

# STŘEDOVĚKÉ TEORIE VNÍMÁNÍ A AKTIVITA SMYSLŮ VE FRANTIŠKÁNSKÉM KONTEXTU



Lukáš Lička

EUROPAEANA PRAGENSIA 13 – HISTORIA FRANCISCANA VIII.

**Středověké teorie vnímání  
a aktivita smyslů  
ve františkánském kontextu**

**LUKÁŠ LIČKA**

**Praha 2021**

# **Obsah**

## Předmluva / 9

### **1. Úvod: vnímání a františkáni / 11**

- 1.1 Středověký pojem vnímání / 12
- 1.2 Tradice, problémy, pojmy: historiografie filosofie mezi antikvářstvím a anachronismem / 17
- 1.3 Tři františkáni: Roger Bacon, Petr Olivi a Petr Auriol / 25

### **2. Vnímání ve středověké filosofii (1250–1320) / 54**

- 2.1 Jak zkoumat vnímání? Dědictví Aristotela, Augustina a Alhacena / 54
- 2.2 Duše a její kognitivní schopnosti:  
středověká psychologie mohutností / 61
  - 2.2.1 Metafyzika duše / 61
    - 2.2.1.1 Roger Bacon / 65
    - 2.2.1.2 Petr Olivi / 69
    - 2.2.1.3 Petr Auriol / 73
  - 2.2.2 Psychologie mohutností / 76
- 2.3 Aktivita vs. pasivita: základní postoje  
ve středověkých teoriích percepce / 86
- 2.4 Františkánská tradice a vnímání / 95
  - 2.4.1 Optika a františkáni od Grossetesteho po Auriola / 96
  - 2.4.2 Františkáni a pojem intuice / 104

### **3. Pasivní teorie vnímání a jejich kritika / 110**

- 3.1 Roger Bacon: pojem *species* a náčrt pasivní teorie vnímání / 111
  - 3.1.1 *Multiplicatio specierum* jako teorie kauzality / 113
  - 3.1.2 Vnímání jako intromise *species* a smyslové soudy / 121
  - 3.1.3 Exkurz: *Species* a „aristotelský“ pojem intencionality / 129
- 3.2 Petr Olivi: kritika pasivních teorií vnímání  
a odmítnutí pojmu *species* / 139
  - 3.2.1 Nadřazenost duše a ascendenční kauzalita:  
proti aristotelikům / 142
  - 3.2.2 Dělitelnost kontinua a problémy multiplikace:  
proti perspektivistům / 151
  - 3.2.3 Proti teorii parciálních příčin / 158
  - 3.2.4 Proti augustinovské excitační teorii vnímání / 161
  - 3.2.5 Mentální kauzalita podle Oliviho / 166

- 3.3 Petr Auriol: kritika pasivních teorií vnímání  
a reformulace pojmu *species* / 170
    - 3.3.1 Smyslové klamy a kauzální nedourčenost vnímání / 172
    - 3.3.2 Pasivní (a aktivní) složka vnímání:  
předběžné poznámky k Auriolové teorii / 179
    - 3.3.3 *Species* jako nutná součást poznání / 184
    - 3.3.4 Identifikace *species* a aktu vnímání: žárovka a světlo / 190
    - 3.3.5 *Species*, intencionalita a nemateriálnost / 202
  - 3.4 Kritika pasivních teorií: odklon od fyzikálního popisu? / 210
- 4. Aktivita vnímání / 212**
- 4.1 Roger Bacon: extramise a aktivita zraku / 213
    - 4.1.1 Zraková *species* a Baconův příklon k extramisi / 214
    - 4.1.2 Extramise a činný smysl: Baconovo řešení  
problému ascendenční kauzality / 220
    - 4.1.3 Optická hustota, zrakový kužel, zrakový *conatus*  
a scintilace hvězd: další prvky aktivity  
v Baconově teorii vnímání / 230
    - 4.1.4 Tři typy zrakového poznání a kognitivní penetrace / 241
  - 4.2 Petr Olivi: pozornost a zaměřenost na předmět (*aspectus*) / 245
    - 4.2.1 Olivioho zdůvodnění aktivity smyslů / 245
    - 4.2.2 Kauzální aktivita smyslů: mohutnost jako příčina aktu / 248
    - 4.2.3 Pojem *aspectus* a jeho fyzikální rozměr / 251
    - 4.2.4 *Aspectus* jako zrakový paprsek:  
Olivioho reformulace extramise / 256
    - 4.2.5 Pozornost (*aspectus*) jako nutná podmínka vnímání / 275
    - 4.2.6 Role vnějších předmětů a terminativní kauzalita / 283
  - 4.3 Petr Auriol a jevíci se bytí (*esse apparens*) / 293
    - 4.3.1 Auriolův pojem vnímání: intuitivní poznání  
a perspektiva první osoby / 294
    - 4.3.2 Kauzální aktivita smyslů: kognitivní akt  
jako intencionální činnost / 303
    - 4.3.3 Skotistický pojem objektivního bytí: nový pohled  
na strukturu kognitivního aktu / 310
    - 4.3.4 *Esse apparens*: relacionalita a fenomenalita vnímání / 319
    - 4.3.5 Pozornost, vědomí a smyslové klamy / 329
- 5. Závěr: Vnímání jako činnost – motivy a důsledky  
aktivních teorií vnímání / 342**
- 5.1 Aktivní teorie vnímání a reprezentacionalismus / 346
  - 5.2 Revize aristoteleského pojmu poznání / 352

<b>Literatura / 361</b>
<b>Rukopisy / 361</b>
<b>Primární literatura / 361</b>
<b>Sekundární literatura / 371</b>
<b>Summary / 391</b>
<b>Seznam použitých zkratek / 396</b>
<b>Seznam obrázků / 398</b>
<b>Seznam tabulek / 399</b>
<b>Jmenný rejstřík / 400</b>



# Summary

The monograph *Medieval Theories of Perception and the Activity of Senses in the Franciscan Context* inquires into an issue which has only recently become a focus for researchers into medieval philosophy: the active aspects of the perceptual process. This investigation draws on texts by three 13<sup>th</sup>- and 14<sup>th</sup>-century Franciscan thinkers: Roger Bacon (1214/1220–1292), Peter Olivi (ca. 1248–1298), and Peter Auriol (ca. 1280–1322), whose theories of sensory perception are treated in constant reference to both the medieval tradition of optics and the Franciscan framework of their intellectual development.

Chapter 1 includes detailed biographies of all three thinkers, emphasizing in particular the institutional background of their intellectual production, originating in Franciscan *studia* in Paris and Southern France, and faculties of arts and theology. The aims and genres of their texts are considered, from Bacon's Aristotelian commentaries, scientific compendia, and letters addressed to Pope Clement IV, to Olivi's disputed questions privately transformed into in-depth analyses of various philosophical and theological issues, and the written outcomes of Auriol's lectures on Lombard's *Sentences*. The methodological section argues that the philosophical problems are rather historically conditioned than perennial, as were people's interest in particular philosophical questions and the persuasiveness of the arguments and the meanings of the concepts used in answering them. Such a historically sensitive approach permits a conceptual translation of medieval theories into modern terms and a systematic consideration of their merits and weaknesses with respects to *their own* goals.

Chapter 2 introduces general attitudes to perception in the period of medieval philosophy under consideration (ca. 1250–1320). The approaches of the three Franciscans towards the issues of the nature and origin of the human soul, which had considerable consequences on designing their respective theories of perception, are delineated. While Olivi proposes a more dualist view of both rational and sensory souls as con-created and infused into the body (and hence spiritual and unextended), both Bacon and Auriol take the vegetative and sensory soul to be generated naturally from matter, and only the intellect as created. In terms of perception, medieval thinkers had three conceptual frameworks and textual authorities available: Aristotle's psychology and natural philosophy, Augustine's introspective reflections, and Alhacen's (Ibn al-Haytham's)

optics. Various conceptualizations of *active* perception emerged in medieval philosophy, both within and outside these frameworks:

- (1) extramissionist theories postulating visual rays or spirit emitted from the eyes;
- (2) various causal activity theories (Platonic causally active power, the Augustinian theory of excitation, or an account taking the object and the cognitive power as partial efficient causes of cognitive acts);
- (3) the postulate of agent sense (*sensus agens*) as a motor of the "spiritualization" of the form received in the cognitive power;
- (4) theories highlighting attention as a built-in component of the perceptual process; or
- (5) active processing of received perceptual information by sensory discrimination or the so-called sensory judgement.

Finally, this chapter addresses genuinely Franciscan aspects of theories of perception: the conceptual invention of the *intuitio* as an immediate, non-discursive cognitive mechanism, and Franciscan encounters with Greek and Arab optical traditions from Robert Grosseteste (who, while not a member of the order himself, was hugely influential among the Oxford Franciscans), through the Parisian Franciscan convent in the 1260s–70s (Roger Bacon, John Peckham, Peter Olivi, Bartholomew of Bologna) to Duns Scotus and Peter Auriol.

Chapter 3 scrutinizes the various ramifications of medieval passive theories of perception, usually employing the notion of *species* (meaning both the causal effect of the object and its representation or similitude). First, the passive aspects of Bacon's theory are expounded. Bacon devises a general theory of (efficient) causality as the multiplication or propagation of the *species* (or causal effects) from an agent to a passive recipient. Sensation is an instance of this causal process, with a sensible object being the agent, whose *species* is received in a sensory organ. However, as binocular perception and its distortion in the case of diplopia evidence, more is needed than simply the reception of *species*, namely, cognitive processing of this received *species*, and a sensory "judgement" (*iudicio*) performed by the *ultimum sentiens* residing in the junction of the optical nerves. Neither did Bacon advocate the Aristotelian conception of intentionality, i.e. the view, endorsed by Albert the Great or Thomas Aquinas, that the form or *species* has an intentional being (*esse intentionale*) if it does not bear the properties it transmits upon the receiving subject (the air does not actually become red upon reception of the forms of redness in intentional being). Bacon's simultaneous acceptance of the theory of *species* and denial of the Aristotelian notion of intentionality suggests that these notions are not necessarily connected, and that the latter is not a necessary condition for being cognitive.

Secondly, Olivi's exhaustive criticism of all possible forms of the passivity of senses is investigated. Olivi's main argument is that the sensory power, as a part of the spiritual and unextended soul, cannot suffer a causal effect by a material and extended object. Olivi also proposes sophisticated arguments against the perspectivist variant of the theory of *species*, the theory of partial causes, and the Augustinian theory of excitation.

Finally, his conception of mental causality is introduced, according to which the body, although metaphysically inferior, may still bring about effects upon the soul. This kind of influence is however ontologically less committing than the traditional notion of efficient cause, and occurs through a natural connection (*per colligantiam*) of both entities.

The third part of this chapter deals with the passive aspects of Auriol's theory of perception. In contrast to earlier interpretations, it is emphasized that Auriol actually used the Aristotelian notion of *species* (or *similitudo*). As the experience of sensory illusions attests, however, perception is causally indeterminate, i.e. the same perceptual content might be brought about by different chains of causal effects. The simple causal influence of the object, therefore, must be completed with an active response of the cognitive power, which is realized by a sensory judgement. Nevertheless, the *species* remains a necessary component of the cognitive process. Auriol, siding with Giles of Rome, Geoffrey of Fontaines and an anonymous commentator on the *De causis*, but against Duns Scotus, identifies *species* with the cognitive act, suggesting that they differ only connotatively, but not really. The expressions “*species*” and “cognitive act” refer to the same entity, but the latter additionally connotes the cognitive content (the thing as appearing) produced and grasped when the *species*/act is in the cognitive power. Finally, although *species* is immaterial or spiritual in a way, Auriol is reluctant to ascribe an *intentional* being to it, reserving the term for his original conception of intentionality.

Chapter 4, the core of the study, investigates varieties of all three Franciscans' elaborations of the sensory activity thesis. First, it is argued that the traditional passivist interpretations of Bacon's theory of perception are unacceptable. Above all, Bacon explicitly endorses the postulate of extramission, but not of a visual spirit (as Platonists did) but a visual *species* (*species oculi* or *species visus*), since human sight, like every other entity in the universe, propagates its *species*. In opposition to the interpretations doubting this Bacon's claim as an incoherent effort to harmonize all possible authorities, it is suggested that in Bacon's theory, the visual *species* has a role similar to what an agent sense was expected to do according to some early 13<sup>th</sup>-century Aristotelians. (In this context, the unpublished questions on *De anima* by Adam of Whitby and “magister R.”, and the so-called “anonymous of Assisi”, are briefly considered.) Extramission might be a mechanism “ennobling” and “elevating” the crude material *species* to be commensurate with the sensory power. Other miscellaneous attestations of Bacon's alignment with the activity of senses are also examined: his extramissionist, Ptolemaic definition of the “object” as something that hinders the flux of the visual *species*, his notion of the visual cone explaining the inhomogeneity of the visual field in terms of visual acuity (i.e. why the peripheries of the visual field seem blurred in contrast to its center), and his explanation of the phenomenon known from the observational astronomy, the scintillation of the stars, by the notions of effort (*conatus*) and exhaustion (*involutio*) of the visual capacity. Finally, Bacon's theory (inspired by Alhacen) of more complex visual judgements and even quasi-reasoning is presented. Although it is the higher sensory capacities (especially the so-called cognitive faculty) that is responsible for these

complex cognitive mechanisms, this cognitive activity also penetrates or permeates the visual experience itself.

In contrast to Bacon, who considers both passive and active aspects of the perceptual process, Peter Olivi stresses only the activity of senses. The cognitive activity thesis is deeply rooted in his thought and he thus justifies sensory activity in anthropological and religious terms. As Olivi is convinced that human freedom is an essential part of the Christian worldview, he ascribes the faculty of free will to human beings and understands this capacity for making decisions as necessarily active and causally efficient regarding its acts. The same, however, should also be valid for all other human capacities, the senses included. Thus, every perceptual act presupposes a primary focusing of our attention (*aspectus*) upon an object. In fact, the soul's capability of actively paying attention to something is rudimentary and much more critical for perception than the causal influence the external object might have on us. (Olivi justifies this belief through the thought experiment of "man before creation") Once the attention is focused and fixed upon an external object, the sensory power elicits the perceptual act. In Olivi's view, it is the soul's power itself, and not the external object, that plays the role of the efficient cause in bringing about the perceptual act. The object is only an end-point (*terminus*) of cognitive activity; in Olivi's words, it is the "terminative cause" of the perceptual act, rather than the traditional, ontologically-committing efficient cause. In comparison with other contemporary interpretations of Olivi's theory (e.g. J. Toivanen), more emphasis is placed on Olivi's inspiration by the Platonic version of extramission. Olivi, having criticized both intromissionist and extramissionist positions in optics, elaborated his own optical project based on the postulation of specific "rays" of attention. These "virtual rays" are versions of the traditional "visual ray" of the extramissionists, albeit dematerialized.

Finally, Auriol's version of the active theory of perception is investigated, in which the notion of appearance plays the seminal role. For example, Auriol models the distinction between the cognitive modalities (perception, imagination, understanding) not metaphysically but phenomenologically. What is crucial is not the difference between kinds of objects of the respective cognitive powers (as was common among Aristotelians) but the different *modes of appearance* of the same object. According to Auriol, sensory powers are active, since they not only receive information from our environment but also actively process it and produce perceptual content. In his words, the senses put the external objects into apparent, objective, or intentional being (*esse apparenſ, obiectivum, or intentionale*). In normal circumstances, the perceptual content is "indistinguishably joined" to the object seen, but when the veridicality of our perception is distorted, we can discern that the thing appears to be different than it actually is. We are, therefore, able to distinguish between the real thing and the way it appears to us, i.e. its apparent being or the content of our perceptual act. The experience of sensory illusions thus has a unique role in the development of Auriol's theory of perception. Auriol's notion of *esse apparenſ*, based on the distinction between the subjective and objective modes of being derived from Scotus's works, and also endorsed

by many other Late Medieval thinkers, presents a new notion of intentionality. The intentional is that which is grasped by a cognitive act, and which exists only insofar and as long as the cognitive act lasts. Auriol's notion of intentional being, in contrast to the Aristotelian intentional being of the forms, is thus more similar to the present-day notion of cognitive content, albeit with an important qualification. Auriol states that perceptual content is *both* dependent on our perceptual activity *and* outside our senses. In trying to propose a charitable interpretation of this seeming contradiction, it is argued that Auriol's position becomes clearer if we use the distinction between the first- and third-person perspectives. From the third-person perspective, *esse apparens* is an expression of the relational nature of perception. The same thing can appear to the observer in various ways, depending on which cognitive power grasps it or how different conditions are realized, such as the distance of the object or the state of sensory organs. From the first-person perspective, *esse apparens* enriches the cognitive experience with a phenomenal, conscious ingredient. (Thus, when the *esse apparens* is missing, our acts are not conscious, as Auriol points out referring to selective attention, dispositional knowledge, or generally doing something without paying attention.)

Chapter 5 summarizes all three theories and argues that active accounts of perception are by no means necessarily connected with representationalism, as all three of the thinkers concerned tend to direct realism. A consequence of the active theory, however, is a rethinking of the traditional Aristotelian identity-theory of cognition (to cognize is to be identified with the object cognized through the latter's form received in the cognizer). Bacon's theory (at least as expounded in his mature works) implies that cognition takes place on the level of cognitive "judgements" performed by the cognitive power, as its active response to the reception of *species*, rather than the reception itself. Olivi and Auriol also understand cognition as a kind of *action* performed by living beings. While Olivi stresses the *direction* of this action, Auriol is more interested in its *product*. Olivi models the cognitive action on physical causality: just as a physical agent directs its causal power at the passive recipient of its effect, the attention of the sensory power is aimed at the external object. According to Auriol, cognitive actions have a product, just like building a house or chopping a carrot. However, unlike the latter actions which have real products enduring after the actions have ceased, the product of cognitive actions is an apparent or intentional being of the object cognized that ceases to exist with the end of the cognitive action.

# Jmenný rejstřík

Tento rejstřík má sloužit především pro lepší orientaci v analyzovaných pramenech i moderní literatuře. Jsou do něj proto zahrnuty primárně autoři a autorky textů. U anonymních textů je uvedeno jméno editora (*Anonymus Giele*), případně přídomek podle místa uložení nejvýznamnějšího rukopisu (*Anonymus Assisiensis*). U významných anonymních textů (např. *Liber de causis*) je zahrnut přímo název textu. Premoderní autoři jsou řazeni podle počeštěné verze křestního jména (Petr Auriol, nikoliv Auriol, Petr), ve vybraných případech jsou v závorce uvedeny i latinské podoby jejich jmen. Do rejstříku nejsou zahrnuti editoři, ani vydavatelé; ty čtenář nalezne v bibliografii.

- Adam Exeter (*Adamus Exoniensis*) 98  
Adam Marsh (*Adamus de Marisco*) 28,  
29, 98  
Adam Wodeham 128, 152, 172  
Adam z Whitby 223  
Adelard z Bathu (*Adelardus Bathoniensis*) 261  
Adriaenssen, Han Thomas 191, 275, 280,  
288, 292, 320, 349, 350, 352  
Aegidius Římský (*Aegidius Romanus*) 41,  
94, 181, 195–199, 201, 354  
Agostino Nifo 93  
Ahmed ibn Júsuf 100  
Akvinský, Tomáš → Tomáš Akvinský  
Alan z Lille (*Alanus de Insulis*) 226  
Albert Saský (*Albertus de Saxonia*) 255  
Albert Veliký (*Albertus Magnus*) 56, 57,  
86, 89, 90, 91, 93, 94, 97, 98, 102–103,  
110, 130, 132, 136, 138, 181, 207,  
222–225, 227, 228, 234, 235, 237, 262,  
268, 283–284, 322, 348  
Alexandr Haleský (*Alexander Halensis*) 28,  
56, 67, 69, 72, 90, 358  
Alexandr z Afrodisiady 63, 64, 75, 129  
Alfred ze Sareshelu (*Alfredus Anglicus*) 226  
Alhacen (a alhacenovská tradice) 13, 29,  
47, 54–57, 59–61, 89, 90, 96, 98–104,  
112, 117–119, 121–124, 126, 129,  
136, 151, 157–158, 162, 180, 212, 213,  
216, 219, 225, 231, 233–234, 236, 240,  
241–244, 252, 257–260, 265, 267, 270,  
300, 309, 321, 336, 343, 359  
Al-Fárábí 66  
Al-Ghazálí (*Algazel*) 154  
Al-Kindí 23, 57, 88–89, 96, 98, 102–103,  
112, 117, 216, 236, 260, 262, 268, 344  
Amerini, Fabrizio 303, 327  
Angelo Clareno 37, 42, 358  
*Anonymous Assisiensis* 93, 105–106,  
225–227, 239, 268  
*Anonymous Callus* 131, 136  
*Anonymous Gauthier* 78–79, 90, 91  
*Anonymous Giele* 94  
*Anonymous Maga* 194  
*Anonymous Van Steenberghen* 94  
Anselm z Canterbury (*Anselmus Cantuariensis*) 194, 260–261  
Anzulewicz, Henryk 98, 132  
Apollónios z Pergy 100  
Areopagita, Dionýsios → Dionýsios  
Areopagita (pseudo)

- Archimédés 100  
 Aristotelés (aristotelská tradice) 14,  
 15, 19, 23, 28, 29, 31, 33, 37, 38, 41,  
 43, 46, 48–51, 54–56, 58, 60, 62–68,  
 70, 72–74, 76, 77, 81, 82, 84, 86–96,  
 98, 99, 104, 105, 107, 108, 110, 112,  
 114–119, 121–122, 126–136, 138–154,  
 156, 158, 160–163, 169, 170, 172, 173,  
 176–178, 180–182, 187–189, 192, 194,  
 195, 202–205, 209–211, 215–223, 226,  
 227–229, 235, 237, 239, 243, 245, 246,  
 248, 253, 255, 285, 288, 289, 294–298,  
 302, 304, 305, 310, 315, 325, 334, 342,  
 344–346, 348, 352–356, 358–359
- Arlig, Andrew 81  
 Armstrong, Arthur H. 58, 62  
 Arnaud Aymeric (Arnaldus Aymerici) 43  
 Arnaud Gaillard (Arnaldus Galliardus)  
 38–39, 42, 50, 62, 105  
 Arnauld, Antoine 195  
 Athanasios 74  
*Auctoritates Aristotelis* → Jan z Fontaine  
 Augustin (Aurelius Augustinus)  
 a augustinovská tradice) 14, 16, 21, 23,  
 24, 26, 54–55, 58–63, 66, 68, 70, 72,  
 77–79, 84, 87, 90, 92, 93, 95, 99, 104,  
 110, 134–135, 139, 142–143, 149–150,  
 156, 159, 161–162, 166, 169, 173, 185,  
 188, 194, 206, 213, 216, 219–221, 223,  
 225, 229, 256, 259–263, 265–266, 274,  
 277, 308, 316, 320, 329–333, 335–336,  
 344, 346, 347, 350, 356, 357, 359
- Augustin (pseudo, autor *De spiritu et anima*) 135  
 Auriol, Petr → Petr Auriol  
 Averroes (a averroistická tradice) 19, 38,  
 49, 55–56, 60, 63–67, 70–74, 77–78, 89,  
 93–94, 98, 130–131, 137–138, 168, 174,  
 177, 180–181, 194, 206–207, 216, 219,  
 221, 225, 239, 248, 294, 296–297, 337,  
 344, 356, 359
- Avicenna (a avicennovská tradice) 19,  
 55–56, 62, 64, 66–68, 70, 73, 77–79, 89,  
 124, 126–129, 135, 153, 191, 216, 219,  
 225, 232–234, 236, 245, 262–264, 281,  
 355, 359
- Bacon, Roger → Roger Bacon  
 Baconthorpe, Jan → Jan Baconthorpe
- Bartoloměj Anglický (Bartholomaeus Anglicus) 92, 98, 123, 128, 218–219,  
 229, 234–235  
 Bartoloměj z Bologně (Bartholomaeus de Bononia) 31, 36–37, 99–100  
 Bartoloměj z Brug (Bartholomaeus de Brugis) 26  
 Bartoloměj z Pisy (Bartholomaeus Pisanus) 35, 41–42  
 Bauer, Martin 51  
 Bazán, Bernard C. 63, 67  
 Beha, Helen M. 96, 159, 307  
 Bermon, Pascale 104  
 Bernard z Auvergne (Bernardus de Alvernia) 316  
 Bernard ze Chartres (Bernardus Carnotensis) 92, 261  
 Bernardini, Paola 64, 65, 68, 69, 72  
 Bertrand de la Tour 43, 45  
 Biard, Joël 170, 301  
 Bieniak, Magdalena 226  
 Black, Deborah L. 64  
 Blasius z Parmy (Blasius Parmensis) 86  
 Boehner, Philotheus 53, 296, 301, 302  
 Boethius (Anicius Manlius Severinus Boethius) 14, 81, 198, 217  
 Bolyard, Charles 309  
 Bonaventura z Bagnoreggia 14–15, 26,  
 29–30, 34, 36, 59, 65–69, 73, 94, 96,  
 100, 104–105, 127–128, 159, 168,  
 225–226, 234, 248, 308  
 Boughan, Kurt M. 53  
 bratr R. (frater R., adresát Olivioho dopisu) → Raymond Gaufredi  
 Brentet, Jean-Baptiste 93  
 Brentano, Franz 133, 276  
 Brewer, John S. 47  
 Brînzei, Monica 303  
 Brock, Stephen L. 132  
 Brower, Jeffrey 198  
 Brown, James R. 281  
 Brucker, Jacob 18  
 Buridan, Jan → Jan Buridan  
 Burley, Walter → Walter Burley  
 Burr, David 19, 30, 31, 35–39, 41, 43,  
 49, 248, 358  
 Buytaert, Eligius M. 42–45, 51, 52

- Campanus z Novary 100  
 Ciceri, Antonio 49, 50  
 Clagett, Marshall 255  
 Clauberg, Johannes 168  
 Colli, Andrea 221  
 Constantinus Africanus → Konstantin Afričan  
 Costanzo Torri ze Sarnana 52  
 Corcilius, Klaus 129  
 Cory, Therese S. 191, 250, 324, 357, 358  
 Costa ben Luca (Qustá ibn Lúqá) 135, 226  
 Cottingham, John 24, 25  
 Crane, Tim 12, 133, 177, 339  
 Crescentius z Jesi 358  
 Crisciani, Chiara 31, 33, 60, 345  
 Cross, Richard 41, 107, 108, 195, 289, 303, 307, 311, 312, 318, 358  
 Crowley, Theodore 65, 67–69  
 Dales, Richard C. 65, 68, 69, 72, 159, 226  
 Davenport, Anne A. 320  
 De Boer, Sander W. 55, 61, 65, 67, 68, 80  
 De Libera, Alain 64  
 De Rijk, Lambertus M. 19, 122, 130, 133, 311, 327  
 Decaix, Véronique 90  
 Defraia, Stefano 50  
 Delorme, Ferdinand 48  
 Denery, Dallas G. 172, 320, 324, 327, 339  
 Demange, Dominique 32, 36, 100, 253, 256, 260  
 Descartes, René (a karteziánská tradice) 63, 114, 119, 135, 144, 146, 161–162, 167, 168, 263, 295, 318  
 Dewan, Lawrence 224, 233  
 Dionýsios Areopagita (pseudo) 70  
 Dod, Bernard G. 55  
 Dominik, svatý 36  
 Dominik Gundissalinus 56, 97, 268  
 Dominik z Chivasso (Dominicus de Clavasio) 104  
 Donati, Silvia 28, 29, 48, 66  
 Doucet, Victorin 36  
 Dretske, Fred 179  
 Duba, William 43, 44, 51–53, 62, 70, 73–75, 168, 172  
 Duhem, Pierre 47  
 Dumont, Stephen D. 41, 102, 104, 107, 108  
 Duns Scotus → Jan Duns Scotus
- Durandus ze St.-Pourçain (Durandus de Sancto Porciano) 26, 50, 150, 161, 188, 202, 303
- Ebbesen, Sten 90  
 Eukleidés 23, 56, 57, 88, 89, 96, 98, 100, 102, 103, 112, 117, 124, 215, 216, 233–236, 260, 262, 268, 277, 300  
 Eustratius z Nikiae 74
- Federici Vescovini, Graziella 86, 104  
 Fehige, Yiftach 281  
 Filoponos, Jan → Jan Filoponos  
 Fish, William 12, 177, 290  
 Fornasieri, Giacomo 198  
 Francisco Suárez → Suárez, Francisco  
 František z Assisi, svatý 28, 30, 358  
 František z Marchie (Franciscus de Marchia) 255  
 František z Meyronnes (Franciscus Mayronius) 43  
 Friedman, Russell L. 50, 52, 170, 171, 182, 189, 193, 198, 303, 306, 317, 318, 320, 326, 331, 358
- Galénos (a galénovská tradice) 56, 57, 77, 88–89, 92, 126, 135, 215, 218, 227, 228, 261  
 Galileo Galilei 255  
 Gasquet, Francis A. 47  
 Gauthier, René A. 79, 90, 93  
 Gerard z Bologně (Gerardus Bononiensis) 108, 171, 184–185  
 Gerard z Borgo San Donnino (Gerardus de Burgo Sancti Donnini) 30  
 Giraldus Odonis 43, 152  
 Godefroid z Fontaines (Godefridus de Fontibus) 41, 179, 194–196, 303, 313  
 Gonsalvo Španělský (Gonsalvus Hispanus) 43  
 Goris, Wouter 297, 313  
 Grant, Edward 13, 238  
 Grellard, Christophe 352  
 Guy Foulques → Kliment IV.  
 Hackett, Jeremiah 27–29, 32–34, 59, 65, 99, 220  
 Hamesse, Jacqueline 40

- Hasse, Dag N. 55, 76, 77, 89, 127, 128, 264, 281  
 Hegel, Georg Wilhelm Friedrich 18  
 Heider, Daniel 45, 77, 78, 80, 93, 168, 197, 198, 223, 301, 316, 318, 325  
 Henninger, Mark G. 325  
 Henquinet, François-Marie 105  
 Hervaeus Natalis 50, 202, 303, 310, 312, 316  
 Hicks, Andrew 90  
 Hipparchos z Níkaie 263  
 Hoffmans, Hadelin 228  
 Hunajn ibn Isháq (Iohannitus) 57, 88  
 Chabada, Michal 107, 108  
*Chartularium Universitatis Parisiensis* 45  
*Chronica XXIV generalium Ordinis Minorum* 34, 44  
 Chrýsippos ze Soloi 263  
 Ibn al-Hajtham → Alhacen  
 Ibn Gabirol (Avicebron) 62, 67, 68  
 Ibn Rušd → Averroes  
 Ibn Síná → Avicenna  
 Imbach, Ruedi 56, 65  
 Inglis, John 18, 25  
 Inocenc III. 28  
 Jacques Duèze (Jan XXII.) 42, 44, 74  
 Jáchym z Fiore 30–31  
 Jakub z Ascoli (Iacobus de Aesculo) 311, 318  
 Jakub z Benátek (Iacobus de Venetiis) 55  
 Jakub z Mět (Iacobus Metensis) 202  
 Jan (*iuvenis Iohannes*, žák Rogera Bacona) 31–32  
 Jan Baconsthorpe (Iohannes Baco) 41  
 Jan Buridan 75, 114, 255  
 Jan Capreolus 195  
 Jan Duns Scotus (a skotistická tradice) 26, 41, 43, 50, 73, 86, 91, 92, 94, 96, 101–104, 107–109, 132, 136, 140, 152, 159–161, 165, 168, 170, 171, 177, 185, 187, 193, 195–202, 204, 211, 213, 236, 285, 289, 293, 295, 296, 301, 303, 304, 307, 308, 310–313, 317–319, 325, 326, 329, 334, 339, 345  
 Jan Filoponos 129, 255  
 Jan Garlandský (Iohannes de Garlandia) 28  
 Jan Hus 90  
 Jan Peckham 26, 31, 36, 40, 57, 68, 90–92, 96, 99–101, 119, 126, 140, 150, 151, 161–162, 168, 223, 231, 236, 258, 265, 270, 300  
 Jan z Borotína (Iohannes de Borotin) 90  
 Jan z Damašku (Iohannes Damascenus) 74  
 Jan z Fontaine (Iohannes de Fonte) 62, 91, 130, 150, 177, 192, 221, 294, 297, 310  
 Jan z Jandunu (Iohannes de Ianduno) 26, 91–93, 103, 223  
 Jan z La Rochelle (Iohannes de Rupella) 56, 67, 69, 90, 128, 134–135, 138, 168, 207, 226, 358  
 Jan z Parmy 30  
 Jan z Pafíže (Iohannes Parisiensis Quidort) 43  
 Jansen, Bernard 49  
 Jeroným z Ascoli (Mikuláš IV.) 34, 37  
 Jindřich z Gentu (Henricus de Gandavo) 41, 50, 310, 338–339  
 Jindřich z Harclay (Henricus de Harclay) 152, 311  
 Jordanus z Nemore 100  
 Kajetán (Thomas de Vio Cajetanus) 93  
 Kalderon, Mark E. 261  
 Kant, Immanuel (a kantovská tradice) 12, 20, 22–23, 78, 113  
 Karfková, Lenka 58, 59, 62, 142, 261, 263  
 Kedar, Yael 32, 36, 65, 66, 111, 213, 219, 220, 229, 253  
 Kent, Bonnie 79, 247  
 Kilwardby, Robert → Robert Kilwardby  
 King, Peter 76, 167, 310, 311, 315, 317, 318, 325, 326, 357  
 Kirk, Geoffrey S. 87  
 Kliment IV. 32, 33, 46, 121  
 Knuutila, Simo 86, 88, 126  
 Kny, Christian 128, 244  
 Kobusch, Theo 21, 60, 96, 107, 168, 252, 253, 256, 275, 281, 320, 331, 332  
 Konstantin Africán (Constantinus Africanus) 92, 124  
 Krüger, Lorenz 20, 25  
 Kusánský, Mikuláš → Mikuláš Kusánský  
 La Rochelle, Jan → Jan z La Rochelle  
 Lafleur, Claude 97

- Lagerlund, Henrik 114, 310, 360  
 Lambertini, Roberto 47  
 Le Morvan, Pierre 339  
 Leibniz, Gottfried Wilhelm 169  
 Leinsle, Ulrich G. 325  
 Lewis, David 290–291  
*Liber de causis* 29, 47, 48, 62, 67, 70, 106,  
 142, 191, 194, 221, 222–223, 344, 348  
 Lička, Lukáš 22, 25, 29, 57, 76, 87, 90, 94,  
 98, 100, 102, 105, 111, 128, 130, 132,  
 133, 171, 172, 181, 193, 202, 213, 215,  
 218, 223, 225, 226, 233, 236, 241, 256,  
 261, 263, 270, 294, 307, 310, 320, 322,  
 333, 336, 348, 353, 356, 358  
 Lindberg, David C. 34, 47, 56, 57, 59,  
 86–89, 98, 101, 111, 112, 114, 115, 117,  
 124, 126, 129, 158, 213, 214–216, 218,  
 219, 229, 236, 252, 261–263, 266, 272,  
 287, 300, 344  
 Little, Andrew G. 28, 30, 34, 46, 47  
 Locke, John 78, 162, 341  
 Long, Anthony A. 88  
 Long, R. James 105–106  
 Longpré, Efrem 39, 100  
 Loose, Patrice K. 220, 228, 229, 230–231  
 MacDonald, Paul A. 190  
 Maga, Mihai 194  
 Machula, Tomáš 113, 147, 290  
 Maier, Anneliese 53  
 Mantovani, Mattia 111, 124, 130, 242, 309  
 Marsh, Adam → Adam Marsh  
 Martorelli Vico, Romana 47  
 Marvan, Tomáš 330, 357  
 Matthews, Gareth B. 111, 123, 213, 219  
 Matus, Zachary 30, 34  
 Matyáš z Aquasparty (Matthaeus  
 ab Aquasparta) 39, 59, 68, 91, 92, 96,  
 100, 107, 140, 150, 161, 162, 168, 223  
 Meyering, Theo C. 118  
 McCarthy, Edward R. 102, 103  
 Michael Scotus 55, 87, 215  
 Michal z Ceseny 44  
 Mikuláš III. 38, 101  
 Mikuláš IV. → Jeroným z Ascoli  
 Mikuláš Kusánský (Nicolaus Cusanus) 244  
 Mikuláš Oresme 80, 255  
 Mikuláš z Autrecourtu (Nicolaus  
 de Ultricuria) 152–153, 352  
 Mistr R. (magister R.) 93, 223–224, 227  
 Mlčúchová, Andrea 122  
 Mole, Christopher 277, 333  
 Molland, George 124, 154  
 Montefusco, Antonio 40, 60  
 Mráz, Milan 88  
 Murdoch, John E. 153  
 Nagel, Thomas 357  
 Nejeschleba, Tomáš 36, 67, 159, 226  
 Nemesios z Emesy 263  
 Newen, Albert 244  
 Nifo, Agostino → Agostino Nifo  
 Nielsen, Lauge O. 45, 52, 53, 189  
 Noone, Timothy B. 198  
 Novák, Lukáš 73, 102, 122, 310, 311, 318,  
 325–327  
 Ockham, Vilém → Vilém Ockham  
 O'Brien, Daniel 351  
 O'Daly, Gerard 58, 78, 261, 262  
 Oelze, Anselm 128, 243  
 Oliví, Petr → Petr Oliví  
 Otisk, Marek 261, 285  
 Ottman, Jennifer 48  
 Palkoska, Jan 318  
 Panti, Cecilia 28, 30, 46, 98, 218  
 Partee, Carter 19, 40, 49  
 Pasnau, Robert 67, 68, 70, 94, 100, 107,  
 122, 130, 131, 133, 134, 139, 147, 156,  
 168, 172, 191, 193, 194, 246, 247, 252,  
 254, 262, 275, 276, 283, 284, 288, 307,  
 311, 320, 324, 325, 329, 351, 352, 354  
 Pattin, Adrien 93, 224  
 Peckham, Jan → Jan Peckham  
 Pereira, Michela 31, 33, 46, 60, 345  
 Perler, Dominik 76, 80, 139, 170, 172, 190,  
 276, 301, 311, 315, 317, 318, 320, 339  
 Peroutka, David 130, 131, 138, 190, 305  
 Petr Auriol (Petrus Aureoli) 11, 16–18,  
 25–27, 41–45, 50–54, 56, 59–62, 67,  
 73–76, 78, 84–86, 92, 94–96, 101, 103,  
 104, 108–111, 113, 116, 122–124, 129,  
 137, 139, 140, 148, 156, 159–161, 165,  
 168, 170–213, 223, 241, 244, 246, 258,  
 266, 270, 277, 285, 293–341, 343–354,  
 356–360  
 Petr Lombardský 27, 30, 39, 40, 74

- Petr Olivi (Petrus Iohannis Olivi) 11, 16, 18, 19, 23–27, 31, 32, 35–43, 48–51, 54, 56, 58–62, 67–74, 76, 78–80, 82–87, 89, 90, 92–96, 100, 101, 104, 105, 110, 111, 113, 118, 122, 124, 129, 137–174, 179–181, 184, 186–189, 191–194, 201, 202, 205–207, 209–213, 216, 220, 223, 228, 233, 237, 240, 245–293, 298, 306, 312, 320, 322, 323, 325, 330, 331, 333–336, 343–359
- Petr Španělský 72, 226
- Petr z Anglie (Petrus Anglicus) 90
- Petr z Trabes (Petrus de Trabibus) 39
- Petr z Trogiru (Petrus de Tragurio) 35
- Pickavé, Martin 339
- Picha, Marek 281
- Piché, David 185
- Pini, Giorgio 179, 195, 310, 311, 313, 326, 329
- Piron, Sylvain 19, 27, 36–41, 43, 48, 50, 62, 100, 253
- Platón (a platónská tradice) 58, 60, 61, 70, 88, 89–92, 95, 112, 135, 213, 215–218, 225, 227, 229, 233, 251, 256, 260–262, 265, 266, 274, 316–317, 344, 357, 359
- Plótinos 58, 62, 261
- Pluta, Olaf 75
- Pokorný, Martin 32, 34, 111, 114, 117
- Polák, Michal 167, 330, 357
- Porfyrios 116
- Power, Amanda 27–35
- Prezioso, Faustino 170
- Proklos 62, 70, 191
- Ptolemaios 59, 88, 103, 112, 216, 231, 236, 239–241, 258, 260, 262, 266, 343
- Putallaz, François-Xavier 56, 65
- Putnam, Hilary 133, 167
- Radulf Bretoněc (Radulphus Brito) 327
- Raizman-Kedar, Yael → Kedar, Yael
- Raven, John E. 87
- Raymond Auriol 42
- Raymond Barral 36
- Raymond Gaufredi 34, 39–40
- Regius, Henricus 161
- Richard Fishacre 226
- Richard Rufus z Cornwallu (Richardus Rufus Cornubiensis) 28, 29, 69, 223
- Richard ze Sv. Viktora (Richardus de Sancto Victore) 74
- Robert Grosseteste 13, 28–30, 57, 86, 91, 96–99, 112, 159, 217–218, 226, 251, 344, 347
- Robert Grosseteste (pseudo, autor *De anima*) 159, 308, 347
- Robert Kilwardby 26, 97, 161, 251, 268
- Robert, Aurélien 153
- Rode, Christian 352
- Rodrigo de Arriaga 114
- Roest, Bert 26, 27, 30, 31, 101, 358
- Roger Bacon 11, 13, 15, 16, 23–38, 42, 46–48, 50, 54, 56, 57, 59–61, 63–73, 75–86, 89–91, 93–101, 103–106, 110–130, 136–138, 140, 144, 147, 148, 151, 153–159, 162, 167, 171, 174, 180, 182–184, 187, 189, 191, 194, 202, 203, 207, 210, 212–223, 227–245, 251–255, 257, 258, 260, 262, 267, 269–273, 285, 288, 293, 300, 308, 309, 336, 337, 340, 342–346, 348–349, 352–355, 358, 359
- Roger Bacon (pseudo) 66
- Roger Marston 41, 90–92, 140, 161, 163, 165, 168, 169
- Roland z Cremony 72
- Rorty, Richard 18, 20, 25, 359
- Rosińska, Grażyna 57
- Sabra, Abdelhamid I. 242
- Sarnowsky, Jürgen 255
- Secretum Secretorum* 31, 35, 42, 100, 222–223
- Sgarbi, Marco 20
- Schabel, Chris 41, 43, 44, 50, 52, 185, 303
- Schofield, Malcolm 87
- Siger z Brabantu 64–65, 72
- Silva, José Filipe 26, 58, 86, 87, 122, 128, 142, 150, 161, 188, 238, 242, 244, 261, 275, 280, 342, 356
- Simoncioli, Feliciano 246
- Smart, John J. C. 167
- Smith, A. Mark 56, 57, 59, 86, 88, 89, 98, 101, 111, 126, 129, 154, 158, 213, 219, 236, 240, 241, 252, 256, 261
- Smith, David W. 16
- Sorabji, Richard 129, 130, 133
- Sousedík, Stanislav 107, 114
- Spade, Paul V. 68

- Spinoza, Baruch 167  
 Sprout, Leen 64, 87, 168, 170, 194–196,  
 285, 310, 311  
 Steele, Robert 47  
*Stoicorum Veterum Fragmenta* 263  
 Strauss, Leo 20  
 Suárez, Francisco 45, 77, 78, 80, 93, 168,  
 197, 223, 318, 325  
 Summers, David 128
- Ševčík, Josef 32, 33  
 Špelda, Daniel 13, 255, 269  
 Štěpán Tempier (Stephanus Temperius)  
 34, 65
- Tachau, Katherine H. 16, 36, 45, 50, 51, 57,  
 86, 94, 100, 102, 104, 107, 111, 127, 128,  
 132, 139, 151, 156, 170, 172, 202, 213,  
 252, 253, 256, 257, 272, 275, 301, 320,  
 324, 327, 339, 345
- Taieb, Hamid 303, 312  
 Takahashi, Ken'ichi 269, 300  
 Tempier, Štěpán → Štěpán Tempier  
 Theisen, Wilfred R. 233  
 Thomas z Walesu 29  
 Thörnqvist, Christina T. 90, 122  
 Tideus 216, 258  
 Tomáš Akvinský (Thomas de Aquino  
 a tomistická tradice) 25, 26, 38, 50, 56,  
 63, 64, 65–68, 70, 80, 81, 83–86, 90–91,  
 93, 94, 100, 105, 110, 111, 122, 130–134,  
 136, 138, 140, 142, 145, 147, 148, 156,  
 171, 180, 181, 190–192, 195, 198, 202,  
 203, 205, 221, 247, 288, 294, 305, 309,  
 316, 324, 348, 350, 354, 359
- Tomáš Bradwardine 152  
 Tomáš Docking 98  
 Toivanen, Juhana 13, 26, 62, 70–72, 77–79,  
 83, 139, 141, 142, 144, 146, 168, 237,  
 247, 252, 262, 275, 276, 280, 281, 284,  
 289, 350
- Tropia, Anna 168  
 Tweedale, Martin M. 130, 311, 318, 327
- Ubertino z Casale 27, 39, 41, 42, 62
- Varga, Jakub 308  
 Vetter, Petra 244  
 Vilém Ockham (Guillelmus de Ockham)  
 26, 114, 128, 139, 152, 166, 172, 177,  
 195, 285, 288, 311, 327, 339  
 Vilém z Alnwicku (Guillelmus  
 Alaunovicanus) 73, 102, 103, 152, 311,  
 318, 327
- Vilém z Auvergne (Guillelmus Alvernus)  
 28, 80, 84, 161
- Vilém z Conches (Guillelmus de Conchis)  
 90, 92, 261–262
- Vilém z Moerbeke (Guillelmus de  
 Moerbeke) 55, 87, 90, 101
- Vincent z Beauvais (Vincentius  
 Bellovacensis) 98
- Vital du Four (Vitalis de Furno) 96,  
 107, 161
- Vohánka, Vlastimil 122, 310
- Vos, Antonie 19, 23, 102, 108
- Walter Burley 152, 308  
 Walter Chatton 152, 172, 327, 339
- Weijers, Olga 19  
 Weinberg, Julius R. 172, 324, 339  
 White, Graham 113  
 Williams, Bernard 25  
 Williams, Steven J. 34, 35  
 Williams, Thomas 43, 102  
 Wilson, Malcolm 215  
 Witelo 57, 101, 126  
 Wolfson, Harry A. 77, 78  
 Wöller, Florian 42, 43, 51  
 Wood, Rega 172, 177, 223, 301, 320  
 Wright, Georg Henrik von 114, 179
- Yrjönsuuri, Mikko 70, 86, 191, 258, 342
- Zarka, Yves C. 22  
 Zupko, Jack 75





Publikační projekt Historia Franciscana se těší dlouhodobé podpoře  
Provincie bratří františkánů.

---

**STŘEDOVĚKÉ TEORIE VNÍMÁNÍ  
A AKTIVITA SMYSLŮ  
VE FRANTIŠKÁNSKÉM KONTEXTU**

LUKÁŠ LIČKA

Europeana Pragensia 13 – Historia Franciscana VIII.

V roce 2021 vydaly Univerzita Karlova, Filozofická fakulta, nám. Jana Palacha 2, Praha 1 & FILOSOFIA ([filosofia.flu.cas.cz](http://filosofia.flu.cas.cz)), nakladatelství Filosofického ústavu Akademie věd ČR, Jilská 1, Praha 1 (v nakl. FILOSOFIA vydáno jako 564. publikace), ve spolupráci s Ostravskou univerzitou, Dvořákova 7, Ostrava.

Garant ediční řady a redaktor: Petr Hlaváček  
Obálka, grafická úprava a sazba Stará škola ([staraskola.net](http://staraskola.net))  
Tisk Tiskárna PROTISK s. r. o., České Budějovice  
Praha 2021 (1. vydání)

Monografie se zaměřuje na problém, který obvykle není spojován se středo-věkou filosofií: otázku aktivity subjektu v jeho kognitivním vztahu ke světu, a to především na rovině smyslového vnímání. Toto zkoumání se opírá o texty tří františkánských myslitelů 13. a 14. století: Rogera Bacona, Petra Oliviho a Petra Auriola. Zvláštní důraz je kláden na františkánský kontext jejich teorií, jakož i na různé způsoby, jimž se vyrovnávali s dědictvím řecké a arabské tradice optiky reflektované v latinské Evropě od 13. století.

ISBN 978-80-7671-025-2



9 788076 710252