

Life Beyond Life: Philosophical Reflections on Biobots and the Boundaries of Existence

Shubham K. Dominic

“In death, life persists, transforms, and renews—what are the limits of our existence?”

Introduction

What does it mean to be alive? From the moment we are born, our existence seems predicated on a simple dichotomy: life or death. And yet, recent developments in science, such as the creation of biobots from the cells of dead organisms, challenge this very binary. These discoveries force us to ask profound questions about the nature of existence, the essence of life, and what it truly means to die. Can life persist after death? And if so, what implications does this have for our understanding of the world and ourselves?

At first glance, the creation of xenobots and anthrobots seems like something out of a science fiction novel. Cells extracted from a deceased organism, placed in a laboratory setting, begin to reorganize into entirely new forms of life. Xenobots, crafted from frog embryo cells, use tiny cilia to navigate their surroundings, moving and healing in ways that extend far beyond their original biological role. Human lung cells, too, have been observed to self-assemble into multicellular entities, behaving with autonomy and purpose, capable of self-repair and environmental navigation.

Yet, as astonishing as these findings are, they also evoke a deeper, philosophical question: If cells can continue to function and reorganize after the organism has died, what is the true boundary between life and death? Are we witnessing a third state of existence, as researchers suggest, one that lies beyond the traditional dichotomy of life and death?

The Fragility of Life and the Continuity of Existence

In his work, *“Being and Time,”* Martin Heidegger speaks of the concept of “being-towards-death.” For Heidegger, human beings are uniquely aware of their mortality, and this awareness shapes the way we live. Our very existence is defined by the finite, by the knowledge that life will eventually come to an end. Yet, if cells from a dead organism can continue to function, even thrive, what does this say about the finality of death?

We often associate death with an irreversible cessation, a clear boundary that marks the end of existence. However, the concept of biobots complicates this notion. In the same way that organ donation allows for the continuation of life in a fragmented form, biobots suggest that life is not a single, unified whole. Instead, it is a collection of processes, functions, and behaviors that may continue long after the organism itself has ceased to exist.

This “third state” challenges us to rethink the nature of life. Perhaps life is not a single event but a series of transformations, each one contingent on specific conditions. Just as a caterpillar transforms into a butterfly, the cells of a dead organism can transform into something entirely new, defying the finality of death and opening up new possibilities for existence.

Freedom and Determinism in Cellular Life

Philosophers such as Jean-Paul Sartre have long debated the relationship between freedom and determinism. In Sartre’s view, human beings are condemned to be free, constantly forced to make choices in a world that offers no inherent meaning or purpose. Yet the development of xenobots and anthrobots seems to suggest that life itself, even at the cellular level, is marked by a kind of freedom—a capacity for change and self-reorganization that defies deterministic explanations.

Consider the behavior of xenobots, which use their cilia to move and interact with their environment in ways that were not pre-programmed or predetermined by their original biological function. Similarly, anthrobots, composed of human lung cells, demonstrate an ability to repair themselves and respond to external stimuli. These cellular entities, in their own way, are exercising a kind of freedom—a freedom to adapt, to change, to evolve in ways that defy our expectations of what life is supposed to be.

This brings us to a fundamental question: If life at the cellular level is capable of such freedom, what does this say about the nature of human existence? Are we, too, more free than we realize, constantly evolving and reorganizing in response to the conditions of our environment? Or are we, like the cells from which we are made, bound by unseen forces that shape our actions and decisions?

The Ethical and Humanistic Implications

The implications of this research are not purely theoretical. The development of biobots and the exploration of this third state of existence have profound ethical and humanistic consequences. If cells can continue to live and function after death, what does this mean for the future of medicine, organ transplantation, or even life extension?

One possibility is that biobots could be used to create new forms of personalized medicine, tailored to the specific needs of individual patients. Anthrobots, for instance, could be engineered from a patient’s own cells to deliver targeted treatments for diseases like cystic fibrosis or atherosclerosis, dissolving arterial plaque or removing excess mucus without triggering an Immune response.

However, these possibilities also raise important ethical questions. Should we manipulate life at such a fundamental level? What are the risks of creating new forms of life, even at the cellular level, that we cannot fully control? And, perhaps most importantly, what does this say about our relationship with death and the value we place on life?

Conclusion: Life Beyond Life

The creation of biobots from the cells of dead organisms challenges us to reconsider our most basic assumptions about life and death. It invites us to reflect on the nature of existence, the boundaries between life and death, and the ethical implications of manipulating life at its most fundamental level. As philosopher Michel Foucault once remarked, “Life itself calls into question the very way in which we understand life.”

In a world where cells can continue to function long after death, perhaps we, too, must learn to live beyond the boundaries we once thought were final. Life, it seems, is not a single, static event, but a dynamic, evolving process—one that continues to surprise and challenge us at every turn.

References

- Foucault, Michel. *The Birth of Biopolitics: Lectures at the Collège de France 1978–1979*. Edited by Michel Senellart. Translated by Graham Burchell. New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2008.
- Gumuskaya, Nihan, et al. “Self-Assembly and Functionality of Anthrobots: Exploring the Postmortem Landscape.” *Advanced Science*, 2023. <https://www.onlinelibrary.wiley.com>
- Heidegger, Martin. *Being and Time*. Translated by John Macquarrie and Edward Robinson. New York: Harper & Row, 1962.
- Kierkegaard, Søren. *The Concept of Anxiety*. Translated by Reidar Thomte and Albert B. Anderson. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1980.
- Nagel, Thomas. *The View from Nowhere*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1986.
- Noble, Peter A., and Alex Pozhitkov. “Biobots Arise from the Cells of Dead Organisms — Pushing the Boundaries of Life, Death and Medicine.” *Down to Earth*, September 13, 2024. <https://www.downtoearth.org.in>.
- Sartre, Jean-Paul. *Being and Nothingness: A Phenomenological Essay on Ontology*. Translated by Hazel E. Barnes. New York: Philosophical Library, 1956.