. 1926. *Plato: The Man and His Work*. London.
- Taylor, A. E. 1928. *A Commentary on Plato's Timaeus*. Oxford.
- Taylor, A. E. 1949. *Plato. The Man and his Work*. London.
- Taylor, C. C. W. 2006. 'Socrates the Sophist'. In *Remembering Socrates*, edited by R. L. Judson and V. Karasmanis, 157-168. Oxford.
- Teisserenc, F. 2007. 'Consonnes et voyelles : les fonctions de l'Être et de l'Autre dans *Le Sophiste* de Platon (251a-259e)'. *Dialogue* 46: 231-64.
- Thesleff, H. 1967. *Studies in the Styles of Plato*. Helsinki.
- Thesleff, H. 1982. *Studies in Platonic Chronology*. Helsinki.
- Tomin, J. 1988. 'Dating of the *Phaedrus* and interpretation of Plato'. *Antichthon* 22: 26-41.
- Trabattoni, F. 1998. '*Parmenide*, I, 31-32'. *Hyperboreus. Studia Classica* 4: 5-20.
- Trevaskis, J. R. 1955. 'The Sophistry of Noble Lineage (Plato, *Sophist* 230a5-232b9)'. *Phronesis* 1: 36-49.
- Trevaskis, J. R. 1966. 'The μέγιστα γένη and the vowel analogy of Plato, *Sophist* 253'. *Phronesis* 11: 99-116.

- Tuozzo, Thomas M. 2021. "Rethinking Deduction Five of Plato's Parmenides (160b5-163b6)." *PLATO JOURNAL* 22: 69-77
- Untersteiner, M. 1947-1948. 'Studi sulla sofistica. *Le Antilogie di Protagora*'. *Antiquitas* 2 (3) (1947-1948), 34-44 (= *Scritti minori*. I, 388-402. Brescia).
- Usacheva, A. 2012. 'Concerning the date of Plato's *Phaedrus*'. *Hermathena* 189 (1): 53-70.
- Van Eck, J. 1995. 'Falsity without Negative Predication: On *Sophistes* 255e-263d'. *Phronesis* 40 (1): 20-47.
- Van Eck, J. 2000. 'Plato's Logical Insights: On *Sophist* 254d-257a'. *Ancient Philosophy* 20: 53-79.
- Van Eck, J. 2002. 'Not-Being and Difference: On Plato's *Sophist*, 256d5-258e3'. *Oxford Studies in Ancient Philosophy* 23: 68-84.
- Van Eck, J. 2009. 'Moving Like a Stream: Protagoras' Heracliteanism in Plato's *Theaetetus*'. *Oxford Studies in Ancient Philosophy* 36: 199-248.
- Van Norden, B. W. 2011. *Introduction to Classical Chinese Philosophy*. Indianapolis.
- Vázquez, D. 2018. 'Argumentation and Reflection in Plato's Gigantomachia (*Sophist* 245e6-249d5)'. *Archiv für Geschichte der Philosophie* 100 (3): 241-85.
- Vegetti, M. 2003. Megiston mathema. In *Pl. La Repubblica. Vol. V*. Napoli.
- Vimercati, E. 2016. 'Che senso ha dialogare in Filosofia? Note a margine del metodo socratico e platonico'. In *Discernere e scegliere nella Chiesa. Atti della Giornata Canonistica Interdisciplinare*, edited by P. Gherri, 323-36. Città del Vaticano.
- Vlasits, J. 2021. 'The Puzzle of the *Sophist*'. *Archiv für Geschichte der Philosophie* 105 (3): 359-387.
- Vlastos, G. 1954 'The Third Man Argument in the *Parmenides*'. *Philosophical Review* 63: 319-349.
- Vlastos, G. 1969. 'Plato's "Third Man"-Argument (*Prm.* 132a1-b2): Text and Logic'. *Philosophical Quarterly* 19: 289-301.
- Vlastos G., ed. 1971. *Plato: A Collection of Critical Essays*, 2 vols., Garden City, N.Y.
- Vlastos, G. 1981a. 'The "Two-Level Paradoxes" in Aristotle'. In *Platonic Studies*, 323-34. Princeton.
- Vlastos, G. 1981b. 'An Ambiguity in the *Sophist*'. In *Platonic Studies*, 270-322. Princeton.
- Vlastos, G. 1981c. 'Reason and Causes in the *Phaedo*'. In *Platonic Studies*, 76-110. Princeton.
- Vlastos, G. 1991. *Socrates: Ironist and Moral Philosopher*. Ithaca.
- Vlastos, G. 1994. *Socratic Studies*. Cambridge.
- Vogel, de. C. J. 1953. 'Platon a-t-il ou n'a-t-il pas introduit le mouvement dans son monde intelligible?' In *Actes du XIème Congrès International de Philosophie, Bruxelles, 20-26 Août 1953*, Amsterdam/Louvain, edited by E. Nauwelaerts, 61-67. Repr. in de Vogel. 1970. *Philosophia, Part I, Studies in Greek Philosophy*, 176-182. Assen.
- Von Fritz, K. 1971. 'The Philosophical Passage in the Seventh Platonic Letter and the Problem of Plato's "Esoteric" Philosophy'. In *Essays in Ancient Greek Philosophy*, edited by J. Anton and G. Kustas, 408-47. Albany.
- Von Kutschera, F. 1995. *Platons Parmenides*. Berlin.



- Wagner, F. W. 1856. *Platons Werke. Griechisch und Deutsch, Mit Kritischen und Erklärenden Anmerkungen. Zweiundzwanzigster Theil, Der Sophist*. Vol. 22. Leipzig.
- Wagner, F. W. 1857. 'Zu Platon'. *Rheinisches Museum für Philologie* 11: 316–20.
- Weiss, R. 2018. 'Pity or Pardon: Plato, Xenophon, and Aristotle on the Appropriate Response to Intentional Wrongdoing'. In *Plato and Xenophon: Comparative Studies*, edited by G. Danzig, D. Johnson and D. Morrison, 277–317. Leiden.
- White, N. P. 1993. *Plato. Sophist*. Indianapolis.
- Wiehl, R. and Apelt O., trans. 1985. *Der Sophist*. Philosophische Bibliothek 265. Hamburg.
- Wiggins, D. 1971. 'Sentence Meaning, Negation, and Plato's Problem of Non-Being'. In *Plato. A Collection of Critical Essays*. I, *Metaphysics and Epistemology*, edited by G. Vlastos, 268–303. Garden City, N.Y.
- Wiitala, M. 2018. 'The Argument against the Friends of the Forms Revisited: *Sophist* 248a4–249d5'. *Apeiron* 51 (2): 171–200.
- Wilamowitz-Moellendorf, U. v. 1919. *Platon, II, Beilagen und Textkritik*. Berlin.
- Wittgenstein, L. 1922. *Tractatus Logico-Philosophicus*. Translated by C. K. Ogden. London.
- Wittgenstein, L. 1961. *Notebooks, 1914–1916*. New York.
- Wolff, F. 1991. 'Le chasseur chassé. Les définitions du sophiste'. In *Études sur le Sophiste*, edited by P. Aubenque, 19–52. Naples.
- Zaks, N. 2018. 'Socratic Elenchus in the *Sophist*'. *Apeiron* 51: 271–390.
- Zaks, N. 2023. *Apparences et dialectique. Un commentaire du Sophiste de Platon*. Leiden.
- Zeller, E. 1889. *Die Philosophie der Griechen in ihrer geschichtlichen Entwicklung dargestellt. Sokrates und die Sokratiker. Plato und die alte Akademie*. 4th ed. Vol. II, 1. Leipzig.
- Zuckert, K. 2000. 'Who's a Philosopher? Who's a Sophist? The Stranger vs. Socrates'. *Review of Metaphysics* 54: 65–97.
- Zucchetti, N. 2020. 'An unexplained overlap between *Sophist* 232b1–236d4 and *Republic* X. The case of the sophist as a painter'. *Revista Archai* 30: 1–27.

