

The Universal Theory of Existence: The Sashu, Pharaohs, and the al-Mahdī

Andrew Magdy Kamal Nassief

Stark Global Publishing Group
andrew@starkdrones.org

The ramifications of existence provide the setup for a theory on civilization as a whole. This story is composed under the premise of looking at Rabbinic Judaism and the Old/New Testaments as contextual clues for an overview of civilization and existence in general. This includes a mathematical model for prior to the existence of time i.e. QSOPR Theorem: Quantum Similarity Origin Point References, MDQBT: Multi-Dimensional Quantum Breakpoint Theorem, and QSICT: Quantum Simulated Informational Consciousness Theory. As well as tracing back ancient lineages such as Coptic DNA and the e1b1b haplogroup, FGC8712, or tribal lineages such as Berbers, the Quarashi, and ancient Mesopotamian groups. Next, we will look at history and philosophy through religious texts which include mythology, Quranic text and hadiths, and any related archaeological evidence in relationship to the Shashu, Temple o, or contextual geological clues. This will provide a premise for human nature, civilization, world religions, societal falls, and history through correlative patterns, universal truth, linguistics, ethics and morality.

Keywords: Genomics, Islam, Ancient Egyptians, Philosophy, Physics, Ethics and Morality, World Religions.

Edited by:

Andrew M. K. Nassief

Copy-edited by:

Andrew M. K. Nassief

Alt Title:

The Theory of Existence - In Dedication to the Shining Father of Gold who is Fair-minded and Manly, Glorious in Perfection

2nd Alt Title:

Who is the al-Mahdī: An Introduction to Aryaislam

Concepts:

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1. QSOPR Theorem: Quantum Similarity Origin Point References | 9. Aryaislam |
| 2. MDQBT: Multi-Dimensional Quantum Breakpoint Theorem | 10. The Chaldean Orthodox Church |
| 3. QSICT: Quantum Simulated Informational Consciousness Theory | 11. The Cappadocian Orthodox Church |
| 4. Time/Multiverse Dilation and Origin Points | 12. The New Ecumenical Council |
| 5. The Theory of Everything | 13. The 2nd Apostolic Ecumenical Council: Held in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia |
| 6. The Universal Theory of Existence | 14. End of Civilization Hypothesis |
| 7. The Christology Temples | 15. Conscious Error Checking |
| 8. The Great Deception | 16. Digitized Religion |
| | 17. Anarcho-Capitalism and Illusion of Choice |

Contents

1	The Theory of Everything	3
1.1	The Adam Type(s)	4
1.2	Time and the Multiverse	7
1.3	The Great Deception	8
1.3.1	Civilization as a Whole	12
2	Origins of Humanity	15
2.1	The e1b1b Haplogroup	15
2.1.1	Coptic and the Origin of Language	17
2.1.2	Pharaonic Lineages	20
2.1.3	The Tribe of Judah	22
2.2	DNA is the Code of Humanity	24
2.3	Mesopotamia and Ancient Tribes	28
2.4	Berbers and the Quarashi	28
2.5	Looking at FGC871	29
3	Origins of Religion	33
3.1	World Religions	33
3.1.1	The Tower of Babel	38
3.2	Early Gnostic Christianity and Arianism	42
3.2.1	On the topic of Sacrificial Love	43
3.2.2	Parallels within Arianism and Islam	57
3.2.3	The al-Mahd and the Final Announcement	57
3.3	The New Ecumenical Council	62
3.3.1	New Heaven, Earth, and Logical Axioms of Reconciliation	63
4	On the Human Nature	63
4.1	The Complexity of Decisions	63
4.1.1	Natural Family Planning and Populations	64
4.1.2	On the Nature of Violence and the Ego/Super-ego	64
4.1.3	Cellular Automata, Game Theory and Conscious Error Checking	64
4.2	Fabrics of Deontology holding Humanity, Free Will, and a New Hope	65
5	Religious Ramifications	67
5.1	On Church Schisms	67
5.2	Rabbinic Law and the Gospel Narrative	67
5.3	Proposing Islam's New Branch	68
5.4	On Separation of Church and State	68
5.4.1	Equality, Equity, Utopia and the Brave New World	68

1 The Theory of Everything

Since, the beginning of time, a singularity existed. This singularity is what we call an origin point of everything. Beyond, this origin point for time is different depending on position, being outside of the singularity, or the idea that multiple universes exists with different time dilation, and likely different laws. Existence in essence is centered around the idea of what is prior to the origin point of time, and in theory, what is the space-time complexity together, in regards to how civilization come to be. From a physics standpoint, there are multiple theories around this. For starters, the author of this paper coined QSOPR Theorem, MDQBT, QSICT, and how this relates to Time/Multiverse Dilation and Origin Points. Quantum Similarity on a molecular, atomic, theoretical, and chemical level is one of these vast things humanity should be trying to explore.

Quantum States can represent our universe in different ways, including the rules to time dilation in response to let us say string theory or super-string theory, M-Theory, and vice versa. For example, $X = O + \hat{H} + ({}_n\log)/Pd_x$, you have dilation from point of time or X being visualized in reference to infinitely expanding loops or origin points happening throughout time, at different universes, depending on their origin. This is in relation to MDQBT, and a paper explaining the variables for this equation is [97]. Referencing back to Proposal 1, is QSOPR Theorem, in which DNA and biological life are algorithmically [98] designed. Interestingly enough, from a computing perspective, Quantum Cryptography and Quantum States are very similar to the mathematics of what may be the backbone for humanity being presentable in terms of mathematical or algorithmic computation. After QSOPR and MDQBT, one might start looking the hard problem of consciousness. Quantum Similarity applies to the neural wiring of our brains and many stimuli based decisions follow characteristic patterns, that may be mathematically or computationally visualized [77].

This can lead to multiple theories. Some theorize a Big Bang, however very logical decisions in regards to human biochemistry, biogenesis, or the fact that even chemicals our brain release near death include DMT [30] are hard to conceptualize under the Big Bang. Though, the authenticity of many of these things are debatable, other ideas such as Simulation Theory also seem improbable or impractical to prove. Even on the basis of the Big Bang theory, the Red Shift, alternative models of our universe, and the laws of bio-genesis or cosmological arguments do provide seemingly convincing points. In terms of intelligent design, this provides a stepping stone to knowing where one might look contextually for proof, the philosophical meaning of certain theistic texts, or its historical basis as well as ontology, mathematics, and physics.

In terms of theorizing, Dr. Giulio Tononis Integrated Information Theory [134], Christopher Michael Langan's Teleological Evolution [83], Dr. Stephen Wolfram's Model of the Universe [147], and even Neurosurgeon Dr. Ben Carson's quotes in regards to information retention, and brain complexity [16], as well as George Hotz's comments on Simulation Theory [4], and Scott Adams on Pandeism [1], all provide some points that seem valid. In relationship to key takeaways from overarching themes, there are some theoretical grounds.

For example, (*IIT*) makes sense in the fact that human consciousness and decision making can be correlated with randomization, cellular automata, Quantum states, origin points, and

other core themes. Teleological Evolution is very similar to simulation theory, in the idea that we are all part of the "mind of God". In context of existence versus non-existence, this story would be true in the case of intelligent design. In regards to how our mind retains everything, but can't process everything in relationship to neural complexity, this may provide quite a profound take. In human terms, mathematics and mathematical modelling seem to be how we generally describe overarching natural patterns, therefore, Stephen Wolfram's proposition of everything being computationally presentable is logical.

Next, let us look at the complexity of multiple universes. $T \iff (\lim_{Q \rightarrow S})^\phi$: Let us say T is in reference to time, Q is in reference to Quantum State in relation to Quantum Similarity, S is in relation to a Strand or Point in State i.e singularity point, while ϕ is Euler's totient function in reference to multiple universes or a given positive integer correlating to a state within ours or an outer universe, space, time and matter. From a physics standpoint, this formulation potentially could be in union with multiverse theory.

Given concisely all these things, there are also morality, free will, and philosophical ramifications surrounding existence. From a Kantian ethics or deontological standpoint, one might look at the gospel narrative, traditional Rabbinic Judaism and the Old and New testament as a whole as being quite profound in theory. This can be due to the fact that traditionally, the Rabbinic narrative in the Old testament, was one of the first books to mention the idea of a three dimensional, spherical, circular earth before Galilee, Kepler, and various others [7]. With some focus, but less of a focus on miracles, human testimony, or chronology, there are other core points worth reviewing.

These ancient books have significant degrees of parallelism, and offer many contextual views from a historical, biological, linguistic, and archaeological standpoint. Included are theorizations on the concept of time (Heaven is far outside of earth, so the idea of a 6 day creation/1 day rest, takes a whole new meaning if theorizing in a physics perspective). Nonetheless, philosophical ramifications in regards to human nature, the omnipotence of God, the Adam type(s), civilization/norms, moral codes, and similar themes of deceptive forces of evil/good/free will, are worth analyzing. In terms of scientific analysis, one might take into account genomics, or genetic lineages, the social construct of race, or how ethnicity isn't location based. These contexts may provide a unique view from linguistics and mythology in relationship to the world's oldest languages and written history. The Coptic language, DNA, and lineages among groups like the Shasu, as well as related semantics might help theorize a trend within humanity's bigger picture. This provides a basis for existence, intelligent design, our search for knowledge, natural corruption, and the α and Ω .

1.1 The Adam Type(s)

<p>When mentioning the Adam types, this is a very important theological concept. Many people think men and women are created in the image and likeness of God, but a better translation is that men are made in the image and likeness of God, and women are adoptively made in the image and likeness of God. Also, one should</p>	<p>further state that the idea of genderless beings in heaven or genderless souls, genderless angelic beings, and a genderless God is quite heretical in context of ancient Rabbinic literature [106]. Worth investigating as well is Melchizedek, the three temples, and commentaries on Arianism, Nestorianism, mythology, and the nature of who</p>
---	--

God is.

It is of the outermost important that one emphasizes that the Trinity is three in one, not one in three similar to how we are mind, body, and soul. Christianity isn't a Polytheistic religion, and the son of God in his pre-incarnate form had to exist before the beginning. You don't have three beings or a father and son that are physically separated or shaking each other's hands. This is a heresy that has been emphasized in Arianism, Mormonism [71], and various other religions or philosophies including the view of Jesus being a Prophet and separate from Allah [59]. Whether one is to agree or not, this is not the point, this paper emphasizes the important of the historical, literal, allegorical, and absolute context of certain ancient books, rather than a critique on the philosophy of world religions.

First off, let us start by doing a commentary on Melchizedek before talking about Adam types, gender roles, and theories. In context of temple Judaism, and the belief on what the three temples are, there is this comment: The Orthodox quote Daniel 9:27 ("... he shall cause the sacrifice and the oblation to cease ...") to show that the sacrifices would stop with the arrival of the Messiah, and mention that according to Jesus, St. Paul and the Holy Fathers, the temple will only be rebuilt in the times of the Antichrist. [85] However, many are focused on two fallacies: Yes, there are physical temples and these are worth taking into account. However, there is also an actual literal temple beyond buildings, and three temples already have appeared. there is no new sacrificial temple. The third temple is the resurrected Christ because temple 0 is the Preincarnate Christ and Temple 1 is the Suffered, incarnate human Christ. The historical and archaeological evidence also parallels this.

Many people adhere to the believe that Melchizedek was an ordinary man that Abraham

greeted. However, in further context both scriptural or outside of scripture, there are reasons pointing otherwise. Infact, theorizing that Melchizedek, in theory is most likely the pre-incarnate Christ makes sense in terms of correlative logic and the causative effect of Melchizedek appearing. One such example is that Melchizedek externally was plainly called God by Rabbinic Scholars in a Dead Sea Scroll [137]. Though worth noting, is many Dead Sea Scrolls aren't off full authenticity or at the very least seem contradictory. That said, this is another piece of external, archaeological context. Also, in biblical context: Melchizedek appears in Genesis 14, but Abraham first meets God in Genesis 18 along with two angels. Somehow, Abraham already knows how the Lord looks like to differentiate him.

Next, we notice that Melchizedek greets Abraham with bread and wine. Bread and wine is prophetic and an archetype for the Eucharist which is the actual body and blood of Christ and an archetype for the Crucifixion or great passion. The significance of this is minor given that prophets were very common in symbology prior to the New Testament narrative, but worth noting nonetheless. As mentioned, we are trying to look into correlative clues. For example, Melchizedek is the current king of Sadek or Jerusalem, but Jerusalem didn't become a nation until King David's time [28] and the promise of Israel wasn't yet to be fulfilled. One might theorize, that this means most likely it would be the heavenly Jerusalem being referenced.

Temple 0 was where Melchizedek and Abraham met (physical temple 1 representing the pre-incarnate), temple 1 is Solomon's temple which was made destroyed during the Siege of Jerusalem (physical temple 2 representing the incarnate or preparing for the Messiah or the suffered), and temple 2 is Herod's temple (In line with around the location of Golgotha and Christ's resurrection). It too was destroyed near 70 C.E. [88] and it took the Jewish people

nearly 70 years to build Herod's temple after the fall of Solomon's temple, indicating that likewise as Christ who was crucified and resurrected, this earthly temple was destroyed and traditional Rabbinic law (the preparation is fulfilled). This is just a theory, but Temple 0 has been discovered [125]. Likewise, one might paraphrase that there has been three physical temples already and they represent the Pre-incarnate, incarnate (suffering), and resurrected Christ and a fourth temple (which people think is the third temple), is the abomination of desolation because it rejects the resurrection, the single body of God, and many other criticalities.

Christ in many ways is commonly referred to as a new Adam [110]. This is because Adam, made in the image and likeness of God, fell from obedience. Could this new Adam be a heavenly Adam? Miaphysitism correlates the idea of the pre-incarnate Christ existing before the beginning of time. This also adds correlation with the Old Testament (Rabbinic Law), and New Testament (Continuation Law). Christ is the fulfilment of Prophecy and the continuation of the greater covenant. Due to the Trinity, we know of three roles or parts within a singular, inseparable, personhood. Due to Miaphysitism, we know the Oriental Orthodox Churches be-

lieve that Jesus was fully human and fully divine in a single nature. This means a nature that was fully human and fully divine that existed since the beginning [104]. Adam was made in the "Image and likeness of God". Adam was made to be like God, and to copy him. He had no mother, and he was essentially the first "Son of God". However, he didn't have divinity. He was a man like God, he had free will like God, he had dominion over the Earth (In parallel to the Universe(s)), he was a Shepard, a provider, etc., but he was not divine like God. His nature was fully human in a less weaker physical vessel prior to the fall, but not a nature that was fully human and fully divine at the same time.

The reason this is worth being brought up is because many priests think Melchizedek is a symbolic Christology and a normal human being. However, if he is the pre-incarnation, this would be by far the best example of Miaphysitism in the OT. There are other parallels to Christ in the old testament including Jacob wrestling with God, who commanded the Herem [18] at Jericho, numerous prophets walking with, talking with, and seeing God, the fiery furnace, etc. These personifications are likely not what one will think of when God seems to be portrayed as a voice in the cloud by modern day pop culture.

Angels are gendered. They are often referred to as only males by muscular adjectives [21]. Also, us being like the angels, [108] most likely doesn't mean same gender, asexual, or genderless. It more or less an indication that we won't be procreating. This makes sense on multiple contexts. Firstly, Nephilim had to be fallen in order to procreate [142]. Also, given that the male gender and masculine personification existed before the beginning (God is male) and (Adam was made in his image, with certain "physical characteristics"), one might say that Women were the personification of the role of Sacrificial love. Through women are adoptively made, they too in that sense metaphysically existed prior to time. God knows everything, but he gave us free-will, there is no predestination. Also, all apparitions of the saints seem to have different physical characteristics that are quite apparent [113]. Worth referencing in relation to the Adam types, salvation was possible without his physicality before the fall, but since we are free-willed and decided to align with outside the heavenly, we fell and needed a savior.

1.2 Time and the Multiverse

The multiverse and time is a topic of wide phenomena in the physics, philosophy and religious context. Time is relative, but when you take into account Quantum Physics and Quantum Field Theory, M-Theory and all these things, the rules of the game are dramatically different than just general relativity. Infact, the size of our universe is beyond what humanity may likely ever be able to comprehend, as well as the sources of seemingly unlimited energy and frontiers. There must be a reason of such size, complexity, energy, and relative unknowns that been in debate since the dawn of mankind. Theorizing Heaven, or Paradise or the nether as some sort of multiverse or outside this universe, would make sense. In fact, the gospel narrative, especially the old testament, has many things to say that are quite philosophical, deontological, and scientific in that manner. These seemingly ancient texts afterall, predicted the Earth being spherical and round way before Kepler or Galilee were even around [127]. Infact, the speed of light and what we think we know about the speed of light, is very limited, especially the fact that it is a fallacy to assume a universal speed of light when there are outer universes or outer dimensions.

With that narrative, you can have a 7-day creation story and simultaneously have thousands of years happening in heaven, or simultaneously maybe even have billions of years happening in heaven. Also, let us say heaven is the furthest thing, the relative speed of one day in here is slowed down to what seems to be almost an infinite loop. If you remove carbon dating or all these other nuances out of the picture, the 7-day creation story and a 6000 year Earth now can seem more scientifically aligned. Then one can look at the heavenly layers/ranks and all these supernatural worlds as maybe even different dimensions, and you might have M-theory and super-string theory within this multi-verse of universes. Take it a step further and Quantum similarity or a point of time we can mathematically represent in logical, human terms, may represent a beginning point before the existence of time itself i.e God.



Figure 1.1: Representing Multi-Dimensional Quantum Breakpoint Theorem

Many of this seems philosophical of-course, but some may say profoundly that the language of mathematics is like talking to God. Even with a disagreement religiously and philosophically in some points of let us say Ramanujan [123], he has a quite profound take on this. In regards to models of the universe, one can draw ideas from both Hawking Singularity or even consider

Dr. Halton Arp's model of the universe. Hawking radiation, and the seemingly small size of blackholes, or the measurement of such energy and size is debatable among physicists [101]. If there is even a slim chance of falsehood, due to the limited amount of knowledge just on the observably of us being with the Milky Way, how can physicists be sure of a model for all galaxies, universes/dimensions? The Theory of Everything must include relative speed, and randomness, but also be within the logical axioms of absolute truth in that sense. Truth is absolute not relative. The Milky Way is extremely large, and within blackholes, who knows what lies ahead? Then we have hundreds of billions if not more, nearby galaxies, and this is within a single universe, and then you have what some may debate as multiple universes. This one alone is often described as being infinite. What could make sense in the context of biogenesis as well as mapping out both classical mechanics, faster than light potential sub-neutrinos, and special/general relativity?




Figure 1.2: Quantum States Illustration

The controversial thing about Einstein and this is why many people took a while to adopt Quantum Theory, post-classical mechanical law, is that Einstein might be grossly wrong and correct at the same time. Relativity only applies to the speed of light within the relative constraints of our universe, but if you model Quantum similarity or try trying Superstring Theory and M-Theory, relativity will likely start to seem questionable. In Judeo-Christian beliefs, you have concepts like God knowing everything, but the the Son of Men not knowing. One may say this references a fall must happen or low point for humanity by our free will before God decides to come, not a contradiction. Because knowing everything versus predestination are entirely different things. Then a theologian may consider concepts such as the major falls, the great deception, a history of genealogy, the pre-incarnation, procreation, existence in essence, gender roles, heavenly roles, social physics, etc. This can provide insights to the grand scheme of things through a physics perspective as well.

1.3 The Great Deception

In terms of a hypothesis, if one religious view is superior to the other, than the religion or the opposite of that religion may be the origin of all other religions. This could be true in the fact that humanity seems to mistranslate or misinform others in various ways, and the origin of pride,

wealth, power, control and hedonism likely must start somewhere. This origin is what Rabbinic Judaism may consider a pre-human origin, and when you look at various theories out there, one might find solstice through DNA and language. One of the world's oldest civilizations, and oldest languages came from Copts [121]. This is because the ancient hieroglyphics that explained things pictorially is the earliest form of pre-semantic languages. That is from Egypt. Egypt could be where the modern form of civilization begun. The Coptic DNA and language tell a profound story of humanity when cross-referenced with mythology, ancient religious texts, archaeology, and history from the start of the beginning of our existence.

The first Pharaohs could have actually been Adam and Eve. Falls such as the Tower of Babel or the Flood may have potentially distorted our perception of timelines. What if mistranslations or confusions made by benevolent falling beings, could have been the origin of world religions, war, or the fallen nature of humanity/society itself. Infact, Angels were likely all made at once with singular gender. They can not reproduce with other benevolence. It would make sense that with zero genetic lineage, that only some angels would have fell rather than all. If we consider how the Pharaohs were, and themes of monotheism versus polytheism, or come up with theorizations such as Jacob potentially being Thutmose III, this opens a pandora's box of patterns.

In this hypothesis, Atum and Nebethetepet or Atum and Ninti, were likely Adam and Eve. Ninti means lady rib or women of the rib [128] which is a euphemism to how Eve was made [34]. Atum and Ra are likely the same. However, Atum is the lesser Ra i.e. the son of Ra or made in Ra's likeness and image. He is yet called Ra. The Pharaohs were the sons of Adam and they were being portrayed as Gods because of that reason. Duat may be Hades. Apip and the Cherubim Mandulis [135] is likely Lucifer. Infact, Lucifer is called a Cherubim in the Judeo-Christian tradition [13]. Other mythological connections could be Helios potentially representing Elijah or perhaps even Adam (whose body was thought to be taken in a chariot once passed, but more then likely he was buried on Earth rather then the third heaven) [95]. The three beasts could be the

Dragon (Hades), Poseidon and Zeus.

Other possible parallels could be Thoth as Adam, and Hathor as the new Eve i.e the Virgin Mary. The devil implements various false parallelisms or mockeries of biblical themes or concepts. The Queen of Heaven, a Marian title, appeared in the old testament for the title of Inanna [144]. This lead the God of the Israelite's to be furious. Especially given Inanna was being worshipped. Inanna as a God could be one of those deceptions or a mockery of the Virgin Mary. In parallel, many representations of the Virgin Mary exist, and they are either beautiful or the antithesis to who she is. An example could be the sycamore tree representing the Virgin Mary [115].

For other Biblical themes parallel to mythology, let us consider the Egyptian God set is actually Seth. In this hypothetical, the flooding of the Nile and the murder of his brother Oasris is because Seth is the ancestor of Moses. We could also perhaps theorize Oasris is Ramesses II. He is the son of Menmaatse Seti I. However, one should consider removing that piece of the equation. Ramesses II is unlikely to be the Pharaoh of Exodus. This is because him being pharaoh doesn't make sense given the time period [26]. Moses killed the pagan dynasty of Egypt, but that dynasty shall be back.

Going back to Adam, Iry-Hor [129], Ka, and Nemar were all Adam or the same person (in theory). There are also many clues to the Whore of Babylon perhaps worth considering in relation to Egyptian mythology and parallelisms.

Keeping up with the theme of referencing the Torah for now, consider Ra. Ra is represented by Atum the man (body), as well as a beetle (a beetle is hard with soft tissue). From the larval stage to the adult stage they can grow thousands of tiny brains in their body [81]. The beetle, the most common insect [132], represents the mind. The falcon looking up towards the light represents the soul. Ra is Adam in the sense that his body is visited from a divine chariot [62], and this is why he is affiliated with the skies. The Pharaohs are Gods in the sense that they are sons of light, or descendants of Adam. Adam is the son of God [154], he had no mother, but he has a beginning.

Lucifer or Apip made humans like God by authoring the original confusion once Ra (the lesser Ra) and Ninti known of the knowledge of evil. To parallel, Apep is represented as a serpent [29]. Lotan [109] is also a serpent or snake. Other creatures Lucifer takes shape in exist. This likely includes Ezekiel 24: 14-17 referencing a Cherubim, Apis being a golden calf as was worshipped in Mount Sinai, and Gods like Anshar potentially being Lucifer. The monuments of calves may be a reference for the body of Anshar. You could even think of Norse-regions with Gods like Auðumbla [146] as the feminine inspiration of these past deities. Mehet-Weret is a hieroglyph referencing the great flood [145], or perhaps she was a reference to the Milky Way galaxy [37]. The seven beasts could potentially be seven actual warriors, beasts, dynasties, or even a reference for the seven great deities of the Sumerians or ancient Babylonians. Ankh a symbol for life, the predecessor of the Coptic Cross [11], could reference the afterlife as mentioned in Judeo-Christian contexts [140].

Baal being a Beelzebub or the actual Satan makes sense, given his true form is most likely a Cherubim. He has a form of providing people knowledge, and as mentioned, later resembles a snake. Apis was worshipped in Egypt likely

around the time of Aaron. Aaron helped the Israelites worship the false God, Apis or Baal or Baalim, who was also ironically the same God of the Egyptians [136] that enslaved them (Out of Egypt, I brought forth my son). Also in irony, Apip is the biochemical enzyme related to cellular decay or death [80]. The wages of sin is described as a literal, physical death [22]. The worshippers of Baal who originated this from Israel's idolatry were killed [149]. Snakes eat mice, and at some point humans ate mice to the point that Moses told them to stop [150]. Mice and humans share the Apip enzyme [87]. Manu was the name of the mountain where Apep was waiting for Ra [118]. Manu is also the first man in Hinduism [31]. If you consider the story of the Nephilim, those creatures were fallen angels. A flood needed to happen to destroy the Nephilim [143]. This is especially true, given that Cain's decedents are destroyed and you have the family of Noah, the descendants of Seth.

Nephilim likely were an offspring that occurred due to fallen angels pursuing intercourse with the daughters of Cain. Cain who murdered his brother, pleaded with God and was a wanderer [148]. Cain was murdered by his son Lamech who was blind [14], or at the very least had bad vision in which he couldn't see Cain's mark. Again worth mentioning, Cain's daughters did likely sleep with fallen Angels. Cain is described as the wicked one. This is a euphemism for the devil, and the Euphrates river is likely tied to Cain.

Here is a point worth mentioning: Rumination (as with Asperger's), or conditions such as OCD can be quite deliberating for some. In the religious context, we can put these conditions at ease. Logical axioms are not relative. Some of the Pharisees were likely unforgiven not because of what they said, but more due to them knowing in their hearts that Christ is the son of God. The unforgiven must have had a hardness to reject him until death. Going back to the

topic of Cain; Cain at the very least pleaded with God. Whether Cain went to heaven or not isn't the topic, but the unforgiven sin is even worse than the sins Cain done. This is a man who had unintentional demonic offspring and the intentional murdering of his brother. He pleaded with God. The Pharisees on the other hand, wanted Christ (God) dead.

So, what is the great deception? In theory, the hieroglyphs were mistranslated and the worship of El, or the father of Baal along with hundreds of other pagan religions resembled the worship of fallen angels emulating ancient human history. The great deception may otherwise be parallel to what one may call a great confusion. The Tower of Babel was humanity's second great fall. This also caused a further linguistic stir all together. If the origin of all languages are hieroglyphs, then for the word of God to be taught in all languages [120], would fixing these supposed deceptive translations fix such confusion? Apip tells the angels that they can be like Gods, they get cast out by Ra. Apip tricks the lesser Ra and the lesser Ra's wife in the idea that they will be like Gods. Babylonians wanted to build a tower to reach heaven by their own merits. They also fell as humans believing themselves to be like Gods who are in charge of heavenly destiny. This is a tale of caution.

In theory, Apip wanted Ra's throne or to be like Ra. Apip was of existence but Ra had no beginning. He was jealous and convinced some fallen beings to be jealous. Fast forwarding to earth, this is also the same first deception told to humans. Fallen angels are worshipped, and likewise the lesser Ra and his wife are accidentally worshipped after their fall. This makes them like Gods (in a sense). This deception was not what they were expecting. They are now cursed, for trying to be like Ra without authority along with believing Ra to be a liar [3] over Apip. Adam and Eve were warned prior [17]. Likely the lesser Ra could have made solstice for his wife and sacrificed himself for the

sake of their offspring, but he too fell, leading to the new lesser Ra or God himself (Ra) to take flesh like the lesser Ra as an acceptable sacrifice. A theory of course, but this is contextually the comparison that is being made in this paper.

Back to the Rabbinic narrative, Elijah is a second Adam type but not the new Adam. This may be in the sense that he spoke against El and Baal [157], the original confusion on religion. This could be why he was spared from death, so that he can come back as a witness to save humanity from an unrealized idolatry at the end. Again, Helios in a sense can represent the Adam type. St. John the Baptist, likewise spoke in the manner of Elijah [68] to save the Israelites from idolatry by making way for this new Ra or the new Adam. The new Adam is the actual son of God. He is the preincarnate (with no beginning, end, father or mother), becoming incarnate (taking the physical flesh). This is the light of the world [25] that humanity from dawn was seeking.

Because Ra (the lesser Ra) was tricked by Apip, he was sentenced to death in the fact that he was being worshipped over the one true God. Humanity worshipped itself similar to how Apip or Satan wanted to be above God, the actual Ra. In theory, perhaps Ancient Egyptians referenced various technology because after the lesser Ra or Adam created language (and the first calendar), he may have wanted to account for a future history that was revealed to or prophesied to him. Adam and Eve being worshipped as Gods, the mistranslation, etc., was humanity worshipping itself through the eating of the forbidden fruit, leading to the start of world religions or foreign beliefs. This was the beginning of the fall of civilization. Before the final destroying of idolatry, there must be the revelation of the great deception that Egypt will reject [reference68]. Self-doubt is in essence better than the doubt of God, because pride in essence is the doubt of God but the belief in one's self.

1.3.1 Civilization as a Whole

Civilization since its start has been rebellious. Self-governance or some variation of anarcho-capitalism is the most ultimate form of freedom. Humanity also should have dominion over all other living things. Peace should be within all tribes, nations, and people of the world. However, this is simply not the case. Civilization is prideful, and with pride comes a great fall. The stoicism related to acceptance of what happens and dealing with the situation "as is", [reference69] is a powerful parallel to the biblical story of Job. Likewise, disobedience from Samson before his final judgement, along with the destruction of Sodom and Gomorrah, Saul's fall, King Solomon's youthful sinfulness, the Moabites, Hittites, Israel's forms of idolatry, various plagues, arrogant pharisees, Babylonian falls, corrupted human nature, Judas, and our very own end of the world create cautionary tales of what happens from chasing hedonism. Do as thou wilt, is the law of Thelma [126] (modern day non-levian Satanism), in which occultist Aleister Crowley basically reaffirms Satan's love for self-pleasure as a form of morality rather than the actuality of morality itself.

World religions are a different topic entirely for a more broad section, but as an introduction:

1. Eastern Religions: Influenced by fallen angels
2. Ancient religions: Fallen Angels
3. Jewish religion: No longer exists (Post 70AD)
4. New Jewish religion: A more modern, less Rabbinic alternative
5. Cults: Humans exploiting other humans
6. Atheism: Arrogance
7. Agnosticism: Confusion and perhaps some pride
8. Assyrian Church: Nestorianism (Arianism Lite)
9. Islam: In its modern form, an offshoot of Arianism
10. Non-Orthodoxy Apostolic: Confusion but good faith i.e. Eastern, Catholic, etc.
11. Non-Apostolic: Heterodox with a chance of salvation i.e. Lutheranism, Protestantism, etc.
12. Near-cult: Presbyterianism (Reformed Theology over the protestant thought)
13. Non-Apostolic, Non-Trinitarian: Fully Heterodox Pseudochristian Cults: i.e. 7th-day Adventist, Pentecostal, Mormonism, Jehovah's Witness, Christian Scientist, Rastafarian, etc.

This would be in the bias of Oriental Orthodoxy being the core of theological truth. However, a more core focus likely should be on eastern religions, ancient religions, traditional Rabbinic Judaism (before Christ), and the pre-successor philosophies of everything else except Islam which is more broad as a category of its own.

For example, one could state that Atheism is never more logical of a belief or even based off logic. True, this is a generalization, but many people may be atheist because they prefer to believe that free will and morality are objective. They seem to be tied to an idea of humans fundamentally chasing self-pleasure and a hedonistic lifestyle rather than an arbitrator of moral laws and roles. Anecdotally in modern times, secularism tends to be a societal distraction. For example, Hawking used to attend Church with his first wife. His drift towards atheism became prevalent more and more overtime [60]. Likewise, many movie portrayals of Bob Marley casually ignore his conversion to Ethiopian Orthodoxy [2]. Steve Jobs had conversing views of Buddhism, and the Christian morality narrative more towards both the beginning phases [19] and end phases of his life [117]. Hollywood media sometimes seems to implicitly miss or ignore some of these points in its portrayals. Again, this is of bias opinion, and more correlative data needs to be accounted in relationship to causation versus correlation.

If atheism was objectively based off of logic, and the the need for absolute proof (not taking into account what seems to be an overwhelming evidence of Intelligent Design), then they should have become pro-theist in contrast to some more modern mathematical theorems. Error correcting codes or variations of cellular automata exists within essentially everything [99]. This is now being looked at as a proof of simulation theory rather than a proof of intelligent design. At the same time, pro-simulation theory atheists (anti-theists) would still say there is no God and humans shall and can do whatsoever they want. Atheist philosophy seems to be a mix of Nihilism and/or Utilitarian ethical views. The psychology of atheism is also actually quite profound to study about. In theory, atheism could be based off of power dynamics. They may accidentally be chasing temporary pleasures as an alternative to understanding the objective reality of guilt and

consciousness. True atheism is the feeling of being more comfortable with the idea of seizing to exist over facing a potential objective reality that humans are fundamentally flawed in nature, and may be divinely judged. In irony, this is brushed off under the similar religious narrative of being unfearful of death. This feels like the chasing of mediocrity by earthly arbitrators who have their own self interests in mind and vice versa. From biogenesis to our body potentially releasing DMT or happy chemicals near natural deaths [82], etc., many things seem intellectually thought of. This seems more true when especially cross-referencing The Theoretical Analysis of our DNA paper [79]. God in his existence, seems to be an arbitrator of math, logic, ethics, freedom, and all ethos of what we know and all that is.

From a statistics perspective, society might actually be awarding mediocrity. The brilliant mathematician Nassim Taleb has various studies on similar ordeals. Likely, the perception of value is extremely illogical and superficial in terms of the norm of modern society. Observational evidence, at the anecdotal level for various cultures tends to be of agreement. The set of ideals that yield the most optimal result socially whether romantically, career-wise, or seemingly everything that is earthly, are centered around societal norms driven by external sources of power. These sources in theory, could at times be rewarding the maximization of human suffering. In the theme of causation versus correlation, and under empirically similar sets of this section's narrative, are many possible thought experiments. For example, perhaps somebody unhoused and on drugs may be more likely to convince people they are wealthy or high value due to confidence in contrast to somebody who is wealthy and simultaneously knowledgeable of societal problems. Perceptions are what you may call norms, cliques, culture fit, fads, or some sort of pet rock type phenomena. We award mediocrity due to actual versus real value being a perceived measurable

status that results from the chasing of ultimate pleasure. The homelessness study is hyperbolic. However, there was an actual homeless guy in New York who convinced hundreds of women to be partners with him over time due to what he calls confidence games [130]. He could have what one considers high emotional intelligence, but low perceivable cognitive intelligence.

In irony, the best saints are likely the most cognitively logical. Given societal norms tend to focus on the worst inner problems of human nature, the saints that were hated the most (or killed the most), had to know of a zero net sum gain for them doing what they did. This in reference to an emotional outcome, earthly (flawed societal) perspective. The most emotionally intelligent, normative thing to do would have been to hide in a cave and let paganism or sex orgies continue to be the norm. Hierarchical structures and the way perspective partners are analyzed, perceived or accepted are in essence centered around self interest or self pleasure. True love is mostly an anomaly. Therefore, society tends respond to by embracing mediocrity. We even limit our own free speech and expression in order to fit what is within the holder of norms. This person (hierarchy) did this mistake,

and they are higher up then you, therefore say nothing due to you being in x (lesser status) position. For example, had he followed societal norms, a person like Edward Snowden would have never exposed what he exposed. Neither would Abouna Zacharias Botros have been virtually orchestrated and excommunicated for apparent safety reasons.

Even in consideration to the ridiculousness of John McAfee, understand the information McAfee may have known. In the pursuit of being outside normative society, many ideas that seem fringe are most probable. For example, the idea of veganism could also be looked at as broadly unethical. We in turn comfort ourselves by awarding mediocrity rather than pursuing the most factual, logical, and correct thing to do. Afterwards, society puts its fists up in the air screaming at the outliers who cared enough. We often consider these hypothetical caring outliers of society as often arrogant and awful. We award people like Trump or Biden over people like Kennedy or even Kim Dotcom. The more hyperlogical people are, the more society thinks they should be orchestrated or perhaps even killed. Through the scope of societal modernity, emotional intelligence in essence is a fallacy.

When a mass populous welcomed peak logical tendencies throughout history, this is what in theory lead to the best possible outcomes or lowest military conflicts. Due to truth being absolute, it is crucial to revere facts over feelings. This isn't a lack of empathy, but rather the most empathetic of society. We can choose to award Jackson Pollock or ignore Van Ghogh, Claude Monet, and some of the greats. We can award Edison or ignore Nicola Tesla. Even the way we measure intelligence is relative. For those who think certain people's IQ is too high, IQ tests have already been proven by Taleb as being a cognitively bias or scientifically fraudulent way to push eugenics on people with down syndrome [133]. Technically, a paper on the method for getting everything on an IQ test universally correct all the time may be a topic worth merit. Other causation versus correlative topics might include how Bitcoin benefits centralization towards GPU manufacturers and certain governments, while simultaneously predeceasing the conversations for adopting digital central banking currencies. Bitcoin is also centralized due to BIP standards and a limited amount of overseers of its code maintainers. Likewise, Ethereum on the other hand is extremely centralized. This is especially in consideration to what happened to their testnet. Putting cryptocurrency and fiat aside, the supposedly conservative Nixon had the United States abandon its gold standard. Most of money's altruistic value is relative, and sometimes even an illusion of control. Infact, who are society's actual arbitrators of control?

2 Origins of Humanity

2.1 The e1b1b Haplogroup

The majority of fully ethnic Coptic Egyptians have the e1b1b haplogroup [44], which is shared with Ethiopians. King Solomon was the emperor of Ethiopia, and judging by King Solomon's lust for foreign women, it may be that Copts have an extremely common ancestor. Although race is a social construct, the tower of Babel, along with hereditary clues in the Bible offer some insights. The same is for contrasting scientific analysis for corrupt DNA, and healthy Melanosomes sequences. Theoretically, there is a genetically superior skin color that outweighs the rest in terms of best health outcome. This is just a stated fact, and judging somebody on the health of their skin of melanomic sequence is like judging someone for whatever alignment, mark, sickness, personality, or genetics that make them human. That would be sickening. That would be a lack of understanding within fundamental science. Now that said, the Israeli Nazarene presented in the gospel narrative likely had a quite specific pigmentation. The context we are proving is in the fact that ethnic lineage isn't based off of geolocation, but actual DNA or genomic ancestral origin. If Copts come from King Solomon, we are of Judaic origin. The flight to Egypt is hence literal (Christ being with a genetic connection to the e1b1 haplotype would biologically connect Egyptians, Syrians, and Israelis to God), and allegorical in the sense that this was an escape from a mass infanticide going on by Harold.

In relationship to the science and social constructs of race in general, creating a way for humans to all be the same skin color might theoretically have less bioethical ramifications than CRISPR or the traditional Cas-9 Binding method. This is if you look at it from the perspective of perhaps minimizing skin cancer. In theory, somebody could create a protein binding method form of delivery to fix broken

transcription of DNA. This would be in relationship to the most optimally healthy amount of melanosomes. However, does that cross ethical boundaries? Also, could God be upset with everyone having inherently the same skin color? Since race is a social construct, perhaps a means of fixing broken genomic sequences is some form of medicine. When are the lines crossed? This however, can never change your actual original genetic lineage. That would be a form of pseudoscience, and at the very least philosophically or historically non-conforming.

Now in relationship to the Coptic DNA, they are basically

1. The closest half-cousins to Muslims
2. Partially related to the Pharaohs, more specifically King Tut and the 18th dynasty.
3. Related to Ham and Shem
4. Fully ethnically Jewish from the tribe of Judah [141] through King Solomon
5. Related to King David
6. Related to the first king to establish Christianity as a country's official religion [6]
7. Related to both Syriac and Israeli lineage
8. Taught by St. Mark, who was a Levite [89]

Other things to consider is that most gentiles are genetically Europeans. Asians and the west are from either Japeth [47] or from Ham. They likely do not consist of a high degree of lineage traceable to Shem. One might also consider the stories of hieroglyphics as what was originally supposed to be the story of history. It instead became the prior hypothesized great deception.

Now we must consider ethnic groups such as the Sashu [53], along with various genetic traces including Adam, Noah, Abraham, Isaac, Jacob, Moses, and David. Also, take into consideration the ancient Mesopotamian people or stories of captivity such as the narrative mentioned in the book of Daniel. Consider the voices of Ezra, and Jeremiah. Consider the return to Jerusalem, Maccabees, who St. John the Baptist was, and even who Jesus Christ was and is. If you look at apostolic succession being Christianity's (New Testament) answer to the replacement of lineal succession, many pieces do interconnect. This provides history in the context of Alexandrian popes, church schisms, Islam and what world history may inform us about the kingdom surrounding the People of God. Coptic DNA provides clues to these stories, and the Coptic language along with some hereditary clues could make this even more compelling.

The olive-green pigmentation of many Copts makes sense. Again, Coptic Egyptians by DNA are fully ethnic Jews. The Sashu lived in Egypt, Israel and Syria. These are the ethnic Israelites and coincidentally the areas of genetic origin for the followers of Oriental Orthodoxy. Again, the DNA of a fully ethnic Copt, has the haplotype E1b1 which is a haplotype shared with Ethiopia. Who was the King of Ethiopia? The House of Solomon [54]. This must be of the lineage or Talmudic line of David. In Christianity, Old Testament Judaism is fulfilled by the New Testament. Old testament Judaism no longer exists. Most core traditions and rituals are gone. Likewise the chosen people of God, again are also coincidentally most genetically related to Oriental Orthodox communities that exist today. They may even likely be more linked than Haredi Jews. Only fifteen to thirty percent of modern Jews have the Haplogroup E-M215 [45]. They are those that are likely similar genetically to Copts. Nearly seventy percent of modern Jews aren't Jews in the case that they might not genetically trace to the

pure root of the Sashu people. Does the broad Oriental Orthodox genetic line in contrast to the Pharisees' genetic line warrant significant tribal differences in DNA? They do! The Pharisees had Patrilineal ancestry to the Levites. They were Zadoks by core ethnicity. This could make the cross-referenced verses in John 5:45 and John 8:39 even more vivid, if that is the case. Copts on the other hand, are more related to the Davidic line which comes from the tribe of Judah. This is where Christ comes from.

Judah is a descendent of Shem. However, the Egyptians that were descendants of Ham do not share a common genetic link. Copts are more related to the enslaved people of Exodus rather than descendants of all the Pharaohs. Yet again, some Pharaohs share the lineage of Copts. Roman Alexandria had an occupancy that included thirty five percent ancestral Jews [92]. St. Mark was a Levite and Libyan. The Levites were not enslaved [112]. In summary, Copts are most likely genetically tied to the tribe of Judah. Some Copts have shared DNA from Ham but mostly Shem. The person who converted ethnic Roman Alexandrian Jews to Christianity was a Levite from a priestly class. The Danites were tribal chiefs and likely the Tribal Chiefs as Jewish judges intermingled with the Levite class to create the Zadoks [58]. The Zadoks are the ethnic group category of the Pharisees. The tribe of Benjamin is the ravenous wolf, which may parallel the Apostle Paul who became the peaceful wolf due to his conversion. The gentiles and preaching of the gentiles are done through Paul and the New Testament covenant allowed for gentiles to now be a part of salvation. This set even more precedence for the idea of genetic and ethical lineage being replaced by apostolic succession.

Muhammad (if he existed in his known singular sense), was related to Ismael. Some may chose to believe that Muhammad was related to Shem as well but that is loosely proven. In relationship to other genetic contrasts, some

might believe the elite class of today could also be of the Zadok class. One may even go as far as to likewise theorize that the Antichrist might come from either that class or from the Danites. Tribe number six and genetic tribal cross-mixing may have been common. The King Tiridates III of Armenia came from the tribe of Judah [64] as a lineage. Perhaps, even the kings that spread Christianity all may have come from the tribe of Judah. That imaginative idea is hard to proof due to lack of data. Constantine the Great's DNA for example, has been difficult to find. The kingdom in Ezekiel 37:15-28 where Christ is from the tribe of Judah, might also share this type of kingly genetic link. Back on the topic of Islam, in regards to Coptic Egyptians, given the Hagar connection, they are likely the closest half cousins with Muslims then all others.

In consideration of potential Coptic ancestry, King Tut and the 18th dynasty worshipped Aten. Aten was a monotheistic triune God [24] that may have been actually a euphemism for Yahweh. Some of the Pharaohs related to the Copts could still potentially be of the lineage of Ham, but believing in the Jewish God of

the lineage of Shem. This might make sense due to them both being from the prelineage of Abraham. Europeans and Asians are related to Japeth, the son of Noah. Those are Gentiles, but not Copts. Fully related to Ham are also gentiles, which again are not Copts. Copts could even be partially related to Ham, and they would still be Ethnically Jewish by virtue of Solomon and the tribe of Judah. Solomon after all had a lust for foreign women. Pharaonic lineages are a more broad section, but within this context, Thutmose III and Jacob the Patriarch might be the same person. Although, it may still be a considerably small chance. However, the time periods do align. Judas is from the tribe of Dan [33], one of the tribes not mentioned in the book of Revelations. In line with similar interests, another topic of exploration could be researching the Saminites, who are the group in which Pilot [63] is related to. It might also be worth exploring the bloodline of Herod the Great. You can even take into consideration what Alexander the great's genealogy may be, or Abraham's marriage to Keturah, who bore him six more sons Zimran, Jokshan, Medan, Midian, Ishbak and Shuah.

2.1.1 Coptic and the Origin of Language

The Coptic language can tell us interesting tales. This is even true in relationship to names. For example, for the author (myself), the baptismal name for myself is Abanoub. St. Mark founded the Coptic Church, and the author's original last name isn't supposed to be Kamal. It is Nassief. The name Markos Abanoub Nassief means The Shining [8] Father of Gold [8] who is Fair Minded [8]. St. Mark is affiliated with Egypt due to the Coptic Church, along with Israel due to him being a Levite. Abanoub was born in Egypt at the Nile District [105]. The surname Nassief is of Syriac and Lebanese order. The apocalyptic event for the fall of Babylon was referenced in Isaiah 13:10, this parallels what Mark referenced in 13:10. Egypt was already plagued for their adultery in the OT. The Nile being dried and the cities destroyed [156], will be the newer plague. This is from the book of Revelations within Maddeggo. The apocalypse of Abraham also references the destroying of Idolatry. Our own personal end of the world is when we die, so our core focus should always be God.

An interesting thought experiment could be a conventional naming system. In theory, the shining father of Gold who is fair-minded, and manly, glorious in perfection is how the first Adam would likely have been described prior to the great deception. Father may in that sense mean an earthly father. **Gold** means the glory of God. Adam not falling, would have meant the Glory of God. Let us look at the 20th century's great Pope Kyrillos as another example. Azer means surrounding




Figure 2.1: Matching Algorithm for Names

something or **fire**. **Youssef** means increases in abundance or God increases. **Kyillos** means in Hebrew (not Greek), Bright, Lordly and Strong. So Kyrillos coming first would mean Bright or Shining. This would translate to the, "Shining and increasing fire of God, increased in Abundance as a Gift. This also means, the Shining Glory of God in Abundance increased as a Gift. Perhaps a hypothesis could be that within traditionally Egyptian, Syriac or Israeli names, a translation involving Hebrew or Coptic would be personifications for or a description of God. If you try this with more feminine names, you would get a personification of somebody (or something) else. That somebody else is a euphemism for sacrificial love or something that may even personify nice objects with phrases like the Beloved Wine.

Again, through the lens of Coptic DNA and language would be a tale of humanity's fall, the Adam type, the New Adam, and civilization in general. Many people don't know that from said language, at least from a heramatics or lineage perspective, that the Coptic ethnicity closely parallels a resemblance of what very well is the history of civilization. This is the beauty of Copts in the perspective of heritage.

Back to the proposed thought experiment; let us imagine a hypothetical matching algorithm:

1. In this match-rank algorithm is a ranking system for male and females.
2. The system include both male roles along with forms of sacrificial love for females.
3. The system integrates a numerical weight for each of those descriptors.
4. Females, who are adoptively made in the likeness of men through the female role typography, integrates a ranking in which the highest weight for them would be slightly less then the higher weight for men. Then it decrements.

There are other non-related linguistic patterns that may be of interest. Amen means to be it but so be it would be a men amen where it would mean "a men to be it" and "a men so be it", which seems to parallel the Adam types. The actual modern Coptic language has some hieroglyphic inspiration, but is loosely based off Greek as well. The lineage and language of Copts tells us that our ultimate purpose is to be with God and to harmoniously experience that ultimate joy together.

2.1.2 Pharaonic Lineages

There are many parallels with Pharaohs, and old testament characters. Infact, there is a premise of Adam and Eve being the first Pharaohs, or patriarchs like Abraham, Jacob and Isaac as well as perhaps even royal Jewish Kings being Pharaohs as well.

Here is a potential list of theories:

1. Sekhemre Wahkhau Rahotep - Arpachshad
2. Sobekemsaf I - Cainan
3. Sobekemsaf II - Selah
4. Sekhemre-Wepmaat Intef-aa - Joktan
5. Nubkheperre Intef - Peleg
6. Senakhtenre Ahmose - Reu
7. Seqenenre Tao - Serug
8. Ahmose I - Nahor, son of Serug
9. Amenemhat III - Job
10. Senseneb - Amatlai
11. Amenhotep I - Terah
12. Thutmose I - Abraham
13. Thutmose II - Isaac
14. Satiah - Leah
15. Merytre-Hatshepsut - Rachel
16. Thutmose III - Jacob
17. Thuya - Asenath
18. Amenemhat - Levi

19. Amenhotep III - Potipherah
20. Yuya or Ankhu or Yauyebi of Egypt - Joseph
21. Amenhotep II - Judah
22. Thutmose IV - Perez
23. Anen - Manasseh
24. Tiye - Adinah and/or Dinah
25. Nefertari - Jochebed
26. Ay - Pharaoh of Exodus (who is also Ephraim)
27. Hatshepsut - Pharaoh's Daughter
28. Akhenaten - Moses
29. Meketaten - Mariam
30. Smenkhkare - Aaron
31. Ramses II - Achan or likely a Hittite/Canaanite or Sudanese King

Rahotep is known as the son of Re. Arpachshad is one of the sons of Shem, and he is the 12th mentioned of the Abrahamic genealogy. He is postdiluvian, which means that he came after the flood. This could be why Rahotep is depicted as forming an offering to Osiris on behalf of some diseased. He upheld a temple for Min, whose sexual youth represents the Nile's dampness. Rahotep is part of the 17th dynasty of Pharaonic kings, which would likely make sense within the timeframe of a post-flood's historical period. That would make his son Cainan if he is tied to biblical narrative, and Cainan's son would be Selah. Selah is an ancestor of the Virgin Mary. If this was that Pharaoh, then

he mined Wadi Hammamat, which is a part of the Wadi route. However, this is not the same Wadi route that was part of the flight to Egypt by the Holy Family. His wooden chest that he was buried in was robbed, but yet it received minor damage comparable to what one may call a parallelism for undecayed wood.

Next, let us consider the Rabbinic figure Joktan. Joktan comes from Eber and is one of the descendant sons of Noah. He was also considered a potential candidate for a South Arabian king, who was merely south of the ancient Yemeni region. This might help in the theory of aligning him with Sekhemre-Wepmaat Intef-aa. Joktan may be an ancestor to the Qahtn tribe, and the name of Sekhemre-Wepmaat Intef-aa is translated to "His father bought him, the great", while Qahtn is translated to "a name" or "the name of a tribe". Peleg is next in line, and this would be the brother of Sekhemre-Wepmaat Intef-aa, who likely co-reigned as Nubkheperre Intef. Coincidentally, Nubkheperre Intef did co-reign or at the very least was the brother of Sekhemre-Wepmaat Intef-aa. Next is Reu, he is born at the beginning of the Babylonian fall (The Tower of Babel). This fall could be why Senakhtenre Ahmose and his monuments were difficult to identify or commonly attested.

Afterwards, we now have Seqenenre Tao as Serug. Serug was a sorcerer and his dates were unknown, likewise was the reign as well as ages of Seqenenre Tao. Going down the lineage, Ahmose I is Nahor. Nahor is the grandfather of Abraham. Ahmose I is the founder of the 18th dynasty which prominently focused on monotheism. Amenemhat III is Job, as he was a Pharaoh who struggled prior to kingship and still held to Amun, massively growing the wealth of Egypt. Next would be Amatlai, a women according to Talmudic literature, and this might be a parallelism for Senseneb. Senseneb was the mother of the King. After Amatlai came Terah, and after Terah begot Abraham. Abraham is Thutmose I, and the promised child Isaac by

inheritance would then be Thutmose II. Satiah would then be Leah, Merytre-Hatshepsut is the second royal spouse, or in the rabbinic narrative perhaps Rachel.

The rich Thutmose III is Jacob, and many scholars debate this to be a possibility. Jacob and the 18th dynasty are Sashu, and they are betrayed by the 19th dynasty who are polytheists wanting to enslave them. Thuya is Asenath. Asenath is the mother of Manasseh and Ephraim. Ephraim by default would later also be a Pharaoh. Amenemhat is Levi, and Amenhotep III is Potipherah. This would make sense if you consider Potipherah's daughter, and him later becoming monotheistic. Yuya or Ankhu or Yauyebi of Egypt is Joseph. This would make sense given the special burial in the Valley of Kings. Likewise, the monotheistic Amenhotep II is Judah. That would make Thutmose IV as Perez in honor of his grandfather, and Anen is Manasseh. Tiye is detestable and might be Adinah and/or Dinah.

Next is the famous Nefertari who is Jochebed. Jochebed is the mother of Aaron, Moses and Mariam. She may have directly been a Levite daughter. Nefertari's connection to the 18th dynasty is questionable, and more then likely her being tied to Ramesses II isn't in a traditional spousal manner. Joachabed is born in Egypt, and she was the cousin of Amram's father, but yet this aunt still married Amram. This was likely for the continuation of lineage. Worth noting is that since Joachabed was instructed by Pharaoh's daughter to be able to nurse the adoptive Moses, she may have been mistakenly interpreted as some sort of royal spouse, later leading to confusion. This may be in the fact that the privilege she was granted is likely uncommon, and her being of older age. Ay may be the actual Pharaoh of Exodus, given the time discrepancies for Ramesses II.

Ay is the rebellious Ephraim. Ephraim tricked Manasseh who was supposed to be the patriarch.

Ephraim is also of royal Egyptian lineage due to this his mother. Ephraim becomes the rejected tribe, and they worship foreign Gods through intermingling. Hatshepsut is Pharaoh's daughter. She is known to be strong-willed, and she may have been only slightly older than Moses. Many scholars think that Moses must have been adopted by her, and in turn she was that infamous Pharaoh's daughter. Akhenaten restores monotheism, and focuses on the worship of a one true triune God, he opens the waters, and likewise worships a similar God as the Sashu. Akhenaten parallels Moses. Meketaten isn't the daughter of Moses, but actually his sister whom he resourcefully supports during his royalty.

The tomb of Akhenaten is often debated, as with the body of Moses being never found. Smenkhkare is Aaron. He has an unknown background, and he co-reigns with his brother (Moses) Akhenaten. The late Ramesses II may have been Achan, but this is highly unlikely.

2.1.3 The Tribe of Judah

The Tribe of Judah is the most important of all tribes, because this tribe was where the Messiah was supposed to come from [84]. This is the tribe of the new Adam or uniting the Lion and the Lamb. The tribe of Judah is the tribe that Christ came from [84]. Jesus came from this tribe rather than the levites, and yet he was a priest. How can a priest come from a non-priestly tribe? It is because Christ is (as mentioned), a priest according to the order of Melchizedek. Out of all characters in the old testament narrative, perhaps Melchizedek is the most intriguing.

It says about him: For it is clear that our Lord descended from Judah, and in regard to that tribe Moses said nothing about priests. 15 And what we have said is even more clear if another priest like Melchizedek appears, 16 one who has become a priest not on the basis of a regulation as to his ancestry but on the basis of the power of an indestructible life [152].

Melchizedek plainly seems to have appointed himself as priest. He was the power of an indestructible life. In regards to appointed priestly duties, they are said to have belonged to a different tribe. No one from that tribe has ever served at the altar [100]. In parallel, Jesus is the Alter, he served us before we served him. Also, if the greater blesses the lesser [94] than John the Baptist a Levite cannot be greater than Melchizedek. This same Melchizedek, who again have plainly been called God in the scrolls

Ramesses II was however tricked by spies at a infamous battle. Ramesses II most probably isn't Achan. He is more likely a Hittite, Nubian, or within Ham's ancestral lineage. King Solomon had a lust for foreign women, including Hittites, and the daughter of a wife of Ramesses II or some ancestral women may have married Solomon in his global conquest. Ramesses II could be a potential genetic link for Copts. Still, we are theorizing him to be but a mere regular Pharaoh. Contradictory, in relationship to Ephraim's death: Hosea 13, verse 15 includes the phrase, "That will dry up his spring, and leave his fountain dry." Also, Hosea 9:16 mentions Ephraim's offspring as being "struck down". Ephraim is unlikely buried within the Cave of the Patriarchs or the Sanctuary of Abraham. Therefore, he is more likely the Pharaoh of Exodus who drowned in his conquest to enslave the 18th dynasty monotheistic Sashu, and the counterparts of his own brother.

[38]. To say Jesus is a priest according to the order of the King of Righteousness's priesthood, but also say that Jesus and Melchizedek are separate Christologies doesn't make sense. It seems more likely that Melchizedek was the pre-incarnate Christ. Originally those who disagreed were Arians and Jewish scholars [93]. These were scholars who came after the time of Christ. These are likely the ones that wrote texts inspiring religious society to believe in a Melchizedek who was not the preincarnate

Christ.

Melchizedek appears 10 times (his name mentioned) in 3 passages in the Bible. Again, he is the current king of Sadek or Jerusalem before the existence of Jerusalem. Temple zero is not Mount Zion or Tabor, it is Melchizedek's temple [124]. Why would Melchizedek plainly have a temple and be granted automatically ten percent of Abraham's tithes, greet Abraham with wine and bread [96], or appear before Abraham first encounters God, but yet Abraham is later able to tell apart who God is from a group of three (the other two are angels) [151]? These parallels don't seem to point to plainly a man. Most likely temple zero belonged to the preincarnate Christ and since Melchizedek can't serve or doesn't have traditional alter roles, this feels like it must have been the preincarnate Christ. In terms of physical temples we have: The first is Melchizedek (Temple 0), the second is Solomon's temple (Temple 1), and the third is the Temple Mount or Herod's Temple (Temple 2). Many theologians still think of only two temples when infact there exists three. Likewise, there are three actual temples in the spiritual sense, all within a singular body: The Pre-incarnate (Likely Melchizedek), The Incarnate (Taking our weak fleshly body), and the Resurrected (The Glorified Body). This is likely what Christ meant when he said he will destroy the temple in three days. The sacrificial curtain is broken. He is the final sacrifice. Any attempt at a third temple (well actually fourth), is an actual denial of this.

What the modern church knows externally of Melchizedek being a person rather than the preincarnate Christ comes from Eusebius of Nicomedia [75], and many other Arian priests who wrote extensively on the subject? They may have been more incentivised to be bias, because if Melchizedek is the Pre-incarnate, this may be the best proof of a Miaphysite nature in the old testament. Christology is a very complex topic and the early church fathers struggled to

tackle every single subtopic. They had more focus on the empirical linguistics and heramatics for what the Nature of Christ is. This probably came before even having the opportunity to tackle topics like Melchizedek. A text used as proof of Melchizedek not being Christ comes from a story on a monk known as Abba Daniel being corrected by Abba Cyril on Melchizedek. However, the reliability is extremely questionable. This was likely mistranslated or fabricated because of the Catena Severi [116], which was written 400 to 500 years later. Surely such a critical vision by a monk in a deeply religious topic during the time monasticism and writings were flourishing taking so long to translate should draw suspicion on its authenticity. The scholarly work of Arians, or competing religious groups such as the Crusades, could have at some point fabricated works. If their history of murdering those they are in disagreement with indicated no form of moral guilt, what makes one so sure scholarly honesty would be a tipping point?

Also in relationship to Melchizedek being a son of Shem (a popular belief), this would indicate similar genetic lineage to the Levite priesthood. This doesn't make sense given the uniqueness of Melchizedek's priesthood. He is described by the Apostle Paul as with "no beginning and no end", and "no father or mother" [153]. As previously mentioned, so much is to be said about a singular biblical character who is mentioned only a few times, but can be source material for thousands of pages of writing, studies, or religious literature. Melchizedek is among the broadest of characters in the gospel, yet he is extremely briefly mentioned. He is a contested character among scholars, church schisms, philosophers, theologians, Rabbis, Priests, and the like.

Back to the topic of the tribe of Judah who included lineage tied to Armenian Kings, Christ, King David, King Solomon and now perhaps Oriental Orthodoxy and Coptic Egyptians, this

would be one of the most genetically fruitful tribes if even half these statements were correct. King Solomon had quite the amount of wives, along with likewise his father David having many wives, but Solomon, the direct offspring of David, is what lead to the continuation of Copts being full ethnic Jews by the tribal rights of Judah. Those with less lineage to Ham are also more likely to share the olive-green

pigmentation that Christ was described as acquiring [52]. Christianity should be considered the religion of the Old Testament as well. The older generations were waiting on what was promised to be fulfilled. Christ is the fulfillment of prophecy and the continuation of the law through terms more oriented towards morality post-salvation rather than rules to prepare for the coming of the Messiah.

2.2 DNA is the Code of Humanity

DNA tells us many things about religion and history. It may even get others questioning various formalities and unknowns in regards to world religions. The gospel narrative (particularly the Coptic Bible, the Amharic Bible, the Septuagint, and the Oxford Standard Translations (including the Apocrypha)), hold quite deep contextual genetic clues. In particular, Arius for example may have been the "prophet" Mani who started Manichaeism. Arius, a berber, was the promoter of the Arian heresy. Ammonius Saccas and Ammonius of Alexandria may be one of the same. Ammonius was the name of Arius' father as well, though it is questionable if these candidates are one of the same. Ammonius is the reason Neoplatonism flourished and was created. Neoplatonism also influences a variety of Free Mason philosophy.

The name Ammonius is also synonymous with Amon, though he was considered by some a Christian. If Arius was the offspring of Ammonius, this would make sense given some dualistic tendencies and the idea of sub-ordination that Arius had. The teacher of Arius was likely Lucian of Samosata, which would make sense given that the father of Arius may have been critical of Platonism as well. The codex found in Egypt, known as Cologne Mani-Codex is one of the main accounts of Mani. Mani may have also been influenced by Scythianus, who was Alexandrian. Scythianus was of both Scythian (Greek) and Saracen (Saudi/Arabian) birth. He was also living within the cities of Palestine. He is the ancient predecessor to Mani.

He also used the name Buddhas [55], St. Cyril of Jerusalem in theory, excommunicates various priests influenced by Mani. Likewise, St. Athanasius of Alexandria excommunicates Arius. St. Cyril of Alexandria nearly a century later (maybe influenced by St. Cyril of Jerusalem and his life) excommunicates Nestorius, which by some might be viewed as Arianism-lite because

of Paul of Samosata. The genetic ancestor or lineal teacher of Mani, was likely the Buddha, or claimed to be a Buddha nearly 5 to 7 centuries after Siddhartha Gautama or the first Buddha. Mani is a Buddha type or has the right of Buddha if that is the case. Mani parallels Arius tremendously, that perhaps a theory may be that Arius is Mani or taught Mani. In the case of Mani being parallel to Islam, one might theorize that perhaps Arius was either actually Muhammad or the ancestor to Muhammad, especially when looking at Quarashi tribal DNA or comparing ties to a Saracen-birthed philosopher. In Buddhism, the Maitreya is the lord, or the loving one. In Islam, the messiah is called El Mahdi, and parallels the Maitreya of Buddhism. Mani calls himself the seal of the prophets, just like Muhammad. Arius likewise, talks about subordination, and eventually influences many non-trinitarian doctrines.

Arianism is orated as the truth of Islam before Islam by some Islamic scholars. The Syrian monk that prophesied of the greatness of Muhammad as well as Muhammad's wife's Khadija, espe-

cially through her cousin Waraqah ibn Nawfal in friendship with Muhammad's uncle were Muhammad's first witnesses. Waraqah ibn Nawfal was supposedly a Christian convert, but more or less likely an excommunicated Coptic priest, while the Syrian monk Bahira was Arian. Bahira is later called Sergius, and likewise, Lucian's native tongue was also Syriac. After Arius was excommunicated, he stayed in Palestine, a core area where Scythianus and his philosophies are flourishing. In coincidence as well, the halo-group for the Quarashi include Iranian, Berber, and/or Greek halotypes [51]. Arius died through poisoning, as did Muhammad. Mani died in prison. He may have been poisoned, executed, or hung [131].

In Islamic narratives, some of the Idol worshippers who worshipped with the black stone in Mecca were followers of Manichaeism. Manichaeism was growing alongside Christianity. Given the resemblance, Arius may have actually been Mani who may have been Muhammad, and Islam is just a more modern translation of Manichaeism through three centuries. A more likely accepted scenario may be that Arius could have been the ancestor of Muhammad, as it seems like Arius/Mani was potentially the genetic ancestor of the Quarashi tribe. After all, the traditions of Islamic men having beards, women wearing scarf coverings, men and women sitting on separate sides during prayer, were commonly practiced in the Judeo-Christian narrative. In regards to coverings, Berbers had a style more commonly used with Islam for males, and this was the Ghabanah or Hejazi turban. Arius is commonly portrayed as wearing the Ghabanah or Hejazi turban. A style more or less, but likely less of the traditional priestly style you would think of in Oriental Orthodoxy such as the Skufia or Mitre. Likely, given Arius being a berber, the less traditional apparel was expected or at least somewhat tolerated during his clerical times.

These are the type of clues in terms of po-

tential hereditary influence that can be looked at through the scope of theory. Free Masonry in concept for example, is the belief of sacred knowledge tied to world religions, society, and the rulers or "enlightened" of society one might say. Free Masonry, is a hedonistic cult, oriented towards self-pleasure and the means of growth through developmental hierarchy. Free Masonry in essence, promotes the originator of that knowledge as enlightened, just like Lucifer was called the angel of light. These groups believe that the devil, who has fallen, or in previous instances Apip created world religions in part with humanity taking the forbidden fruit of knowledge, and created the worshipping of these enlightened ones or case in point, fallen angels. The Christian narrative is that this is an act of treason and heresy towards God, because self pleasure and this form of hierarchical growth only leads to total self destruction. The mentalities of hedonism would align optimistically with Utilitarianism and pessimistically with Nihilism. Free Masonry also likely influenced other cults such as the works of occultist Aleister Crowley, Anton Levy, Zeitgeist or even infiltrated areas of modern evangelical Christianity through philosophers like René Girard. In theory, Lucifer or the devil is likely trying to parody God who is the true "light of the world".

The narrative of satanism and secularism is that you can be a God, God-like or at the very least be your own God. They view God as a means of control. However, the Christian narrative is that God gave us autonomy and free will, he is the father and creator of all, and wants to come with loving arms granting us peace. There is no reason for God to have made humans. Men and (women adoptively made in his image and likeness) made in God's image and likeness, which not even the higher benevolent angels fully receive, is viewed as his most magnificent creation, to be loved and cherished and have dominion. Instead of what God commanded, they immediately rebelled through

a great deception, and the world became corrupted. The corrupter hates moral law, and this is why the chasing of self pleasures can lead to a one size fits all perspective, where nothing is unethical as long as it is for your enjoyment. This tends to create war, robbery, and the worst of all humanity. In theory, the people who tend to love the corrupter and embrace the Masonic lineage through the puppetry of this corrupter, want power and control. They may even be from the Zadok priestly class for all society may know (in origin), or perhaps from the tribe of Dan which is represented sometimes with a snake bronze-plate. Perhaps puppeteers of this tribal class don't need to originate from Dan genetically, but are doing these tribal ancestors' works.

Other interesting concepts might be the idea that the founders of all the world's major religions outside of Orthodoxy ((Christianity), and ofcourse traditional Judaism (when Christ was crucified, the sacrificial curtain broke, in 70 CE, or nearly 40 years after his resurrection, the Sacrificial temple also the actual physical third temple broke putting an end to Judaism the same year the orally compiled narrative of Christ is now being transcribed [90])), could potentially be within Masonic bloodlines, along with various "rulers of this world" or "princes of this world". Other odd coincidences include Elon being a judge that is later associated with wealth within the rabbinic narrative. Yuval Hirari from the world economic forum also seems to be in tune with transhumanism. The technologies that Elon is funding, and the technologies that Yuval is promoting tend to either have a theme of automation or biological autonomy. Also coincidentally, enough people seem to idolize material wealth with figures of status or religious authority.

A study where they asked random participants how they would envision Christ looking today, ended up creating the imagery of Elon Musk when replicated through an image generating algorithm [91]. Joshua Norman, Winnifred

Josephine Fletcher, Jeremiah Haldeman, Joshua Norman are all perennial to Elon Musk [10]. Joshua Norman is considered an anti-semite by historians [76] (Elon is not responsible for the discouraging actions of Mr. Norman and shouldn't be, as this person is pre-linear and it is statically improbable any of our own ancestors didn't do something atrocious). Norman however, wanted a technocracy and an elite class of tech savvy entrepreneurs ruling the society [76]. This seems very in tune with most of the technologies centered around automation and biological autonomy. X is a symbol within Free Masonry, and sometimes tied to Atonists [122]. If pharaonic ties to Aten and old testament narratives may be true, this could mean that Musk may have lineage tied to the tribe of Dan or be of Free Mason lineage. This ofcourse doesn't necessarily make somebody coherently bad, or mean that someone should draw lose assumptions. However, he did wear the apparel of a Satanic knight as a costume. Elon does seem to have an eccentric persona where he at the very least trolls or hints at the idea of him being occultic. This is unusual.

Picking up on the idea of the occult and ancient Egyptian mythology is Aliester Crowley's branch of mysticism known as Thatism. Picking up on the idea of secularism or atheism is that humanism is a philosophy that you are responsible for your own life (your own God). Secularists are most likely going to pursue Transhumanism, because if one is responsible for your own life without an arbitrator, they seize to exist at the end of their life through an anti-theistic, naturalist view. All these seem to have the common theme of pride or (power/wealth/money) that is a result or entitlement of pride. The biblical narrative describes "money as the root of all evil", and in this sense, the Jews were looking for a Messianic earthly ruler likely to stomp out the Romans. Just like Cain who murdered his kin, Ephraim (the terror of Israel), and now the Pharisees, it seemed clear that their hearts were hardened.

It even implies that even before many of the Apostles fully came into terms with Christ, or he was crucified, his conspirators knew who he was. Caiaphas who was of the Sadducees united with the Pharisees in crucifying Christ. He seemingly asserted that Christ is the Messiah or redemption of the world [69].

Again, in genetic parallelism, it is worth instating that Judas was of Dan's lineage as a parallel. The seed of the devil is sin, especially given he is the father of all lies, and quite literally the creator of the "great deception". By Grace, we are justified (and his grace which saved us). When saying for all have sinned but... [155], and noticing the parallelism of the word grace and "full of Grace", as well as in Genesis 3:15 and the serpent's enmity [155], clearly the Virgin Mary is spared from the corruption of original sin. She is the immaculate conception in this sense, but still needs a savior due to her being spared because of the uniqueness of her role. Since Genesis, it is clear that she is the vessel for the pre-incarnate to enter into our weaker flesh. She is a perpetual Virgin, and any evidence of Christ having brothers are either in the spiritual, adoptive, step-brotherly, or cousinly sense. Many people leaning more towards the idea of cousinly seems to be in common correlation within Western sects of Christianity, while others are emphasizing step-brothers within variations of Eastern or Orthodoxy. Either way, the Virgin Mary's virginity is perpetual, and Joseph who didn't know much about her until after the promise, is historically considered a father figure for both the virgin Mary and the Christ child.

Growing up, Christ elevates Jewish law, this is why he emphasizes taking it a step further in the spiritual sense of levitical law, and this priest that comes from a greater priesthood, is another key parallelism. The Old testament law was for us to connect to God, and this is why humanity needed all these rules, but now there

is a deeper connection, and the highest law is shown through fruits. This is why with lineage from the tribe of Judah through Mary's virginal conception and adoptively through Mary's cousin Joseph, Christ's priesthood far exceeds genetics. He is resurrected, and this is what matters spiritually. The final temple is now the glorified body or the resurrected Christ. This is and what was the completion of the prophecies, the continuation of the newer law, which is the law of the heart.

Babylonians, responsible for our tribal fall through the tower, had the intent to build a staircase to heaven. They would have never succeeded whether God intervened or not, but divine punishment was the justification on the intent. If one does not need judgement to reach the heavens, especially before the redemption, then they are claiming themselves equivalent to God. The destruction happening was the empirical logic of showing judgement or divine punishment on them directly or early as a result. Christianity is the religion of the old testament as well, because the waiters of the fulfilment (old), were no longer waiters (new). In relationship to the Apostles, Pentecostal anointing, etc., the apostolic lineage is now the replacement of the genetic lineage. This opened the door for gentiles, and the converted Paul now becomes a preacher to the gentiles as well, through apostolic acceptance (succession). Even though he was already picked by God, he still had to be baptized by somebody very specific, and he still worked his way into apostolic acceptance.

DNA is mathematical. In reference to previous work, DNA implements similarities to Quantum Similarity, is of mathematical code in its own, and seems to be tied to other mathematical compatibles within nature itself. This includes boolean logic, and seemingly intelligent transcriptions [78]. This brings this section back to proposal 1, and down this trend again.

2.3 Mesopotamia and Ancient Tribes

Many ancient tribes seem to have been inspiration for many world religions that seem to emulate or distort themes of Rabbinic Judaism and Christianity. Ancient Mesopotamia is a good example, and the enemies of Israel were involved the worshipping of false Gods or idolatry. The Moabites for example, and some may say the Canaanites, were pagan or heathens, they believed in Polytheism and enlightenment towards various Gods with dualistic tendencies and energies. These Moabites and the regions they spread were similar to that of the Ishmaelite decedents or claimants, and Zoroastrians were the ancient Iranians. God was angered for idolatry for various reasons that may even go far beyond worshipping other Gods, but likely also what some of these idols represented.

Inanna was worshipped as the Queen of heaven. She was raped by Shukaletuda and in her decent to the underworld, demons try saving her [46]. The divine retribution for Inanna was the flood or Epic of Gilgamesh [46]. The story of the Nephilim, involved fallen angels (who can now reproduce as fallen), having sex with likely the daughters of Cain. Part of the flood was to wipe out the Nephilim. One of these deceptions was to tell the story of a Goddess titled "The Queen of Heaven", with abhorrent and offensive tales, and have her be worshipped. This idolized Goddess and none of her stories actually emulate the true Queen of Heaven. When God displaced the Israelites instead of destroying them for following in the pagan's footsteps, this was an act of mercy in the Old testament rather than harsh. This is especially true given the context. The Virgin Mary as prophesied in Genesis before she was born, was being insulted in front of God himself.

Zoroastrianism and these dualistic tales were likely inspirations for many other world religions including potentially Hinduism. The Trimurti is a polytheist trinity (emulating the monotheist Trinity). It is called the Hindu Triad or Great Trinity within Vaishnavism. Brahma (The Creator), Vishnu (The Preserver), and Shiva (The Destroyer) are the three Gods who rule as one. They are referred as Brahma-Vishnu-Mahesh and actually manifestations. You have minor gods called Devis or Divas, and you have Gods that have evil energy as part of the balance within Hinduism. In Hinduism, evil spirits or Gods can still be viewed as good or balancers of energy similar to the occultic Gnosticism or dualistic beliefs within pagan theology. The Mesopotamian DNA or history of these ancient hieroglyphs can likely tell similar stories to some of the other ancient distortions or proposed theoretical "Great Deceptions".

2.4 Berbers and the Quarashi

The Berbers are similar to the Kabbsh tribe in prelineal ancestry. Arius was a berber, and likely had a mix of Libyan, Greek, and Iranian DNA. Greek is due to the political or philosophical leanings of his father, and their background. Iranian is due to his birth name along with the many clues of berbers following Manichaeism such as Augustine of Hippo [39]. Libyan is due to the history of a majority of berbers being within that region of Libya. Many berbers might also share E-BY8350, E-V32, as well as the V22 group [119]. Arius could potentially fall within Egyptian Berbers, Mozabite berbers, or Libyan berbers. Saudis have virtually little e1b1b variances as compared to most berbers. Haplogroup J-M304, however, is highly shared between Libyans and Saudis [36]. A small percentage of Saudis and berbers share Haplogroup T-M184. According to tradition, Muhammad as part of the Quarashi tribe originated from the Adnanites, not the Qahtanites. Muhammad's DNA came from the Hasham group which includes FGC871 from a DNA sample case study that involved royal Islamic dynasties' DNA [36]. The questions around

Muhammad's existence as opposed to him being Arius still stands, as well as perhaps him being the ancestor of Arius.

2.5 Looking at FGC871

Name	GRCh38	GRCh37	CP086569	CM034974	Variant Type
FGC1705	8002842 C->A	7870883 C->A	7658838 C->A	7577366 C->A	SNP
V4884	8687161 G->A	8555202 G->A	8343146 G->A	8261719 G->A	SNP
FGC1714	12664511 C->T	14776442 C->T	13572786 C->T	13489478 C->T	SNP
FGC1721	16066330 T->A	18178210 T->A	16972873 T->A	16930796 T->A	SNP
V7413	17398615 A->G	19510495 A->G	18305133 A->G	18260781 A->G	SNP

Figure 2.4: J1a-FGC1705 from [YDNA-Warehouse](#)

If J-267 is one of the biggest groups of shared DNA for Muhammad, the Kubachi people are likely the most related. They share about 99% of that group. For J-267, Kaitags also share a large percentage, which was around 85% [138]. Both are Islamic and Arabian small populations in Russia, and these were based off a small sample done in a study from the Research Centre for Medical Genetics, Russian Academy of Medical Sciences, Moscow, Russia in 2011. That study was known as the Parallel Evolution of Genes and Languages in the Caucasus Region. J1a-FGC1705 also has some related potential branches [138].

Now the thing that is interesting however, is if you go down the J group to J-FGC1, you would notice somebody born around the same time as Arius with 7 decedent lineages. Muhammad also had 3 sons and 4 daughters. These are interesting similarities, but there are also likely many other genetic candidates. Muhammad's 3 sons died before adulthood, and he had 4 daughters actual reach adulthood, out of which it was Fatima that is believed to have continued his genealogy. After Fatima, he had 8 grandchildren, of which his grandson Husayn ibn Ali continued the Umayyad Caliphate [43]. The early common language of the Umayyad Caliphate included Middle Persian, Coptic, Medieval Greek, and Latin [56]. You can also try finding a branch-off from the J-L862 haplogroup.

Of 14,836 DNA tested descendants on FamilyTreeDNA for J-L862, there has been 1,927 DNA tested descendants that came from J-FGC1. In terms of the Libyan DNA tested descendants, that number totals to 103. Next is J-FGC2 in which this person had 35 decedent lineage lines, that is alot of children. Perhaps, Arius does have ties to Islam. Interestingly enough J-FGC1 is the ancestor for the House of Saudi. Also, worth noting is that they are cousins with the (Cohanim) lineage (which would make sense given the Ishmael versus Isaac branches). The




Figure 2.5: Count is from genetically tested users: familytreedna.com




Figure 2.6: J-L862, Source: FamilyTreeDNA

Hashemite Jordanian Royal Family [35] is related to the J-FGC1 line as well.

If you consider the mean however, a possibility of 466 CE is within the quadrant of SNP testers.




Figure 2.7: House of Saud - Source: FamilyTreeDNA




Figure 2.8: Lineal Split - Source: Gene by Gene, Ltd. / FamilyTreeDNA



Figure 2.9: Hashemite Jordanian Royal Family - FamilyTreeDNA

Perhaps, Hshim ibn Abd Manf is a possibility [35], especially given the Jordanian Royal Family’s claims. Interestingly enough, the Katzenellenbogen Rabbinic Lineage [35] are also cousins from a separate branch (Probably from Isaac over Ishmael).

A possible theory could be that Muhammad may have existed, but Arius was his grandfather, the grandfather of Hshim ibn Abd Manf, and the grandfather of the Quarashi tribe [35]. Though, more then likely given the Mani connection and Arianism being parallel to Islam, is that Arius is their prophet. A bigger sample of data and SNP testers would likely be needed.

Interestingly enough, trying to figure out Coptic DNA, a potential ancestral path could

Country	Haplogroup	DYS393	DYS390	DYS19	DYS391	DYS385	DYS426	DYS388	DYS439	DYS389I	DYS392	DYS389II
234+, L858+, YSC76+, FGC8224+, Others / Schisms												
Libya	J-ZS1588	13	23	14	10	13-20	11	16	11	13	11	29
Libya	J-M267	12	22	14	11	13-19	11	17	11	13	11	29
Libya	J-BY96871	12	23	14	11	12-19	11	17	11	13	11	30
Libya	J-FTA62426	12	23	14	11	13-18	11	17	11	13	11	30
Libya	J-FT38761	12	23	14	11	13-19	11	17	11	13	11	30

Figure 2.12: Libya from Hashem and Y-DNA cousins (FGC8712 and L862 Geography) - Y-DNA Colorized Chart - Page Size 25 - Source: FamilyTreeDNA




Figure 2.13: E-BY11094 - Going up a Coptic DNA line - Source: FamilyTreeDNA

3 Origins of Religion

3.1 World Religions

The Origin of Religions started after the fall of Angels. Basically, according to tradition, the devil with pride went outside his role. Instead of viewing God as his loving creator, Lucifer or Apip wanted to be worshipped. Lucifer rebels. This rebellion could be viewed as the idolatry of self, and the first heterodoxy of religion. Being told one can be like God is the first deception told to the angels that fell with Lucifer, and the first deception told in the narrative of Adam and Eve. If Adam and Eve had all knowledge in relationship to what they should know, their excuse is very limited. This is because the over-

arching concept of free will and absolute truth. If they knew of all the fruits in the garden, including the Tree of Life that lets them live for ever, logically the best thing to do is to eat that fruit first. Instead they hungered for the Tree of Knowledge, or more accurately the Tree of the Knowledge of Evil by deceitfulness. Accurately known as the Tree of Knowledge of Good and Evil, but more so, it is the knowledge of evil. One can say they were tricked, but the serpent even went as far to call God a liar. They also picked a serpent as more meritorious than an omnipotent, miaphysite, triune, monotheistic

God whose outer physical characteristics, Adam is made in the image and likeness off.

Adam was given the role of a protector. This is why a new Adam had to take replace him. Even after the fall of Eve, Adam could theoretically have sacrificed himself for Eve after she ate from the Tree of Life. Within the logical axioms of Genesis, that could be a possibility. However, instead he is tempted and does the opposite of the most logical possibility as did Eve. God got angry, and in essence, they primarily had one rule. God respects our free will, instead of forcing us to do the positive choice, he gives us autonomy. He loves us to the degree that instead of destroying us and making another (free-willed) being or Adam and Eve 2.0 to be fruitful, he lets them (us) live. This is the beauty of Genesis, as well as the tragedy of human nature. If the devil perhaps tricked them to think the Tree of Knowledge was a different fruit entirely and they ate it, this may have been different. However, by their will, the serpent going as far as to call God a liar (likely even contextually explaining what a lie is), and them knowing what the fruits were, the excuse isn't big enough to keep them in the garden or let them eat from the tree of life. Morality and ethical law is important, and letting this go, would have had a kingdom divided against itself. God's word is sealed, and God doesn't lie or need to change his will to conform to ours.

Because of the great deception, Adam and Eve fell. Hieroglyphs (Coptic predecessors), and other semantic languages such as Geez or Amharic are likely the Adamite languages. These languages as they are being distorted, and through fallen angelic beings, including the devil, are what allowed for other religions to grow or distortion of the truth. A bit conspiratorial, but Manly P. Hall was interested in Neo-Platonism, Dualism, and is even reminiscent of Dionysian or Nihilistic tendencies of antiheroes such as the Batman's Joker. He was a philosopher, but obsessed with the occult.

You also have the Do as Thou Wit philosophy of Aliester Crowley, Anton Levy's more secularist version of Satanism, and people like Zoltan Istvan, or Yuval Hirari and Elon Musk thinking a symbiosis with AI is possible.

In theory, biological autonomy and free will, or likely even being alive within the scope of your actual consciousness is theoretically incompatible with Transhumanism. Ray Kurzweil for example, is one of the most intelligent computer scientist out there, but his views on human consciousness and free will are not within my scopes. He is a trans-humanist, and thinks he will reach Godhood [74]. As long as this isn't a call for genocide, his capitalistic dollars, free will, and what he wants to do with it, is his choice. My best scope would at the very least involve providing insight to mankind, that from a technological and biological perspective, even with religion aside, it is pseudoscience to think that this will work in the capacity they are expecting.

Also, with religion not aside, if God needed a human to give birth to a human's likeness, image or nature, and then Jesus was put into existence or as a man, became God-man, then that heresy would mean nothing is morally wrong with Transhumanism. This is a dangerous narrative. Nicene Christianity aside, if an AI merged with humans in a symbiotic capacity, especially given passing the level of general intelligence, in the capacity of your free will, considering you aren't even consciously dead at this point, are no longer in control of what makes you human or even aware of your own existence. That gracious outcome is even in the event for which you didn't just unintentionally commit suicide. This is why this whole ordeal over Transhumanism is pseudoscience. There is less bioethical ramifications in trying to use immunology or protein binding to limit cellular decay or reverse deterioration, but at the end, thinking you can be in charge of your ultimate fate and destiny is a fallacy. Infact,

if the unforgivable sin is to not accept God's gift through continued hardness and continue revolt of good works, ethics, edification until death, then a transhumanist narration would remove your free will for said acceptance in the logical dynamics that the devil would need more invasive techniques. This is especially considering that Christ's resurrection has been achieved.

Humanism and Secularism is never an infallibly true religious view. It isn't even at least a view where you can claim free will, autonomy, or any sort of universal moral code as compatible. If you don't have a moral arbitrator, in the anti-theistic view, there are no wrongs. Everything is based of cliques, norms, hierarchies, and conforming. All your actions are internally driven by external stimuli, and you have no control of them. Free will, ethics and morals are a illusionary philosophy within the anti-theistic or atheist point of view. Likewise, Free Masonry, Satanism, Unitarian Universalism all point towards self pleasure or truth being relative. Zeitgeist or offshoot cults like the Church of the Flying Spaghetti Monster promote the idea of world religions being all man-made hierarchies of control.

Even Scientology promoting an evil alien overlord named Xenu dropping a bunch of aliens into a volcano, whose energies take bodies, and who are corrupted with images of world religions [70] is literally a mix of theism with secularism. It is like themes from the Great Deception, Tower of Babel, Sci-Fi movies, and the lust to sell more comics were all merged to a religious belief. Scientology in a way, could be considered too successful of a multi-level marketing scheme or an MLM that went too far. People's Temple and Heaven's Gate were death cults inspired by millenarianism, secularism and new age philosophies. Scientology actually looks normal in comparison to those two groups, and this is a group where selling Dianetics pyramid-scheme style moves you up in their religion through

some "Sea Captain ranking" [70] or has magical therapeutic capabilities. Other "sacred" beliefs apparently include listening to a static radio to communicate with Xenu. This cult was created by a guy who according to Harlan Ellison and Robin Williams, was going to parties talking about starting a new religion to make more money. Scientology owns investment funds, a bunch of sub-entities, trademarks, and apparently various misleading vitamin companies [70].

Scientology's level of ridiculousness involved needing to sue the IRS multiple times to get tax exempt status [70]. Interestingly enough though, actual deep philosophical themes like Utilitarianism, Unitarianism, Utopias, Progressive thought, Accelerationism, Nihilism, and Dualism are all present within Scientology. L Ron Hubbard was a Sci-Fi writer, friends with Levy, and had somewhat of a background within suspenseful literary themes. However, Scientology as a form of spiritual enlightenment or edification should be questionable to the average person, if not inherently ridiculous at least. More likely L Ron Hubbard didn't believe himself to be a prophet and was an atheist (anti-theist), who wanted to branch out his work.

A direct quote from Hubbard is that mankind had become so desperate that, "He will buy almost any ideology whether it is communism or druidism. He will buy the garbage of Marx and even write it unsuspectingly into the United States Constitution under the heading of 'Income Tax.'" [70], Hubbard was a very brilliant guy. He was a crude capitalist with secularist views and uncompromising morals. He could have used his knowledge to create extremely lucrative businesses without the need for gullible people. Frankly, he just didn't care. Starting a lucrative cult or creating a fad like Pet Rock or selling indulgences is a much quicker way to gain the approval of a meritocratic society.

Brilliant artists like Van Ghogh or even Claude Monet barely got the recognition they deserved. Jackson Pollock, a guy dumping buckets of paint and calling it revolutionary and modern was quickly graced with wide acceptance. He is even compared by art critics to be within the likes of Michael Angelo, Da Vinci, Van Ghogh, Claude Monet and Rembrandt. Nickola Tesla as opposed to Edison, died penniless. Pollock's best selling point in this new form of modernity, was more of the art being whatever you wanted it to be (feeding ego/pride) as opposed to the beauty, technique, and delicacy of art. You can tell a guerilla the truth, and the guerilla might wage war. You can feed the guerilla's pride as if it is the alpha, and the guerilla would share its food, and perhaps unbegrudgingly its companion. Most humans are similar, following the normality of comfort over the discomfort of truth.

Many people seem to think it is foolish, even superstitious, to believe that the world could still change for the better. And it is true that in winter it is sometimes so biting cold that one is tempted to say, What do I care if there is a summer; its warmth is no help to me now. Yes, evil often seems to surpass good. But then, in spite of us, and without our permission, there comes at last an end to the bitter frosts. One morning the wind turns, and there is a thaw. And so I must still have hope. - Vincent van Gogh [65]

We award mediocrity. Frankly charity should be left for charity, but many of the most charitable will likely receive more scrutiny than private foundations, endowments, and humanitarian organizations that are essentially disguised hedge funds. Outside of this, even look as far as to what Group 13 did against their own kin.

Within Free Masonry, Satanism, and New Age Humanist cults, a central theme is still prevalent. They promote hedonism and self-pleasure as a form of morality. Even lots of cults like Rastafarianism meant well, but started off from

social movements. Likewise, MLMs such as Herba Life or employers telling you that you are family are essentially the same mentality to a lesser degree. In this modern age, we are entering the age of digitized religion. Algorithms and social media are controlling autonomy and free will. We are conditioning ourselves to be addicts of dopamine inducing notifications, glowing screens, and social validation through networks and devices that made the world less connected under the premise of being more connected. If a transhumanist technology came today that made people technological slaves, killed them or removed their free will, many under an illusionary premise of freedom would take it as a mass populous. The potential new fruit to surely die is the illusion of your own spiritual edification and omnipotence without rights or merit.

If the first lie told to society is that you will be God, this may as well be likely the last core lie. That is why AI ethics for at least that aspect makes sense. Safeguarding AI with mathematical constraints, doing specific AIs for specialized regressional logic or data parsing, and not trying to emulate consciousness or given an AI emotions should be core focuses of anybody within that field. OpenAI was founded under the idea of using AI for humanity's benefit. On the contrary, Elon Musk's AI technologies seem to ironically be the antithesis of ethical compatibility with mankind. He left OpenAI for ethical reasons as well. The idea of emotional intelligence as an emulation or transhumanism is incompatible with human life.

If you look at Free Mason philosophy or the Luciferian schools of thought, they promote the premise of world religions and distortions being a form of rebellion and some true enlightened one having real knowledge. Free Masonry's way of moving up puts a higher and higher emphasis on Satan [20] and being in tune with dark forces of energy. This form of morality doesn't realize

that self pleasure versus edification and discipline creates human conflict. There is ethics in the sense that without morality, robbing somebody versus caring for the elderly is as noble.

Even Islamic caliphs and movements at their worst, promoted women as bounty and seventy virgins to lonely tribal men. A famous movie once quoted that Imams in these devastated countries give explosives to twelve year old boys to be brave heroes [158] through a promise of a bundle of virgins waiting on the other side. This form or at least this side of Islam, whether Islamic or not, should be considered detestable. Islamic militants once killed a baby child. When the mother was looking for the child, they invited her in for a meal and fed her that dismembered child. Afterwards, they told her of their supposed prank [159]. Whether you are Muslim or not, if you consider this an act of honorable Jihad or holy because she was an unbeliever, you are part of the problem. These same moral boundaries apply to prosperity gospel preachers or televangelists getting a bunch of donations or ticket sales from targeted minority areas, promising them riches and blessings. In reality these donations tends to buy said preacher some less modest assets for somebody considered God's servant. The morality of most of the west is tailored towards what one can get from worshipping God or what God can do for them. It is a false sense of spirituality, promoting the sense of "I will pray for you", over "I will pray for you and what can I do to serve you?" [139].

If you want a true sense of real Christianity visit Ethiopia, see the oppressed in Eritrea, go to the poorest streets in Egypt or Minya, see the Christians in Uganda, or the underground churches in Iran or the people of Armenia, Assyrians, or Christians in North Korea, under the CCP, Ukrainian Orthodox faithful, Albanians under strike zones, Nigerian Christians, the Orthodox in Palestine, the actually unrecognized Turkish Orthodox Church, Chaldeans

(which are Assyrians), Syriac Orthodox under strike zones, Jacobite or Malankara ethnic groups with limited resources, the Catholics of Pakistan, Afghani Christians, Algerian Christians, Christians within Azerbaijan, Bosnian Christians, Burundi Christians, Catholics and Orthodox in Cambodia, Christians in Chad, or even the Orthodox in Croatia or peak economic unrests in Cyprus. Look at Iran, Iraq or Turkmenistan and how Christians are treated there. Be Ukrainian Orthodox or even Russian Orthodox in Crimea, and wear a cross. How about Somali, or Georgia and Abkhazia under secularism? Even Tibet, Kurdistan, and Artsakh have displaced Christians in huge danger. We can probably go to 1000 pages about these specific groups, and this isn't even all of them.

These groups have a higher sense of community, spirituality, and happiness even with heightened poverty and fear. They stick out for each other, they serve one another, and they embody Christianity in essence. In the West, we are told holy sacraments are symbolic [107], we worship God with strobe lights, electric guitar and amplifiers [107], wait to baptize our children [107], remove gender roles [107], and target minority areas and tell them to give us money in order to gain material wealth. Christianity in America these times along with Canada, and most of Europe has no actual stance. Most of them are unapostolic, self-centered and create materialistic vanity, selfishness, broken homes, and broken marriages. If we at the very least discourage fornication, we encourage contraceptives, condoms and birth control as sanctity in marriage as a Western society. Making rules to be more broad in the scope of what time period of history it is, is no different then secularism. The word of God is supposed to be the same yesterday, today, forever and always. As mentioned in the scriptural narrative, a house divided against itself can not stand.

Schism happened within the early church, and these were through heresies, foreign ideologies

and deceptions. This caused violent crusades, watered down Christianity over centuries, and here is the state of mainstream Christianity as it stands in the 21st century. If this can happen to the gospel narrative, then the great deception in theory and throughout this entire paper, and even sort of claimed within Free Mason Philosophy happened since the fall of men. Mankind ate the fruit seeking knowledge, and instead worshipped themselves as Gods creating a false sense of religion for the rest of the world, through deception, and chasing hedonism. Hedonism and self-pleasure and its absolute pursuit only brings darkness as an ethical moral stance. As a society, ideals that are difficult to question or controversial should be questioned. Accelerationism, deaccelerationism, and race wars or even sexual revolutions or a loss or degradation of allosomes may all be forms of sterilization. Even technological accelerationism as an agent of change or order out of chaos to modern societal structures (think Anarcho-Capitalism or Non Dictatorial Open Societies), could be a good thing if done through a free market, non government-enforced monopoly, with the theme of free will/autonomy, privacy, human rights, and property ownership.

Technology that can radically break old systems and bring about better systems if done in a way that eases humanity or lowers suffering (under the right ethical scope), is a vastly good thing. Bill Gates (regardless how you feel about how DOS was acquired), started Microsoft because he wanted programming to be more accessible [9]. The first step wasn't to disrupt all of computing and the job market or any of that. The same in modern times could apply to Quantum Computing, making DeepMind or OpenAI more ethical, ethical AI frameworks,

or any new form of computing within unquestionable boundaries. We don't need to embed machines in us, or merge with machines, or become addicted to tech. We need tech to move human progress, make our lives easier, explore the unexplored areas of the cosmos, and innovate for the sake of pushing our intellectual scope as a civilization. Mounting smartphones to our face and labeling it as VR, tearing away privacy, or becoming technosexuals isn't the way to do it. Technology is a tool and a means to an end, not an extension of you or a religion.

Under a hedonistic philosophy dictators like Nero or Caligula, Diocletian, Julian the Apostate, and Marcus Aurelius did nothing wrong. You can even then go as far to say that Arius, Mao, Margaret Sanger, Stalin, Amin al-Husseini, Hitler, Talaat Pasha, Kim Il Sung, Mussolini, Pol Pot, Vladimir Lenin, Fidel Castro, Anton Drexler, Attila, Genghis Khan, James Crowe, Nixon, "Orchestrators of the Arab Spring", Napoleon, Henry VIII, Ivan the Terrible, Karl Marx, Ahmad Nami, and Benedict Arnold would all be viewed as morally uncontroversial. Under that premise, even Musk and historian Hirari's views on AI would be considered moral regardless if things went wrong. You can potentially even go as far to say that Klaus Schwab's economic views are a universal utopia that could make everybody happy. Hedonism embodies the worst traits of both Nihilism and Utilitarianism combined, where life has no meaning and self pleasure, regardless of the moral wrong is subjective due to consensus. It even takes it a step further than that. In theory, this is the reason that from the angelic fall until the present, a/the great deception and the myth of Ra, Apip and the lesser Ra, and all these formalities were formed.

3.1.1 The Tower of Babel

Linguistics is a stir and languages separate men. Sometimes certain languages and translations are hidden from the populous or derived to mean something different. Take for example, the honorable and blessed, Rabbi Yitzhak Kaduri. He has wrote the name of the messiah and he has prophesied at the time of his death to be Yarim ha-am veyokhiakh shedvaro vetorato omdim

which is initialed YHV'SVO [57]. In the sefirot, you have the Super-conscious (God), Conscious intellect (Jesus), Conscious Emotions (The Helper), similar to what is the lesser for us: Mind (Regular Conscious), Body (The Physical Over the Conscious), and Soul (In contrast to the helper). Mind, body, and soul, three in one, not one in three is likely the best way to summarize the Trinity rather than the many Polytheists disguised as Monotheist claiming fully distinctive outer (separable) external physicalities. When humanity reaches its heavenly bodies, they will never be as one like God, but they will be adopted by God in a state similar to Adam pre-fall, but perhaps even more glorified. Infact, as God's creation, especially considering Adam, they are sons and daughters of God in a much bigger sense that stretches beyond the earthly act of procreation.




Figure 3.1: Yitzhak Kaduri's note - Source: Wikimedia

Judaism in essence is a religion that seems more on the basis of continuation of a kingdom or political. The Jewish state of Israel today is secular in comparison to Old Testament Judaism. The Messiah was supposed to come when the sacrifices are no more. This was fulfilled in the Christian narrative. The fact that Judaism claims that there is still a messianic introduction while sacrifices are gone, but Christianity claims to be the final sacrifice, means one of the groups must be wrong. The burden of proof is on modern Judaism.

Another likely corrupted translation in modern society:

Jesus was likely crucified on a Thursday not Friday if going by what the less accurate Julian calendar's actual stance may be. Yom Shabbat is Sunset Friday to Saturday. Yom Rishon is Sunset Saturday to Sunset Sunday. Thursday (Night 1) + Friday (Day 1 and Night 2), Saturday (Day 2 and Night 3) + Sunday (Sunset is Day 3). Friday would have not included Yom Shishi because of the Thursday sunrise, and this would leave Yom Shabbat and Yom Rishon which wouldn't be three days and three nights [41]. Friday to Saturday and Saturday to Sunday isn't 72 hours, and likely the scripture isn't metaphorical in that sense. The only reason society has good Friday is because the Gregorian Calendar seems to be made by people

who don't know sundowns or lunar phases.

Another reason could possibly be standardized work hours. For Easter, it should be Good Thursday not Friday in the Julian context. If the days start at sundowns, not sunrise, and nights and days are interpreted differently, Friday is fully accurate. Instead of the Coptic calendar, modern society pays attention to days but not to specific times or farming cycles like Old Egypt. There was likely a time in church history where Thursday evenings were the start of Easter festivities, not Friday. This is because Thursday in our modern sunrise context through the eyes of the Julian calendar versus the actual more accurate calendar or even Juda-

ism or lunar phases isn't the same Thursday. Catholics having a good Friday all together is the accidental admittance of an inaccuracy in the Julian calendar. That is true whether the Coptic calendar started in the year of the martyrs or started in 0 or 1 CE. Sun down is consistent. On the topic of astronomy in general, even solar eclipses and their repetitive precision and time patterns for eclipse types are extremely consistent. It could be Wednesday,

but given a High Sabbath (double Sabbath), it is more likely a Thursday [67]. Definitely the Easter Resurrection Sunday is likely the most accurate for both Julian and Coptic, but Good Friday isn't (Julian context). Friday 3PM to Saturday 3PM to Sunday sunrise can't be three full days and three nights or 72 hours. Also, Copts go by the 6th hour of the 6th day which in the sunset context is 12 noon.




Figure 3.2: Kabbalistic Tetractys Tetragrammaton - Source: Wikimedia

The Coptic day also begins at sundown not sunrise. Yet, because of accessibility and the rise of Westernism, they don't go to that much depth. They should. Same with using the Coptic Bible over the less accurate NKJV Bible. Even Ethiopians use hourly cycles related to the Gregorian Calendar because that is the standard for work hours and most of the modern world. Ethiopians on the other hand, as opposed to Copts, don't think Contraceptives are morally permissible [40]. They share the more traditional, historic stance of the church. This is something worth getting into in a different section. That said, the question on accuracy in regards to date and specific times is still quite narrow. Whether a literal 72 hours or metaphorical Night/Day in terms of sunrises are two different things. That said, Thursday seems promising in the context of a high or double Sabbath and feast over the traditional Sabbatical length (within the Julian context). However, without knowing the day and night cycle of the specific week for the Crucifixion, saying with certainty Thursday and Friday is still debatable. Given the consistency with church councils wanting to celebrate Easter after the Jewish Passover due to them not wanting to celebrate at the same time, and the two weeks after Passover starts, one week after Passover ends pattern, the Coptic calendar seems consistent. Many times Passover starts after Easter (instead of before) within the Julian.


So how did linguistic falls in general start? How does information get corrupted, and besides corruption already happening prior to the Tower of Babel, what is the philosophical or theological ramifications of this ancient Babylonian tale? Babylon's fall tends to tell us that falls can happen as a result of our deeply rooted arrogance, or thinking we can reach grace through our own merits. The Babylonians built a large tower, in collaboration with the people of the world thinking they

		DAY 1		DAY2		DAY 3	
THU	THU	FRI	FRI	SAT	SAT	SUN	SUN
starts at sundown on Wed.	ends at sundown	starts at sundown on Thu.	ends at sundown	starts at sundown on Fri.	ends at sundown	starts at sundown on Sat.	ends at sundown
Night	Day	Night	Day	Night	Day	Night	Day
		Crucifixion		Sabbath		He rose	

Figure 3.3: Source: Christian Apologetics and Research Ministry (CARM) and Answers in Genesis

Thursday Crucifixion—Three Days and Three Nights

Genesis 1:5 - And God called the light Day, and the darkness he called Night. And the evening and the morning were the first day.
First month of the year - Abib/Nisan - Exodus 12:6 And ye shall keep it up until the fourteenth day of the same month:

THURSDAY-Nisan 14		FRIDAY-Nisan 15		SATURDAY-Nisan 16		SUNDAY-Nisan 17	
Sundown	Sunrise	Sundown	Sunrise	Sundown	Sunrise	Sundown	Sunrise
Thurs evening hours	Thurs daytime hours	Fri evening hours	Fri daytime hours	Sat evening hours	Sat daytime hours	Sun evening hours	Sun daytime hours
Passover Begins Preparation Day Exodus 12:6 THURSDAY 14 Nisan at sundown Last Supper in Upper Room, arrest in garden	Preparation Day cont'd - Jesus on cross 9am-3pm THURSDAY 14 Nisan, buried after 3pm, before sundown 	Feast of Unleavened Bread - day of convocation - High Sabbath - Lev 23:6,7	Feast of Unleavened Bread - day of convocation - High Sabbath	Weekly Sabbath Day of rest according to the Commandment	Weekly Sabbath Day of rest according to the Commandment	First day of the week Women came to the tomb before sunrise (Matthew 28:1)	First day of the week
DAY 1		NIGHT 1		DAY 2		NIGHT 2	
DAY 3		NIGHT 3					

Matthew 12:40 For as Jonah was three days and three nights in the whale's belly; so shall the Son of man be three days and three nights in the heart of the earth.

Figure 3.4: Source: [Reasons for Hope * Jesus](#)

can reach the heavens. In irony, if God didn't stop them, their is zero possibility they would have ever been able to do it nonetheless. There destruction though, in terms of separation, was also in a way an act of mercy.

Humanity would have been doomed if it ran out of resources, and humanity would have been doomed if all the tribes shared a common goal of the hedonistic idea of their own merits being key to salvation. The great deceptions were nonetheless, already happening pre-Babylonian fall, but the origin of language in the context of Proto-Human languages or far semantic separations more or less happened as a direct or accelerated result of such a fall. This fall had to happen, but was still an act of mercy.

The mercy is quite obvious. Without the Babylonian separation, humanity would have been extinct by running out of resources or having a detrimental fall before an opportunity for salvation. The harshness of God in this context, is no longer harsh. The same God in the old testament is the same merciful God in the new. Language in essence though is a very serious thing, and tells a long story of ancient history. For example, the fertile agricultural goddess Osiris splitting or opening up might potentially parallel the red sea crossing. Her drowning or descending to the underworld may be ancient Egyptians' personification of the great flood. If Ephraim was practicing Idolatry, or Pi-Ramses, or any of these candidates, then them saying YHWH or the God of the Israelites or even having a monotheistic God at that time period is much more unlikely.

3.2 Early Gnostic Christianity and Arianism

Gnostic Christianity was what led to the succession of Arianism. You have the supposed gospel narrative of Mary Magdalene acting as if Christ was married, or gospels like the Gospel of Thomas seeming to insinuate Christ as a prophet. If you compare popular gnosticism with neo-platonism, Kabbalah and mysticism, or even the time periods of 100 to 200 CE excluding some early heretics, then in comparison to a 70 CE source orally told within 5 years after 33 CE [15], it is obvious why most Gnostic texts or scrolls aren't as credible. Even these ancient Jewish or Judeo-Christian sects and essenes were likely not even in line with asharic or ugaritic narratives of Christ.

This even affects modern day Christianity. Rastafarians for example are known to call themselves Ethiopian Orthodox or post fake copies of Ethiopian Orthodox bibles on places like Amazon. Same with the misleadingly-titled African Orthodox Church using words like Black Madonna or adding social-based Rastafarian narratives. Other examples include a popular online cult called The Inner Circle, or Dr. Michael Heiser who promotes some sort of "divine council" being involved in creation. Even Intervarsity Christian Fellowship [102], Campus Cru [102], and others are thought to be considered by some as "misleading cults", and there are many door to door evangelical programs that are questionable. Mormonism is proven to be archaeologically false [86], as was 7-th day Adventist predicting the end of the

world, Pentecostals saying that they can speak in tongues, or Christian Scientists claiming to be mystics. One may go as far to say that Islam in essence has been corrupted or might be thought of by some as a sort of Christian heresy, though it may seem like a stretch. Orthodoxy is having its own internal issues as well, and some Orientals are even considering communion with Anglican churches [5] who are continuations of the heretical acts of Henry the 8th.

Even the author of this paper (myself), may have wrote things that are false out of his own (my own) ignorance. This is why this is titled a theory, and the point is to bring knowledge to important considerations, topics, etc. that should be brought up and discussed. It was never claimed that everything in this paper is absolute truth, nor written by some divine messenger of God. If Dr. Zakir Naik, Anba Angaelos, Pope Tawadros II, Ben Shapiro, Jordan Peterson, Richard Dawkins, Joel Osteen, Kenneth Copeland, or adherents to the late Matisyahu Salomon think I am wrong, have them correct me. The same applies to Isaac Solomon in regards to followers of Isaac ben Solomon Israeli. I want peace with Muslims, I love my Muslim half-cousins, and I love everybody's humanity. The point of religion isn't for power, war, or wealth, but to bring people to the truth out of their own ignorance. This is the core point, and this is why the great deception has watered down the infallibility of Christ and the original word. - Andrew Magdy Kamal Nassief

The dangers of Orthodoxy is that as a community, one claims to be Oriental Orthodox, or even Eastern, this is okay. However, historically, the consensus is to look into the recent past over the history, or what a recent patriarch said over the church fathers and early Christianity. The most recent ideology is considered truth over the oldest traditions of the fathers whether in canon, life and spirituality. Orthodoxy just modernizes itself at a slower pace than Catholics, or Protestants and then has the audacity to claim to be closest to the truth. They say papal infallibility is hugely heretical, but act as if the pope is infallible. If corruption doesn't get fixed, are they even yet different than the gnostics' making up fan fictions about the Christ? Modernism makes way for the Antichrist, and Orthodoxy's current leaders are adherents to modernism in the same sense that adherents to Nestorianism are the lighter version of adherents to Arianism. Weak, spineless,

heretical, and arrogant is the state of most leaders of the papacy. They do what gives them political favors, clout, and power. When they are under threat, they kiss the hands of political allies. When there is controversy in the Church, they defend the church piece by piece over time instead of at once. They bare fruit of zero taste and flavor, filled with bitterness and sourness. They then claim to be better than bearers of no fruit. If even the most staunch Christians can't stand for much, and these are the traditionalist, than how does one sit back and say the Church is strong and progressing or adhering towards the authenticity of St. Peter, St. Mark, the holy Apostles, the Theotokos, and most importantly, Christ himself?

3.2.1 On the topic of Sacrificial Love

Sacrificial love is personified in the bible through many different ways. Christ is called the good Shepard, and many parallels are given in relationship to Christ. Likewise, men and women embody love in very specific ways.

For example, Adam failed in his sacrificial love. We know that Adam and Eve knew of all the fruits in the garden. However, Adam is given the commandment to not eat the fruit from the tree of knowledge by God. The information was routed to Eve when she existed, but it seems as a secondary hand account [12]:

I) God talking to Adam

- a. From any tree of the garden you may eat freely;
- c. but from the tree of the knowledge of good and evil you shall not eat,
- d. for in the day that you eat from it you will surely die. (Gen. 2:16-17);

II) Eve telling the Serpent

- a. From the fruit of the trees of the garden we may eat;
- b. but from the fruit of the tree which is in the middle of the garden, God has said, You shall not eat from it
- c. or touch it, or you will die. (Gen. 3:2-3).

In context, though the women toils in child-bearing, this is what was the punishment for Adam:

17ãThen to Adam He said, Because you have heeded the voice of your wife, and have eaten from the tree of which I commanded you, saying, You shall not eat of it:

Cursed is the ground for your sake; In toil you shall eat of it All the days of your life. 18ãBoth thorns and thistles it shall [f]bring forth for you, And you shall eat the herb of the field. 19ãIn the sweat of your face you shall eat bread Till you return to the ground, For out of it you were taken; For dust you are, And to dust you shall return. 20ãAnd Adam called his wifes name Eve,[g] because she was the mother of all living.

Again, prior the women was told:

16ãTo the woman He said: I will greatly multiply your sorrow and your conception; In pain you shall bring forth children; Your desire shall be [e]for your husband, And he shall rule over you.

To the serpent, God said this:

14ãSo the Lord God said to the serpent: Because you have done this, You are cursed more than all cattle,

And more than every beast of the field; On your belly you shall go, And you shall eat dust All the days of your life. 15ãAnd I will put enmity Between you and the woman, And between your seed and her Seed; He shall bruise your head, And you shall bruise His heel.

In parallel, let us look at the paralleled Revelation in Revelation 21:1, shall we?

Listen, an uproar from the city! A voice from the temple! The voice of the Lord, rendering recompense to his enemies! Before she was in labor she gave birth; before her pain came upon her she was delivered of a son. Who has heard such a thing? Who has seen such things?

and a less harsh translation of Genesis 3:16 is

To the woman [the Lord God] said, I will greatly multiply your pain in childbearing; in pain you shall bring forth children.

And Luke 1:26-28 says:

26ãAnd in the sixth month, the angel Gabriel was sent from God into a city of Galilee, called Nazareth,

27ãTo a virgin espoused to a man whose name was Joseph, of the house of David; and the virgin's name was Mary.

28ãAnd the angel being come in, said unto her: Hail, full of grace, the Lord is with thee: blessed art thou among women.

Romans 3 has the Apostle Paul speaking directly to a church:

What advantage then hath the Jew, or what is the profit of circumcision?

He likewise says in Romans, through passage 3 as well:

6ã(I speak according to man.) God forbid: otherwise how shall God judge this world? 7ãFor if the truth of God hath more abounded through my lie, unto his glory, why am I also yet judged as a sinner? 8ãAnd not rather (as we are slandered, and as some affirm that we say) let us do evil, that there may come good? whose damnation is just. 9ãWhat then? Do we excel them? No, not so. For we have charged both Jews, and Greeks, that they are all under sin. 10ãAs it is written: There is not any man just. 11ãThere is none that understandeth, there is none that seeketh after God. 12ãAll have turned out of the way; they are become unprofitable together: there is none that doth good, there is not so much as one.

And then goes on to say:

23ãFor all have sinned, and do need the glory of God. 24ãBeing justified freely by his grace, through the redemption, that is in Christ Jesus,

Also, cross referencing Luke 1:15, 41-44 and seeing that even prior to Baptism or the Feast of the Pentecost, St. John in the Baptist was already filled with the Holy Spirit and he was given birth by an elderly women thought to be barren (old testament the secondary happened in relation to prophesying a promise), along with Joachim's spouse (whose births were also announced by the Archangel Gabriel) [27], this is a quite special privilege.

One may hypothesize the following:

1. Jesus is truly God
2. The Virgin Mary and St. John the Baptist are normal humans without a Miaphysite nature
3. The Virgin Mary had enmity with the serpent's offspring and so did her offspring.
4. The Virgin Mary is called full of grace.
 - a) The older verses in the first part of this section allude to NKJV versions.
 - i. They have said highly favored one.
 - b) When you look at the lesser harsher translation of Genesis 3:16 and the rest of the verses in this section, this alludes more towards the DouayRheims Bible in which translations have been more readable since the 19th century in the Old Catholic tradition [42].
 - i. The DouayRheims Bible is still likely closer to the original translation of the Bible than the KJV and the NKJV. [42].
 - ii. Protestants beat their translations (more broad and diverse KJV) than one of the older Douay-Rheims bibles.
 - iii. Which is still likely comparing an even older form of English, Latin likely beats the KJV translation longer. [42]
 - iv. This can be said about Ethiopian or Coptic Translations as well.
 - A. The Gutenberg press prints likely focused on a more corrupted or less accurate translations than competing bibles at the time.
 - B. Protestants were the working class because of the enlightenment period and they thought material wealth was a blessing from God, so they likely had more money to win the printing race. [49]
 - C. However, even the oldest DouayRheims Bibles don't compare to the Ethiopian or Coptic Bibles in traditional, canonical age and authenticity of the original words. KJV and NKJV are entirely different stories in general.
 - D. Nonetheless, it is clear full of grace is more accurate than highly favored one, as that manner of greeting wouldn't have likely warranted her being surprised as opposed to full of grace.
5. The book of Revelations prophesied a women given birth without pain.
 - a) Likewise a painful childbirth was a consequence of the fall.
6. People can choose not to sin, but even the fact that you are conceived traditionally signified inheritance. It takes a level of depth and spiritual knowledge that is difficult by any standard to stop sinning by free will, but either way the inheritance argument still stands.
 - a) Mary likewise didn't just stop sinning or made a decision not to sin, but was spared from sin and immaculate as a regular human due to her special role as described in Genesis.

- b) St. John the Baptist likely was immaculate too, but this isn't as crystal clear, and either way, this wouldn't put him in par with the Virgin Mary who is the new Eve [32].
 - i. Mary and St. John the Baptist had a normal natural conception, but the Holy Spirit filled them in the womb which prevented them from blemish and inheritance.
 - ii. Likewise, Christ's birth was unnatural, because the Holy Spirit overshadowed the Virgin Mary and she was able to conceive without human intervention, and remained a Virgin afterwards.
 - A. Immaculate and Virgin birth doesn't mean the same thing. Both Jesus and Mary are considered canonically immaculate by a mass populous. They are the new Adam and Eve. St. John the Baptist (who is the baptizer not the birther) is theoretically immaculate.
 - B. However, only one person in history is known canonically and traditionally to have a birth that is immaculate and virginal.
 - C. That is Jesus Christ the Nazarene
 - D. Let us not take into account the long loop of the Melchizedek argument, because likely Melchizedek had no mother or father and some Enoch books are fabricated or considered heretical translations.

One can also hypothesize these things:


1. Adam was directly told by God about the Tree of Knowledge of Good and Evil
 - a) Both Adam and Eve knew about all the fruits in the garden, but Eve's account of the Tree seems more secondary.
 - b) Theoretically, if Eve was told by God directly (unknown) either way here decision likely may still be the same.
 - i. She knew it was wrong and Apip called God a liar.
 - c) Adam was told directly and was given a mate because it isn't good for him to be alone.
2. If Adam is doing a good job, why is this mate alone to be tempted by the serpent? She is in serious danger roaming around a garden with deadly fruit. This is in context of somebody being made adoptively through Adam's rib later and be told knowledge secondary or afterwards. Her level of knowledge is very limited as compared to Adam's longer "life experience".
3. Humans have free will, and Adam taking the fruit after his wife is more of a blame on his free will, and likely more on the idea of following the devil's deception over being tempted by his wife's beauty. Either way, self control and constraint is key.
4. He could have played the sacrificial role and made up for what Eve did on a theoretical level so that the offspring isn't cursed or humanity's fate isn't sealed (a theory)
 - a) This is especially given he could have easily ate from the Tree of Life, or any other fruit and sacrificed himself for her.

- b) If Adam sinned before Eve, since Eve came secondary, humanity's fate would have been doomed from Adam.

Also, one must look at the Virgin Mary as a perpetual virgin (Mary vs. Mary, the Mother of Jesus), her not knowing a man (Joseph also literally didn't know anything about her as a person until after their "marriage"), brothers and sisters being called those who followed the will of God, cousins being commonly called brothers, and the fact that St. Joseph could have had previous offspring. Also, though Mary and Joseph are both from the lineage of David, St. Joseph is male so he is adoptively giving the inheritance to Christ. Mary was also alluded to the spouse of the Holy Spirit, and/or the unblemished. Virgins and purity are often associated as waiting for the king. Being chaste in marriage [103] is likely a no-no for contraceptives when God commanded others to be fruitful and multiple (amongst other things). The Virgin Mary had chastity before marriage, and that same degree of chastity (we don't lose any of the virtues after marriage), after she was wed, and this is the level of chastity in the pre-marriage context rather than a normal married couple obviously being incompatible with fornication. Therefore, given some of these assumptions with obvious biblical context, clues and parallelisms, you can say that contraceptives in all forms are bad. You can also say with a degree of full certainty that the Virgin Mary's virginity is perpetual. This is especially true given that if she had any biological children, St. John the Beloved wouldn't be given the role he was during Christ's Crucifixion, nor would the insidious story of the idolatry of Inanna being called the Queen of Heaven and the story of what happened to her would have been nearly as offensive. The Virgin Mary, the holiest of heavenly ranks under the dust she is compared

to God, has been and always been a Virgin.

The context of the Virgin Mary being immaculate and her perpetual virginity or giving her the title of immaculate conception should be re-emphasized multiple times throughout this paper. The best a human of a non-Miaphysite nature has been a mother, and that mother is a virgin. The apostles learned from the Virgin Saint Mary the true Queen of Heaven mentioned in Genesis with the Messianic offspring [50]. St. John the Beloved's cross was following God literally to the cross. One of his biggest blessings was being trusted to take care of the blessed Virgin. With what this blessed Virgin knew all her life, and dealt with, her pain is truly Greater than the Martyrs. She is a true Queen. Though academically unprofessional to talk in first person, I must say that she always weeps for me, cares for me and intercedes for me. Whether seeing her or not, I could feel her. The Virgin Mary never let me down, though I always let her down. She is immaculate, beautiful and pure in all her ways, and loves me in ways that my earthly mother cannot. She is an elevated motherhood. Married men leave their mother in the earthly sense. She is the woman whom even with my potential future spouse, the love type and level of love I have for her is one that said spouse can never and will never be able to reach. Again, she is the new Eve. She is the one to go to even for marital advice. Through God, she makes the barren fertile, the orphaned a son or daughter, and she strengthens the widow. She is kind, caring, enduring and the best of humanity. I had to break the academic rule of speaking in first person, this time as well, because this is worth emphasizing.



the holy child



ST. ANNE AND ST. MARY

Because her parents were so old, she needed to be taken to the temple to be raised. You know how simple children are when they talk to mommy and daddy? This was how the child St. Mary was when she prayed in the temple and talked to God everyday. She talked to God like how an innocent daughter talks to her father. God saw the love of the little child and how precious the virgin Mary's love for God was.


THE THEOTOKOS

- Ever so Virgin
- Full of Grace
- Found favour from God
- Kind-hearted
- Our Holy Mother (bearer of the one who brought salvation)
- The God-bearer, and chosen handmaiden

Mary found favour in God by doing his works, especially since a little child. She was filled with grace and in her innocence she prayed everyday in the temple. Mary served even her cousin Elizabeth and was filled w/ more humility as a human being even after given her title as the Mother of God, and a righteous and true queen.



Iconography



ST. MARY EMBRACES THE CHRIST CHILD

Lots of the ancient iconography shows St. Mary holding the baby Jesus in her arms, and the baby kissing and hugging St. Mary. Many of our icons are based off of this, and icons like these are sometimes even shown in Mother's day. St. Mary loved Christ, and raised him, and was the perfect model of motherhood. She endured the worst pain imaginable from any human knowing what they will do to her son.

"Now the world rejoices in receiving salvation, while my heart burns as I look at Your crucifixion, enduring for the sake of all, my Son and my God."





HOW SHE VISITS US

- Long list of apparitions up until modern day of her visiting the Churches
- The longest in our church being Zeitoun
- Likely the longest time the Holy Virgin appeared: Periods of 2 to 3 Years

St. Mary visits our church many times, because she loves us so much. When we sin, we should think of the loving St. Mary and how she witnessed Christ on the cross. She wepted so much, and there are even icons of her that weep blood and oil as one of the many miracles attributed to St. Mary.

St. Mary is so beautiful, and heavenly. Many of her apparitions were so clear, that we can even know exactly how she looks like. She was so holy, and in the feast of Assumption we celebrate St. Mary's body being carried by angels to heaven, because she was so precious that even after her soul was taken up, her body was too holy to be buried in the ground.

The Archangel Gabriel who announced to her the good news, was also the same angel that made the announcement of the birth of John the Baptist, and the same angel that told St. Joachim that St. Anna will bear him a child. This is what the will of God looks like.



Let us

learn to love



LOVE LIKE MARY LOVED

Mary is a:

- Daughter**
- Mother** (Mother of God, Queen and mother of us all, bearer of the salvation of Adam)
- Servant** (Handmaiden)
- Life-giver** (Her life to God)
- Blessing** (Fulfilled Prophecy, crushed the head of the serpent)
- Bride** (Her virginity, and dedication to the church, the bride in Song of Songs, accepted the incarnation)
- Sister** (Cousin Elizabeth, whoever does the will of God is my brother, and sister, and mother, etc.)
- Friend** (This form of love, Mary have surpassed way beyond)

The young disciple [John] saw in the woman how much the Almighty had humbled himself, how he dwelt in her weak womb, and how, having emerged, was suckled by her poor milk. For her part the woman marveled that the disciple had been exalted, even to resting on the bosom of God.

Each admired the other for having been found worthy of so great a grace. As they fixed their gaze on each other, they saw You, O Lord, in themselves. Your Mother saw You in the disciple, and he saw You in Your Mother. Happy were they who saw You, O Lord, as they continually contemplated each other!

St. Ephrem the Syrian

LET US LOVE

As St. Mary

No woman is ever as blessed as St. Mary the Virgin. She is the pride of our race. When she was told she would bear the son of God, she said she was the handmaiden of our blessed lord. She has borne a pain even higher than martyrdom seeing Christ whom she bore, suffer on the cross. In the Icons of the wedding of Cana, you don't see her in there because she was in the back serving. She even served her cousin Elizabeth while being the Theotokos who would bear Christ. She stayed for three months and left before the big feast and parties because she stayed just to serve as she is needed. She has such humility and love for the Coptic church, coming to visit us so many times as she did in Zeitoun [48]. She is an example of humility, virginity, self-discipline, love and a prime example for us all. No woman is as beautiful and loving as St. Mary because through her actions we are given hope, and we too should strive to serve Christ and love one another as the humility the mother of us all have shown. - Andrew Magdy Kamal Nassief

Sacrificial love, and looking at Adam's roles, the topics previously mentioned in regards to gender roles, the weaker vessel, emotional stability, nourishment, the Priest of the Household, Adam and Eve entirely, souls having genders, angels being masculine, and the Virgin Mary being the best a mere mortal human can be is the overarching theme throughout a large portion of this paper's premise. Men who are heads of the household can't go around getting drinks with after-hours at work with his colleagues (either way, but especially if some of the colleagues are female), while he has a wife, and especially if he has kids at home. Men being heads of households doesn't give them a free pass for whatever. Hard chores like taking out the trash, moving the lawn, shoveling the snow, plunging toilets, gawking sinks, etc. are not the women's responsibility.

Men are servants in a capacity too. Likewise, if a woman is the disciplinary role towards the child and the man shows affection and nourishment much more, this isn't good either. You can be disciplinary and gentle, as well as slow to anger. Men are providers and protectors, and this is even deeper on a spiritual sense. They are slow to anger, handle conflict calmly, gentle in manner, and various things of the upmost etiquette. Likewise however, women are submissive, nurturing, and called to be mothers. Working in a cubicle and never having children to nurture isn't what empowers a woman, it is what depresses a woman. For men, without a career, they have little to provide. Even though, at modern times mostly dual household incomes are needed, his focus in singlehood starts at his career. There is no empowerment for a woman through focusing on a career over relationships, marriage, and having children. Neither for men, but the priorities in the early

phases of adulthood, how they are married, their roles, and physiological capabilities should never be the same in a functional society. Less offspring, women domineering over men, and a lack of reproductivity, fertility and sperm count is advocating for the end of the human race. The same is for lack of that which is natural.

Scientifically it has been proven that there is no evidence that homosexuality is a natural occurrence [114], or that embracing it leads to less depression or a lifestyle of happiness in the long run. Advocating for contraceptives, marriages where one doesn't have kids as a common occurrence, and abortion (wrong in all cases), along with the destruction of gender roles or the nuclear family leads to a substantial population decrease over time. If these philosophies are to be fully embraced at their inevitable peaks, or society becomes even on the far side polygamous, the end of humanity

Marriage is a road of many blessings, hardships, trials, tribulations, passion, love, and even of chastity, endurance and respect. A man's only sexual gratification and desire is to only come from his wife, and sex is for the act of procreation. She will become the bearer of his children. Outside of the extremely rare cases of aesthetic marriages, one of the main reasons of marriage is to procreate and raise Godly children who shall be part of the kingdom of God. They shall take their parents' faith, and it is the role of the parents to raise them steadfast in Orthodoxy.

The husband is supposed to be the priest of his household. He is to labor for his wife, and to be the provider, and the protector. Likewise, the wife is an emotional caregiver. The husband is to be slow the anger. He is to tire for his wife and would even be willing to lay down his life for his wife and protect her in the direst of situations. He has left his mother, and his wife is now the most important woman to him here on earth. The only woman more important to him and whom he loves more is the heavenly virgin, and this of course is because of his spirituality and earnest nature in meeting his intercessing and most heavenly mother.

The husband will protect his wife from demons (yes, actual demons). Meaning, outside of her father in confession, as the priest of his household, he will make sure she stays steadfast and strong in the faith. He will make sure that she doesn't fall into a sinful nature that prevents her from reaching the heavenly kingdom, lest he has failed his job as a protector. He will treat her with the outermost respect, dignity and love, and in this, she will see a man who is after God's own heart, and this is a man who is worthy of raising a family fit for Christ's kingdom.

This is why God gave Adam a woman, because it is not good for a man to be alone, and he needed to procreate. Adam had a role, and Eve had a role. This is the Orthodox view on marriage. Love is beautiful, because once you know how it is like to truly lay down one's life for another, this is the love Christ gave us.

The reason many marriages fail, is because they have been doomed since the beginning. They have failed to bring Christ into the picture, and have put their own in carnal, lustful desires first. This is the reason for divorce. The design for marriage is very beautiful, and very rewarding. The journey is something to think long and hard for.

would be near, and the decrease of humans¹ existing in their entirety would seize. An overly

sexual society that destroys the idea of marriage can overpopulate or lead to the worst of humanity's perverse thoughts. Also, most likely in such society, it would actually be more like depopulate than populate to begin with because said society would likely use contraceptives very openly. Overpopulation at the time humanity is right now is a minimal worry as opposed to population decrease [111]. Likewise, the more same-sex attracted people are, the less of a likelihood for population increase, and the greater the likelihood of a decrease.

If the point of view shall be secular, let us consider these arguments: killing a potential citizen (the Hitchens argument) [72], thinking a female can have male testes or gender as fluid is unscientific (the Dawkins argument) [23], or any philosophy that if at its full capacity ends to the progression of a populous or civilization is one that likely shouldn't be followed (common sense). This isn't an attack on homosexuality, which the Bible strongly condemns for obvious reason, but an attack on the logic that embracing that lifestyle leads to positive effects as a whole is one that is lacking. A paper on philosophy, existence and human nature will have touchy topics. However, the truth of the matter is same sex attraction, contraceptives, post-first wave feminism, and abortion leads to a dramatic decrease in society and its acceptance increases depression. Also, the time period for its acceptance was happening within the same time frame of men's sperm count decreasing by half since 1973 [66], isn't a cause for celebration. Celebrating human extinction or a mass sterilization of a populous is the celebration of what by no means should be considered an accomplishment. Having academic integrity and claiming that openly talking about sex, or turning it into an identity is normal, or claiming that genders are social constructs, and some of these same behavioral patterns wouldn't still be problematic if embraced in a fully hetero or hetero-normative society wouldn't be possible. For academic integrity and the sake of scholar-

ship, hard truths or uncomfortable facts should never be censored. If they are unscientific or the bias of opinion through a theological viewpoint, and one that has no backing or proof, it should be ridiculed and the person writing it should be embarrassed rather than censored, hidden and the monument of being unquestioned.

Whether believing in the gospel narrative or not, from the actual translation of the original text within both rabbinic, christian, or even Talmudic interpretation (post 70 CE period) is:

God has a natural plan for everybody and he designed men in the image and likeness of himself. God said in the Bible that anyone who is to just look at a women lustfully have committed sin in their heart. When talking about thoughts, most thoughts aren't a sin and acting upon the thought is when the sin can become a reality. This is generally agreed upon. However, to be sexually attracted to the same person, this was not part of God's plan. Even if you do not act upon the thought, this type of thought process can only be done through a form of lust or perversion, therefore it is a state of sin.

The bold is the Jewish and Christian belief excluding the italic part which is explicitly Christian and not modern Judeo-related. Most people don't know the average Christian theology, and when they do, condemnation over acceptance seems to be generally agreeable within a modernist or progressive society. Even Oriental Orthodoxy which used to actually mean something is entirely different than its ancestors, and the idea of an incorrupted Christianity is simply not the case in that scope. One can point that to seeming proof that the Christian narrative is false or non-existent from reality, but one can also point that to being proof that

humans have fundamental free will and that theorizing that a God who gives people free will can prevent people from having certain beliefs or doing problematic things through means of control isn't compatible with the Christian narrative. Truth isn't relative, but absolute. Only one of those beliefs could be an actuality. A truth is always a truth, and never something of subjectivity. Even in times where kilts are acceptable and times where they weren't, the truth would still be that during said time period, said kilts were not acceptable. Saying kilts are acceptable or always was or always have been acceptable is more of a relative statement than the speaking of an absolute. One might also try speaking the reverse in which said other side may state that saying kilts are unacceptable

or not acceptable in the current period is a subjective over an absolute. Though speaking of kilts is not very relevant to the topic at hand, this is an easy illustrative as just an example.

Many things got corrupted or changed over time. For example calamus was mistranslated and actually meant Cannabis [73]. It was acceptable as a form of incense. However, this is likely prior to Jeremiah 44:15-23, where now it states, "this calamity has happened to you", in which afterwards its effects may have been different or forbidden. Even Islamic banking, seems to be more ethical financially when looking at the paralleled Rabbinic law in terms of tax collecting, interest, and economic debts. This ofcourse, is strictly an opinion.

3.2.2 Parallels within Arianism and Islam

As mentioned, Arianism evolved from separating Christ from God, as in the preincarnation never happened (according to the heresy). Therefore, even though Jesus is believed to be the Son of God, a Non-Trinitarian God himself is the only one who have existed rather than existed at a state of time and/or outside of time. Similar heresies that were loosely inspired by gnosticism also existed. These included the idea of Jesus being born a regular human, and then becoming holy, or even the idea of Jesus being a prophet. Infact, Islam is viewed by many Islamic scholars as having existed through Arianism prior to Muhammad's revelation or existence, and there are many correlations between Arius, Mani, and Muhammad.

3.2.3 The al-Mahd and the Final Announcement

There are numerous examples of a misunderstanding causation versus correlation. Nassim Taleb, has always been an expert in this particular topic. One can utilize a lack of knowledge or assortative patterning to loosely tie anything to seem in favor of a bias. This is pseudoscience. It is the mathematical equivalent of moral relativism or the idea of truth being relative rather than absolute. Math is very binary or boolean, mathematical laws and logic don't suddenly change as does laws of nature. For example, the idea of end of the world, or even ideas like who the Islamic Al-Mahdi may be are very broad events. The Al-Mahdi is described in many different ways that seem quite different from eye color, moles, birth marks, race, age, mannerisms, etc. that basically the Al-Mahdi would have to be every single middle eastern male. For example, loosely assuming the end of the world which seems more dependent on humanity's rebellious actions, could happen by x date. Maybe even that date could be true. One can also take said date, the Al-Mahdi needing to appear by x age or gain popularity by x age, and the broadness of descriptions to make a case for even the author of this paper being the Al-Mahdi. Such a hypothetical case could sound extremely convincing. These same loosely associative pattern types may be able to reference a few characteristics to make a fairly sounding case for almost every middle eastern man.

Here are a few key things worth considering:

- The Mayan calendar: 2036 to 2037 (not 2032)
- 70 years after the Israeli 6 day war - June 10th, 2037
- 260 years after General Burgoyne's campaign - June 10th, 2037
- Some people say Adam actually being born (not 4000 years before Christ's Crucifixion but actually 3974 BC) if that is true, 6000 years would be at the year 2026.
- 70 weeks of Daniel is symbolic.
 - 7×10 so 10 years from 2026 would be 2036.
 - * 7 years from own nothing and be happy about it is 2037.

However in the case it was actually 3964BC, that would make the earth 6000 years in 2036AD. Similar discrepancy in the Sixth Sun Mayan Calendar where humanity's new age starts in 2026. 4 years from that WEF 2023 starts. 4 represents completion, 6 represents the number of Men.

- 400 years represents optimal dynasties, and 70 represents cycles of war.
- Assuming the US doesn't have two more 70 year cycles, that puts 260 years from the Declaration of Independence at 2036.
- Other key consideration: [SNRs and 6000 Years](#)
- Pillars of Creation Nebula [Light Years](#)

Similar to the Mayan calendar, the Aztec calendar measures time, but not the way we do today. While there is a 365-day calendar, it is overlaid by a second calendar that is comprised of 260-days broken into twenty 13-day weeks".

- We can't predict the second coming, but the fall of a dynasty and all the nations of the world being at war will be within the date June 10th, 2037 at the latest according the the Pharaohs, Mayans, and most ancient civilians.
- Also another thing the word shamad is mentioned 90 times in the Hebrew Lexicon.
 - Shamad means to be destroyed or annihilated.
 - * What is one of the world's shortest civilization's recently?
 - The Republic of Mahabad circa. 1946.

Let us say between Adam and the great flood 1656 years. The death or flood of Oasris is circa 2300 to 2318 years BCE. That means Adam must have been born between 3956BC to 3974BC. If the later then 2300BC + 6 + 6 +6 years, but the discrepancy is within a 10 year timeline.

- The 6th Egyptian Dynasty, Nitiquet was the last pharaoh.
 - Many think she was last to build the third pyramid.

- * That however is debatable.

The wife of Amon much later was in power 70 years: Consider [Nitocris I](#) and [Gilgamesh dates](#).

- Noah died 350 years after the flood. The early Early Dynastic Period (c. 2900–2350 BC).
 - The birth of Jesus in the wrong calendar method is 4-BCE (1AD) $2348+1656+2024=6028$
 - * In this method year 0 starts at 3964BCE as opposed to 4000 to 4004BCE, so $6028-40+12$ extra years = 6000 years.
- If people's assumptions are correct whether 64 or 74, if you go by the method of 70 years after Israel's 6 day war, June 10, 2037 at most is the last day humans are non extinct, but perhaps it is closer to 2036.
 - Also, there is still a [debate](#) about 4 or 5 BCE in the birth of Christ so it makes sense 2036 and 37 there is a mix up.

The Islamic Al Mahdi has to start revealing the truth within 20 years before the end of time. That would be by 2037, so the Mahdi from 2024 to 2027 shall start appearing.

- mahd means guided one. AMK means Gift of Life.
 - [Manum](#) means respectful.
 - * [Man](#) means God is with us.

[Mahdi](#) means Orthodox so most people might expect that what the Mahdi says will feel traditional or the right path.

- Muhammad ibn Abdullah
 - His full names means the Praised son of the servant of Allah
 - * Or Worthy son of Men, Prophet of Allah, the servant will guide you to the right path.

Muhammad means praise worthy

- 'am means kindred
- Nan means favor (Nan enos means favored man, or nab)
- A means Allah
- M means mannan servant
- K means Kalil which is similar to the right path or guided path

The last seat of the Fatimid dynasty was held in Cairo

- So the Mahdi has to be a descendent of an Egyptian.
- The last sultan was from from the [Hittites](#) blood line
 - The Hittites were enslaved and traded by Solomon.
 - * David and King Solomon [married](#) Hittites.

Ancient Armenians and Copts that came from the tribe of Judah had to [share similar bloodline](#).

- The Mahdi of Islam [has to be 40 years old](#) by the end of [his advent](#) so if the world ends in 2036 or 2037, he has to [be either born in 1996 or 1997](#).
 - The Mahdi of Islam may have Coptic DNA.
 - * He must guide people to the right path (Orthodox).

The [hijri date for 1997](#) would have to be in August.

- August 6th and August 9th are in line with the hijri calendar dates for 1997.
 - The first Atomic weapon was released on August 6th, 1945 so if the time of the Mahdi is related to Nuclear war, he most likely is born within those dates.

The [11th Imam](#) from the younger Caliphate was poisoned in the year 260 AH.

- 260 years after the Declaration of Independence would date to the year 2036.
 - Pattern-wise 260 may have minor significance in this context as well.

Wednesday is a cursed day for "infidels in Islam" and blessed for believers, therefore, the Al Mahdi might be born on a Wednesday.

- August 6, 1997 is in a Wednesday.
- Since Saturday is a day of Worship for the Jews, the Al Mahdi can't be born on [Saturday](#).
 - Al Mahdi has to be born on Wednesday.
- See these cross referenced dates as well: [October 10, 680](#) or the [youngest of the Mahdi](#).
- By some accounts, he will reappear on the day of Ashura (the tenth of Muharram), the day the third Imam Husayn ibn Ali was slain.
- The second Caliph's reign also ended in [August](#).
 - 1363 years from the Rashidun Caliphate is 1997
 - * 2 years prior to that Caliphate was the Mubahala.

- 1400 years after the Mubahala is the year 2032. The Eid of mubahala is in August.

- Khalid ibn al-Walid conquered Syria in the year 636, he is of the Rashidun, this means the year 2036 or 37 is 1400 years after.

It was the first wave of conquest after the death of Muhammad, and was in [August](#).

The Rabi Al-Awwal 30, 1418 ended on August 4th 1997.

- * · But August 6th is the second day of the second spring.
- Since Muhammad was born on the first spring, likely who is to follow is born on the second.

- Shared [genetics](#) within the House of Isaaq.
- His last name has to be [Kamal](#).
 - A Kamal is also a type of navigation device or guide.
 - Kamal means perfection.
 - And Kamal ad-Dn means perfection of the religion.
 - [Kemalism](#) made Hittites a national symbol for Turkey.
- His father's name will be Majid,
 - Abdulmejid I was the first sultan of the Ottoman Empire.
 - Ahmad ibn Mjid is the prince of the sea.
 - Abl-Maymn Abd al-Majd ibn Muammad ibn al-Mustanir was the 11th Fatimid Caliph.
- al-fi li-Dn Allh (Arabic: [الفيء لله](#), lit. 'Keeper of God's Religion).
- Ibn alMajd was born in August of 1366, 631 years later + 40 years corresponds to 2037.
- The alMajd will be of medium stature.
 - 5 feet 9 inches (175.26 centimeters) for men or at most 5 11".

- He will have black hair, black eyes, a mark on his forehead, a wide forehead, a curved nose, a mole on his left shoulder blade, and dark circles around his eyes.
- One of his right hand men will be named Mansoor and help lead his victory.
 - Muntazir means the expected.
 - Kamal means the [completed](#).

His feet will point outwards when he walks and [his body will resemble the people of Israel](#). He will use his hands when he talks.

Again, this resembles the causation versus correlation theme. Perhaps, then this potential Al Mahdi has a mission to expose the truth. However, there are bigger things to talk about. Society in general, and theologians often get this wrong, in reference to a debate on the topic of the forbidden fruit. They don't understand not just the literal and interpretive meaning, but the metaphysical and spiritual significance. Had they ate from the Tree of Life first, one could theorize that perhaps then the serpent would have been destroyed and they would have passed the test of choosing the good fruit over individualism. This is afterall, a theory.

They lost their mortality when they chose to eat the forbidden fruit, and chose individualism as their own Gods over conciliation with the one true God. This was the ultimate trap. Lest, had they now ate from the Tree of Life by their own accord (which God rightfully prevented), then they would face an immortality consisting of a spiritual death or perversion. Yes, one might live forever, but if you live forever in amenity with God, you live forever with your own perversions, enslaved by sin, with no repose.

The Tree of Life is henceforth later represented as a parallel to God. You can not reach God or be within the table of God by your own accord having reached a spiritual death. If you do, then being in the presence of the one whom you cast out shall bring you eternal shame, in which where then is your hope for salvation? This ofcourse is heavily theoretical and philosophical, but a bigger meaning and a theme of the World versus the Holy is prevalent throughout Genesis, and the rest of the Rabbinic traditions. It is also prevalent in the overarching theme of the New Testament in which one can chose Salvation or to wrestle with their own hypocrisies like the pharisees, having reached a level of spiritual hardness.

3.3 The New Ecumenical Council

If you look at what is happening in the world today, you have numerous things that are of concern. You have the World Council of Churches potentially taking place by the Sea of St. Mark in Alexandria, the hostility in Ethiopia, and the need for Catholicism to be fixed in its lack of traditionalism, and modernist weak theology. All the apostolic churches are falling, and the needs for protecting the millions in Ethiopia (over 10 Million expected to die of starvation or genocide), speaking against radicalism, and spreading the gospel to the unconnected, are severely unmet. Perhaps, the Solomonic dynasty will need to be re-established, but the Emperor at this point should not use the title King of Kings. A more accurate title (for example if it was going to be Markos Abanoub Nassief), would be Markos Abanoub Nassief Selassie, Emperor of Ethiopia,

Servant of the King, Son of King Solomon, Adorer of the Heavenly Theotokos, Protector of Africa, Lover of Oriental Orthodoxy, and Shield of Ethiopia behind St. George. Such a title would be a name to establish blessing for the emperor. A new emperor is needed in the sense of at least an honorary title or role, because an establishment of a council for the sake of modern Orthodoxy must happen, and an advocate for the broken along with a father for the orphaned. True Apostolic Christianity needs to be restored, and Protestantism, Arianism, Free-masonry and all the heresies need to be under the saints' feet. Every heretical Pope, including Pope Francis and the Popes that promoted interfaith dialogues, the World Council of Churches, and vice versa should not be recognized by the faithful. This is what a stance within Apostolic Christianity would look like if the truth was revealed.

3.3.1 New Heaven, Earth, and Logical Axioms of Reconciliation

In the end, there shall be a New Heaven and New Earth. We are called for reconciliation in which every knee shall bow, and confess that Jesus Christ is Lord. When looking at these logical axioms, alot is to concur. However, the core is that the real Christian is called to Theosis, uniting with God, and a difficult spiritual journey or walk. The Christian life is one of harshness. The world is emotional, illogical, and driven by its own vanity. We are a result of not just our environment, but our own free will and inner desires. Some of these inner desires are corrupt, lust, and passions that only lead to self destruction. This is why without discipline, your life in essence is just the worst of humanity. Each and every single individual can choose henceforth to rather edify themselves. Chase truth, purpose, and meaning rather than vanity, wealth, power, and rotting away material things.

4 On the Human Nature

4.1 The Complexity of Decisions

We have Free Will. Many can try to concur that since every action creates an equal or opposite reaction or since we are products of our stimuli and environment, that Free Will doesn't exist. They can try to manipulate logic to make you think that the only decision you can make happens because of stimulus or because you are forced to make that decision. However, people with very similar environments and stimuli in the past, have made fairly different decisions. We are biochemically different in many of our own brains, even when sharing the same hereditary root. Some people chase truth and knowledge, and some people chase fornication, lust, violence and destruction. We have some sort of feeling of inner guilt, and a precedence of right and wrong. This is regardless of what area in the world, including even tribal, isolated areas, that establish similar ethical codes within their own ethnic groups. Therefore saying doing evil is subjective or relative, doesn't make sense. To say an evil decision does not exist is a distorted truth from reality. It is a nihilistic fallacy.

4.1.1 Natural Family Planning and Populations

Natural Family Planning is what family planning truly is, which is absence until a married couple is ready to bear children. If you are a follower of the Rabbinic tradition and believe in contraceptives, this would be the equivalent to believe that the same type of coitus for throwing your sperm into a balloon is as loving and sanctified as the coitus that resulted in bearing children. This is simply not the logical conclusion that should be thought of. Look at to what the early Church fathers, including the Alexandrian fathers said about intercourse for pleasure or harming one's sperm. Even population growth in regards to overpopulation, is very frail in terms of evidence, and the world seems more obsessed now than ever in depopulation over continuing their own offspring. This could signal the beginning of an advocacy for human extinction. As was with Ephraim, to strike down one's offspring was thought of as a curse, and an act of God, not something you are authorized to do on your own accord. Therefore, abortion, unnatural sexual intercourse, homosexuality, contraceptives, and fornication are all outside of God's plan. If you disagree, this would mean you have a religion that disdains the gospel narrative.

4.1.2 On the Nature of Violence and the Ego/Super-ego


Most forms of violence comes from a disdain for human life or one's self. All forms of violence by nature comes from self-worship. If you believe you can attack anybody made or adoptively made in God's image and likeness, than you have subjugated yourself to a form of idolatry. Perceived violence in the act of defending one's faith, if orchestrated by God (King Baldwin IV of Jerusalem, the Conquering of the Philistines or Moabites, or the Protection of the Temple Mount) are not violence, but rather what lead to the ultimate orchestration of peace and order by limiting what would have been more human destruction or bloodshed. Violence however, done by our own providence is actual violence, and a result of ego, and ultimately super-ego in which you view your humanity as higher than others, or other people as if they are animals. That is one of the ultimate forms of narcissism.

4.1.3 Cellular Automata, Game Theory and Conscious Error Checking

If society wants to be free, it is my opinion that self-governing is the way to go. Due to the fact that we as a society believe the ultimate form of freedom is voting a representative on our behalf to babysit out own self-interests, this is what one might feel is the ultimate form of control. We talk about these things, because humans are very biochemical. We are these carbon-based lifeforms, compromised of lots of oxygen, nitrogen, hydrogen, and various other elements. However, we have a conscious, a soul, and awareness. Our randomization and social physics is all a beautiful, discrete mathematics. Therefore, cellular automata is the idea of automated systems, safe guards, and error checking in relationship to an anarcho-capitalist society in this sense. It is like the game theory of a zero sum equilibrium, where people just need to be intelligent enough to handle privatized systems and be in control of their life over a hierarchical government, whom instead the moral authority they submit to is God himself, and the conscious reality of what we call guilt. There is a reason why our DNA is very mathematical, why we have error-correcting codes in our universes, and why intelligent life has some form of dominion or hierarchy. We act as if we need cliques, and the reality is that we are fairly emotionally-driven creatures. Why than do we subjugate ourselves to means of control, enslavement by validation, and digitized religions i.e. the

cults of social media and algorithmic addictions? The real algorithm we should be addicted to is this conscious reality called life, and what meaning we pursue during this short time on earth.

4.2 Fabrics of Deontology holding Humanity, Free Will, and a New Hope



If you wanted to ask who God is, one can simply describe God as the essence of Love. To create us was taking away from the one who can't be taken away from, it was sacrifice. This is what our creation was, a sacrifice with no value add to the omnipotent, but he has shared his love with us. The deontology holding humanity in terms of ethics, is to do good because what good is. Good is absolute, and we have an internal free will to chase that goodness. Our hope is in God, and our new hope at times of evil is the same as our old hope, that is that at the end, love wins, goodness wins, and the truth is what shall set humanity free from its enslavement. The devil's form of knowledge is only to guide humans away from the truth, distort reality, and to chase our own self destruction through hedonism. God however who watches over us, wants to guide us towards the true light. The real knowledge that we internally long for, is not hidden but revealed to mankind. This is he who watches over us, and wants humanity to be sitting with God rather than away from God.



5 Religious Ramifications

5.1 On Church Schisms

If the devil were to fail externally to drive people away from God, one of the best ways is to do it internally. If you look at the various work done by various groups such as the Stark Global Initiative, the Bible Project, etc., the main goal is to spread an original source of knowledge, which is to try sharing the essence of the Bible in its purest form. If you look at other groups for example, the main goal is unity, oneness, and globalism. The ecumenical councils of the world today talk about interfaith, and all these false narratives pushing us towards opening the doors for the Anti-Christ. This is why Pope Tawadros, Pope Francis, and the Russian Orthodox Pope, amongst others, are popes of heretics. Cowards, who did not even care about their counterpart in exile and persecution, the Pope of the Turkish Orthodox Church.

If Pope Tawadros doesn't come to his senses, he shall be the reason for a temporary fall of the Church of Alexandria. This is because they tie the World Council of Churches to Christianity. You have various supposed Oriental Orthodox Bishops visiting different religious groups, talking about interfaith representation, and praying amongst idolaters. They are not Orthodox, and this isn't what Christianity is. This is a group of people dressed in robes who sold out their faith, heritage and ancestors. This is cultural and ethnic cleansing. This is oppression, and all to take part of some geopolitical club fitting the narrative of people who don't even understand the destruction they bring upon themselves. This variation of progressiveness is the opposite of the writings of the Church Fathers.

5.2 Rabbinic Law and the Gospel Narrative

Christ is the fulfillment of the law and the Messiah who elevated the law and its true meaning. If you compare the Old versus the New Testament, it is very clear that Christ was a Jew, he was a practicing Jew, and he was the Jew. He was and is the King of the Jews, and this was a title that Pontius couldn't ignore. This is the beauty of who Christ was. Even Cephas, the Pharisees and the High Priests knew he was God, just as Pharaoh whose heart was hardened, but they did not know God.

I have been an outcast all my life, and beforehand, I have become more an enemy to this world. I have offended the "World Council of Churches", "my Pope", the Copts, the Muslims, almost every race, country, and people, and various giant entities. If there are many who have or currently want me gone, I have only gave them a reason further, but this is dust to me. I have chosen a harsh life. If somebody says he is talentless, deceitful, a burden upon his family about me, I shall not care. If somebody says he is an embarrassment, I shall not care. If somebody says he does not know God, that person is a liar. God has consoled me, and in my heart, I have received him. Therefore, the hardness in my heart towards anybody, whether I have offspring, whether I die in agony in the hands of evil men, there is all but love for the essence of what humanity was called to be. - Andrew M. K. Nassief

This is the truth. The truth is what shall set humanity free, which requires us to chase the internal goodness over our flawed nature or desire for what is wrong.

5.3 Proposing Islam's New Branch

Aryaislam stems from the idea that Islam is started by Mani, who is actually Arius, and that the actual seal of the Prophets was St. John the Baptist. Muhammad in essence didn't exist, and the historical consensus surrounding his life is questionable, but the Abrahamic lineage from Ishmael, the genetics of the Moabites, and the difference between the Ishmaelites and the Isaacites (Israelites), are within separate genetic lines of a common ancestor. This explains the disparities in the Quran, and why Jews are called "People of the Book", the similarities of traditions, the Lunar Calendar, and the fact that the book Christians received (by Allah who doesn't change his written word), is sufficient. In Aryaislam, the final Prophet is St. John the Baptist who made way for Christ. The truth revealed to the Muslims towards the end are not corrupted hadiths, but by scholars and maybe even a certain al-Mahdi who reveals that the original Amharic texts and Rabbinic along with Gospel narratives (the Bible), is the truth to who God is, and that there has been no prophet after St. John the Baptist, nor will there ever be. If there are two witnesses, it is most likely Enoch and Elijah after these days.

5.4 On Separation of Church and State

The idea of separation of Church and state stemmed around the need to not force conversions of Puritans, Quakers, etc. to the religion of the Church of England. The modernist movement have took the idea of separation of Church and state and made it a call for secularism or separation of religion entirely. They have used it to promote the religion of secularism and self-indulgence or hedonism into the minds of the youth. This includes an unprecedented level of individualism where you can make your own science, rules, logic, identities, and form of values under the assumption of progress. This is a dangerous pseudoscience, and society have relied on self-validating cults or digitized religions where social media separates one from the family, creates cliques, changes one's behavior, decision making, belief system, and establishes a sense of self and meaning made by elitist behind closed doors. Do as thou whitt and the philosophy of controlled opposition is the religion of the West. This is what this supposed separation or variation of freedom has become.

5.4.1 Equality, Equity, Utopia and the Brave New World

There has not been a more dangerous idea in modern times than equality, which by the way became equity (taking rights from others to compensate for lost rights). This was for some variation of a utopia, or brave new world. One may call it a sexual revolution of desire, happiness and drugs, and an expression of individualism. However, separating facts from the fiction of Hollywood's perversion is that true equality can only be achieved through genocide. For everybody to be equal, it means equal outcomes, and this means free will can no longer be an option when people are separated by values, skills, biological capabilities, faith, and stimuli. This is why one shouldn't be for equality, but rather seek true truth, justice, and edification for themselves and the oppressed. The ultimate deception is this transhumanist fantasy, more of a fallacy to be quite honest, of one living forever in some sort of artificial utopia. Godhood being the peak of the evolutionary scale. However, this is a trap. This is an orchestrated genocide in which those who take the fruit will lose their own free will and consensus for faith, human values, and ultimate sovereignty though a digitized self-inflicted suicide. Those who reject the fruit, die on this earth in the hands of others over themselves. The first lie told to humanity is that you shall become

like God, and the last lie to lead to our destruction as the extinction of what was once the human race, will likely be the same thing. Therefore, be vigilantly aware, and do not fall for such a deception. The truth will set you free, and it is better to die for the truth and in the truth, than to be a slave who killed themselves instantly, both internally and physically.

Acknowledgements

My Biggest 21st Century Scholarly Influences				
Stephen Wolfram	John Forbes Nash Jr.	Nassim Taleb	Terrence Tao	Christopher Hirata
Edward Witten	James Gates Jr	Jim Simons	Leonard Susskind	Grigori Perelman
Halton Arp	Stephen Hawking	Thomas Scott Zolotor	Milton Friedman	Rand Paul
Mark Dice	Ross Pirot	Ross Ulbricht	Michael Savage	Morton Blackwell
Mark Levin	Dave Ramsey	Bill Ackman	Giulio Tononi	Ben Carson
Abouna Zakaria Botros	Aaron Swartz	Edward Snowden	John Lennox	William Lane Craig
Stephen Joseph Williams	Scott Adams	Christopher Hitchens	Christopher Michael Langan	Freeman Dyson
George Hotz	Steve Wozniak	Michael Dell	V.A. Shiva Ayyadurai	Jason Kridner
Joe Brenard	Colin Furze	Mark Adams	Darren Aronofsky	Alex Pentland
Bernie Mac	Rowan Atkinson	Marc Abrahams	Tim Ferriss	Marcus Lemonis

Many great minds in terms of philosophy, religion, physics, mathematics and logic have seemed to influence my thinking in the 21st century. Going into those who are within the same time period, I am greatly in awe by some of the works by these incredible scholars and academics:

→ On Physics:

1. Stephen Wolfram (Computation/Symbolic Programming)
2. John Forbes Nash Jr. (Nash Equilibrium, Game Theory)
3. Nassim Taleb (Black Swan, Statistical Anomalies, Causation vs. Correlation)
4. Terrence Tao (Prime Conjectures, Kissing Numbers and Topology)
5. Christopher Hirata (Lattice, Algebraic Topology, Universal Expansion)
6. Edward Witten (M-Theory and Superstring Theory)
7. James Gates Jr (Work on Advocacy towards Supersymmetry)
8. Jim Simons (Geometric Topology, Statistical Variance)
9. Leonard Susskind (Relativity in a Quantum Physics Universe)
10. Grigori Perelman (Riemann Zeta Function, Piece-wise Polynomials, Complexity)
11. Halton Arp (The Red Shift, Alternatives to the Big Bang)
12. Stephen Hawking (Hawking Radiation)
13. Thomas Scott Zolotor (Our Collaborations, FHB Galaxies, Citizen Science)

→ On Politics:

1. Milton Friedman (Hayek, Chicago School of Thought, Free Markets)
2. Rand Paul (On libertarian conservatism and free markets)
3. Mark Dice (Media analyst who analyzes entertainment and secret societies)
4. Ross Perot (On grassroots organizing, power of a movement)
5. Michael Savage (On individualism and non-political normative views)
6. Ross Ulbricht (The Silk Road Trial, and free market distributed economies)
7. Morton Blackwell (Leadership institute, grass roots campaigning)

→ On Business:

1. Mark Levin (Causation vs. correlation in looking at economic data)

2. Dave Ramsey (On maximizing liabilities, debt, and financial literacy)
3. Bill Ackman (The Big Short, market analysis, the technology sector)

→ On Medicine:

1. Giulio Tononi (On Consciousness and Integrated information theory)
2. Ben Carson (Brain Surgeon, complexity of the Brain)

→ On Humanity:

1. Abouna Zakaria Botros (Islamic history, church unity, free speech)
2. Aaron Swartz (Internet Freedom, Privacy)
3. Edward Snowden (Human privacy, engineering ethics, freedom)

→ On Philosophy:

1. John Lennox (Biogenesis, Ontological and Logical Axioms)
2. William Lane Craig (Cosmological Arguments, Absolute Truth)
3. Stephen Joseph Williams (Theism, Sceptics, Design, the Hardware of Life)
4. Scott Adams (On Philosophy, God's Debris, Religion Wars)
5. Christopher Hitchens (Only debate style I enjoyed from the Anti-theist side, though I disagree)
6. Christopher Michael Langan (Philosophy, Metaphysics, and Axioms)

→ On Technology:

1. Freeman Dyson (Aerodynamics, Industrial Design, Realism)
2. George Hotz (AI, Philosophy, Tech)
3. Steve Wozniak (Open Hardware Philosophy, Right to Repair)
4. Michael Dell (On enterprise computing systems, personal computing)
5. V.A. Shiva Ayyadurai (On data, predictive analysis and visualisation)
6. Jason Kridner (Open Hardware, BeagleBoard.org, advocating for STEM)
7. Joe Brenard (BPS.space, open electronics, thrust vectoring)
8. Colin Furze (Human creativity, innovation, and STEM)

9. Mark Adams (Human-centric design, consumer luxury, transportation)

→ On Social Sciences and Art:

1. Darren Aronofsky (Melodramas, film, thought provoking ideas, Pi)
2. Alex Pentland (Social Physics and Human Behavior)
3. Bernie Mac (Classical satire on race, human condition, and stand up)
4. Rowan Atkinson (Power of silence, embracing awkwardness, free speech)
5. Marc Abrahams (Satirical work, good-witted humor, on honorifics)
6. Tim Ferriss (Work harder not smarter, maximizing human productivity)
7. Marcus Lemonis (Business pivoting, debt and risk mitigation)

These are whom I consider great minds of the 20th and 21st century. They helped shape my understanding of many of the topics presented in this paper and my scholarly, research or philosophical works. I have limited my list to 50.

Outreach Campaigns:

1. Primary Domain: ethopia.org
 2. Mirrors to primary: abyssiniancouncil.org, ethiopia.org.uk, copticleaks.com, copticleaks.org, orthodoxleaks.com, orthodoxleaks.org, apostolicorthodox.com, apostolicorthodox.org
-

References

- [1] Scott Adams. 'God's Debris: A Thought Experiment'. In: (9th July 2004). (Visited on 03/03/2024).
- [2] The Orthodox Church of America. 'Bob Marley and the Orthodox Church'. In: Saint Innocent Orthodox Church of Eureka (). URL: <https://eurekafirstchurch.com/bob-marley-and-the-orthodox-church> (visited on 03/09/2024).
- [3] UPG North America. 'Unreached People Groups North America'. In: UPG North America (2024). URL: <https://upgnorthamerica.com/unreached-people-groups-north-america> (visited on 03/07/2024).
- [4] TheLoneroFoundation Andrew Magdy Kamal. 'Re: George Hotz, Simulation Theory, Stephen Wolfram, "God and Dice"'. In: Hackernoon.com (). URL: <https://hackernoon.com/re-george-hotz-simulation-theory-stephen-wolfram-god-and-dice-zcj3yly> (visited on 03/03/2024).
- [5] anglicancommunion.org. 'The AnglicanOriental Orthodox Commission'. In: Angelican Communion (2023). URL: <https://www.anglicancommunion.org/ecumenism/ecumenical-dialogues/oriental-orthodox.aspx>.
- [6] Armeniapedia.org. 'Trdat III'. In: Armeniapedia (Mar. 2006). URL: https://www.armeniapedia.org/wiki/Trdat_III (visited on 03/09/2024).
- [7] Azshittu. 'Bible verses about the earth being round'. In: CHURCH GISTS (8th Jan. 2022). URL: <https://churchgists.com/bible-verses-about-the-earth-being-round/> (visited on 03/04/2024).
- [8] behindthename.com. 'Mark'. In: Behind the Name (Apr. 2022). URL: <https://www.behindthename.com/name/mark>.
- [9] Mary Bellis5. 'Biography of Bill Gates, Co-Founder of Microsoft'. In: ThoughtCo (Jan. 2020). URL: <https://www.thoughtco.com/bill-gates-biography-and-history-1991861>.
- [10] Joshua Benton. 'Elon Musks Anti-Semitic, Apartheid-Loving Grandfather'. In: The Atlantic (Sept. 2023). URL: <https://www.theatlantic.com/technology/archive/2023/09/joshua-haldeman-elon-musk-grandfather-apartheid-antisemitism/675396>.
- [11] Catherine Beyer. 'What Is a Coptic Cross?' In: Learn Religions (2nd Aug. 2021). URL: <https://www.learnreligions.com/coptic-crosses-96012> (visited on 03/07/2024).
- [12] bibleblender.com. 'Adam and Eve in the Garden of Eden(Genesis 2:4 3:24)'. In: Bible Blender (Jan. 2011). URL: <https://www.bibleblender.com/2011/bible-stories/old-testament/genesis/the-story-of-adam-and-eve>.
- [13] biblestudy.org. 'Archangels versus Cherubim'. In: Bible Study (2024). URL: <https://www.biblestudy.org/question/archangels-versus-cherubim.html> (visited on 03/05/2024).
- [14] ZA Blog. 'Cain and Abel: A Story of Rebellion, Judgment, and Grace'. In: Zondervan Academic (). URL: <https://zondervanacademic.com/blog/cain-and-abel> (visited on 03/07/2024).
- [15] Jessica Brodie. 'When Were the Gospels Written?' In: Bible Study Tools (Sept. 2021). URL: <https://www.biblestudytools.com/bible-study/topical-studies/when-were-the-gospels-written.html>.
- [16] Colin Campbell. 'Ben Carson has an incredible riff to describe how the brain accomplishes even the simplest of tasks'. In: Business Insider (). URL: <https://www.businessinsider.com/video-ben-carson-brain-speech> (visited on 03/03/2024).
- [17] Claudine Cassar. 'Confronting the Serpent: The God Apep, the Nemesis of Ra in Egyptian Myth'. In: Anthropology Review (3rd Sept. 2024). URL: <https://anthropologyreview.org/history/ancient-egypt/apep-ancient-egyptian-god> (visited on 03/09/2024).
- [18] Prof.Mordechai Cogan. 'Deuteronomys Herem Law: Protecting Israel at the Cost of its Humanity'. In: TheTorah.com (2022). URL: <https://www.thetorah.com/article/deuteronomys-herem-law-protecting-israel-at-the-cost-of-its-humanity> (visited on 03/05/2024).
- [19] R. Leigh Coleman. 'Steve Jobs: A Glimpse Into His Spiritual Life'. In: Christian Post (Oct. 2011). URL: <https://www.christianpost.com/news/steve-jobs-a-glimpse-into-his-spiritual-life.html> (visited on 03/09/2024).

- [20] cominginthecLOUDS.org. ‘Do Freemasons Worship Satan (Lucifer)?’ In: Coming in the Clouds (Sept. 2017). URL: <https://cominginthecLOUDS.org/christian-resources/religions/freemasons-worship-satan-lucifer>.
- [21] CompellingTruth.org. ‘<https://www.compellingtruth.org/angels-male-female.html>’. In: CompellingTruth.org (2014). URL: [Are%20all%20angels%20male%20or%20are%20some%20female?](https://www.compellingtruth.org/angels-male-female.html) (visited on 03/05/2024).
- [22] William Lane Craig. ‘The Relation between Adams Sin and Death’. In: The Good Book Blog - Talbot School of Theology Faculty Blog (8th July 2020). URL: <https://www.biola.edu/blogs/good-book-blog/2020/the-relation-between-adam-s-sin-and-death> (visited on 03/07/2024).
- [23] Richard Dawkins. ‘The biological reality of women and men’. In: Financial Review (Aug. 2023). URL: <https://www.afr.com/life-and-luxury/health-and-wellness/the-biological-reality-of-women-and-men-20230803-p5dtj2>.
- [24] Peter F. Dorman. ‘Religion of the Aton’. In: Britannica World Encyclopedia (Feb. 2024). URL: <https://www.britannica.com/biography/Akhenaten/Religion-of-the-Aton> (visited on 03/09/2024).
- [25] Digger Doug. ‘Why did Jesus refer to John the Baptizer as Elijah?’ In: Apologetics Press (2nd Jan. 2006). URL: <https://apologeticspress.org/why-did-jesus-refer-to-john-the-baptizer-as-elijah-4246> (visited on 03/09/2024).
- [26] Christopher Eames. ‘The Raameses of Exodus 1:11: Timestamp of Authorship? Or Anachronism?’ In: Armstrong Institute of Biblical Archeology (). URL: <https://armstronginstitute.org/767-the-raameses-of-exodus-1-11-timestamp-of-authorship-or-anachronism> (visited on 03/06/2024).
- [27] Editor. ‘Seven Announcements of Archangel Gabriel’. In: The Catalog of Good Deeds (Jan. 2017). URL: <https://catalog.obitel-minsk.com/blog/2017/01/seven-announcements-of-archangel-gabriel>.
- [28] History.com Editors. ‘Jerusalem’. In: History.com (). URL: <https://www.history.com/topics/ancient-middle-east/history-of-jerusalem> (visited on 03/04/2024).
- [29] WORLD HISTORY EDU. ‘Everything that you need to know about Apep, the Ancient Egyptian Deity of Chaos’. In: World History Edu (). URL: <https://worldhistoryedu.com/everything-that-you-need-to-know-about-apep-the-ancient-egyptian-deity-of-chaos> (visited on 03/07/2024).
- [30] Saul Elbein. ‘Human brains show larger-than-life activity at moment of death’. In: University of Michigan - Borjigin Lab (5th Jan. 2023). URL: <https://borjigin.lab.medicine.umich.edu/news/2023-near-death-consciousness/human-brains-show-larger-than-life-activity-at-moment-of-death> (visited on 03/03/2024).
- [31] The Editors of Encyclopaedia Britannica. ‘Manu’. In: Encyclopedia Britannica (). URL: <https://www.britannica.com/topic/Manu> (visited on 03/07/2024).
- [32] ewtn.com. ‘Why does the Church celebrate the Nativity of John the Baptist?’ In: Eternal Word Television Network (2024). URL: <https://www.ewtn.com/catholicism/seasons-and-feast-days/nativity-of-saint-john-the-baptist-23956>.
- [33] Christopher F. ‘Judas from tribe of Dan’. In: Biblical Hermeneutics StackExchange (Aug. 2021). URL: <https://hermeneutics.stackexchange.com/questions/66217/judas-from-tribe-of-dan> (visited on 03/09/2024).
- [34] Ancient Egyptian Facts. ‘Atum: Sun God of Creation’. In: Facts About Ancient Egyptians (2024). URL: <https://ancientegyptianfacts.com/atum-sun-god-creation.html> (visited on 03/05/2024).
- [35] familytreedna.com. ‘The J-FGC1 Story’. In: FamilyTreeDNA (2022). URL: <https://discover.familytreedna.com/y-dna/J-FGC1/story>.
- [36] familytreedna.com. ‘The J-M304 Story’. In: FamilyTreeDNA (2022). URL: <https://discover.familytreedna.com/y-dna/J-M304/story>.
- [37] Fandom.com. ‘Mehet-Weret’. In: Religion Wiki (11th Sept. 2023). URL: <https://religion.fandom.com/wiki/Mehet-Weret> (visited on 03/07/2024).
- [38] The Wikimedia Foundation. ‘11Q13’. In: Wikipedia (Dec. 2023). URL: <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/11Q13>.
- [39] The Wikimedia Foundation. ‘Augustine of Hippo’. In: Wikipedia (Mar. 2024). URL: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Augustine_of_Hippo.
- [40] The Wikimedia Foundation. ‘Christian views on birth control’. In: Wikipedia (Mar. 2024). URL: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Christian_views_on_birth_control.

- [41] The Wikimedia Foundation. 'Days of week on Hebrew calendar'. In: Wikipedia (Mar. 2024). URL: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Days_of_week_on_Hebrew_calendar.
- [42] The Wikimedia Foundation. 'DouayRheims Bible'. In: Wikipedia (Mar. 2024). URL: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Douay%E2%80%93Rheims_Bible.
- [43] The Wikimedia Foundation. 'Family tree of Muhammad'. In: Wikipedia (Mar. 2024). URL: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Family_tree_of_Muhammad.
- [44] The Wikimedia Foundation. 'Genetic history of Egypt'. In: Wikipedia (Mar. 2024), pp. 538–550. URL: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Genetic_history_of_Egypt (visited on 03/09/2024).
- [45] The Wikimedia Foundation. 'Haplogroup E-M215'. In: Wikipedia (Mar. 2024). URL: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Haplogroup_E-M215 (visited on 03/09/2024).
- [46] The Wikimedia Foundation. 'Inanna'. In: Wikipedia (Mar. 2024). URL: <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Inanna>.
- [47] The Wikimedia Foundation. 'Japeth'. In: Wikipedia (Mar. 2024). URL: <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Japheth> (visited on 03/09/2024).
- [48] The Wikimedia Foundation. 'Our Lady of Zeitoun'. In: Wikipedia (Jan. 2024). URL: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Our_Lady_of_Zeitoun.
- [49] The Wikimedia Foundation. 'Protestant work ethic'. In: Wikipedia (Mar. 2024). URL: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Protestant_work_ethic.
- [50] The Wikimedia Foundation. 'Queen of Heaven'. In: Wikipedia (Apr. 2024). URL: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Queen_of_Heaven.
- [51] The Wikimedia Foundation. 'Quraysh'. In: Wikipedia (Mar. 2024). URL: <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Quraysh>.
- [52] The Wikimedia Foundation. 'Race and appearance of Jesus'. In: Wikipedia (Mar. 2024). URL: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Race_and_appearance_of_Jesus.
- [53] The Wikimedia Foundation. 'Sashu'. In: Wikipedia (Mar. 2024). URL: <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Shasu> (visited on 03/09/2024).
- [54] The Wikimedia Foundation. 'Solomonic Dynasty'. In: Wikipedia (Mar. 2024). URL: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Solomonic_dynasty (visited on 03/09/2024).
- [55] The Wikimedia Foundation. 'The Buddha in Manichaeism'. In: Wikipedia (Jan. 2024). URL: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_Buddha_in_Manichaeism.
- [56] The Wikimedia Foundation. 'Umayyad Caliphate'. In: Wikipedia (Mar. 2024). URL: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Umayyad_Caliphate.
- [57] The Wikimedia Foundation. 'Yitzhak Kaduri'. In: Wikipedia (Mar. 2024). URL: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Yitzhak_Kaduri.
- [58] The Wikimedia Foundation. 'Zadok'. In: Wikipedia (Feb. 2024). URL: <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Zadok> (visited on 03/09/2024).
- [59] Maria Esposito Frank. 'Dantes Muammad: Parallels between Islam and Arianism'. In: Fordham Scholarship Online (Dec. 2014). DOI: [10.5422/fordham/9780823263868.003.0009](https://doi.org/10.5422/fordham/9780823263868.003.0009). URL: <https://academic.oup.com/fordham-scholarship-online/book/20331/chapter-abstract/179433041> (visited on 03/04/2024).
- [60] Daniel Garrett. 'Faith, Knowledge, Illness, Sacrifice: Stephen and Jane Hawking in the film The Theory of Everything'. In: Offscreen (Mar. 2018). URL: <https://offscreen.com/view/faith-knowledge-illness-sacrifice-stephen-and-jane-hawking-in-the-film-the> (visited on 03/09/2024).
- [61] Sam Morris Garrett Hellenthal Nancy Bird. 'Structure and ancestry patterns of Ethiopians in genome-wide autosomal DNA'. In: Human Molecular Genetics (Feb. 2021). DOI: [10.1093/hmg/ddab0192](https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddab0192). URL: <https://academic.oup.com/hmg/article/30/R1/R42/6129657>.
- [62] Michael E. Stone Gary A. Anderson. 'Adam's and Abel's Funerary Rites'. In: Virginia.edu (1995). URL: <http://www2.iath.virginia.edu/anderson/vita/tables/vita.table32.html> (visited on 03/07/2024).
- [63] geni.com. 'Pontius Pilate, 5th procurator of Judea'. In: geni.com (Mar. 2024). URL: <https://www.geni.com/people/Pontius-Pilate-5th-procurator-of-Judea/600000002134761233>.

- [64] geni.com. ‘Tiridates III, king of Armenia’. In: geni.com (Apr. 2022). DOI: [10.1038/nrmicro2832](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2832). URL: <https://www.geni.com/people/Tiridates-III-king-of-Armenia/600000002837817879> (visited on 03/09/2024).
- [65] Vincent van Gogh. ‘Vincent van Gogh > Quotes > Quotable Quote’. In: goodreads (Aug. 1879). URL: <https://www.goodreads.com/quotes/7268601-many-people-seem-to-think-it-foolish-even-superstitious-to>.
- [66] Brenda Goodman. ‘Sperm counts may be declining globally, review finds, adding to debate over male fertility’. In: CNN (Nov. 2011). URL: <https://www.cnn.com/2022/11/18/health/sperm-counts-decline-debate/index.html> (visited on 07/01/2024).
- [67] gotquestions.org. ‘What is a high Sabbath?’ In: Got Questions (Jan. 2022). URL: <https://www.gotquestions.org/high-Sabbath.html>.
- [68] gotquestions.org. ‘What is the story of Elijah and the prophets of Baal?’ In: Got Questions Ministries (1st Apr. 2022). URL: <https://www.gotquestions.org/Elijah-prophets-Baal.html> (visited on 03/09/2024).
- [69] gotquestions.org. ‘Who was Caiaphas in the Bible?’ In: Got Questions (Jan. 2023). URL: <https://www.gotquestions.org/Caiaphas-in-the-Bible.html>.
- [70] Jason Guerrasio. ‘A ‘South Park’ episode from 2005 perfectly explains Scientology’s unbelievable theory of how the world began’. In: Business Insider (Mar. 2015). URL: <https://www.businessinsider.com/south-park-what-scientologists-believe-2015-3>.
- [71] William Hemsworth. ‘Arianism: Three Modern Day Examples Of An Ancient Heresy’. In: Patheos (3rd Feb. 2021). URL: <https://www.patheos.com/blogs/thepursuitofholiness/2021/03/arianism-three-modern-day-examples-of-an-ancient-heresy> (visited on 03/04/2024).
- [72] Christopher Hitchens. ‘A Left-Wing Atheists Case Against Abortion’. In: Crisis Magazine (1988). URL: <https://crisismagazine.com/opinion/a-left-wing-atheists-case-against-abortion>.
- [73] H. Wayne House. ‘What Does the Bible Teach about the Cannabis Plant?’ In: Christian Research Institute (Feb. 2017). URL: <https://www.equip.org/articles/bible-teach-cannabis-plant> (visited on 05/07/2024).
- [74] Andrew J. O’Keefe II. ‘Why Ray Kurzweil Believes We Are Becoming More God-Like [Video]’. In: Singularity Hub (Aug. 2016). URL: <https://singularityhub.com/2016/08/18/why-ray-kurzweil-believes-we-are-becoming-more-god-like-video/>.
- [75] Nigel J. ‘What answer did Arius and Eusebius have to Melchisedec being a representative likeness having no beginning of days (Hebrews 7:3)?’ In: Christianity StackExchange (July 2021). URL: <https://christianity.stackexchange.com/questions/84166/what-answer-did-arius-and-eusebius-have-to-melchisedec-being-a-representative-li>.
- [76] Joseph C Keating Jr. and Scott Haldeman. ‘Joshua N Haldeman, DC: the Canadian Years, 1926-1950’. In: The Journal of the Canadian Chiropractic Association (Sept. 1995). URL: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2485067>.
- [77] Andrew Kamal. ‘My Explanation of the Universe through Quantum Origin’. In: Minds.com (). URL: <https://www.minds.com/gamer456148/blog/my-explanation-of-the-universe-through-quantum-origin-924057887114285056> (visited on 03/02/2024).
- [78] Andrew Kamal. ‘The Quantum Framework for Our Mathematical Universe: Full Dissertation’. In: PhilPapers (2018). URL: <https://philpapers.org/rec/KAMAQF>.
- [79] Andrew Kamal. ‘Theoretical Analysis of DNA Informatics, Bioindicators and Implications of Origins of Life’. In: osf.io (Oct. 2018). DOI: [10.17605/OSF.IO/Q7F56](https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/Q7F56). URL: <https://osf.io/q7f56> (visited on 03/09/2024).
- [80] Wonchull Kang et al. ‘Structural and biochemical basis for the inhibition of cell death by APIP, a methionine salvage enzyme’. In: PNAS Biochemistry (). DOI: [doi/10.1073/pnas.1308768111](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1308768111). URL: <https://www.pnas.org/doi/10.1073/pnas.1308768111> (visited on 03/07/2024).
- [81] Koniszewski et al. ‘The insect central complex as model for heterochronic brain developmentbackground, concepts, and tools’. In: Development Genes and Evolution (4th July 2016). DOI: [10.1007/s00427-016-0542-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00427-016-0542-7). URL: <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00427-016-0542-7> (visited on 03/07/2024).
- [82] Borjigin Lab. ‘Human brains show larger-than-life activity at moment of death’. In: University of Michigan (May 2023). URL: <https://borjigin.lab.medicine.umich.edu/news/2023-near-death-consciousness/human-brains-show-larger-than-life-activity-at-moment-of-death> (visited on 03/09/2024).

- [83] Christopher Michael Langan. ‘The Cognitive-Theoretic Model of the Universe: A New Kind of Reality Theory’. In: InfoLab (). URL: [http://www.infolab.ho.ua/Langan_CTMU_092902\(1\).pdf](http://www.infolab.ho.ua/Langan_CTMU_092902(1).pdf) (visited on 03/03/2024).
- [84] Barbara Latta. ‘Why Did Jesus Descend from the Tribe of Judah?’ In: Crosswalk.com (Dec. 2022). URL: <https://www.crosswalk.com/faith/bible-study/why-did-jesus-descend-from-the-tribe-of-judah.html>.
- [85] Witness Lee. ‘Life-Study of 1 2 Thessalonian’. In: Living Stream Ministry (Mar. 2014). URL: <https://www.ministrysamples.org/excerpts/ANTICHRIST-IN-THE-TEMPLE-OF-GOD.HTML> (visited on 03/04/2024).
- [86] Mike Licona. ‘What to say to Mormons’. In: bethinking (1998). URL: <https://www.bethinking.org/mormons/what-to-say-to-mormons/4-mormon-archaeology>.
- [87] B Lim et al. ‘Cardioprotective role of APIP in myocardial infarction through ADORA2B’. In: Springer Nature (7th Jan. 2019). DOI: 10.1038/s41419-019-1746-3. URL: <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41419-019-1746-3> (visited on 21/01/2024).
- [88] Kate Lohnes. ‘Siege of Jerusalem’. In: Britannica Encyclopedia (). URL: <https://www.britannica.com/event/Siege-of-Jerusalem-70> (visited on 03/05/2024).
- [89] Coptic Orthodox Diocese of Los Angeles. ‘Saint Mark the Apostle and Evangelist’. In: LA Copts (Aug. 1998). URL: <https://www.lacopts.org/orthodoxy/coptic-orthodox-church/history/establishment/saint-mark-apostle-evangelist-and-founder> (visited on 03/09/2024).
- [90] John MacArthur. ‘John MacArthur | The Ben Shapiro Show Sunday Special Ep. 29’. In: The Ben Shapiro Show (Dec. 2018). URL: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ak-Rv08N_1Q.
- [91] Erick Mack. ‘Science Reveals The Face Of God And It Looks Like Elon Musk’. In: Forbes (Dec. 2021). URL: <https://www.forbes.com/sites/ericmack/2018/06/14/elon-musk-really-is-god-at-least-in-the-minds-eye-of-christian-believers>.
- [92] Joshua J. Mark. ‘Alexandria, Egypt’. In: World History Encyclopedia (July 2023). URL: <https://www.worldhistory.org/alexandria/> (visited on 03/09/2024).
- [93] Fox’s Book of Martyrs. ‘Persecutions Under the Arian Heretics’. In: Bible Study Tools (1563). URL: <https://www.biblestudytools.com/history/foxs-book-of-martyrs/persecutions-under-the-arian-heretics.html>.
- [94] Got Questions Ministrie. ‘What does Hebrews 7:7 mean?’ In: BibleRef (2002). URL: <https://www.bibleref.com/Hebrews/7/Hebrews-7-7.html>.
- [95] Vince Mounts. ‘OHJ Was Adam Buried in Heaven?’ In: Natural Reason (8th July 2014). URL: <https://naturalreason.revolvingplanet.net/2014/08/07/ohj-was-adam-buried-in-heaven> (visited on 03/05/2024).
- [96] Dr. Andy Naselli. ‘Why Did Melchizedek Bring Out Bread and Wine?’ In: BETHLEHEM COLLEGE and SEMINARY (Mar. 2024). URL: <https://bcmn.edu/melchizedek/>.
- [97] Andrew M. K. Nassief. ‘Everything as an Algorithm Computational Analysis and Model for Space/Time Complexity’. In: Thesis Commons (). DOI: 10.31237/osf.io/x8ktc. URL: <https://osf.io/preprints/thesiscommons/x8ktc> (visited on 03/02/2024).
- [98] Andrew M. K. Nassief. ‘Quantum Similarity in our DNA, and in DNA Storage’. In: Preprints.org (). DOI: 10.20944/preprints202101.0441.v1. URL: <https://www.preprints.org/manuscript/202101.0441/v1> (visited on 03/02/2024).
- [99] American Museum of Natural History. ‘2016 Isaac Asimov Memorial Debate: Is the Universe a Simulation?’ In: American Museum of Natural History (Apr. 2016). DOI: 10.1038/nrmicro2832. URL: <https://www.amnh.org/explore/videos/isaac-asimov-memorial-debate/2016> (visited on 03/09/2024).
- [100] Professor M.M. Ninan. ‘WHO IS MELCHIZEDEK?’ In: Institute of Catholic Culture (2023). URL: <https://instituteofcatholicculture.org/articles/who-is-melchizedek>.
- [101] Muñoz de Nova et al. ‘Observation of thermal Hawking radiation and its temperature in an analogue black hole’. In: Nature (). DOI: 10.1038/s41586-019-1241-0. URL: <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41586-019-1241-0> (visited on 03/05/2024).
- [102] oac.cdlib.org. ‘Cult Awareness Network’. In: Online Archive of California (2012). URL: https://oac.cdlib.org/findaid/ark:/13030/c8p26zqp/entire_text.

- [103] openbible.info. ‘Chastity’. In: Open Bible (2024). URL: <https://www.openbible.info/topics/chastity>.
- [104] OrthodoxWiki. ‘Miaphysitism’. In: OrthodoxWiki (). URL: <https://orthodoxwiki.org/Miaphysitism> (visited on 03/05/2024).
- [105] orthodoxwiki.org. ‘Abanoub’. In: Orthodox Wiki (Mar. 2011). URL: <https://orthodoxwiki.org/Abanoub>.
- [106] Eric Osborn. ‘Chapter 12 - The glory of God and man’. In: Cambridge University Press (), pp. 551–564. DOI: 10.1017/CBO9780511487798.018. URL: <https://www.nature.com/articles/nrmicro2832> (visited on 03/04/2024).
- [107] patheos.com. ‘Protestantism’. In: Patheos (2008). DOI: 10.1038/nrmicro2832. URL: <https://www.patheos.com/library/protestantism/ritual-worship-devotion-symbolism/symbolism>.
- [108] Ian Paul. ‘What does it mean to be like the angels in Luke 20?’ In: Psephizo (11th Aug. 2019). URL: <https://www.psephizo.com/biblical-studies/what-does-it-mean-to-be-like-the-angels-in-luke-20> (visited on 03/05/2024).
- [109] Chas Saunders Peter J. Allen. ‘LOTAN’. In: Godchecker.com (). URL: <https://www.godchecker.com/canaanite-mythology/LOTAN/> (visited on 03/07/2024).
- [110] Brian Pizzalato. ‘Where Adam fails, the new Adam succeeds’. In: Catholic News Agency (). URL: <https://www.catholicnewsagency.com/resource/55699/where-adam-fails-the-new-adam-succeeds> (visited on 03/05/2024).
- [111] Ross Pomeroy. ‘Why Elon Musk Sees a Population Plateau as an Existential Threat’. In: RealClearScience (Feb. 2024). URL: https://www.realclearscience.com/blog/2024/02/20/why_elon_musk_sees_a_population_plateau_as_an_existential_threat_1012628.html.
- [112] Menachem Posner. ‘Why didn’t Pharaoh enslave the tribe of Levi?’ In: Chabad.org (Jan. 2009). URL: https://www.chabad.org/parshah/article_cdo/aid/811168/jewish/Why-didnt-Pharaoh-enslave-the-tribe-of-Levi.htm (visited on 03/09/2024).
- [113] Joseph Pronechen. ‘Major Apparitions of St. Joseph Are Approved’. In: National Catholic Register (8th May 2016). URL: <https://www.ncregister.com/blog/major-apparitions-of-st-joseph-are-approved> (visited on 03/05/2024).
- [114] Sara Reardon. ‘Massive Study Finds No Single Genetic Cause of Same-Sex Sexual Behavior’. In: Scientific American (Aug. 2019). URL: <https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/massive-study-finds-no-single-genetic-cause-of-same-sex-sexual-behavior>.
- [115] Reuters. ‘Egypt’s ancient ‘Tree of the Virgin Mary’ reopens to visitors’. In: Reuters.com (). URL: <https://www.reuters.com/world/middle-east/egypts-ancient-tree-virgin-mary-reopens-visitors-2022-09-19> (visited on 03/05/2024).
- [116] Lucas Van Rompay. ‘Severos, Commentary of the monk Catena Severi (9th cent.) [Syr. Orth.]’ In: Gorgias Encyclopedic Dictionary of the Syriac Heritage: Electronic Edition (2011). URL: <https://gedsh.bethmardutho.org/Severos-Commentary-of-the-monk>.
- [117] Fr. Joseph Fessio S.J. ‘What Steve Jobs said about Christianity, Jesus, and faith’. In: The Ignatius Press Blog (Oct. 2011). URL: <https://insightscoop.typepad.com/2004/2011/10/what-steve-jobs-said-about-christianity-jesus-and-faith.html> (visited on 03/09/2024).
- [118] sacred-texts. ‘THE PRINCIPAL GEOGRAPHICAL AND MYTHOLOGICAL PLACES IN THE BOOK OF THE DEAD.’ In: sacred-texts.com (2024). URL: <https://sacred-texts.com/egy/ebod/ebod10.htm> (visited on 03/07/2024).
- [119] A. Sanchez-Mazas. ‘The Berbers of North Africa: Genetic Relationships According to HLA and other Polymorphisms’. In: Springer Nature (2000). DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4615-4231-5_4. URL: https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-1-4615-4231-5_4.
- [120] Megan Sauter. ‘What Happened to Cain in the Bible?’ In: BIBLICAL ARCHAEOLOGY SOCIETY (). URL: <https://www.biblicalarchaeology.org/daily/biblical-topics/bible-interpretation/what-happened-to-cain-in-the-bible> (visited on 03/07/2024).
- [121] Megan Sauter. ‘What Is Coptic and Who Were the Copts in Ancient Egypt?’ In: BIBLICAL ARCHAEOLOGY SOCIETY (). URL: <https://www.biblicalarchaeology.org/daily/ancient-cultures/ancient-near-eastern-world/what-is-coptic-and-who-were-the-copts-in-ancient-egypt> (visited on 03/05/2024).

- [122] Peter Schumer. ‘Why Is X Such a Big Deal?’ In: Atlas Obscura (Aug. 2023). URL: <https://www.atlasobscura.com/articles/x-letter-symbol-meaning>.
- [123] Sitaraman Shankar. ‘Ramanujan, the thought of God, and being in the Zone’. In: Hindustan Times (10th Aug. 2015). URL: <https://www.hindustantimes.com/authors/ramanujan-the-thought-of-god-and-being-in-the-zone/story-LiGi0acPGzE7LvHmtCFf8L.html> (visited on 03/05/2024).
- [124] Eli Shukron. ‘Temple Zero in Zion | Melchizedeks Anointed Standing Stone in Jerusalem’. In: Living Passages (Mar. 2019). URL: <https://livingpassages.com/temple-zero-eli-shukron>.
- [125] Eli Shukron. ‘Temple Zero in Zion | Melchizedeks Anointed Standing Stone in Jerusalem’. In: Living Passages (). URL: <https://livingpassages.com/temple-zero-eli-shukron/> (visited on 03/05/2024).
- [126] Christianity.com Editorial Staff. ‘What Should Christians Know about Thelema?’ In: Christianity.com (Nov. 2023). URL: <https://www.christianity.com/wiki/cults-and-other-religions/what-is-thelema-the-greek-word-and-the-occult-religion.html> (visited on 03/09/2024).
- [127] Dominic Statham. ‘Isaiah 40:22 and the shape of the earth’. In: Creation Ministries International (8th Nov. 2016). URL: <https://creation.com/isaiah-40-22-circle-sphere> (visited on 03/05/2024).
- [128] Philip D. Stern. ‘Wordplay in Genesis’. In: BIBLICAL ARCHAEOLOGY SOCIETY (). URL: <https://www.biblicalarchaeology.org/daily/biblical-topics/bible-interpretation/wordplay-in-genesis> (visited on 03/05/2024).
- [129] Joseph Frederick Strausman. ‘Iry-Hor, Pharaoh of Egypt’. In: Geni.com (). URL: <https://www.geni.com/people/Iry-Hor-Pharaoh-of-Egypt/600000009562419205> (visited on 03/06/2024).
- [130] Sumitra. ‘Meet the Young New York Homeless Who Puts a Roof over His Head by Picking Up Women and Going Home with Them’. In: Odditycentral.com (Sept. 2014). URL: <https://www.odditycentral.com/news/meet-the-young-new-york-homeless-who-puts-a-roof-over-his-head-by-picking-up-women-and-going-home-with-them.html> (visited on 03/09/2024).
- [131] Werner Sundermann. ‘MANI’. In: Encyclopedia Iranica (July 2009). URL: <https://iranicaonline.org/articles/mani-founder-manicheism>.
- [132] Dept. of Systematic Biology National Museum of Natural History. ‘Beetles (Coleoptera)’. In: Smithsonian (2018). URL: <https://www.si.edu/spotlight/buginfo/beetle> (visited on 03/07/2024).
- [133] Nassim Nicholas Taleb. ‘IQ is largely a pseudoscientific swindle’. In: Incerto (Jan. 2019). URL: <https://medium.com/incerto/iq-is-largely-a-pseudoscientific-swindle-f131c101ba39> (visited on 03/09/2024).
- [134] Dr. Giulio Tononi. ‘INTEGRATED INFORMATION THEORY’. In: Wisconsin Institute for Sleep and Consciousness (5th Aug. 2014). URL: <http://integratedinformationtheory.org> (visited on 03/03/2024).
- [135] toureygypt.net. ‘Egypt: Mandulis - The Lower Nubian Sun God’. In: Tour Egypt (2024). URL: <https://www.toureygypt.net/godsofegypt/mandulis.htm> (visited on 03/05/2024).
- [136] Your Egyptian Tours. ‘Who is Baal in Ancient Egyptian?’ In: EGYPT TRAVEL BLOG (). URL: <https://www.youreygypttours.com/egypt-tours-blog/Who-is-Baal-in-Ancient-Egyptian> (visited on 03/07/2024).
- [137] Unknown. ‘11Q13’. In: Wikipedia (12th May 2023). URL: <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/11Q13> (visited on 03/04/2024).
- [138] Haplogroup YTree v3.11.00. ‘J1a YTree’. In: Y Full (June 2015). URL: <https://www.yfull.com/arch-3.11/tree/J1a>.
- [139] Nicole VanDyke. ‘Kanye West says he has issues with Jesus’ and is putting problems into his ‘own hands’. In: Christian Post (Mar. 2024). URL: <https://www.christianpost.com/news/kanye-west-says-he-has-issues-with-jesus-im-god.html>.
- [140] Conscious Vibe. ‘Tree of Life Symbol: Meaning Origin’. In: The Conscious Vibe (5th Feb. 2023). URL: <https://theconsciousvibe.com/what-does-the-tree-of-life-symbolize-meanings-explained> (visited on 03/07/2024).
- [141] Nicholas Wade. ‘DNA Backs a Tribe’s Tradition Of Early Descent From the Jews’. In: NY Times (May 1999). URL: <https://www.nytimes.com/1999/05/09/us/dna-backs-a-tribe-s-tradition-of-early-descent-from-the-jews.html> (visited on 03/09/2024).

- [142] Ellen White. ‘Who Are the Nephilim?’ In: BIBLICAL ARCHAEOLOGY SOCIETY (). URL: <https://www.biblicalarchaeology.org/daily/biblical-topics/hebrew-bible/who-are-the-nephilim> (visited on 03/05/2024).
- [143] Elie Wiesel. ‘Seth in the Bible’. In: BIBLICAL ARCHAEOLOGY SOCIETY (). URL: <https://www.biblicalarchaeology.org/daily/people-cultures-in-the-bible/people-in-the-bible/seth-in-the-bible> (visited on 03/07/2024).
- [144] Patti Wigington. ‘Inanna, Goddess War, Sex, and Justice’. In: Learn Religions (). URL: <https://www.learnreligions.com/inanna-goddess-4796590> (visited on 03/05/2024).
- [145] Wikipedia. ‘Mehet-Weret’. In: Wikiwand (11th Sept. 2023). URL: <https://www.wikiwand.com/en/Mehet-Weret> (visited on 03/07/2024).
- [146] Jonathan Williamson. ‘The story of Auðumbla, the primeval cow of Norse mythology’. In: The Viking Herald (). URL: <https://www.thevikingherald.com/article/the-story-of-audjumbbla-the-primeval-cow-in-norse-creation-myths/801> (visited on 03/07/2024).
- [147] Stephen Wolfram. ‘Finally We May Have a Path to the Fundamental Theory of Physics and Its Beautiful’. In: Wolfram Alpha (). URL: <https://writings.stephenwolfram.com/2020/04/finally-we-may-have-a-path-to-the-fundamental-theory-of-physics-and-its-beautiful> (visited on 03/03/2024).
- [148] The Word. ‘Cain Murders Abel’. In: Bible Gateway (0). URL: <https://www.biblegateway.com/passage/?search=Genesis%204-36&version=NKJV> (visited on 03/07/2024).
- [149] The Word. ‘Exodus Chapter 32’. In: mechon-mamre.org Exodus (0). URL: <https://mechon-mamre.org/p/pt/pt0232.htm#26> (visited on 03/07/2024).
- [150] The Word. ‘Foods Permitted and Forbidden’. In: Foods Permitted and Forbidden (0). URL: <https://www.biblegateway.com/passage/?search=Leviticus%2011&version=NKJV> (visited on 03/07/2024).
- [151] The Word. ‘Genesis 18:2’. In: The Bible (0). URL: <https://biblehub.com/genesis/18-2.htm>.
- [152] The Word. ‘Hebrews 7:16’. In: The Bible (0). URL: <https://biblehub.com/hebrews/7-16.htm>.
- [153] The Word. ‘Hebrews 7:3’. In: The Bible (0). URL: <https://biblehub.com/hebrews/7-3.htm>.
- [154] The Word. ‘Luke 3:38’. In: Bible Hub (0). URL: <https://biblehub.com/luke/3-38.htm> (visited on 03/07/2024).
- [155] The Word. ‘Romans 3:23’. In: The Bible (0). URL: <https://biblehub.com/romans/3-23.htm>.
- [156] The World. ‘Isaiah 19:5’. In: The Bible (0). DOI: 10.1038/nrmicro2832. URL: <https://biblehub.com/commentaries/isaiah/19-5.htm>.
- [157] Xen0. ‘Did God Explicitly Warn Eve About the Tree of Knowledge (Gen. 2, 3)?’ In: Stackexchange.com Hermeneutics (). URL: <https://hermeneutics.stackexchange.com/questions/58919/did-god-explicitly-warn-eve-about-the-tree-of-knowledge-gen-2-3> (visited on 03/09/2024).
- [158] Al-Masry Al-Youm. ‘Al-Khaleya film breaks Egyptian cinematic revenue record’. In: Egypt Today (Dec. 2017). URL: <https://www.egyptindependent.com/al-khaleya-film-breaks-egyptian-cinematic-revenue-record>.
- [159] Stoyan Zaimov. ‘ISIS Feeds Starving Mother Her 3-Y-O Child; Yazidis Blame Obama’s Inaction for Ongoing Atrocities’. In: Christian Post (Oct. 2015). URL: <https://www.christianpost.com/news/isis-feeds-mother-3-y-o-child-yazidis-blame-obama.html>.