

18th AESOP YOUNG ACADEMICS CONFERENCE

Book of Abstracts

Bridging Gaps: Urban Planning for Coexistence

Department of Architecture and Urban Studies – Politecnico di Milano 19–22 March 2024



POLITECNICO
MILANO 1863

DIPARTIMENTO DI ARCHITETTURA
E STUDI URBANI



**DIPARTIMENTO
D'ECCELLENZA**
FRAGILITA' TERRITORIALI



YOUNG ACADEMICS
NETWORK



AESOP

18th AESOP Young Academics Conference
“Bridging Gaps: Urban Planning for Coexistence”

Organised and hosted by the Department
of Architecture & Urban Studies (**DASU**)
Politecnico di Milano

19–22 March 2024, Milan, Italy

DASU - Conference Coordinators

Abdallah Jreij
Dafni Riga
Danila Saulino

DASU - Local Organising Committee

Aamina Shahid
Asef Ayatollahi
Anita Martinelli
Anna Fera
Antonio Jose Salvador
Beatrice Olga Meloni
Busra Ince
Chenling Wu
Giulia Oldani
Jaime Sierra

AESOP YA - Coordination Team

Asma Mehan
Milan Husar
Rüya Erkan
Rumeysa Ceylan
SaeBom Song
Sila Ceren Varis
Sophie Leemans

Book of Abstracts Design

Abdallah Jreij
Dafni Riga

ISBN 9789464981803

© Politecnico di Milano

Bridging Gaps: Urban Planning for Coexistence

Book of Abstracts

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Conference Theme

6

Bridging Gaps: Urban Planning for Coexistence

TRACK 1 Looking Towards a Sustainable Urban Future Transition & Challenges **8**

Chairs Eugenio Morello, Gilles Desthieux, Asef Ayatollahi, Chenling Wu
Participants Federico Camerin, Hashem Alsibai, Karlijn Kokhuis, Kosar Azarmikhosroshahi, Lucia Chieffallo, Mariana Pereira Guimaraes, Mirjam Sophie Mauel, Qian-Cheng Wang, Tianqin Chen, Yuxi Xiong

TRACK 2 Beyond the Urban-Rural Divide **20**

Chairs Luca Lazzarini, Nitin Bathla, Anna Fera, Antonio Jose Salvador, Anita Martinelli
Participants Alessandra Marcon, Cihan Mert Sabah, Elvira Pietrobon, Francesco Galli, Francesco Gugliotta, Ioanna Chatzikonstantinou, Maria Khristine Alvarez, Sumaita Tahseen, Yağmur Aşçı, Yixuan Lan

TRACK 3 Challenging the Role of Academia The Impact of Research **32**

Chairs Gabriele Pasqui, Julia Wesely, Dafni Riga, Giulia Oldani
Participants Aubrey Toldi, Giulia Li Destri Nicosia, Gloria Calderone, Irem Duygu Tiryaki, Mareike Schmidt, Maria Vittoria Arnetoli, Maria Minic, Mercy Edna, Qing yuan Guo, Stefan Baars

TRACK 4 Planning Tools & Techniques Bridging the Gap Between Theory & Practice **44**

Chairs Israa Mahmoud, Adriano Bisello, Abdallah Jreij, Jaime Sierra
Participants Anna Giulia Castaldo, Ana Lago, Axelle Vincent, Bahaa Bou Kalfouni, Federica Rotondo, Janneke van der Leer, Mariya Badeva, Mirna Mikhail, Sanja Platisa, Tara Op de Beeck

TRACK 5 Towards Coexistence Contested Social & Spatial Landscapes in Transformation **56**

Chairs Nikos Katsikis, Gloria Pessina, Danila Saulino, Aamina Shahid, Busra Ince
Participants Angelina Grelle, Blessing Mucherera, Elia Silvestro, Francesca Berni, Luis Carlos Raposo, Maisa Totry, Nikhil Sanjay Shah, Ramazan Oruç, Şelale Balambar Ergan, Seyed Alireza Seyedi

TRACK 5

Towards Coexistence: Contested Social & Spatial Landscapes in Transformation

Land Use & Land Rights
Planning & Place Narratives
Borders & Proximities
Place Power, Borders, Migration,
Ecological & Territorial Justice
Ecosystems & Ecology
Place attachment, Placemaking &
Community Empowerment
Critical Landscapes & Critical Mapping

Chairs: **Gloria Pessina** (DASU, Politecnico di Milano), **Nikos Katsikis** (TU Delft)

Coordinators: **Aamina Shahid**, **Busra Ince**, **Danila Saulino**

Participants: Angelina Grelle , Politecnico di Torino	58
Blessing Mucherera , University of Hull	59
Elia Silvestro , Politecnico di Torino	60
Francesca Berni , Politecnico di Milano	61
Luis Carlos Raposo , University of Minho	62
Maisa Totry , Haifa University	63
Nikhil Sanjay Shah , Politecnico di Milano	64
Ramazan Oruç , Istanbul Technical University	65
Şelale Balambar Ergan , Middle East Technical University, Turkey	66
Seyed Alireza Seyedi , Texas Tech University	67

Towards Coexistence: Contested Social & Spatial Landscapes in Transformation



Participant

Seyed Alireza Seyedi, Texas Tech University

alireza.seyedi@ttu.edu

Building Under Shadow of the Oil: The Formation and Development of Oil Company Towns in Southwestern Iran

Co-authors: Saeid Khaghani, Rouhollah Mojtahzadeh, Asma Mehan

Keywords

Colonialism, Colonial Architecture and Urbanism, Oil, Abadan, Masjed Soleyman

Following Darcy's concession in 1901, Britain began oil exploration in the southwest of Iran. In 1908, economic oil was discovered, and the Anglo-Persian Oil Company (APOC) was established. This company from its establishment was under the influence of the British Government, to extend that, Britain became its major shareholder in 1917 which continued until the nationalization of Iran's oil in 1951. In the meantime, the concession and following agreements prepared an almost autonomous status for the company. Generally, Iran had never been a British colony, however; this status had evidence of colonialism and let the company build the artificial environment in Iran's southwestern, based on its attitudes and values, as well as hierarchical structure, racial-class segregation, and closed society, which experts consider it as a type of social engineering.

According to this, the building formed by the company could manifest the upstream ideas, specifically in towns that were completely shaped by it. Therefore, Masjed Soleyman and Abadan, the two main company towns of the British-owned

Anglo-Persian Oil Company, which were quite isolated from other parts of Iran, could be suitable platforms for displaying the company's attitudes and values. Therefore, this research by interpretive-historical methodology, based on both primary and secondary sources from desk research and archival research, investigates the manifestation of the company's attitudes and values in its quiet a half-century (1901-1951) building in Masjed Soleyman and Abadan, in five periods. These periods were shaped based on the most important happens including periods of exploration (1901-1908), formation (1908-1917), primary development (1917-1933), secondary development (1933-1939), and leading to the nationalization of oil (1939-1951). In the first period, there is no evidence of architecture or urbanism, in the second and third periods, architecture, specifically bungalows appeared company values and in the fourth and fifth periods, urbanism especially, garden cities represented company values.