S disclosu

Thomas Strong on instrumental reason and the Artificial Heart Experiment Dianne Rothleder on the social contract for Hobbes and Irigaray.

Bryan Crable on 'Method' as the embodiment of reason the embodiment of reason the embodiment of reason the instrumental expertise.

Hubert Dreyfus on the nonreflective trationality of ethical expertise.

Timothyl Mitchell on 'the Economy,'

erman on cultural theor

stOrientalist researc

by Chris Huestis, Paula Aguilera

lains, Christine James, Arnold Farr

Reconceptualizing Masculinity

Department of Philosophy, University of South Carolina Review Essay by Christine James

University of Michigan Press, 1994 The Male Body: features, destinies, exposures Laurence Goldstein, ed.

Oxford University Press, 1987 Beyond Patriarchy: essays by men on pleasure, power, and change Michael Kaufman, ed.

Rowman Littlefield Publishers, Inc., 1992 Larry May and Robert Strikwerda, eds. Rethinking Masculinity: philosophical explorations in light of feminism

Routledge, 1990 Engendering Men: the question of male feminist criticism Joseph A. Boone and Michael Cadden, eds.

corporated into the form-matter distinction that was central to Greek thought. Arciation of maleness with a clear, determinate mode of thought, i.e. reason, was inour current historical context. One example is our conception of reason: the assoflects the historical and cultural context in which it is written-a context of binary, cmy. A common characteristic of the recent literature on masculinity is that it rereason" has had on the history of philosophy, on consciousness, and on the acadtorical context.3 guably, we can trace the influence of these hierarchical dualisms into our own histheir roots in Pythagorean philosophy and can be traced through the Renaissance to isms, such as Man-Woman, masculine-feminine, and reason-emotion, arguably find hierarchical dualisms which involve certain symbolic associations. These dualmasculinity, describing the effect that the distinctive masculinity of the "man of Recent feminist and postmodern thought has critiqued traditional conceptions of

> open dialogue, a sharing of feminine and masculine insights that can escape the issue of Otherness as well as hierarchical dualisms. of hierarchical dichotomics, and the best of these reconceptualizations call for an recenceptualizations are those which address and attempt to overcome the constraint archical dualisms within which they occur. I will argue that the most promising these reconceptualizations successfully avoid the problems associated with the hierhierarchical dualisms. After this classification, one can determine whether or not dualisms, and those which do pay attention to and try to overcome the problems of alizations are prominent in the literature, those which do not consider hierarchicha tualizations of masculinity currently under debate. Two major types of reconceptuliterature on masculinity will attempt to clarify and classify some of the reconceptraditionally feminine virtues into a new masculinity. This review of the current low men to be nurturers, to "get back in touch with their feelings"; to incorporate ceptualize the hierarchical dualism in such a way that neither side is privileged. These attempts to reconceptualize masculinity frequently involve an attempt to aldespite redefinition. Theorists hope that through this redefinition, one can reconfemininity: a rewriting of masculinity that can avoid the problem of status remaining masculinity often attempts to formulate an alternative to rewriting or revaluing undervalued while leaving it in the same devalued position. The current literature on we cannot correct its devalued status. Instead, one can only redefine that which is vasive that if we rewrite or redefine the inferior, deprivileged side of that dualism, dualisms suggests that the hierarchical dualism of "Man" and "Woman" is so perline side of the Man-Woman dichotomy than the feminine. The prevalence of these It is these dualisms that have traditionally ascribed a higher status to the mascu-

Understanding masculinity without regard to hierarchical dichotomies

poles between which much of the current literature on masculinity can be placed. represented by John Stoltenberg, the other by Robert Bly. These can serve as two outlining two of the more extreme reconceptualizations of masculinity—the one end May and Strikwerda introduce their book Rethinking Masculinity (1992) by

explicitly analyze the nature of 'androgyny' itself. I would also argue that, because what that 'something different from the traditional roles' precisely is, and does not seem to be thrown into" (p. xiii). Unfortunately, Stoltenberg is not explicit about the 'idea' that men can choose something different from the traditional roles they androgyny (p. xiii). It is to be noted that "what is positive in Stoltenberg's book is gender roles, the very categories of masculine and feminine must be replaced by Stoltenberg argues that because men have forced women to occupy subordinate

the standards by which some individuals achieve a higher "symbolic status" than of the pervasiveness of the hierarchical dualisms discussed earlier, even if individuals can become androgynous, other characteristics besides gender will then become

tenberg's work is their conceptualization of Man and Woman in terms of who is to relationship between men and women. Another troubling aspect of Bly and Stolhe perceives between men and women. Arguably, the notion of the Wild Man exemplar of the way in which men should reform their lives. Like Stoltenberg, Bly mad dancers, sierce sanged men." Bly holds up the myth of the Wild Man as an blame for the current situation of gender roles—a focus I find counterproductive. merely reinforces clichés about "real masculinity" instead of trying to foster a new never challenges the hierarchical dualisms that are so integrally linked to the tension must lead the way back to a tradition in which "the divine ... was associated with young men, feel weak, emasculated, and unsure of themselves; and that older men women, primarily since feminism, have created a situation in which men, especially An alternative view on masculinity is presented by Robert Bly. Bly claims that

masculinity and femininity falls short when we find that women are not yet part of 299). Unfortunately, this possibility for challenging the hierarchical associations of who have formed a "community of caring" which shuns proofs of masculinity (p. around centers of repose" (p. 9). The most promising revisionings of masculinity in ideal male body is taut with lines of potential force...the ideal female body curves body is a delivery system, as the female is a mazy device for retention" (p. 8); "the activity, femaleness with passivity: "from the standpoint of reproduction, the male this "caring" community; as Bordo notes, "many (of the men) are anguished by their "Reading the male body," for example, discusses the men of the Valois cafeteria, the collection come from the theorectical pieces. Susan Bordo's contribution, dike's piece, "The Disposable Rocket," depends on the alignment of maleness with inability to meet women who share their ideas and values" (p. 299) hierarchical dichotomies, instead repeating their problems. For example John Upthey provide on men's embodied experience, in many ways they fail to challenge personal narratives found in The Male Body are important because of the insights and personal prose and poetry reflecting on male embodied experience. While the it includes many personal narratives. There is a balance between scholarly pieces Quarterly Review, differs from most of the current collections on masculinity in that destinies, exposures (1994). This text, adapted from a special issue of the Michigan in selections from Laurence Goldstein's edited collection The Male Body: features. The extremes of Bly and Stoltenberg's views on masculinity can also be found

> social, political, economic-and sexual" (p.101); but we are left to ask how such revisioning them. As the conclusion notes, "the goal is liberation and integration: archical dichotomies, it provides very little in terms of how to go about escaping or structure of...psychic reality" (p. 91).. While this article gives attention to these hierliberation and integration can be initiated. well as the struggle between the two sides of the dichotomy which "forms the toward a theory of liberation." addresses the dichotomy of activity and passivity as system that gives men privileges and power. One of the essays, "Male sexuality these structures, and a description of how men are scarred and brutalized by the very nearly a decade ago. The collection gives an analysis of the oppression of women and of gay men, the social structures of domination and the individual expression of flects a key point in the beginning of the critique of masculinity as it was published Beyond Patriarchy: essays by men on pleasure, power, and change (1987) re-

Addressing hierarchical dichotomies

"father-as-nurturer" and men's intimacy. I find that hierarchical dichotomies are Harris' essay on Martin Luther King, Jr., May and Strikwerda's two essays on the and Strickwerda, eds. Rethinking Masculinity: philosophical explorations in the yond Patriarchy. In this section I will review four essays that can be found in May addressed in each essay, but not always in a satisfactory fashion. light of feminism (1992): Brian Pronger's description of the "gay jock", Leonard dressed the problem of hierarchical dichotomies found in The Male Body and Beyond Patriarchy. In this section I will review four essays that can be found in May
and Strickwards and Deshibition May Other recent re-characterizations of masculinity have more successfully ad-

experience patriarchal privilege in wider social contexts, these experiences do proother men" (p. 44). Pronger further notes that the case of social intercourse beplace in the realm of personal interactions, and that gay men therefore probably do not patriarchal. Although Pronger acknowledges that these descriptions only take tween gay men and women makes possible personal relations with women that are on equal terms with women, and women seem to trust these men more than they do told me their relationships with women are very good; the men feel themselves to be mutually comfortable nature of such relationships: "all the gay men I interviewed Pronger describes the ease with which gay men can be friends with women, and the that gay men can be a very powerful example of how to reconceptualize masculinity. defining masculinity in this way (patriarchal heterosexuality), Pronger can argue strategy that serves the interests of patriarchal heterosexuality" (p. 44). masculinity as "a strategy for the power relations between men and women; it is a In "Gay jocks: a phenomenology of gay men in athletics", Brian Pronger defines (1) culinity as "a strategy for the power relations between men and women: it is a lift they that serves the increase the

only as a good starting point for raising awareness about what masculinity is. While which masculinity is linked, his essay gives little hope for a way out of that hierar-Pronger does show an awareness of the hierarchical value and power structure to masculinity. Since this is an insight that is primarily known to gay men, it serves have little to do with their lives, and that they can therefore change the meaning of relations for which the semiotics of masculinity and femininity constitute a strategy culine and feminine behavior. Gay men, according to Pronger, see that the power strategy of masculinity. Pronger calls for a reinterpretation of the meanings of masvide an important insight into masculinity as a political strategy. After exposing the

cause it points to the complexities of hierarchical dualisms, but unfortunately it does not provide a promising suggestion for overcoming those complexities. from love, care, compassion, and sacrifice" (p. 203). Harris' essay is valuable bethrough threats, demands, pressure and aggressive behavior is not neatly separated virtues (i.e. caring) is highly problematic: as Harris notes, "the imposition of wills Pronger and Harris call attention to the fact that once we understand masculinity as is achieved in many ways: parents help empower their children by caring, nurturengenders...the ability of others to impose their will" (p. 202). This empowerment sented a form of empowerment in a direct sense... it was a good through which one of communal love and a new model of masculinity which "in both cases, repre-King Jr. and Malcolm X. Harris argues that each of these men exemplified a vision a social construct, the attempt to incorporate it with the more traditionally feminine ing, guiding, and partners empower each other by support dialogue and aid (p. 202). "Honor: emasculation and empowerment," an essay which focuses on Martin Luther Another possible redefinition of masculinity comes from Leonard Harris

something about which they can feel a sense of accomplishment" (p. 88). Here May ship between father and older child. In addition, their work in the family will be to rebuild trust and a positive sense of self-worth in the child. And the trusting retheir children. Central to this nurturance is paying attention to feelings; especially gaining the traditionally feminine attribute of nurturance in the context of caring for "Fatherhood and nurturance" and "Male friendship and intimacy". In "Fatherhood and Strikwerds offer an exciting possibility for a new vision of masculinity. Ar lationship that develops will have strongly positive payoffs for the future relationfeelings of regret or shame for having inappropriately punished as well as the need their children's feelings, but also their own: "Fathers will have to face their own and nurturance". May and Strikwerda discuss the possibility and benefits of men May and Strikwerda address these same issues in both of their pieces.

> utes of toughness, aggressiveness, and an alleged prowess in the public sphere: men to act as nurturers, who still have the traditionally socialized masculine attribrevalued—men can feel a new sense of accomplishment about their fathering tole. May and Strikwerda also have a means by which men's work in the home can be A time of transition will be necessary, and will occur with the first generation of in which they must face up to their own feelings as well as those of their children guably, they address the problematic dualism of reason-feeling by giving men a role

children about strategies for coping with disparate problems, especially playground or museum or just to the corner store and talking to one's girl). Rather, we have in mind taking children on regular outings to the merely teaching girls to throw the ball "properly" (that is, not like a somewhat better at such roles than mothers. And by this we do not mean socializations already experienced by adults today, fathers will be sometimes hostile world or sandbox. Given the differential how to find for themselves, especially how to assert themselves into a children. Due to their socialization, men are better able to teach kids In this time of transition, nurturing fathers could use their socialized public skills to provide positive socialization especially for their girl

very promising notion in the model of the nurturing father, but they put the model to male role model May and Strikwerda describe. Thus May and Strikwerda have a child molestation involves a relative or someone the child knew, quite possibly the problems "with male strangers" is actually the correct issue to focus on, since most such a situation for the more negative socialized masculine roles to be passed on to keeps certain negative roles from being passed on? It is also doubtful that the also brings up the question when, if ever, will this transitional stage end? What either sex. The possibility of negative socialized traits being passed on to children better able to teach kids how to defend themselves"). There is great potential in male-socialized way of handling situations is the best way of doing things ("men are bilities ("fathers will be somewhat better at such roles than mothers"), and that the good thing, both on the level of essentialist claims about men and women's capawrong to assume that this alleged male prowess in certain roles is indisputably a many dubious assumptions about men's capabilities in certain areas of life. It is the ropes of how to get along in the world. Unfortunately, the passage buys into with male strangers, that can be encountered along the way (p. 89).

This seems at first glance like a very sweet idea—fathers showing their children vopes of how to get along in the world. Unfortunately, the passage buys into a second stranger of the passage buys into a second sec

Epistemology and Politics: suggesting a new direction in theory of masculinity

do become more caring with each other, they will also become so with the women as lacking in intimate relationships. Thus we try to provide a positive sense of wha its present level " (pp. 96-97, my italics). and children in their lives, thus making it less likely that oppression will continue at male friendship could be like in a less oppressive society. It is our hope that if men Strikwerda's project, in which they claim that men "do increasingly see themselves teleology of reconceptualizing masculinity is clearly exemplified by May and rectifying a perceived lack, a lack of intimacy or of full emotional growth. This dual other piece of better truth. This better epistemology also fulfills a practical value in vision of what men are really like, uncovering hidden emotions, or regaining some of revaluing a deprivileged side of a dichotomy like "feelings," they are perceived as achieving an epistemological as well as political goal: getting at a more accurate The current literature on masculinity reflects the fact that when men do the worl

they can begin to express traditionally feminine emotions like caring (pp. 106-107). men learn to reflect more on their emotions and be more in touch with their feelings. gether (ancient Greek activity/passivity dichotomy revisited), and then slowly as May and Strikwerda's model, male friendships can begin with doing activities tomale theorists' visions of male friendship seems forced to buy into some of the old even better happy life defined by theory and study. Quite tellingly, our exemplary a positive sense of what intimate male friendship can be like, primarily to help fil hicrarchics, and essentialisms, about what is feminine and masculine as well. On back to Aristotle's distinction between a happy life defined by political work and an ceived lacks and to achieve a better epistemology. One can argue that this harkens read as primarily political, while men's work is viewed as an attempt to correct perwritings on gender are perceived: it is often the case that women feminists' work is men's lack of intimacy. This reflects a subtle difference in how men's and women's selves! I would argue that May and Srikwerda are primarily interested in providing happy side effect of men achieving greater intinacy in relationships amongst themmoval of oppression-indeed, the removal of women's oppression is simply a May and Strikwerda show that their project is driven toward more than the re-

gendering Men: the question of male feminist criticism (1990), as its title suggests, reflects further work by men with attention to gender, feminist insights on gender also serve as a means towards escaping the problem of hierarchical dualisms. Enand optimistic suggestion for dialogues between genders, a suggestion which can Another collection of current writings on masculinity offers a more plausible

> ferent races and classes together, one can see the possibility for opening up new dialogues and a new, less hierarchical relationship between members of those this "Other". The avoidance of "Otherness" is a promising first step toward alleviating the work of men and women together, and by analogy the work of members of difthe problem of the hierarchical dichotomy of masculinity and femininity. By reading promising way to avoid the problem of constructing women's and feminists' work as tal context, should be read together. This notion of simultaneous readings is a men and women, both members of the hierarchical dualisms which shape our socieliterature on masculinity one thinks of male authors. Instead, the insights of both Studies courses, only the work of women is read; all too often when one thinks of comparison to Paul Laurence Dunbar and Claude McKay. All too often in Women's ous readings allow (for example) the reading of work by Gwendolyn Brooks in notion credited to Myra Jehlen, Sandra Gilbert, and Susan Gubar. Such simultaneis the call for simultaneous reading of male and female traditions and canons, a concept of "gay reading". The most compelling suggestion found in this collection Sylvia Townsend Warner, and Wendy Wasserstein as well as queer theorists and the insights into the work of women writers such as Anne Bradstreet, Emily Dickinson, and the seemingly "genderiess" quality of masculinity within patriarchy. This collection has a clear focuses on literary theory, and includes selections with important

structures and codings. Thus a redefining or rewriting necessarily entails some change in these symbolic femininity, certain gendered codings of masculine/feminine behavior, dress, etc. a performance of womanhood that is in line with certain symbolic meanings of of the phrase, in which "Woman" does not really signify any one woman, but rather One way in which these symbolic associations can be understood is through the project of "rewriting." "Rewriting or redefining Woman" has held different meanings for different theorists. This paper will primarily employ the Judith Butler sense

sity of Minnesota press, 1984, pp. 3). duced by the father (Genevieve Lloyd, The Man of Reason, Minneapolis: Univermatter which received form or determination, and nourished what had been promative principle, the real causal force of generation, while the mother provided only to reproduction. On the Aristotelian view, the father was seen as providing the forspeech which metaphorically links the highest form of love with activities that are ² This association is explicitly described in Plato's Symposium, as in Diotima's form and matter (Metaphysics VII Z, 15-17), and the relationship of that distinction lar theme is present in much of Aristotle, as in the Aristotelian distinction between procreative and intellectually creative; and which only occur between men. A simi-

nomenology for gay men in athletics, in Larry May and Robert Strikwerda 1992. enervate. To grow weak languish" (described in Brian Pronger's Gay Jocks: a phepassivity are still entwined: the OED defines effeminate as "to make unmanly; to definition of effeminate provides an even clearer example of how femininity and "feminine" is defined in a deprecative sense as "womanish, effeminate". The OED priate excellences of the male sex: "manly, virile, vigorous, powerful" while power is the distinguishing feature of masculinity, whereas lack of power is the with activity and femininity with passivity, the OED defines these terms so that distinguishing feature of femininity. "Masculine" is defined as having the appro-"masculine" and "feminine." Echoing the ancient Greek association of masculinity One example can be found in the Oxford English Dictionary's definitions of

were (with the superior side listed first): limit/unlimited, odd/even, one/many. sixth century B.C., and specifically aligned the male with the superior side of ten hicrarchical dichotomics, and the female with the bad or inferior side. Some of these Here I refer to the Pythagorean table of opposites which was formulated in the

pht/left, male/female, rest/motion, etc.

and browing. New Brunswick: Rutgers University Press, 1989) and Carol Gilligan Alison Jagger (see Love and Knowledge: emotion in feminist epistemology in Jagger bridge, Massachusetts: Harvard University Press, 1982). (see In a Different Voice: Psychological theory and women's development, Camand Susan Bordo, 1989, Gender/Body/Knowledge: feminist reconstructions of being This is the unfortunate criticism often levied against such feminist theorists as

(black-white) or class (rich-poor) and thereby keep people in their place. What we sides of hierarchical dichotomies. After achieving androgyny, I would argue, we would simply find something other than gender to ascribe value, such as race By "symbolic status" I refer again to the relative values ascribed to the various

need to search for is a way to avoid dualistic valuing altogether.

Taken from Mitchell Duneier, Slim's Table, Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

٠

of knowing that has its origins in the social construction of heterosexist society (p.

1992, pp. 41. In making this argument, Pronger refers to a specifically 'gay irony': a unique way

is a better, specifically theoretical, epistemological model that includes feeling and emotions seems to naturally follow from their discussion of men perceiving a lack in reason, while the notion that May and Strikwerda get at better truth about men's theorists like Alison Jagger is especially ironic, since Jagger's explicitly stated goal heir own lives. This reading of theorists of masculinity like May and Strikwerda against feminist

outdated, primarily because of the group of men to whom the suggestion is made. and femininity. I believe we are ready for and already beginning a very powerful ics. At this time in the theoretical and academic understanding of both masculinity is quite different for the Bly "everyman" and the Cambridge Men's Group academillustrate my point: while both Robert Bly and the Cambridge Men's Group each David Porter's Berween Men and Feminism (New York: Routledge 1992), serves to Another men's discursive space, the Cambridge Men's Group, as described in 10 I assert that the suggestion of separate discursive spaces for men and women is dialogue between the genders. It is more difficult to argue against Bly's perceived advocate and participate in male-only discursive spaces, the reason for such spaces

> the longer piece on which this review is based, I present this argument in greater already been served in the academic context (arguably, for thousands of years). In spaces serve an important purpose in certain contexts, and that that purpose has those spaces. This should not be taken as a hierarchical valuing of men who do not only for separate discursive spaces but a new kind of "Man" to participate in need for male-only discursive spaces outside the academic world, because Bly calls theoretical work vs. those who do not, I simply think that male-only discursive

in a given field may be pointed out as Other than or outside the norm, thus maintainof the best jazz musicians"). describing someone as "one of the best female jazz musicians", rather than as "one ing a hierarchical, dualistic relationship between male and female (for example, "By the construction of Otherness, I mean the way in which work done by women