

Post-Colonial Influence on the Depiction of Gender and Sexuality in Greco-Roman
Mythology

How have post-colonial perspectives reshaped the interpretation of gender and sexuality in Greco-Roman mythology, and what does this reveal about contemporary cultural narratives and power dynamics in society?

Hanya Lotfy

December 21 2024

Table Of Contents

Introduction.....	2
Literature Review.....	2
Patriarchal Values in Greek Society.....	5
Patriarchal Values in Roman Society.....	7
Greek Mythology: Pandora’s Box.....	9
Greek Mythology: Helen of Troy and Aphrodite.....	11
Greek Mythology: Andromeda.....	14
Roman Mythology: The Story of Lucretia.....	15
Roman Mythology: Dido.....	17
Contemporary Narratives.....	20
Conclusion.....	22
Bibliography.....	23

Abstract

This paper examines how post-colonial perspectives reshape the interpretation of gender and sexuality in Greco-Roman mythology, revealing enduring power dynamics that continue to influence contemporary society. Through an analysis of pivotal myths such as Pandora's Box, Helen of Troy and Aphrodite, Andromeda, Lucretia, and Dido, the study demonstrates how classical narratives perpetuated patriarchal ideologies by marginalizing female agency and associating women with instability. Post-colonial readings further highlight parallels between these myths and colonial strategies of control, where the subjugation of women and marginalized groups was justified through cultural narratives. By juxtaposing ancient and modern interpretations, this paper underscores the cyclical nature of gender-based oppression and emphasizes the role of mythology in shaping societal expectations and norms. The findings advocate for a critical reexamination of these narratives to challenge and deconstruct the power structures they continue to uphold.

Introduction

Amidst the timeless epics of Greek heroes and the peculiarities of Roman myths lies profound insight into the structural inequalities that persist in contemporary society, particularly gender inequality. These inequalities are vividly reflected in Greek and Roman mythology, where women were systematically marginalized and confined to domestic and reproductive roles. Post-colonial perspectives have reinterpreted these ancient myths, revealing how patriarchal biases were not only embedded but perpetuated through storytelling. This reshaping, seen in the translation of ancient works and modern retellings sheds light on the ways myths have been adapted to both challenge and reinforce gender norms. The deep-rooted patriarchal ideologies

that have shaped historical and contemporary narratives can be divulged by examining the roles and depictions of female heroines in the myths of Greek and Roman societies. By examining female heroines through a post-colonial lens, it can be seen how mythology served as both a tool for sustaining gendered oppression and a subversive space to question dominant ideologies. These insights reveal the cyclical nature of gender-based oppression and highlight the urgent need for political and social reforms to address the deep-seated inequities that still endure. This exploration is critical to understanding how ancient narratives inform present struggles, augmenting the imperative to dismantle these unwavering systems of inequality.

Literature Review

As this paper will focus on deconstructing the portrayal of gender and sexuality in Greco-Roman mythology, numerous ancient works will be utilized as sources of criticism. One work that will be heavily referred to is Hesiod's *Work and Days*. This poem is a crucial source as it authentically represents the patriarchal ethos of his time, and it has been foundational to many Western literary traditions that exist currently. However, this source is limited due to it being authored by an elite male, meaning that his personal biases will be present within the works. Additionally, the work can not be used as historical evidence for the presence of inequality in Greek society. This is because of the presence of allegorical and symbolic elements in the poem, meaning it is not a direct reflection of social realities in ancient Greece. An additional source that will be referred to significantly is Homer's *The Iliad*. *The Iliad* is one of the earliest and most influential texts of literature, meaning that it provides direct access to the cultural and societal ideals of archaic Greece. Its depictions of gender roles and dynamics are further central to understanding Greek values and beliefs. Despite these strengths, *The Iliad* reflects the values and norms of a specific cultural and historical moment in archaic Greece, limiting its applicability as

a universal representation of ancient Greek society. Because of this, the epic is a cultural artifact, not a historical document, and should be treated as such in this paper.

To analyze the illustriousness of this in Roman mythology, Livy's *Ab Urba Condita* will be evaluated. This work is essential to make use of in the study of this topic as Livy intertwines myth, legend, and history to construct a narrative that legitimizes Roman authority and the Republic, meaning that these stories are critical for understanding the cultural framework and gender dynamics of ancient Rome. Moreover, the mixture of myth and historical elements in Livy's work highlights the cultural transition from monarchy to republic in Rome, making his text essential for exploring the socio-political implications of these myths and their influence on the characterization and perception of gender and sexuality. Livy's retellings have further been hugely influential, shaping the way these stories were understood and reinterpreted in later art, literature, and political discourse. Nevertheless, the work is limited in the sense that Livy wrote centuries after the events he describes, relying on oral traditions and earlier, now lost, sources. His accounts are often more concerned with moral lessons than with factual accuracy, meaning that his work may not be a proper mirror of the intrinsic oppression and inequalities that existed in early Rome.

Furthermore, one journal that will be continually utilized is *The Portrayal of Women in Ancient Greek Mythology* by Dessa Meehan. The paper provides a detailed exploration of how gender roles were established and perpetuated in Greek mythology, offering a valuable perspective on the societal norms of this era. The journal also incorporates both classical texts and scholarly works, enhancing its credibility, reliability, and depth. The journal, nonetheless, tends to impose modern frameworks on ancient contexts which could lead to anachronistic interpretations of the myths being analyzed.

Ovid's *Fasti* will additionally be used as it provides a rich insight into Roman religion and tradition, possibly highlighting the values of society during the period. Writing during Augustus's reign, Ovid's *Fasti* reflects how myths were reinterpreted to align with state ideology. For example, Lucretia's tale as a foundation myth for the Republic offers insights into how narratives about women were used to legitimize political transformations that took place at the time. However, *Fasti* often romanticizes events for poetic effect, which can obscure the harsher realities of the myths being told. This dramatization may hinder the ability to study the myths as reflective of true historical attitudes.

Finally, The *Aeneid* will be referred to as it offers invaluable insight into the Roman values of duty, piety, and sacrifice, making it an essential source for understanding Roman imperial ideology. Virgil's portrayal of Aeneas as a hero torn between personal desires and his duty to Rome reflects the tension between individual agency and the collective needs of the state, which is central to Roman political and cultural identity. As a work produced during the reign of Augustus, the *Aeneid* also functions as a tool for promoting the legitimacy of the emperor's rule and the ideals of Roman conquest and empire. However, the epic's glorification of Roman virtues and its emphasis on destiny may present a biased view, often omitting or downplaying the negative consequences of imperialism.

Patriarchal Values in Greek Society

In ancient Greece, patriarchal ideologies dominated all aspects of life, ranging from the philosophy published and practiced at the time to theatre, and art. The period that will be studied specifically will be the Classical period, which spanned from the 4th to the 5th century B.C. These ideologies prevailed due to the belief in divine will and the polytheistic beliefs that the

society held. In Greece, the *Polis*, defined as “a state with a community of men ready to defend their society” (Hansen 64), excluded women entirely from the public sphere of life. While men dominated the realms of work, politics, and militia, women were relegated to the private sphere of house upkeep, highlighting their seclusion. Philosophical doctrines further reinforced these existing hierarchies. For instance, Aristotelian biology played a significant role in the formation and imposition of rigid patriarchal norms. His theories established the notion that women are inherently inferior to men, preaching that “the female is not only secondary and auxiliary to the male but lacks full human status in physical strength, moral self-control, and mental capacity” (Ruther 65). This view was an extremely revered one in Greek society and was a major reason for female seclusion and oppression. Similarly, Plato’s hierarchal theory of mankind illustrated his belief in female inferiority and further reflects the widely held perceptions of women during the period. His theory specifically outlined that “souls were originally implanted in male bodies and given volition, sensation and emotion” (Ruether 1), placing the creation of men over that of women. His theory continued to buttress the idea that women are inferior by placing the creation of reptiles, mammals, and birds on the same level as the creation of women. These hierarchical structures underscore Plato’s negative views of women, which had a large influence on Greek society, indicating the larger society’s disregard for women. Moreover, these biased views can be observed in his *Republic*, where he claims that women should be educated for the sole reason of defending the state. This view limits women to traditional sexual roles that enforce patriarchal ideologies and the hierarchical structure seen in Greece.

The imposition of these patriarchal ideals can additionally be discerned through Greek theatre and art. In ancient Greek theatre, women were systematically excluded from participation, reflecting broader societal norms that marginalized their roles in both public and

cultural settings. Despite the prominence of female heroines in many plays by renowned playwrights such as Euripides, Sophocles, and Aristophanes, the portrayal of these characters was done by male actors. “Men donned feminine costumes and masks” (Zeitlin 105), which exaggerated stereotypical traits of women, reducing female characters to symbols or archetypes and excluding them from society entirely. This practice reinforced male dominance in the arts, turning females and their struggles into a spectacle for the male audience. For instance, Euripides’ Medea and Antigone from Sophocles’ work were powerful female characters who challenged societal norms, yet their portrayal by male actors reduced their authenticity by crafting them to fit a male lens. The exclusion of women from performing arts underlined a fundamental cultural apprehension about allowing women to take up space in arenas of influence, even in fictionalized or symbolic forms.

Likewise, art perpetuated the idealization and objectification of women, with a heavy emphasis on beauty, sensuality, and passivity. Female figures were frequently represented in idealized, often nude or semi-nude forms, glorifying their physical attributes rather than their individuality. Mythological figures such as Aphrodite, the goddess of love and beauty, became central to this aesthetic, symbolizing femininity as a combination of allure and submissiveness. This focus on female nudity, often contextualized as divine or heroic, served to legitimize voyeuristic depictions under the guise of reverence for the female form. However, these artistic depictions were crafted by male artists for male audiences, reflecting a patriarchal gaze that reduced women to objects of desire. This idealization in both art and theatre reinforced limited definitions of femininity. It further entrenched societal norms that valued women primarily for their beauty and ability to inspire male action, marginalizing their voices and autonomy in Greek cultural narratives.

Patriarchal Values in Roman Society:

Although patriarchal biases in Roman society were not as prominent as those in Greece, they still dictated and limited a woman's agency and ability to exist in the public sphere. Women were primarily expected to manage the household and care for their families while males dominated life's political, economic, and religious aspects. The concept of *paterfamilias*, or the absolute authority of the male head of the household, was a cornerstone of Roman social structure. The *paterfamilias* held legal and moral control over all family members, including women, who were often viewed as extensions of their male guardians rather than independent individuals (Kamp 44). Women were legally required to have a male guardian to oversee their affairs, as Roman law deemed them incapable of handling their affairs due to *infirmitas consilii*, or "weak judgment." This belief reduced women's autonomy and ensured that property, inheritance, and familial power remained concentrated in male hands (Livy). For example, a widow was often unable to serve as a legal guardian to her own children if her husband passed away, exhibiting the larger societal mistrust in the female agency.

The legal and social marginalization of women was not limited to familial dynamics but extended to their absence from the public sphere. Women could not vote, attend political assemblies, or hold political office, effectively silencing their voices in matters of governance and societal change. Additionally, Roman law restricted women's financial independence by preventing them from managing property without male oversight or adopting children, further reinforcing their dependence on men. These laws systematically upheld patriarchal dominance by diminishing any avenues for women to attain power, authority, or self-sufficiency. Even in marriage, women face severe inequalities. Girls were often married off as young as 12, while men typically delayed marriage until their mid-twenties or later (Danforth 49). This significant

age gap eternalized power imbalances within households, as younger women were less likely to challenge their much older husbands. Marriage further served as a mechanism to consolidate familial wealth and political alliances, positioning women as tools for male advancement rather than allowing them the freedom to pursue their ambitions. Thus, the biases embedded in legal systems in Rome contributed greatly to the marginalization and degradation of women in society, which is heavily reflected in the female heroines of Roman mythology.

Beyond legal restrictions, Roman religious and cultural life also reflected patriarchal attitudes. Although women participated in certain religious roles, such as the Vestal Virgins, who were tasked with maintaining Rome's sacred fire, these roles were heavily regulated and symbolic of purity and obedience rather than female empowerment. Moreover, women had an extremely minuscule engagement with the Church due to the biased viewpoints many Puritans held. As "Puritan society focused on promoting piety and limiting sexual misbehavior" (Kamp 45), they were tried the most for absence from Church and sexual offenses, This augments the belief that women are inherently weak, both sexually and spiritually, and that they are unable to take control over their autonomy. The constant reinforcement of this ideal through religious contexts aided in oppressing women by asserting the belief that they are incapable beings. Furthermore, Roman art and literature, much like their Greek counterparts, often reinforced the ideal of women as virtuous, passive, and subordinate. Depictions of heroines or female mythological figures frequently emphasized their moral purity, chastity, or sacrifice for male-dominated causes.

In essence, female oppression in both Greek and Roman society was continually encouraged attributable to policies, laws, religion, and cultural beliefs that upheld the idea that

women are the inferior species. These biases are extremely evident in the mythology of these periods, which will be explored in the next section of the paper.

Greek Mythology: Pandora's Box

The myth of Pandora's box, as seen in Hesiod's *Work and Days*, is pivotal in displaying the portrayal of gender and sexuality in ancient Greece, with post-colonial perspectives shaping the perception of this narrative today. In the myth, Pandora was created by Zeus as a punishment to Prometheus for stealing fire from the gods and granting it to the mortals. Zeus specifically claims that he "will give men as the price for fire an evil thing in which they may all be glad of heart while they embrace their own destruction" (Hesiod, lines 54-59), emphasizing the distrust and inferiority ascribed to women. Many of the other Gods contributed to Pandora's creation and gifted her many unique attributes: Aphrodite granted her unparalleled grace, Hermes gifted her a cunning mind, Athena clothed her, and Poseidon gave her a protective pearl necklace. Zeus, however, gifted Pandora with infinite curiosity and a jar that she was told she could not open under all circumstances. Although Pandora attempted to fight her desire away, she eventually succumbed to her yearning to reveal the content of the jar. Upon opening it, she released sickness, death, and other unspecified evils into the world (Meehan 13). While Pandora's actions are blamed for unleashing evils upon the world, the divine figures who orchestrated her creation and imbued her with such traits escape scrutiny. This shifting of blame from male gods like Zeus and Prometheus to Pandora herself illustrates how Greek mythology reinforced gender hierarchies, casting women as scapegoats for human suffering. Hesiod's urging that men control women to prevent future calamities further asserts this worldview, reflecting the broader patriarchal framework of his time. The antithesis of Pandora's creation, seen with the paradoxical traits she was given, aligns with traditional narratives that position women as inherently

duplicitous and in need of control. Her story further highlights the idea of women's lowly status and the maltreatment they received from a male-dominated society. In *Work and Days*, Pandora is described as “a plague to men who eat bread” (Hesiod, lines 69-82) and a punishment for their actions. This description reinforces the perception of women as makers of sorrow, crafted to disrupt the otherwise orderly lives of men.

Post-colonial interpretations of the Pandora myth provide a critical lens through which the dynamics of power, identity, and marginalization can be explored. From this perspective, Pandora’s depiction as a “plague” or a disruptive force mirrors colonial ideologies that framed marginalized groups as destabilizing influences on the established order. Post-colonial scholars argue that colonial powers employed cultural myths and narratives like Pandora’s to justify systems of control and hierarchy, portraying these groups as threats that needed to be subdued for societal stability (Macmillan 239). In this way, Pandora's story becomes emblematic of how dominant narratives are crafted to protect and reinforce existing power structures.

In colonial contexts, the myth of Pandora’s inherent curiosity and her role in unleashing chaos parallels stereotypes imposed on colonized groups, where their perceived “disobedience” or “disruption” was framed as the source of societal decay. For example, European colonizers often depicted indigenous peoples as “tempting” or “destructive” forces, drawing parallels between the feminine traits assigned to Pandora—beauty, curiosity, and deception—and the rhetoric surrounding colonial subjects. By aligning marginalized groups with traits of innate weakness or danger, colonizers positioned themselves as protectors of “order” and “civilization,” much like Zeus’s divine control over humanity.

Post-colonial readings also highlight the adaptability of mythology, as stories like Pandora's were retold and reframed to serve colonial objectives. This process mirrors broader cultural strategies of appropriation and erasure, where myths originating in ancient Greece were reinterpreted in ways that justified colonialism. The transformation of Pandora from a tragic figure to a symbolic threat demonstrates how myths were manipulated to reinforce the binary of civilization versus chaos, mirroring the way colonizers constructed racial and gender hierarchies. Thus, Pandora's myth provides a poignant example of how stories from the past continue to be weaponized to shape cultural perceptions and maintain systems of power.

Greek Mythology: Helen of Troy and Aphrodite

The conflict of the Trojan War seen in *The Iliad* vividly demonstrates the mistreatment both Helen and Aphrodite received, mirroring the societal attitudes toward women during the period. Colonial retellings have further altered their stories, imbuing blame on them for fueling the Trojan War. As described in Homer's epic, the war is attributable to Paris's kidnap of Helen, encouraging Menelaus to seek aid from his brother Agamemnon. In *The Judgement of Paris*, Aphrodite, Hera, and Athena argued over who the most beautiful goddess amongst them was and was to receive the prize of the golden apple. Zeus brought the Trojan prince Paris to make a fair judgment; each goddess offered him something substantial if he were to choose them. While Hera offered world domination and Athena a promising military career, Aphrodite promised him the most beautiful woman in the world, Helen, who was already married to King Menelaus. Aphrodite, however, was impartial to the consequences of making such a promise and therefore subjected Helen to immense suffering. Aphrodite's divine intervention highlights the idea of women's beauty as a source of both power and destruction in a patriarchal world. By enabling

Paris to take Helen away from Menelaus, Aphrodite becomes a catalyst for a conflict driven by male pride and the possession of beauty, a concept deeply rooted in gender biases.

In the narrative, Helen's agency is severely limited, and she is often portrayed as the source of the war, despite her lack of control over the circumstances. The myth, as depicted in works like Aeschylus' *Agamemnon*, shifts the blame for the war onto Helen, casting her beauty as a curse that led to the destruction of Troy (Meehan 21). The male poets and playwrights consistently frame Helen as a passive object whose beauty incites conflict. This bias is evident when the elders of Troy, as quoted in *The Iliad*, both condone and condemn the war over Helen. While the Trojans and Greeks are both depicted as just in their pursuit of Helen, there is a striking tendency to absolve the men involved and place the responsibility solely on Helen. Additionally, the dichotomy that is utilized to describe Helen is extremely paradoxical and derogatory. On one hand, Helen is described as "the glory of women," a figure of unparalleled beauty and status. On the other, she is labeled a "slut" and a "scourge to Troy," held accountable for the devastation of the Trojan War (Meehan 22). This duality underscores a deeply ingrained patriarchal perspective that positions women as both revered and reviled based on their compliance with male expectations. By elevating Helen as the epitome of female beauty yet condemning her as the cause of widespread destruction, Homer creates a framework that both glorifies and subjugates women.

This narrative has far-reaching implications, as evidenced by Plato's assertion in *The Republic* that Homer was considered "the educator of Greece" (Plato, line 10) with his epics serving as moral and cultural guides for young elite men. Through Helen's story, Homer perpetuates the idea that women, though celebrated for their beauty and virtue, are inherently dangerous when they deviate from societal norms. This dual perception effectively sets a

standard for male dominance, reinforcing the notion that women's actions have the potential to destabilize societal order. Despite her victimization at the hands of Aphrodite, Helen's portrayal in these myths contributes to a long-standing tradition of viewing women as instigators of conflict while simultaneously being denied agency in their own stories.

Post-colonial narratives reframe the myths of Helen of Troy and Aphrodite by examining how they sustain colonial hierarchies and marginalize women through constructed identities of power and desirability. Helen's beauty, often illustrated as both her greatest asset and her curse, serves as a colonial metaphor for the "outsider". In post-colonial readings, Helen's portrayal reflects the dynamic of control, where beauty and femininity are weaponized to justify patriarchal domination. She becomes emblematic of colonial narratives in which women's identities are constructed as objects of desire, ownership, and ultimately blamed for societal issues.

Aphrodite, similarly, represents the colonial framing of power and manipulation. As the goddess of love, she intervenes in the mortal world, instigating the conflict that leads to Helen's flight with Paris. Post-colonial interpretations critique her role as one that reinforces the colonial narrative of power and subjugation: offering Paris dominion over Helen without her consent mirrors the imperialist dynamic of taking control over lands and peoples deemed prizes. These narratives emphasize how divine figures like Aphrodite reinforce patriarchal and colonial ideologies by stripping female characters of their independence. The reshaping of these myths in colonial and post-colonial contexts further reflects how power structures manipulate foundational stories to justify these societal biases.

Greek Mythology: Andromeda

In Andromeda's myth, we see a clear manifestation of how Greek mythology typically cast women as figures who were either helpless victims or prizes to be won by heroic male figures. While her beauty initially sparks the wrath of Poseidon, it is her passive role as a sacrifice that places her at the mercy of male agency. Perseus, the hero, saves her, and they marry, further entrenching the motif of male-driven outcomes. In Ovid's *Metamorphoses*, she is framed as a damsel in distress, rather than a fierce woman who stood brave in the face of sacrifice. This is clearly illustrated as Ovid writes, “ At first she was silent, constrained by maidenly shyness in front of a man (...) Her eyes could speak, though, filled as they were with welling tears” (Ovid, lines 681-683). The submissive diction chosen, made clear through the words “maidenly” and “silent”, frames Andromeda as weak and submissive, waiting to be saved by “heroic” Perseus. This framing not only reflects the gender norms of ancient Greek society, but also highlights how women's narratives are often centered around their physical attributes.

Post-colonial interpretations of this myth could suggest that Andromeda's role is symbolic of how women, particularly in colonized societies, were often depicted as the objects of male power struggles or battles. Andromeda's sacrifice for the good of the kingdom might parallel the sacrifice of women in patriarchal and colonial systems, where their suffering is positioned as a catalyst for male action. The rescue narrative could also be seen as a colonial metaphor, where the intervention of a “heroic” force is what solves the central conflict, reinforcing the power structures of the time. By viewing Andromeda's myth through this lens, it becomes clear that her role, much like those of many women in mythology, was to act as a means through which male heroes assert dominance.

The myth also emphasizes the silence of Andromeda, who is rarely given a voice or agency throughout her story. This aligns with how women's roles in myth often reflect the erasure of their voices in historical and political contexts, something frequently pointed out in post-colonial feminist readings. Andromeda's passivity, therefore, serves as a metaphor for the colonial erasure of women's voices in favor of reinforcing patriarchal and colonial ideals.

By evaluating this myth from a post-colonial framework, we see how the passive woman in peril, rescued by a male hero, reinforces colonial and patriarchal ideals of women's inferiority and dependence. However, modern reinterpretations of this myth can challenge these narratives, offering new perspectives where women are given agency and their voices are heard, rather than silenced by external forces.

Roman Mythology: The Story of Lucretia

In Ovid's *Fasti*, Lucretia's story unfolds within the context of Roman societal values, where the sanctity of female virtue is directly linked to political and familial honor. Her story is a reflection of how women's identities were bound to their sexual purity, an attribute that determined their worth and influence within Roman culture. Ovid writes that, after her rape by Sextus Tarquinius, Lucretia's self-inflicted death is an act of moral redemption, where she believes the only way to restore her honor and protect her family's legacy is through suicide. Ovid specifically details that "She has nothing: for she has neither the voice nor the strength to speak, or anything in the whole breast of her mind" (Ovid 800). This portrayal underscores the complete lack of agency Lucretia possessed after her rape. Moreover, the loss of her voice mirrors the limited freedom of expression that women had in Roman society. Through her story, the rigid gender expectations that confined women to roles of virtue, purity, and submission to

male authority are underscored. In the aftermath of her death, her father and husband take revenge by leading a revolt that expels the Tarquin monarchy, marking the transition from monarchy to republic in Rome.

From a post-colonial perspective, Lucretia's myth is often read as a reflection of how patriarchal societies commodify and control female bodies, reducing women to vessels for male honor and reputation. The emphasis on her sexual purity and the subsequent emphasis on her death as an act of political mobilization points to the ways in which women's bodies are politicized in patriarchal structures. Her victimization serves not only as a catalyst for the downfall of a ruling dynasty but also as a symbol of the intersection of gender, power, and politics. Post-colonial critiques focus on how the myth functions to preserve patriarchal structures, as Lucretia's victimization becomes a tool for male political action, and her agency is rendered void by her tragic end. The myth, while ostensibly about a woman's heroic role in the founding of Rome, implicitly reinforces the idea that women's bodies are subordinated to the political and military ambitions of men.

Reinterpretations of the myth in modern contexts challenge these traditional readings by focusing on Lucretia's victimhood and questioning the societal structures that necessitate such extreme measures of control. Scholars argue that, in the post-colonial context, Lucretia's suffering is no longer seen solely as a sacrifice for male honor but as a reflection of broader power dynamics that dehumanize women (Sylvester 11). These re-readings also critique the way Lucretia's story was altered by Roman elites to serve their own political ends, transforming her from a victim into a symbol of Roman virtue while obscuring the systemic exploitation she faced as a woman within a patriarchal framework. In this way, the myth of Lucretia becomes an

example of how narratives of female suffering have historically been manipulated to sustain the notion of gendered power relations.

Roman Mythology: Dido

In Virgil's *Aeneid*, Dido's tragic narrative unfolds within the larger framework of imperial destiny, deeply entwining her personal downfall with the fate of Carthage and Rome. Dido, who initially represents a powerful female ruler and the embodiment of female autonomy, becomes a victim of the male-driven, imperialistic narrative. Aeneas, under the guidance of the gods, is compelled to leave Carthage and pursue his destiny of founding Rome. His departure leaves Dido devastated and ultimately leads to her death, symbolizing the destruction of her own sovereignty at the hands of male ambition. Virgil makes this message clear by demonstrating how Dido's love for Aeneas renders her compliant, forfeiting her capabilities as a powerful leader and eventually leading to her suicide. Virgil describes Dido's suicide in an extremely grotesque manner, writing "Dido, trembling, wild with brooding over Her dread design, rolling her blood-shot eyes, Her quivering cheeks suffused with spots, bursts through The inner threshold of the house, and mounts With frantic look the lofty funeral pile" (line 620-621). The imagery of her "blood-shot eyes" and "quivering cheeks" suggests a woman who is overwhelmed by the intensity of her emotions, signaling a break from her previously powerful and composed persona as a ruler. The use of "trembling" and "wild" emphasizes the depth of her distress, illustrating how the emotional pain of abandonment has incapacitated her. The description of Dido "mounting with frantic look the lofty funeral pile" is a powerful image of her emotional collapse and ultimate decision to take her own life. The funeral pyre symbolizes both the end of her life and the collapse of her sovereignty and agency. This moment is an inversion of the ideal role of women in ancient societies, where women were expected to remain

submissive and passive. Instead, Dido's decision to take control of her own fate represents both an act of defiance and a tragic surrender. Additionally, the contrast between her strong political leadership and her emotional vulnerability presents a poignant commentary on how women's power in the ancient world was often framed in relation to men.

The *Aeneid* also reflects a cultural ambivalence towards female passion and political power. Dido's passionate love for Aeneas, portrayed as both her strength and her undoing, underscores the classical tension between feminine agency and the patriarchal structures that dictated a woman's role in society. Though she commands the respect of her people and governs a prosperous kingdom, her love for Aeneas makes her appear weak. Her story mirrors the way female power was often idealized but also feared and ultimately contained in ancient societies. Virgil further portrays Dido's refusal to submit to Aeneas's departure as a moral and emotional failure, suggesting that women who challenge male authority or resist patriarchal systems face inevitable destruction.

Post-colonial readings of Dido's myth expand the interpretation to include themes of imperialism, colonialism, and the subjugation of indigenous cultures. Aeneas's mission, framed as divinely ordained and destined to create the Roman Empire, parallels the colonization of the Mediterranean, with the figure of Dido standing in for native cultures that were overrun by Rome's expansionist agenda. Dido's tragic end can thus be seen as a representation of the fate of indigenous societies that were displaced, destroyed, or erased by colonizing forces. These readings emphasize the way Virgil's epic constructs Rome's imperial destiny while framing Carthage, under Dido's rule, as an obstacle to that vision. Dido's demise further serves as a symbol of the suffering of those displaced or destroyed by colonial forces, as her love for Aeneas and the subsequent loss of power are central to her tragic end. This tragic trajectory can be

compared to post-colonial narratives where colonized individuals, especially women, experience a loss of identity and agency attributable to imperial expansion.

In Ovid's *Heroides*, Dido is given a voice through her letter to Aeneas, offering a deeper, more personal insight into her anguish and abandonment. Here, Dido's emotional suffering is placed front and center, as she poignantly describes the betrayal she feels and the loss of her sovereignty. This work presents a more sympathetic view of Dido, offering her an opportunity to articulate her feelings, which are absent from the more public narrative of Virgil's epic. Ovid's treatment of Dido allows for a feminist re-reading, as the queen becomes an empowered figure reclaiming agency over her own voice, even as she expresses her grief and rejection.

Through these texts, the representation of Dido evolves from a tragic female figure of the classical world to a symbol of both the emotional cost of imperialism and the historical erasure of female power. Post-colonial and feminist interpretations have enriched Dido's character, repositioning her as both a victim of patriarchal control and a figure whose sovereignty was undermined not just by male gods but by the very imperialistic forces that Virgil glorifies. The tragic heroism of Dido ultimately challenges the narrative of empire, showing the cost of conquest and the erasure of those who are swept aside in the pursuit of power.

Contemporary Narratives

The Greco-Roman myths that have been investigated thus far provide a significant comprehension of contemporary power dynamics, especially the ways in which women's roles are intertwined with societal expectations, control, and the broader consequences of gender inequality. These narratives underscore how female agency, whether it is expressed through

beauty or sexuality, is often seen as a destabilizing force that must be controlled or subdued by patriarchal structures.

In the case of Pandora, her creation by Zeus and the opening of the jar reflect the apprehensions of a society that feared female autonomy. Pandora's curiosity, framed as the root of mankind's suffering, serves as a metaphor for the perceived dangers of women stepping beyond their prescribed roles. This mirrors contemporary power dynamics where women's actions, particularly those that challenge traditional gender roles, are often viewed as threats to societal order. Similarly, Helen's beauty and the war it ignites further reflect how women's roles are reduced to objects of desire and the causes of conflict. Her story underlines how women are often scapegoated for societal instability. This can be seen through how the media portrays female actions.

Aphrodite's manipulation of Helen's fate in *The Judgement of Paris* also speaks to the concept of women being caught between their own desires and societal expectations. By using her beauty as a weapon, Aphrodite enforces the idea that women's worth is intrinsically tied to their appearance and their ability to attract male attention, a view still prevalent in contemporary discussions about beauty standards and female objectification. Similarly, Andromeda's role in Greek mythology demonstrates the vulnerability of women to male intervention, where her beauty is both the cause of her peril and her salvation. This juxtaposition reflects how women's independence is often minimized or by the patriarchal structures in place, whether in ancient Greece, Roman, or modern society.

The stories of Lucretia and Dido emphasize these themes further by highlighting how women's actions are subjected to immense blame. Lucretia's rape and subsequent suicide leads

to the political revolution in Rome, yet it is her purity and virtue that are emphasized as the key moral foundation of Roman society. This mirrors the way women's sexuality and promiscuity continues to be a focal point of social control, with their bodies symbolizing both honor or shame. Dido's tragic death after Aeneas's abandonment further reflects the consequences of a woman's yearning. Her power, while rooted in her leadership and sovereignty, is ultimately seen as futile when disrupted by male actions, suggesting that women's emotional and personal lives continue to be framed as secondary to the broader political and societal structures dominated by men. Her story also brings to light the concept of female suffering, which continues to be extremely prevalent in our society today. The fact that her story has persisted over numerous generations serves as a testament to the romanticization and enjoyment that female suffering receives.

In essence, each of these ancient myths exemplify the deeply embedded cultural narratives regarding both gender and sexuality in society. They additionally highlight how ancient power dynamics still persist today, and it underscores the extent to which femininity is shaped by the patriarchal structures that control it.

Conclusion

These Greco-Roman myths serve as critical reflections of the gender and power dynamics that shaped ancient societies. These myths, often rooted in patriarchal ideologies, depict women as both the instigators of chaos and the objects of male desire, with their roles heavily defined by beauty, submission, and sacrifice. These stories highlight how female characters were framed as sources of both power and destruction, often with no voice of their own. In many cases, divine intervention or male-driven narratives manipulate the choices of these women, showcasing the

ways in which mythological structures have historically reinforced societal norms that limited female autonomy.

Post-colonial reinterpretations of these myths have reshaped the narratives, often positioning these women as symbols of oppression or resistance, reflecting the ways marginalized groups have been framed as threats to the established order. Modern retellings highlight the consequences of their actions, whether as victims of patriarchal control or as rebels against the expectations placed upon them.

The critical reexamination of these myths in contemporary discourse allows us to see how ancient narratives about women's roles continue to resonate today, illuminating persistent gender inequalities. By focusing on the ways these myths reflect power dynamics, we are reminded of the cyclic nature of gendered oppression and the need to challenge traditional narratives that continue to shape societal expectations of women. Thus, these ancient myths not only provide historical insight into the roles of women in antiquity but also offer a lens through which to critique and deconstruct ongoing power structures in contemporary society.

Bibliography

Burkin, Lily. "The Anatomy of a Misunderstood Woman: An Examination of Helen of Sparta." *Penn's Classical Studies Publication*, 2024,
<https://web.sas.upenn.edu/discentes/2024/04/21/the-anatomy-of-a-misunderstood-woman/>. Accessed 9 Dec. 2024.

Cartwright, Mark. "The Role of Women in the Roman World." *World History Encyclopedia*, 22 Feb. 2014,
<https://www.worldhistory.org/article/659/the-role-of-women-in-the-roman-world/>. Accessed 9 Dec. 2024.

Cartwright, Mark. "Women in Ancient Greece." *World History Encyclopedia*, 2016,
<https://www.worldhistory.org/article/927/women-in-ancient-greece/>. Accessed 2 Dec. 2024.

Danforth, Samuel. "Patriarchy and Gender Law in Ancient Rome and Colonial America." *Iowa Historical Review*,

<https://pubs.lib.uiowa.edu/iowa-historical-review/article/1630/galley/110627/view>
/. Accessed 11 Dec. 2024.

Zeitlin, F. I. "Playing the Other: Theatre, Theatricality, and the Feminine in Greek Drama." *Sexuality and Gender in the Classical World*, edited by Laura McClure, Blackwell Publishers, 2002, p. 105.

Hesiod. *Works and Days*. Translated by Hugh G. Evelyn-White, 1914. People,
<https://people.sc.fsu.edu/~dduke/lectures/hesiod1.pdf>. Accessed 9 Dec. 2024.

Homer. *Iliad*. Dent; Dutton, 1955.

Kamp, John. "Patriarchy and Gender Law in Ancient Rome and Colonial America." *Iowa Historical Review*,
<https://pubs.lib.uiowa.edu/iowa-historical-review/article/1630/galley/110627/view>
. Accessed 18 Dec. 2024.

Livy. *The Early History of Rome*. Translated by Aubrey de Sélincourt, Penguin, 1971.

Meehan, Dessa. "Containing the Kalon Kakon: The Portrayal of Women in Ancient Greek Mythology." *Armstrong Undergraduate Journal of History*, vol. 7, no. 2, 2017, p. 19.
<https://digitalcommons.georgiasouthern.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1022&context=aujh>. Accessed 9 Dec. 2024.

Hansen, Mogens Herman. *Polis: An Introduction to the Ancient Greek City-State*. Oxford University Press, 2006, p. 64. Accessed 9 Dec. 2024.

Ovid. *Fasti*. Edited by Jonathan Ingram, Project Gutenberg, 2005,
<https://www.gutenberg.org/cache/epub/8738/pg8738-images.html>. Accessed 12 Dec. 2024.

Ovid. *Heroides*. Heinemann, 1914. Archive.org,
<https://archive.org/details/heroidesamores00ovid>. Accessed 13 Dec. 2024.

Ovid. *Metamorphoses*. Book VIII, Clarendon Press, 1970. Accessed 14 Dec. 2024.

Plato. *The Republic*. Books, Inc., 1943. Accessed 9 Dec. 2024.

Ruether, Rosemary. "Plato and Aristotle as Foundations of Patriarchy." *Peped*, 2018,
<https://peped.org/philosophicalinvestigations/extract-plato-aristotle-foundations-patriarchy/>. Accessed 9 Dec. 2024.

Sylvester, Louise. "Reading Narratives of Rape: The Story of Lucretia in Chaucer, Gower and Christine de Pizan." Academia.edu, 2000,
https://www.academia.edu/64566849/Reading_Narratives_of_Rape_The_Story_of_Lucretia_in_Chaucer_Gower_and_Christine_de_Pizan. Accessed 15 Dec. 2024.

Virgil. *The Aeneid of Virgil*. Bantam Books, 1981. Accessed 9 Dec. 2024.

Wood, Johanna. "Patriarchy, Feminism and Mary Daly: A Systematic-Theological Enquiry into Daly's Engagement with Gender Issues in Christian Theology."

University of South Africa Institutional Repository, 2013,

https://uir.unisa.ac.za/bitstream/handle/10500/8820/thesis_wood_jm.pdf.

Accessed 9 Dec. 2024.

