

We are all born in some religion, cult, or school of thought. Some are born Christian, some Muslim, and some Jewish, Buddhist, Hindu, etc.

All that these people have in common as they grow up is their bias towards their own religion and how they view and criticize the opposing religions. They all seem to believe that their religion and God or the Gods are the true ones.

If Jews think that they are correct, and Muslims and Christians think the same as the Jews, and the Buddhists, Hindus, and others feel the same way, then we need to ask one, which group is correct? And two, why do they all think the same but over different Gods and teachings?

Not everyone can be right because they all believe in different contradictory Gods or religions, but does this mean that there could be a right group?

If we choose one religion over another one based on what merits are we going to do that? Besides, only a few of us get to choose a new religion and willingly convert or dismiss the religions altogether.

Based on many factors, some people may choose to convert, not because they have thoroughly studied the new religion or the previous ones but because of other causes such as financial, social, psychological, or by force, change of society, or even the spiritual means.

The converts get themselves out of a hole in the ground to fall into another one!

That is what religions are to humankind, just a hole in the ground. As long as we are in that hole or any other hole, we cannot expect to reach any destination. All we do in the hole that has entrapped us is praying to an imaginary God to help us achieve our goals. To

assist us to be a good sheep to him and never dare to leave the hole and see how much more is out there for us to view than a human-made hole that was preventing us from exploring other parts of the planetoid.

Religions are not only as a hole in the ground; they are also comparable with a leash, and changing our religion is like finding a new hole or wearing a new leash. If we do not want to be in a hole or be wearing a leash, we shall tear the leash.

Societies are awakened.

Authorities are frightened.

When clouds are shattered.

And darkness is scattered.

When demons are imposed.

And their lies are exposed.

New thoughts will emerge.

Religions tend to merge.

Then the bad are defined.

And the Gods are re-defined.

And the thoughts are refined.

Then our thoughts are denied.

What are we? Blind!

When the game is the same.

We look for blame...

Then new Gods are born.

Our societies are torn.

And we all lose again.

And the masters remain.

When the masters battle.

We have to be their cattle.

If our past is history.

The future is a mystery.

And our life is in misery.

Religions are the leash.

Societies change the leash.

But still, on the leash,

If we do not want the leash.
Then we shall tear the leash.

What is quite mesmerizing is how closely we all think. We seem to think in the same pattern and manner as anyone else but over different topics. People who belong to a specific group of believers think the same as any other group of people about their beliefs of interest that their group belongs to or represents.

The logic for so feverishly believing and defending their religion is solely founded on the fact that they have only been indeed only exposed to their own religion, and they have never been exposed to many other religions or people that belong to a different group of religions than the one that the individual was raised in.

However, it requires a massive amount of exposure often for a person to consider conversion. An example is migrating from a Hindu-dominant place to a mainly Jewish-dominated community. It is the cut-off from childhood teachings to a new set of rules that we can more conveniently criticize or accept without feeling guilt, sorrow, or retribution.

As a Hindu, the person was born among other Hindus from Hindu parents. In a Hindu-practicing society, the person is naturally fiercely exposed to many Hindu teachings. As humans, the religion that we are born into and grown into has roots deep in our minds and flesh.

However, if we take the religions away, some may ask, so where did we come from? One, as I usually say, 'the most dependable reply to any uncertainty is I do not know since no one could ever declare that you were wrong.' So the answer to that question should be, we do not know, and we currently cannot know, and it does not mean we have to stop searching, nor does it mean we have to come up with something that we all can blindly believe in instead of looking for genuine answers.

We rather choose to believe in something popular, just because it is easier this way, to have something to believe and to belong to a group as wrong as it may be at times.

Hence, all that said, where did we come from originally? The simple answer is 'we do not know for sure,' but there are many clever ideas, speculations, theories, plus various groundless beliefs and practices.

Over time, the answer to this question has become one of humans' most significant flaws. Despite their ability to create, they are incapable of seeing how many answers are only a mental creation that has no evidence of existence beyond its mental form! Therefore, the idea of one omnipotent God is perhaps nothing but a mental creation. How would someone visualize God? Would God look like a human, or does he look like a turtle?

Do we create gods in our own image, or is it because of some rulers in history who claimed to be the God of their own people? Maybe that Human-God image has passed down through generations, blood, and genes over centuries and millenniums. Maybe, just maybe, we cannot imagine what God could look like beyond some human-looking entity because no one has ever seen God.

If one says a lion, we have at least an image of a lion in our heads, and when we say blue, we have a visual understanding of the color of the blue, not because we naturally know the colors by name, just because we have seen them and learned their names. Now, suppose that someone said the color 'Huxgim!' Would we know what color that is? It is not red, blue, yellow, or any other color that we have ever seen. Someone claims to have seen the color, but they cannot prove and show it to us, and we can not believe or comprehend the color because we have never seen any color like it before, and two, maybe it is because it is just a myth and the color does not exist.

Is this the exact relation between a messenger of God when he declares to have seen God or talked to God? Either it is a color (shape, form) that we have never seen, and the messenger can under no condition explain what God looks unless we get to see God for ourselves, which does not occur often. Alternatively, God is not there, and the messenger does not know what God looks like because he knows it is a deception and has never seen any Gods for himself.

Consequently, now, the messenger may have the same visual memory of God as the rest, or he could have created a much more complicated one, such as some of the current and previous Gods. It seems as if the Gods also have an expiration date or best used before date!