(Between existence and nothingness)

Previously, in an article titled (Nothing and Nothingness), we discussed the difference between the two and explained that nothing is the explanation of physical absence and the most basic level of being. Nothing is a void in which all existence occurs and the possibility of manifestation is hidden in it. But nothingness is not explainable and ideatable, and in the closest possible description, things like this occur; I don't know what is not, and nothing cannot be said in explaining nothingness. And we only use

words to understand as much as possible. Although we know that it is technically impossible to talk about it.

In this article, we intend to see if the emergence of basic existence, or nothingness, from nothingness has occurred. So a contact boundary between existence and nothingness finds an existential necessity. Which we are trying to understand.
This boundary between being and nothingness must have the characteristics of being composed of its before and after to the extent that it is compatible with both sides. That is, it must not be so much, that

is, it must be accepted as being, that it cannot be placed on the boundary of nothingness and be in conflict with it. Nor must it be so much included as not being that it is unstable on the side of being, cannot last, and in fact cannot be. So it needs a balance between being and nothingness that can be maintained in a state of flux between the two.

On the one hand, such an intermediate structure will be formed very strangely due to the contradiction of its two sides. Because the two sides of this structure have opposing aspects, which cannot be present and total in

one thing at the same time. And this contradiction is not one of the contradictions existing in existence, such as black and white at the same time or dark and light at the same time. Rather, it is the most fundamental contradiction possible. It is not a contradiction between two things. It is a contradiction of something and nothing (non-thing). Whenever we talk about something, the essence of being a thing is essentially the negation of having the aspect of nothingness. It is nothingness, it is nothingness. So how can existence be compatible with that, and remain established in it?!

No structure, even the most subtle levels of being and the least dense limits of existence, has existence and being. And they are incompatible with nothingness.
On the one hand, recognizing such a boundary is not simple for us who are present in existence. We are, and we exist. And we are established in existence. So, like all accepted existences, we have no way to recognize nothingness. And ultimately, we recognize this boundary only from its being side and we look for such a boundary in its being side.
With a searching look, we realize that whatever is seen or recognized

in any way is and exists completely. But perhaps a structure consisting of simultaneous existence and nothingness has been overlooked before our eyes.

This intermediate structure is the aperture.

Consider an opening or a hole in the wall. The opening is the boundary between the parts of the wall. But there is no part of the wall at the location of the opening. What is the hole itself? The absence of the wall. We cannot say: the opening exists. Because the opening is nothing and the absence of something has become an opening. On the other hand, we cannot say: there is no

opening. Because if there were no opening, the wall would be continuous. While there is a hole in the wall anyway.
Something that is not is its essence. It is seen as non-being restrained by existence. But it is not inherently. With such a structure, the opening finds the possibility of being located between existence and non-being. Of course, it must be said: the boundary between existence and non-being is not like a hole in the wall. Because on both sides of a hole in the wall, existence is present. And only in the middle of it is non-being. However, we can imagine a structure of an opening

that is non-being but is ontologically identified because of its surrounding by existence on the side of existence. And on the side of nothingness, there is nothingness. And it is impossible to identify it. Surrounding with existence without being.
Between existence and nothingness, there is a structural gap that can remain.

Hamed hosseini