


# Comparison of Resilience and Depression in Children and Adolescents with Epilepsy and Healthy Controls

Mehmet Karadağ<sup>1</sup> , Ayşe Aysima Özçelik<sup>2</sup> , Seher Tuğçe Şahin<sup>1</sup> 

<sup>1</sup> Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Faculty of Medicine, Gaziantep University, Gaziantep, Turkey

<sup>2</sup> Department of Pediatric Neurology, Faculty of Medicine, Gaziantep University, Gaziantep, Turkey

## ABSTRACT

**Objective:** The purpose of this study was to compare the depression and resilience scores of children and adolescents with epilepsy and healthy controls. Furthermore, its purpose was to investigate whether resilience mediates the relationship between epilepsy and depression.

**Methods:** 100 children and adolescents (46 patients and 54 healthy controls) were included in the study. Questionnaire on sociodemographic data was administered to the all participants at the time of application to our center and all participants were asked to complete the Anxiety and Depression Scale in Children-Revised (RCADS-CV) and Child and Adolescent Psychological Resilience Scale (CYRM-12).

**Results:** 46 epilepsy patients had higher RCADS-CV depression scores ( $P=0.008$ ) and lower psychological resilience scores ( $P=0.001$ ) compared to the control group. Although there was a negative correlation between psychological resilience scores and RCADS-CV depression scores in epilepsy patients and the control group, this correlation was not statistically significant.

**Conclusion:** We found that children and adolescents with epilepsy had lower psychological resilience and higher depression symptoms compared to healthy controls.

**Keywords:** Epilepsy, depression, resilience, child and adolescent

## INTRODUCTION

Epilepsy is a neurological disease that occurs with excessive electrical discharges of brain cells and is characterized by recurrent seizures due to disturbances in the electrical functions of the brain. Seizures can cause serious suicide risk, premature death, social exclusion and depression in patients and affect their quality of life. Epilepsy is the most common neurological disorder in children and its prevalence in childhood is estimated to be 0.05-1% [1]. The fact that it is the most common among chronic neurological diseases makes the studies on this subject more important. Studies focusing on the prevalence of psychopathology in pediatric epilepsy have also documented that children with epilepsy have an estimated overall risk of childhood psychopathology of 16-77% [2, 3].

Children with epilepsy often have negative mood disorders such as depression. Depression in children manifests as mood swings, impulsivity, poor self-esteem, self-harm, suicide, and abdominal pain, which can have undesirable consequences for both the patient and the family [4]. There were many studies about childhood and adolescents epilepsy that report

neuropsychiatric disorders in 35–50% of these patients [5]. Patients with epilepsy exhibit a 4-5 higher rate of depression compared with healthy population. In studies on epilepsy was found that the age of the patient, the age of onset of the seizure, the seizure type, the seizure frequency, the life span with the disease, the education level, the use of antiepileptic drugs and the socioeconomic status of the patient were associated with depression [6].

Psychological resilience, on the other hand, comes from the concept of “resilience”, which derives from the Latin verb “salire” that meaning to jump again. It has been translated into Turkish with terms such as resilience, psychological resilience and flexibility [7]. The concept of psychological resilience, which is the ability of individuals to recover themselves or to overcome what they have experienced despite many challenges and difficulties they encounter in life, has been the subject of many studies in the literature [8]. Studies on the psychological resilience of depressed children and adolescents; shows that psychological resilience may play a role in the etiology of depression [9, 10].

**How to cite:** Karadağ M, Özçelik AA, Şahin ST (2023) Comparison of Resilience and Depression in Children and Adolescents with Epilepsy and Healthy Controls. *Eur J Ther.* 29(2):239–246. <https://doi.org/10.58600/eurjther.20232902-1628.y>

**Corresponding Author:** Seher Tuğçe Şahin E-mail: [shrtugcee@gmail.com](mailto:shrtugcee@gmail.com)

**Received:** 07.06.2023 • **Accepted:** 22.06.2023 • **Published Online:** 22.06.2023

Many previous studies have shown that self-esteem is an important predictor of resilience [11-13]. In patients with epilepsy, the age of diagnosis of epilepsy is low, the duration of living with epilepsy is increased, uncontrolled seizures, the uncertainty of when the seizures will occur, loss of consciousness and body control during the seizure, difference in recovery time after the seizure, fear of being labeled, non-compliance with drug therapy negatively affects its life quality [14, 15]. Situations such as epilepsy patients experiencing unpredictable and suddenly developing seizures, losing control during seizures, growing socially isolated, families having a protective approach, and deterioration in social relations may cause individuals to lose their self-esteem [16].

Many studies have shown that epilepsy reduces resilience and causes depression but these studies have been conducted in adults with epilepsy or in families of children with epilepsy, the relationship between resilience and depression in children with epilepsy is among the barren areas. Therefore, in this study, we aimed to compare the depression and resilience scores of children and adolescents with epilepsy and healthy controls, and to investigate whether resilience mediates the relationship between epilepsy and depression.

## METHODS

### Study Population

This Study was planned as a single-center, prospective cohort study. Patients aged 8-17 years who applied to the Pediatric Neurology Clinic of Gaziantep University Medical Faculty Hospital and who had been diagnosed with epilepsy for at least 1 year, and healthy children aged 8-17 who applied to the Gaziantep University Medical Faculty Hospital Pediatric Clinic who were not diagnosed with any disease were included in the study. Patients with missing or incomplete data, patients with seizures below 6 months, patients younger than 8 years old, patients older than 17 years of age, and cases with psychiatric disorders such as autism or mental retardation were not included in the study. Dieter Schmidt's 2007 study showed that the response to

antiepileptic drugs in the first 6 months is an excellent indicator of the response at 12 months in patients with epilepsy [17]. Therefore, patients with a seizure-free at least 6 months were preferred in order to exclude acute psychological symptoms of seizures and seizure side effects in patients with epilepsy in addition to reach more objective results by preventing antiepileptic drug changes during this period. Ethical consent was obtained for the study (Gaziantep University Faculty of Medicine Ethics Committee; decision dated 24.02.2021 and numbered 2021/34). Informed consent was obtained from all individuals included in the study. Questionnaires applied during the application to our center are Sociodemographic Data Form, Anxiety and Depression Scale in Children-Revised (RCADS-CV), Child and Adolescent Psychological Resilience Scale (CYRM-12).

### Scales

**Sociodemographic Data Form:** In this form, there are questions about the sociodemographic characteristics of the child, such as gender, date of birth, class, number of siblings, and whether he applied to the psychiatry clinic. The form also includes information about the age and professional status of the parent. In addition, epilepsy-specific information such as drugs used by children and adolescents with epilepsy, time of diagnosis of epilepsy, seizure type, time of last seizure, and EEG results were collected. This form was completed by the clinician.

**Anxiety and Depression Scale in Children-Revised (RCADS-CV):** The RCADS-CV was developed by Chorpita et al. for children 3-12 grades. It consists of a total of 47 items and measures separation anxiety, social phobia, obsessive compulsive disorder, panic disorder, generalized anxiety disorder and major depressive disorder [18]. The validity and reliability of the Turkish version was made by Vahdet Söylemez, Ayşe Kılınçaslan, Abdurrahman Cahid Örengül, Chad Ebesutani, İlyas Kaya, Veysi Ceri, Serhat Nasıroğlu, Mekiya Filiz & Bruce Chorpita [19]. Each item is scored between never (0), sometimes (1), often (2), and always (3) in the four-Likert scale. A high score indicates a high level of anxiety or depression symptoms.

**Child and Youth Resilience Scale (CYRM-12):** The original 28-item form of the scale consists of three subscales and eight sub-dimensions [20]. The short form study was done by Liebenberg, Ungar, and LeBlanc (2013), and a 12-item structure was obtained as a result of two different studies [21]. The Turkish validity and reliability study of the scale was performed by Arslan et al. [22]. This form was completed by children and adolescents. The measurement tool, which has a five-point Likert structure, is rated between "Describes me completely (5)" and "Does not describe me at all (1)". A high score indicates a high level of resilience.

### Statistical Analysis

The sociodemographic and medical data of the participants were made using descriptive statistical methods. The normal distribution of numerical variables was tested with the Shapiro Wilk test. The Mann Whitney U test was used to compare the skewed variables between the two groups, and the Kruskal Wallis test was used for multiple comparisons. The relationships

### Main Points:

- Many studies have shown that epilepsy reduces resilience and causes depression, but these studies have been conducted in adults with epilepsy or in families of children with epilepsy, the relationship between resilience and depression in children with epilepsy is among the barren areas.
- In this study, it was found that 46 patients with epilepsy who were followed up in the Pediatric Neurology Clinic of Gaziantep University Medical Faculty Hospital had higher RCADS-CV depression scores and lower psychological resilience scores compared to the control group.
- Parenting styles in Turkish society and the overprotective attitudes of families with children with epilepsy may also have contributed to low resilience scores and high depression scores.

between the skewed numerical variables were tested using the Spearman rank correlation coefficient, and the relationships between the categorical variables were tested using the Chi-square test. SPSS for Windows version 22.0 was used for statistical analysis. P value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

The mean age of the children was 12.64 ± 2.74 (8-18 years), the mean age of onset of disease was 10.52 ± 2.26 (7 -14), and the mean disease duration was 36.63 ± 17.19 (12 -102 months). Data from a total of 100 children and adolescents, 31 males (31%) and 69 females (69%), were analyzed. Of the patients 32 (69.6%) had generalized epilepsy and 27 (58.7%) had seizure remission in the past year. Most of the participants’ parents were not college graduates. Table 1 shows the comparison of the sociodemographic characteristics of the children and adolescents participating in the study. There was no significant difference in terms of age, gender, class, number of siblings, father’s education, income status and having a room of their own. However a significant difference was found in terms of maternal education level (p=0.039). The mother’s education level was higher in the control group. The comparison of depression and resilience scores of children

with epilepsy and control groups is shown in Table 2. According to the data obtained, the RCADS-CV Depression Score of children with epilepsy was 11.17 ± 14.03; the children in the control group were found to be 5.7 ± 5.75 (p=0.008). In the comparison made in terms of psychological resilience scores, it was found that the children with epilepsy were 44.57 ± 7.57 and the control group was 53.07 ± 9.03 (p=0.001). When these results were evaluated, it was found that children with epilepsy were more depressed and had lower psychological resilience. Although there was a negative relationship between psychological resilience scores and RCADS-CV depression scores in epilepsy patients (P=0.285) and control group (P=0.233), this relationship was not statistically significant (Table 3).

In the study, the age of onset of the disease, the duration of the disease, the number of siblings, the number of antiepileptic drugs [23], the type of seizure, gender, number of seizure-free years, and consanguinity between parents were found to be unrelated to RCADS-CV depression and psychological resilience scores. (Table 4,5) In the Kruskal Wallis test performed, no statistically significant correlation was found between the type of antiepileptic drug used in adolescents with epilepsy, seizure time, EEG (electroencephalography) findings and RCADS-CV depression and psychological resilience scores (p>0.05).

**Table 1.** Comparison of sociodemographic characteristics of children and adolescents with epilepsy with healthy controls

| Variables             |                            | Control (n=54)    | Patient (n=46)    |                |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| Continuous Variables  |                            | Median (Min-Maks) | Median (Min-Maks) | P <sup>a</sup> |
| Age                   |                            | 12 (8 – 18)       | 12.5 (8 – 18)     | 0.136          |
| Grade                 |                            | 6.5 (1 – 11)      | 7 (3 – 12)        | 0.073          |
| Number of siblings    |                            | 3 (1 – 7)         | 3 (0 – 5)         | 0.462          |
| Categorical Variables |                            | N (%)             | N (%)             | P <sup>b</sup> |
| Gender                | Female                     | 41 (75.9)         | 28 (60.9)         | 0.105          |
|                       | Male                       | 13 (24.1)         | 18 (39.1)         |                |
| Father’s education    | Only literate              | 1 (1.9)           | 2 (4.3)           | 0.443          |
|                       | Primary school             | 14 (25.9)         | 17 (37.0)         |                |
|                       | Secondary school           | 8 (14.8)          | 7 (15.2)          |                |
|                       | High school                | 15 (27.8)         | 13 (28.3)         |                |
|                       | University degree          | 16 (29.6)         | 7 (15.2)          |                |
| Mother’s education    | Only literate              | 0 (0)             | 4 (8.7)           | <b>0.039</b>   |
|                       | Primary school             | 20 (37.0)         | 25 (54.3)         |                |
|                       | Secondary school           | 7 (13.0)          | 5 (10.9)          |                |
|                       | High school                | 14 (25.9)         | 6 (13.0)          |                |
|                       | University degree          | 13 (24.1)         | 6 (13.0)          |                |
| Income rate           | Less than the minimum wage | 9 (16.7)          | 8 (17.4)          | 0.694          |
|                       | The minimum wage           | 24 (44.4)         | 23 (50.0)         |                |
|                       | The minimum wage x2        | 9 (16.7)          | 9 (19.6)          |                |
|                       | The minimum wage x3        | 12 (22.7)         | 6 (13.0)          |                |
| Child’s own room      | Yes                        | 25 (46.3)         | 19 (41.3)         | 0.616          |
|                       | No                         | 29 (53.7)         | 27 (58.7)         |                |

P<sup>a</sup>: Mann Whitney U test was used to evaluate the level of significance. P<sup>b</sup>: Chi-square test was used to evaluate the level of significance.

**Table 2.** Comparison of resilience and RCADS–CV depression scores of adolescents with epilepsy and control group

|                           | Patient ( n=46 ) | Control ( n=54 ) | P      |
|---------------------------|------------------|------------------|--------|
| RCADS–CV Depression Score | 11.17 ± 14.03    | 5.7 ± 5.75       | 0.008* |
| CYRM–12 Resilience Score  | 44.57 ± 7.57     | 53.07 ± 9.03     | 0.001* |

\*Significant at the 0.05 level, Mann Whitney U test was used to evaluate the level of significance. RCADS–CV: Anxiety and Depression Scale in Children, CYRM–12: Child and Adolescent Psychological Resilience Scale

**Table 3.** Correlation between RCADS–CV depression scores and resilience scores in adolescents with epilepsy

| Group   |                           |   | CYRM–12 Resilience Score |
|---------|---------------------------|---|--------------------------|
| Control | RCADS–CV Depression Score | R | -0.165                   |
|         |                           | P | 0.233                    |
| Patient | RCADS–CV Depression Score | r | -0.161                   |
|         |                           | P | 0.285                    |

\*Significant at the 0.05 level; r: Spearman rank correlation coefficients RCADS–CV: Anxiety and Depression Scale in Children, CYRM–12: Child and Adolescent Psychological Resilience Scale

**Table 4.** Correlation between RCADS–CV depression and CYRM–12 resilience scores in adolescents with epilepsy, number of antiepileptic drugs, number of siblings, duration of disease, age of onset of disease

| Group   |                           |   | Number of AEDs | Number of siblings | Duration of disease | Age of onset of disease |
|---------|---------------------------|---|----------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| Patient | RCADS–CV Depression Score | r | -0.008         | 0.196              | -0.150              | 0.112                   |
|         |                           | P | 0.958          | 0.192              | 0.321               | 0.460                   |
|         | CYRM–12 Resilience Score  | r | -0.036         | 0.025              | -0.055              | -0.036                  |
|         |                           | P | 0.810          | 0.869              | 0.717               | 0.814                   |

\*Significant at the 0.05 level; r: Spearman rank correlation coefficients RCADS–CV: Anxiety and Depression Scale in Children, CYRM–12: Child and Adolescent Psychological Resilience Scale, AED: Antiepileptic drug

**Table 5.** Comparison of the RCADS–CV depression and CYRM–12 resilience scores of the patient group according to the number of seizure-free years, seizure type, gender, and consanguinity between parents

| Variables                     |            | RCADS–CV Depression Score | CYRM–12 Resilience Score |
|-------------------------------|------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| Number of seizure-free years  | 1 year     | 9.44 ± 12.35              | 43.67 ± 7.29             |
|                               | 6 months   | 13.63 ± 16.15             | 45.84 ± 7.98             |
|                               | P          | 0.292                     | 0.288                    |
| Seizure type                  | Focal      | 7.57 ± 7.035              | 45.29 ± 5.823            |
|                               | Generalize | 12.75 ± 16.016            | 44.25 ± 8.289            |
|                               | P          | 0.235                     | 0.756                    |
| Gender                        | Female     | 11.93 ± 13.741            | 45.82 ± 6.401            |
|                               | Male       | 10 ± 14.789               | 42.61 ± 8.952            |
|                               | P          | 0.348                     | 0.241                    |
| Consanguinity between parents | Yes        | 11.38 ± 13.362            | 44.29 ± 8.804            |
|                               | No         | 11 ± 14.838               | 44.80 ± 6.545            |
|                               | P          | 0.465                     | 0.982                    |

\*Significant at the 0.05 level, Mann Whitney U test was used to evaluate the level of significance, RCADS–CV: Anxiety and Depression Scale in Children, CYRM–12: Child and Adolescent Psychological Resilience Scale,

## DISCUSSION

In the current study, which aimed to compare the depression and resilience scores of children and adolescents with epilepsy and healthy controls and to investigate whether resilience mediates the relationship between epilepsy and depression; it was determined that 46 patients with epilepsy who were followed up in the Pediatric Neurology Clinic of Gaziantep University Medical Faculty Hospital had higher RCADS-CV depression scores and lower psychological resilience scores compared to the control group. (Table 2) Although there was a negative relationship between psychological resilience scores and RCADS-CV depression scores in epilepsy patients ( $P=0.285$ ) and control group ( $P=0.233$ ), this relationship was not statistically significant (Table 3).

While the first reaction of parents who have children with epilepsy is to deny it, over time, families experience the stages of shock, devastation, disappointment, mourning and depression. Families may develop an overprotective attitude towards the child at the end of this fear/anxiety process [24]. This attitude of the families causes the child to become suppressed and overly dependent [25]. Epilepsies seen in childhood cause parents to develop a more conservative approach and accordingly individuals become dependent on others [26]. Families in Turkey often dictate and expect normative behavior and this attitude leads to repression of negative emotions by punishing them frequently [27]. Trying to manage something by suppressing is not among the most effective coping methods [27]. Since parenting that teaches children to deal with their emotions constructively is not common in Turkey, feelings of fear or helplessness are tried to be suppressed by the child [28]. This either leads to increased mood problems or manifests as psychosomatic problems [29].

Resilience and parenting style have been found to be inextricably linked. Studies have found that parenting styles have a positive or negative effect on their children's resilience [30].

According to the study of Wu et al. higher resilience is associated with a better positive coping style [31]. Individuals with low psychological resilience may not be able to develop adequate coping mechanisms when exposed to diseases, so these individuals may be more likely to experience depression. Therefore, parenting styles in Turkish society and the overprotective attitudes of families with children with epilepsy may also have contributed to low resilience scores and high depression scores [32].

In a study by Tedrus et al. in 2020, seizure control, normal EEG background activity and antiepileptic drug monotherapy were associated with greater resilience. Higher resilience has been associated with improved cognitive performance and less depressive symptom formation [33]. In our study, although there was a negative relationship between psychological resilience scores and RCADS-CV depression scores in patients with epilepsy, this relationship was not significant at the  $p<0.05$  level. (Table 3) This may be due to the low sample size.

In a study conducted by Oguz A. et al. at Dokuz Eylül University in 2002 was determined that epilepsy-related factors such as duration of epilepsy, seizure frequency, and polytherapy increased anxiety and depression, while seizure onset age, seizure type, and electroencephalographic findings were not associated with anxiety and depression [34]. In our study, as in Dokuz Eylül, seizure onset age, seizure type, and EEG findings were not correlated with RCADS-CV depression scores, but unlike the study, RCADS-CV depression scores were also found to be unrelated with gender, number of siblings, frequency of seizures, seizure type, seizure time, AED type and polytherapy (Table 4,5). Ekinci et al. also suggested that AED use or AED type are not consistent predictors of depression [35].

According to a study conducted in China, the psychological resilience of the first child was found to be significantly lower than that of the only child [36]. Although there was no relationship between the number of siblings and psychological resilience in our study, more detailed results can be obtained by adding the parameters of one child and first child (Table 4).

In the study by Tedrus et al. greater resilience was observed in patients with epilepsy who had controlled seizures, normal EEG background activity, and took a single AED. There were no significant differences between resilience of groups in gender, seizure type, lateralized epileptic activity on EEG, epilepsy with lateralization of TLE-HS (Temporal lobe epilepsy and hippocampal sclerosis) [33]. In our study, however, no correlation was found between the number of seizure-free years, EEG findings, gender, seizure type, age at onset of epilepsy disease, number of antiepileptic drugs, and psychological resilience scores. This difference between studies can be explained that by the larger sample size in the study conducted in Brazil. At the same time, many studies have shown that having recurrent seizures affects the resilience and depression scores of people with epilepsy, especially when compared with those in seizure remission [37-40]. In our study, however since patients who had seizure in 6 months or less than 6 months were excluded, no significant results could be obtained.

During the reliability and validity testing phase, participants in the pilot study were limited to one hospital and the sample size was small. Therefore, it is necessary to further expand the sample size and improve the representativeness of the sample in the next study to validate the results of the study.

One of the strengths of this study was young people's involvement in interviews rather than their parents, thus providing a unique view on family processes. Furthermore many studies have shown that epilepsy reduces resilience and causes depression, but these studies have been conducted in adults with epilepsy or in families of children with epilepsy, the relationship between resilience and depression in children with epilepsy is among the barren areas. Current findings provided a overview of the relationship between resilience and depression in children.

Since resilience scores may vary according to parenting attitudes, it may be more useful to include them in the study. Although there was no relationship between the number of siblings and psychological resilience in our study, more detailed results can be obtained by adding the parameters of only child and first child.

Since puberty may also affect depression and resilience scores, two samples can be formed before and after puberty to exclude the effects of puberty.

In order to distinguish whether epilepsy directly affects psychological resilience negatively and causes a tendency to depression, a study can be conducted to evaluate the psychological resilience of adolescents with epilepsy by forming two groups as those with and without depressive complaints.

Educating families about mood disorders may provide earlier diagnosis of depression and easier access to psychiatric treatment in children with epilepsy. At the same time, providing families with information on how to communicate with their children with epilepsy and how to manage the crisis can increase positive coping mechanisms and psychological resilience and decrease the frequency of depression.

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors do not have any conflict of interest regarding this study.

**Funding:** There is no organization funding our research.

**Author Contributions:** Conception: M.K, AAÖ; Literature Review: M.K, AAÖ, STŞ; Design: MK, AAÖ; Data Collection: MK, AAÖ; Analysis: MK, STŞ; Writing: MK, STŞ; Critical Review: MK, AAÖ, STŞ.

**Ethics Committee Approval:** The study was approved by Gaziantep University Clinical Research Ethics Committee (Approval Number: 2021/34, Date: 24-02-2021). Informed consents were given to the all subjects. This study is based on first author's master dissertation.

## REFERENCES

1. Aaberg KM, Gunnes N, Bakken IJ, Lund Søråas C, Berntsen A, Magnus P, Lossius MI, Stoltenberg C, Chin R, Surén P (2017) Incidence and Prevalence of Childhood Epilepsy: A Nationwide Cohort Study. *Pediatrics* 139. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2016-3908>
2. Dharmadhikari AS, Sinha VK (2017) Psychiatric Comorbidity in Children with Epilepsy: A Cross-sectional 5 Years Rural Prevalence Study. *J Neurosci Rural Pract* 8:179-184. [https://doi.org/10.4103/jnrp.jnrp.487\\_16](https://doi.org/10.4103/jnrp.jnrp.487_16)
3. Chiang KL, Cheng CY (2014) Prevalence and neuropsychiatric comorbidities of pediatric epilepsy in Taiwan: a national population-based study. *Epilepsy Res* 108:1451-1460. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epilepsyres.2014.07.004>
4. Schraegle WA, Titus JB (2017) The relationship of seizure focus with depression, anxiety, and health-related quality of life in children and adolescents with epilepsy. *Epilepsy Behav* 68:115-122. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yebeh.2016.12.009>
5. Almane DN, Jones JE, McMillan T, Stafstrom CE, Hsu DA, Seidenberg M, Hermann BP, Oyegbile TO (2019) The Timing, Nature, and Range of Neurobehavioral Comorbidities in Juvenile Myoclonic Epilepsy. *Pediatr Neurol* 101:47-52. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pediatrneurol.2019.03.011>
6. Yang C, Hao Z, Mao Y, Xu Q, Zhao L, Zhang LL (2020) Depression in children with epilepsy from western China: A cross-sectional survey. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 99:e20647. <https://doi.org/10.1097/md.00000000000020647>
7. Turner K, La Briola F, Vignoli A, Zambrelli E, Chiesa V, Fongoni L, Baldi O, Canevini MP (2023) Living with Epilepsy in Adolescence in Italy: Psychological and Behavioral Impact. *Healthcare* 11:687
8. Błaszczuk B, Czuczwar SJ (2016) Epilepsy coexisting with depression. *Pharmacol Rep* 68:1084-1092. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pharep.2016.06.011>
9. GİZİR C, AYDIN G (2006) Psikolojik sağlamlık ve ergen gelişim ölçeği'nin uyarlanması: geçerlik ve güvenilirlik çalışmaları. *Turkish Psychological Counseling and Guidance Journal* 3:87-99
10. Çetin F, Yeloğlu HO, Basım HN (2015) Psikolojik dayanıklılığın açıklanmasında beş faktör kişilik özelliklerinin rolü: Bir kanonik ilişki analizi. *Türk Psikoloji Dergisi* 30:81-92
11. Wu YL, Zhao X, Ding XX, Yang HY, Qian ZZ, Feng F, Lu SS, Hu CY, Gong FF, Sun YH (2017) A prospective study of psychological resilience and depression among left-behind children in China. *J Health Psychol* 22:627-636. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1359105315610811>
12. Zheng K, Chu J, Zhang X, Ding Z, Song Q, Liu Z, Peng W, Cao W, Zou T, Yi J (2022) Psychological resilience and daily stress mediate the effect of childhood trauma on depression. *Child Abuse & Neglect* 125:105485. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chiabu.2022.105485>
13. Chung JOK, Li WHC, Cheung AT, Ho LLK, Xia W, Chan GCF, Lopez V (2021) Relationships among resilience, depressive symptoms, self-esteem, and quality of life in children with cancer. *Psychooncology* 30:194-201. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pon.5548>
14. Khampirat B (2020) The relationship between paternal education, self-esteem, resilience, future orientation, and career aspirations. *PLoS One* 15:e0243283. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0243283>
15. Wang Y, Zheng Z, Duan X, Li M, Li Y (2022) The Relationship between Mindfulness and Social Adaptation among Migrant Children in China: The Sequential Mediating Effect

- of Self-Esteem and Resilience. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 19. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph192316241>
16. Tombini M, Assenza G, Quintiliani L, Ricci L, Lanzone J, Di Lazzaro V (2021) Epilepsy and quality of life: what does really matter? *Neurological Sciences* 42:3757-3765. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10072-020-04990-6>
  17. Baranowski CJ (2018) The quality of life of older adults with epilepsy: A systematic review. *Seizure* 60:190-197. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seizure.2018.06.002>
  18. Yeni K, Tulek Z, Simsek OF, Bebek N (2018) Relationships between knowledge, attitudes, stigma, anxiety and depression, and quality of life in epilepsy: A structural equation modeling. *Epilepsy & Behavior* 85:212-217. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yebeh.2018.06.019>
  19. Schmidt D (2007) How reliable is early treatment response in predicting long-term seizure outcome? *Epilepsy & Behavior* 10:588-594. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yebeh.2007.02.011>
  20. Chorpita BF, Yim L, Moffitt C, Umemoto LA, Francis SE (2000) Assessment of symptoms of DSM-IV anxiety and depression in children: A revised child anxiety and depression scale. *Behaviour research and therapy* 38:835-855
  21. Gormez V, Kılınçaslan A, Oregul AC, Ebesutani C, Kaya I, Ceri V, Nasıroğlu S, Filiz M, Chorpita B (2017) Psychometric properties of the Turkish version of the Revised Child Anxiety and Depression Scale-Child Version in a clinical sample. *Psychiatry and Clinical Psychopharmacology* 27:84-92
  22. Liebenberg L, Ungar M, Vijver FVd (2012) Validation of the child and youth resilience measure-28 (CYRM-28) among Canadian youth. *Research on social work practice* 22:219-226
  23. Liebenberg L, Ungar M, LeBlanc JC (2013) The CYRM-12: a brief measure of resilience. *Canadian Journal of Public Health* 104:e131-e135
  24. Arslan G (2015) Çocuk ve Genç Psikolojik Sağlık Ölçeği'nin (ÇGPSÖ) psikometrik özellikleri: Geçerlilik ve güvenilirlik çalışması. *Ege Eğitim Dergisi* 16:1-12
  25. [25] Helmstaedter C, Elger C (2009) Chronic temporal lobe epilepsy: a neurodevelopmental or progressively dementing disease? *Brain* 132:2822-2830
  26. Qiu Y, Xu L, Pan Y, He C, Huang Y, Xu H, Lu Z, Dong C (2021) Family Resilience, Parenting Styles and Psychosocial Adjustment of Children With Chronic Illness: A Cross-Sectional Study. *Front Psychiatry* 12:646421. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsy.2021.646421>
  27. Fong CY, Chang WM, Kong AN, Rithaiddin AM, Khoo TB, Ong LC (2018) Quality of life in Malaysian children with epilepsy. *Epilepsy Behav* 80:15-20. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yebeh.2017.12.032>
  28. Rani A, Thomas PT (2019) Stress and perceived stigma among parents of children with epilepsy. *Neurol Sci* 40:1363-1370. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10072-019-03822-6>
  29. Uslucan HH (2009) [Domestic violence, parenting styles and well-being of German and Turkish juveniles]. *Prax Kinderpsychol Kinderpsychiatr* 58:278-296. <https://doi.org/10.13109/prkk.2009.58.4.278>
  30. Özyürek A, Aydın A, Şirin Ş, Turan R, İrken F (2020) OKUL ÖNCESİ ÇOCUĞA SAHİP TÜRKİYE'DE ve YURTDIŞINDA YAŞAYAN TÜRK EBEVEYNLERİN ÇOCUK YETİŞTİRME TUTUMLARININ KARŞILAŞTIRILMASI (LIVING THE COMPARISON OF TURKISH ATTITUDE OF PARENTS OF PRESCHOOL CHILDREN HAVING CHILDREN GROWING IN TURKEY and ABROAD). *TURAN : Stratejik Araştırmalar Merkezi* 12:215-222. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.15189/1308-8041>
  31. Selçuk, B. (2015). Bilge Selçuk ile söyleşi: Türkiye'de Ebeveynlik, *Cogito*, 81, 108-116.
  32. Ding X, Zheng L, Liu Y, Zhang W, Wang N, Duan H, Wu J (2023) Parenting styles and psychological resilience: The mediating role of error monitoring. *Biol Psychol* 180:108587. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsycho.2023.108587>
  33. Wu Y, Yu W, Wu X, Wan H, Wang Y, Lu G (2020) Psychological resilience and positive coping styles among Chinese undergraduate students: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Psychology* 8:79. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40359-020-00444-y>
  34. Kurttes Gürsoy B, Köseoğlu Toksoy C (2023) Psychological Resilience and Stress Coping Styles in Migraine Patients. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat* 19:63-72. <https://doi.org/10.2147/ndt.S398838>
  35. Tedrus G, Limongi MJM, Zuntini JVR (2020) Resilience, quality of life, and clinical aspects of patients with epilepsy. *Epilepsy Behav* 103:106398. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yebeh.2019.06.041>
  36. Al Kiyumi H, Al-Huseini S, Mirza H, Al Balushi N, Al-Risi K, Al Toubi A, Al Hosni A, Al-Mashaikhi T, Al Balushi R, Al-Adawi S (2021) Depressive Symptoms and Its Correlate Among Children with Epilepsy at Single-center Study in Oman. *Oman Med J* 36:e329. <https://doi.org/10.5001/omj.2021.112>
  37. Ünalp A, Kutlu A, Karaoğlu P, Yılmaz Ü, Çakaloz B (2022) Evaluation of Quality of Life and Psychiatric Aspects of Children with Epilepsy and Their Families Using Self-assessment Questionnaires. *Turk Arch Pediatr* 57:282-289. <https://doi.org/10.5152/TurkArchPediatr.2022.21173>
  38. Morgan T, Yang S, Liu B, Cao Y (2020) A comparison of psychological resilience and related factors in Chinese firstborn and only children. *Asian Journal of Psychiatry* 53:102360. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajp.2020.102360>

39. De Silva S, Isuru A, Rodrigo A, Kuruppuarachchi L (2021) Prevalence and correlates of depression in patients with epilepsy in Sri Lanka. *Ceylon Med J* 66:138-143. <https://doi.org/10.4038/cmj.v66i3.9492>
40. Baniya GC, Verma K (2022) Prevalence of depression, risk factors, and quality of life in patients with epilepsy in a remote area of western Rajasthan. *Epilepsy Behav* 127:108488. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yebeh.2021.108488>
41. Gao Y, Tang X, Wen Y, Qian D, Pan X, Zhang L (2022) Effects of the hospital-community-family ternary linkage continuous nursing model on compliance, cognitive function, resilience, and quality of life for children with epilepsy: a retrospective study. *Transl Pediatr* 11:239-248. <https://doi.org/10.21037/tp-22-21>
42. [42] Taylor J, Jacoby A, Baker GA, Marson AG, Ring A, Whitehead M (2011) Factors predictive of resilience and vulnerability in new-onset epilepsy. *Epilepsia* 52:610-618. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1528-1167.2010.02775.x>