


Luc Brisson | Edward C. Halper | Richard D. Parry [Eds.]

# Plato's Sophist

Selected Papers of the Thirteenth Symposium Platonicum



VERLAG KARL ALBER



## **International Plato Studies**

Published under the auspices of the  
International Plato Society



**Series Editors:**

Claudia Marsico | Francesco Fronterotta

Thomas M. Tuozzo | Dimitri El Murr | Filip Karfik

Volume 42

Luc Brisson | Edward C. Halper | Richard D. Parry [Eds.]

# Plato's Sophist

Selected Papers of the Thirteenth Symposium Platonicum

VERLAG KARL ALBER



© Cover photo: markara – istockphoto.com

1st Edition 2024

© The Authors

Published by

Verlag Karl Alber – within

Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft mbH & Co. KG

Waldseestraße 3–5 | 76530 Baden-Baden

[www.verlag-alber.de](http://www.verlag-alber.de)

Production of the printed version:

Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft mbH & Co. KG

Waldseestraße 3–5 | 76530 Baden-Baden

ISBN 978-3-495-99135-0 (Print)

ISBN 978-3-495-99136-7 (ePDF)

DOI <https://doi.org/10.5771/9783495991367>



Online Version  
Nomos eLibrary



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution  
4.0 International License.

# Table of Contents

## I. Philosophers and Sophists

*François Renaud*

Socrate citateur d'Homère dans le prologue du *Sophiste*:  
considérations morales et *elenchos* 17

*Christopher Rowe*

The *Sophist*, Sophists, and Socrates 25

*Noburu Notomi*

Similarities between the Sophist and the Philosopher 39

*Mauro Bonazzi*

Of Dogs and Wolves, Fathers and Sons, Sophists and Philosophers 55

*Cătălin Enache*

The Sophist's Invisibility vs The Philosopher's Invisibility 71

*Nikos G. Charalabopoulos*

Invisible epiphanies in Plato's *Sophist* (253c-254b) 79

## II. The Method of Division

*Fernando Muniz and George Rudebusch*

Division and Metaphysics in Plato 89

*Naoya Iwata*

What is the Process of Division for? 99

Verity Harte

Dialectical Know-How: A Deflationary Approach to *Sophist*  
253b-254a 107

Filippo Sirianni

*Dynamis*, Definition and Division in Plato's *Sophist* 125

G.R.F. Ferrari

Plato's *Sophist*: Rebarbative by Design? 135

Sebastian Odzuck

Why Should We Assume There is Divine Productive Art? 143

### III. Eleatic Stranger and Noble Sophist

Jan Szaif

Philosophical *paideia* in Plato's *Sophist* 153

Zdenek Lenner

*Erōs*-Hunter in the *Sophist*: who chases whom, how and where? 163

Nestor-Luis Cordero

The Stranger of the *Sophist*: A Citizen of Elea "Different" from the  
Eleatic Philosophers 173

Julia Pfefferkorn

Auf der Jagd nach einem Gestaltwandler: Zur Komposition des  
*Sophistes*  
(Chasing a Shape-Shifter: On the Composition of the *Sophist*) 183

Mario Regali

Platone γενναῖος σοφιστής: per un'interpretazione metaletteraria  
della sezione sulla "nobile sofistica" (*Sph.* 226b-231c) 193

<i>Alessandro Stavru</i>	
How Socratic Is the “Noble Art of Sophistry”? Tracing the <i>Gennaia Sophistike</i> of the Sixth Diaeresis Back to Old Comedy	201
<i>Franco Trabattoni</i>	
Platone confuta Parmenide, senza commettere parricidio.	211
<i>Francesco Ferro</i>	
Parmenide padre superficiale e testardo. L’eredità eleatica nel <i>Sofista</i> .	219
<i>Florian Marion</i>	
The Late-Learners of the School of Names: <i>Sophist</i> , 251a8-c6: ὁ ἀγαθὸς ἄνθρωπος (the good man) and 白馬 (white horse)	227
<i>Simon Noriega-Olmos</i>	
The Enigmatic <i>Locus Desperatus</i> at <i>Sophist</i> 244d11–12	237
 <b>IV. Dynamis and Being</b>	
<i>Francisco J. Gonzalez</i>	
The <i>Kinêsis</i> of Being in Plato’s <i>Sophist</i> and the Motivation for Aristotle’s Notion of <i>Energeia</i>	249
<i>Carolina Araujo</i>	
Power of Connection as the Mark of Beings	257
<i>Lloyd P. Gerson</i>	
Being and Power in Plato’s <i>Sophist</i>	265
<i>Yan Lu</i>	
Modes of Power and Different Beings in <i>Sophist</i> 246a-249d	273
<i>Raúl Gutiérrez</i>	
<i>Koinōnía</i> , <i>Dynamis</i> y Justicia	283

Maurizio Migliori

To Act and to Suffer: Allusions to Plato's Dialectical Metaphysics in the *Sophist* 291

Paolo Gigli

Like a Child Begging for Both (Pl. *Sph.* 248-249) 307

Richard Patterson

Knower and Known: The Making of a *Sophistes* 315

## V. Being and Non-Being

John Palmer

What completely is, what in no way is, and what is and is not in Plato's *Sophist* and *Republic* 325

Claudia Gianturco

*Malum esse duplex, et le non ens ipsum*: la distinzione tra τὸ μὴ ὄν e τὸ μηδαμῶς ὄν del *Sofista* di Platone nella soluzione procliana al problema del male 333

André Luiz Braga da Silva

Does Plato Revise His Ontology in *Sophist* 256a? Notes on the "being" of the Ideas 341

Roberto Granieri

The Referents of 'Being' in Plato's *Sophist* 349

Jenny K. Strandberg

Finding Certainty in the Being of Non-Being: A Final Rejoinder to Protagoras 357

David J. Murphy

Σκοπός and the Unity of the *Sophist* 365



Rafael Ferber

The Overt Argument Against Conceptualism in the *Parmenides* and the Covert Argument for Conceptualism in the *Sophist* (with a Particular Focus on the Being of Not-Being) 373

Thomas Tuozzo

The *Eidos* of Non-existence in Plato's *Sophist*: 257a11-b4 381

Yuji Kurihara

Two Ontological Functions of the Nature of Difference in Plato's *Sophist* 389

## VI. Kinds

Lesley Brown

Sixty-five years of the communion of kinds: a reappraisal of Ackrill's 'Plato and the Copula' 401

Nicolas Zaks

Why are Change and Stability Different from Difference and Sameness? A Reappraisal of *Sophist* 255a4-255b7 417

Michael Wiitala

Parts of Difference in Plato's *Sophist*, with Help from *Republic* V 425

Béatrice Lienemann

Self-Participation of Forms in Plato? An Analysis of *Sophist* 255e3-6 433

## VII. Truth and Falsehood

Paolo Crivelli

The *Sophist* on Truth and Falsehood: Between Aristotle and Frege 445

Manfred Kraus

Ἀληθὴς δόξα in the *Sophist* between *Theaetetus* and *Seventh Letter* 461

Table of Contents

Monique Dixsaut

L'homme apprend, Théétète est-assis et Théétète vole. 469

Michele Corradi

Sulla lotta e le altre τέχναι. Protagora nel *Sofista* 485

**VIII. Before and After the Sophist**

Ronna Burger

Socratic Philosophy on Trial: Plato's *Sophist* and its Homeric Model 495

Claudia Marsico

What is Wrong with Theaetetus' Flight? Antisthenes and the Eleatic Hints in Plato's *Sophist* 511

Harold Tarrant

The Place of the *Sophist* in Old Academic Theory and Curriculum 527

Anna Motta

L'eredità del *Sofista* nell'isagogica neoplatonica: le testimonianze di Giamblico e Proclo 535

References 543

Index Nominum 567

Index Subjectium 571

The Late-Learners of the School of Names: *Sophist*, 251a8-c6: ὁ ἀγαθὸς ἄνθρωπος (the good man) and 白馬 (white horse)

Florian Marion

Université catholique de Louvain, Institut supérieur de philosophie/Centre De Wulf-Mansion

The focus of this contribution is on the 'late-learners' digression. In *Sph.* 251a8-c6, the Eleatic Stranger briefly discusses the view of some 'young and old late-learners' who hold that, from a logico-metaphysical point of view, unlike 'a man is a man' or 'a good is good', the statement 'a man is good' is neither a well-formed nor a grammatical sentence. Usually, modern commentators devote little energy to interpreting this passage since they are content to note that it suffices to discriminate *identity* and *predication* to avoid the sophism. The aim of this paper is to show that the position of the 'late-learners' is in fact more subtle than it seems, since it is widely open to many readings, and that the chosen reading of the digression has a direct impact on the general interpretation of the rest of the dialogue (communication of kinds, semantic distinction between names and verbs, etc.). To this end, the view of the 'late-learners' will be compared with a similar position discussed in a quite different philosophical ecosystem: the White-Horse Paradox forged by Gōngsūn Lóng, a dialectician of the 'School of Names'. This paradox states that the sentence 'a white horse is not a horse' is true. Many readings of the White-Horse Paradox have been offered: some of these readings are the same as those suggested for the 'late-learners' view, but others are absent from the scholarly literature, although they provide interesting insights into the interpretation of *Sph.* 251a8-c6. sophism, dialectics, philosophy of language, late-learners, Gōngsūn Lóng

1. The 'late-learners' from *Sph.* 251a8-c6

In *Sph.* 251a8-c6, the Eleatic Stranger (ES) digresses on some 'young and old late-learners' who hold the puzzling view that, from a logico-metaphysical standpoint, 'a man is good' is neither a well-formed nor a grammatical sentence. For reasons of space, I shall not quote the text. I assume that any reader of this volume either is familiar with Plato's *Sph.* or has a copy of the dialogue in hand or nearby.

The structure of the text is clear enough however, there are two steps:

251a8-b4: *a version of the aporia of the one and the many.*

Our everyday use of language implies the unity of the subject through the multiplicity of its predications or 'appellations', that is, the fact that

the same item can have a multiplicity of *names* (ὀνόματα), for instance Confucius can be named ‘human’ and ‘good’.

251b6-c6: *the feast for the ‘young and old late-learners’* (see also 252b8-10). The ‘late-learners’ claim that it is impossible for the one to be many, and for the many to be one. Accordingly, saying ‘a man <is> good (ὁ ἀγαθὸς ἄνθρωπος)’ is to assert a wrong statement (from a logico-metaphysical point of view: this is an ungrammatical or a non-well-formed sentence). They believe that ‘the man <is> a man’ and ‘the good <is> good’ are the only well-formed sentences involving the names ‘man’ and ‘good’.

Who are the targeted ‘late-learners’? Scholars have suggested three hypotheses: either they are Antisthenes and his followers, or Euthydemus and Dionysodorus, or the ‘old’ late-learners are Euthydemus and Dionysodorus while the ‘young’ are some Megaric dialecticians (insofar as there is an argument very similar to *Sph.* 251a8-c6 attributed to the Megaric Stilpo in Plut. *Adv. Col.* 22.1119c-d, 23.1120a-b). I wish to remain neutral on such an issue, however it should be remarked that everything I am about to say is particularly appealing for the supporters of the Antisthenes’ hypothesis.

The implicit argument of the ‘late-learners’ is the following according to Crivelli (2012, 104):

If the same thing can be called by many names, then the many will be one and the one many.

Neither is the one many nor are the many one.

---

Therefore, the same thing cannot be called by many names.

Modern commentators usually devote little energy to interpreting this passage since they are content to note that it suffices to discriminate *identity* and *predication* to avoid the sophism. (Crivelli 2012, 103-109 and Brown 2019, 312-324 are notable exceptions: they discuss at length the view of the ‘late-learners’.) Nevertheless, there are three kinds of interpretations for the paradoxical view of the ‘late-learners’ (the reader can find the bibliography on this topic in Crivelli 2012):

- *Post-Fregean Interpretation*<sup>1</sup>: the late-learners do not grasp the intuitive distinction between *predication* and *judgement of identity*.

For them,  $A \in B$  iff  $A = B$  (for instance a man *is* good iff man *is identical to* good). The rationale for such a view seems to be as follows: *man* and *good* are neither *co-extensional* (for  $\{x: x \text{ is a man}\} \not\subseteq \{x: x \text{ is good}\}$ ) nor synonyms, i.e., *co-intensional* (*being a man* does not mean the same as *being good*); nor do they belong to the same *sort* (since ‘man’ is the *name* of a natural kind, ‘good’ that of an axiological quality, and what names a natural kind is not what names an axiological quality). Hence, someone who says ‘a man is good’ in reference to a subject (Confucius for instance) would make this *one* (subject) be *many*, namely, both a *man* and a *good*.

- *Bradleyan Interpretation*<sup>2</sup>: they do not grasp the distinction between *denoting* and *describing*, and they allow only one function for words, namely *naming* (they reject their *adjectival* and *verbal* functions).

According to this interpretation, their argument would be the following:

An object can only be referred by exactly *one* proper name.

The words ‘man’ and ‘good’ are different names.

---

No object can be named both by ‘good’ and ‘man’.

(if it were, *one* object would be *many*)

Unlike ‘a man is good’, both ‘a man is a man’ and ‘good is good’ are mere iterations of the same speech act of *naming* (when I say ‘*x* is *x*’, I refer to the object named by ‘*x*’ twice), and so are unpuzzling.

- *Essentialist Interpretation*: they do not grasp the distinction between *essential* predication and *nonessential* (or *ordinary*) predi-

---

1 I label this exegesis ‘Post-Fregean’ because Frege 1892a, 193-194 (followed by Russell 1903, §64; 1919: 172) forcefully distinguishes (unlike Leśniewski for instance) the ‘is’ of identity from the ‘is’ of predication: the first occurs in ‘Clark Kent is Superman’, the second in ‘Clark Kent is a man’.

2 I label this exegesis ‘Bradleyan’ for, in Bradley 1893, 19-24 (second chapter entitled ‘substantive and adjective’), Bradley asks how a quality can be both an adjective (‘the sugar is sweet’) and a name (‘sweetness is a property of the sugar’).

cation, and they allow only predication that fully expresses the essence of the thing.

For them,  $A \in B$  iff  $B$  *fully expresses the essence of*  $A$  (for instance, a man is good iff goodness *fully expresses the essence of* this man). ‘A man is a man’ is an essential predication, while ‘a man is good’ is an ordinary predication. If ‘a man is good’ were an essential predication, then the object which is *one* would have *many* essences (humanity and goodness), and therefore the *one* would be *many*.

Of course, the preceding descriptions are crude and clumsy. There are many fine-grained subtleties within each of these three kinds of interpretation. For instance, among the Post-Fregean interpreters, Ackrill 1957 has claimed that Plato makes the distinction between identity and predication by discriminating two meanings for the copula ‘is’, whereas Brown 2019 believes that Plato distinguishes two kinds of *sentences*, namely, identity sentences and predications. But a rough and coarse overview is all I need here.

Choosing between these three readings involves selecting the relevant area of inquiry to which the late-learners’ discussion belongs: in the Post-Fregean Interpretation, the issue belongs only to logic; while in the Bradleyan Interpretation the issue belongs both to logic and philosophy of language; and in the Essentialist Interpretation, it belongs to logic and metaphysics. The choice is not trivial because it determines where in the text the ES answers the ‘late-learners’: either the answer would take place in the discussion of the ‘communication of kinds’ (Essentialist Interpretation) or at the very end of the dialogue in which Plato makes the distinction between nouns and verbs (Bradleyan Interpretation) or nowhere (Post-Fregean Interpretation). I will not offer any insight about what is the right reading of Plato’s text. Rather, I will propose a comparison with another puzzle to develop a bit the rationale in favour of the Bradleyan Interpretation (one reason to favour it is that Plato states many times that the target of the ‘late-learners’ is the fact that many *names* (ὀνόματα) are – wrongly from their point of view – attributed to the same thing, see *Sph.* 251a5-6, a8, b4).

## 2. The White-Horse Paradox: Gōngsūn Lóng and the Mohists

Curiously, a conundrum very close to that of the ‘late-learners’ was current in another philosophical ecosystem. Around 300BC, the dialectician of the ‘School of Names’ Gōngsūn Lóng has proposed a reasoning of that kind

against the non-identical predication (or ‘nomination’): the White-Horse Paradox<sup>3</sup>.

The White-Horse Paradox, unlike the ‘late-learners’ from *Sph.* 251a8-c6, was hotly debated in Chinese philosophical circles; for instance, it is mentioned in various Classics (*Liezi*, *Zhuangzi*, *Mengzi*), in the *Han Feizi* and in the *Mozi*, etc.

The chapter *Báimǎ Lùn* (白馬論) of the *Gōngsūn lóng zi* (公孫龍子)<sup>4</sup> is a dialectical dialogue between a proponent of the truth and a supporter of the falsity of the following sentence:

白馬非馬 (*báimǎ fēi mǎ*)

“A white horse (白馬, *báimǎ*) is not (非, *fēi*) a horse (馬, *mǎ*)”

In Chinese, since there is no inflection, such a sentence can be read in many ways: ‘white horse is not horse’, ‘a white horse is not a horse’, ‘white horses are not horses’, ‘whitehorseness is not horseness’, ‘the kind *white-horse* is not the kind *horse*’, etc. I will ignore the subtleties involved by the fact that a preferred reading might be chosen and that some readings might be dismissed for contextual motives.

The dialogue gives five arguments for the truth of ‘a white horse is not a horse’, and five arguments in favour of its falsity.

The first argument for ‘a white horse is not a horse’ is true is as follows: ‘White’ is the *name* (名, *míng*) of a colour, ‘horse’ that of a shape, but naming a colour is not naming a shape, therefore white horse is not horse (since while ‘white horse’ names a coloured shape, ‘horse’ names only a shape).

The four other arguments are less exciting, they require that the sentence be understood only as ‘white horse is identical to horse’, and consist in showing that ‘white horse’ and ‘horse’ are neither co-extensional nor co-intensional. I shall ignore them.

The same kinds of interpretation have been suggested for the White-Horse Paradox as for the view of the ‘late-learners’, *minus* the Essentialist

3 The example taken by Gōngsūn Lóng reminds us of the example of horseness favoured in some anti-Platonic anecdotes: the famous words of Antisthenes ‘Plato, I can see the horse, but not horseness’ in *Simpl. in Cat.* 208.28-3, and a similar anecdote attributed to Stilpo in *DL* 2.119.

4 For an edition of this dialogue, see Suter, Indraccolo & Behr 2020.

Interpretation (because there is no known discussion of essences in Ancient Chinese Philosophy)<sup>5</sup>.

- *Post-Fregean Interpretation*: there is a confusion between *predication* (or *class membership*) and *judgement of identity*.

Gōngsūn Lóng refuses to discriminate the true statement ‘white horse is not identical to horse’ from the false statement ‘horse is not predicated of white horse’. In his first argument, he points out that *horse* and *white-horse* do not belong to the same *sort* of things (‘white (白)’ is the *name* of a colour, ‘horse (馬)’ that of a shape, and what names a colour is not what names a shape. ‘White-horse’ is neither the name of a colour, nor of a shape, but the name of a colour-and-shape). In his four other arguments, he indicates that *horse* and *white-horse* are neither *co-extensional* (since  $\{x: x \text{ is a white horse}\} \subset \{x: x \text{ is a horse}\}$ ), nor synonyms, i.e., *co-intensional* (*being a horse* does not mean the same as *being a white horse*). His interlocutor who defends the commonsense view retorts that ‘horse is predicated of white horse’ is true: although *white horse* is not identical to *horse*, *white horse* is a member of the class *horse*.

- *Bradleyan Interpretation*: Chinese dialecticians do not grasp the distinction between *denoting* and *describing*, and they allow only one function for words, namely *naming* (they reject their *adjectival* function).

Gōngsūn Lóng, as other early Chinese dialecticians, believes that *one* name exactly corresponds to *one* thing<sup>6</sup>, and that the denotation of a name cannot vary depending on the linguistic context. His argument would be as follows:

An object can only be referred by exactly *one* proper name.

The words ‘white horse’ and ‘horse’ are different names.

---

No object can be named both by ‘white horse’ and ‘horse’.

(if it were, *one* object would be *many*)

‘a white horse is a white horse’ and ‘a horse is a horse’ are mere iterations of the same speech act of *naming* (when I say ‘x is x’, I pick out the object ‘x’ twice), and so they are unpuzzling.

---

5 For various readings of the White-Horse Paradox, see Hansen 1983, 140-171; Mou 2009, 22-26; Fung 2009, 172, 175-181; Van Norden 2011, 108-111, 113-114; Lucas 2012; Fraser 2020, 300-304 and Garfield & Priest 2021, 23-25.

6 On this point, see Hansen 1983, 72-81, 150-151



So far, the comparison between the White-Horse Paradox and the view held by the ‘late-learners’ is neither particularly instructive, nor particularly stimulating. The interest of Gōngsūn Lóng’s paradox lies in its reception in later Chinese philosophy: the Mohists, who represent the acme of ancient Chinese logical philosophy, seized the paradox to distinguish two types of ‘communication’ of names.

Indeed, the Mohists (*Xún Zǐ*, part. 3) take the White-Horse Paradox as an opportunity to wonder about the way in which the reference of generic compound names is fixed. They make the distinction between two kinds of compound names (see Hansen 1983, 148-155):

- some compound names denote the *intersection* of several classes.

For instance, ‘white horse (白馬, *báimǎ*)’ denotes the class corresponding to the *intersection* of the *horse* and *white* classes, i.e.,  $\{x: x \text{ is a white horse}\} = \{x: x \text{ is a horse}\} \cap \{x: x \text{ is white}\}$ .

- some compound names denote the *union* of several classes.

For instance, ‘ox-and-horse (牛馬, *niúmǎ*)’ denotes the class corresponding to the *union* of the *ox* and *horse* classes, i.e.,  $\{x: x \text{ is an ox-and-horse}\} = \{x: x \text{ is an ox}\} \cup \{x: x \text{ is a horse}\}$ .

In doing so, the Mohists support the view that the scope of the denotation of the name ‘horse’ varies when it appears in a compound expression: the name ‘horse (馬)’ used singly denotes all the members of the class of horses. When joined with the name ‘white (白)’, ‘horse’ denotes a subclass of horses; and when joined with the name ‘ox (牛)’, ‘horse’ denotes the class of horses.

But, such a heterogeneity in the way that compound names refer is a massive threat to a substantial thesis shared by almost all Chinese thinkers at that time, namely the principle: *one name, one thing*. Stated more fully, the thesis is that each thing has exactly *one* correct name, and each correct name has exactly *one* denotation. This would mean that all names select exactly the same denotation in all contexts, whether used singly or compounded into phrases.

The Mohists abandon or moderate the one-name-one-thing dogma, and consequently, the traditional and Confucian idea that the linguistic structure

does always *directly* reflect the structure of things in the world<sup>7</sup>. They allow that the denotation of a name can vary depending on the linguistic context. Unlike them, Gōngsūn Lóng takes a conservative stance and understands the one-name-one-thing dogma at face value: the objects denoted by the compound names are distinct from the objects denoted by either of their constituent names. So, ‘white horse’ is neither white nor horse, but, according to Gōngsūn Lóng’s first argument, a distinct *sort* of thing. As Lucas 2012 shows, the White-Horse Paradox involves a semantic taxonomy of predicates and classes according to the *sorts* to which they belong, *white horse* would be neither *horse* nor *white* because these names would all denotate classes belonging to *sorts* whose domains are disjoint: respectively: <colour + shape>, <shape> and <colour>).

Finally we can see the point of interest for comparison with the ‘late-learners’. The claim ‘one name, one thing’ is also shared by the ‘late-learners’ from *Sph.* 251a8-c6 according to the Bradleyan Interpretation. And so, it is possible to offer a *revised* Bradleyan Interpretation which can be labelled the ‘Gōngsūnian Interpretation’. While the Bradleyan Interpretation sees in the view of the ‘late-learners’ an issue about the distinction between *substantive* and *adjective*, the Gōngsūnian Interpretation is the reading according to which the issue lies rather in the distinction between *compound* name and *simple* name.

- *Gōngsūnian Interpretation*: the puzzle involved in the view of the ‘late-learners’ is reducible to the question: how *compound names* as ‘good-man (ὁ ἀγαθὸς ἄνθρωπος)’ or ‘white-horse’ work without the one being many and the many being one?

The Gōngsūnian Interpretation involves a complication that is very interesting once we adopt a Platonistic viewpoint. Indeed, for Gōngsūn Lóng, the problem raised by compound names like ‘white horse’ and ‘good man’ is not only that of determining their proper extensions, but also that of combining different or not different *sorts* of items: ‘white horse’ implies the combination of a colour and a shape, ‘good man’ the combination of a natural kind and an axiological quality. Gōngsūn Lóng argues for the weird thesis that *white*, *horse* and *white horse* are three sorts that do not intersect with each other (in sortal logics, we say that the two sortals X and Y intersect iff X and Y are true of at least one object). As for the Mohists, they grant that

---

7 On the ‘rectification of names’, see Hansen 1983, 72-81, a view that obviously reminds us of some ideas from the *Cratylus*.

*white horse* is the sortal intersection of the sorts *white* and *horse* (see Lucas 2005 on Mohist ‘sortal’ logic).

Let us now put the discussion in the *Sophist*’s framework. The ES begins by presenting a digression about the ‘late-learners’ who support a paradoxical view close to Gōngsūn Lóng’s, but the ES immediately changes the subject to the issue of the ‘communication of kinds’ (*Sph.* 251d-259b). The connection between these two steps of the dialogue is better understood if the chosen reading of the ‘late-learners’ is Gōngsūnian rather than Bradleyan or Post-Fregean: since the ‘late-learners’ have some worries about whether the sorts intersect or not (they do not allow that ‘good man’ is a genuine *unity* resulting from the sortal intersection of *man* and *good*), it is appropriate to come straightaway to the topic whether there is some combination of kinds or not. In short, the Gōngsūnian Interpretation offers a way to grasp the connection between *Sph.* 251a8-c6 and what immediately follows.

True, in *Sph.* 251d-268c Plato nowhere examines the reference or denotation of forms resulting from the combination of other kinds, and so there is no ‘Mohistic’ text in the dialogue. In fact, the true answer to the ‘late-learners’ is not the idea that kinds can combine with each other (that would be question-begging), but rather appears in *Sph.* 261d1-262e1 in which Plato distinguishes between *noun* (ὄνομα) and *verb/predicate* (ῥῆμα, see Aristotle, *de Int.* 2-3). In doing so, he dissolves the simplistic semantics of the ‘late-learners’ (whether they are Bradleyan or Gōngsūnian): words can have different functions when they occur in different speech acts. If so, ‘good man’, far from being a compound name, is a syntactic complex construed as a pair <noun, predicate>.

### 3. Conclusion

Reading *Sph.* 251a8-c6 in the light of the *White Horse Dialogue* provides an exegesis of the *Sph.* sensitive to the fact that the rejection of non-identical predication (or nomination) constitutes a foil to which several types of answers can be provided: Plato and the Mediterranean tradition found in such a seemingly sophistic riddle an opportunity to distinguish identical or reflexive predication from non-identical and non-reflexive predication, while for the Mohists it was the opportunity to wonder about the way in which the reference of compound names is fixed. Furthermore, the Gōngsūnian reading of *Sph.* 251a8-c6 is attractive for better understanding the structure of *Sph.* 251d-268c given that such an interpretation connects the digression

about the late-learners with both the discussion of the communication of kinds and the logical-linguistic enquiry at the end of the dialogue.<sup>8</sup>

---

8 For another interesting comparison between Plato and Chinese philosophy: Wáng Bì from the ‘School of the Dark (玄學, *xuánxué*)’ offers exactly the same argument than Plato’s *Ti.* 49b-51b, 52d-53b, 57d-58c (in which Plato argues that the *χώρα* is unqualified or formless) for the thesis that the *dào* has no characteristics at all, see Garfield & Priest 2021, 18.

## References

- Abbate, M. 1998. 'Parypóstasis: il concetto di male nella quarta dissertazione del *Comento alla Repubblica* di Proclo.' *Rivista di storia della filosofia* 1: 109-115.
- Abbate, M. 2016. 'Die dynamische und lebendige Natur des intelligiblen Seins bei Plato und in der neuplatonischen Überlieferung.' In *Selbstbewegung und Lebendigkeit: die Seele in Platons Spätwerk*, edited by M. Abbate, J. Pfefferkorn, and A. Spinelli, 227-42. Berlin.
- Ackrill, J. L. 1955. 'ΣΥΜΠΛΟΚΗ ΕΙΔΩΝ'. *Bulletin of the Institute of Classical Studies* 2: 31-35.
- Ackrill, J. L. 1957. 'Plato and the Copula: *Sophist* 251-259'. *Journal of Hellenic Studies* 77 (1): 1-6.
- Ackrill, J. L. 1973. 'Anamnesis in the *Phaedo*'. In *Exegesis and Argument*, edited by E. N. Lee, A. P. D. Mourelatos, R. M. Rorty, 177-95. *Phronesis* suppl. vol. 1. Reprinted in Ackrill 1997, 13-32.
- Ackrill, J. L. 1997. *Essays on Plato and Aristotle*. Oxford.
- Adam, J. 1963. *The Republic of Plato*. Vol. I. Cambridge.
- Alekniéné, T. 2017. 'Le skopos du *Philèbe*'. *EPlaton* 13
- Alieva, O. 2024. 'Xenocrates on the Number of Syllables: A Reconstruction of the Argument'. *Ancient Philosophy* 44: 123-46
- Alikan, N. F. 2017. 'The good, the bad and the ugly: does Plato make room for negative forms in his ontology?' In *The Journal of Natural and Social Philosophy* 13-3: 154-91.
- Allen, R. E. 1980. 'Ideas as Thought: *Parmenides* 132bc'. *Ancient Philosophy* 1: 29-38.
- Allen, R. E. 1983. *Plato's Parmenides, Translation and Analysis*. Minneapolis.
- Allen, R.E. 1997, *Plato's Parmenides*, 2nd ed., New Haven.
- Ambuel, D. 2007. *Image and Paradigm in Plato's Sophist*. Las Vegas.
- Annas, J. 1981. *An Introduction to Plato's Republic*. Oxford.
- Amden, B., P. Flensted-Jensen, T. H. Nielsen, A. Schwartz, and C. G. Tortzen, eds. 2002. *Noctes Atticae. 34 Articles on Graeco-Roman Antiquity and Its Nachleben. Studies Presented to Jørgen Mejer*. Copenhagen.
- Anton, J. P. 1968. 'The Aristotelian Doctrine of Homonymy in the *Categories* and Its Platonic Antecedents'. *Journal of the History of Philosophy* 6: 315-26
- Apelt, O. 1897. *Platonis Sophista, Recensuit, Prelegomenis et Commentariis*. Leipzig.
- Arruzza, C. 2019. *A Wolf in the City. Tyranny and the Tyrant in Plato's Republic*. Oxford.
- Assaturian, S. 2020. 'What the Forms Are Not: Plato on Conceptualism in *Parmenides* 132b-c'. *Philosophical Studies* 177: 353-368.
- Astius, F. 1820. *Platonis Quae Extant Opera, Accedunt Platonis Quae Feruntur Scripta*. Vol. 2. Leipzig.
- Aubenque, P. 1991. Une occasion manquée. In *Études sur le Sophiste de Platon*, edited by P. Aubenque. Napoli, 365-385

- Aubenque, P. 1991. *Études sur le Sophiste de Platon*. Napoli.
- Aubenque, P. 2009. *Problèmes Aristotéliens: Philosophie Théorique*. Paris.
- Badham, C. 1865. *Platonis Euthydemus et Lache*. London.
- Baltzly, D. 2017. 'The Skopos Assumption: Its Justification and Function in the Neoplatonic Commentaries on Plato'. *The International Journal of the Platonic Tradition* 11: 173–95.
- Barney, R. 2001. *Name and Nature in Plato's Cratylus*. New York.
- Bechtle, G. 2002. 'Dihairesis, Definition, Analysis, Synthesis: Betrachtungen zu Jamblichs Skopos-Lehre und zur Interpretation des platonischen *Sophistes* (253d1–e5)'. *Wiener Studien* 115: 175–218.
- Beere, J. 2009. *Doing and Being: An Interpretation of Aristotle's Metaphysics Theta*. Oxford.
- Beierwaltes, W. 1957. *Lux intelligibilis. Untersuchung zur Lichtmetaphysik der Griechen*. Diss. München.
- Benardete, S. 1963. 'Some Misquotations of Homer in Plato'. *Phronesis* 8 (2): 173–178.
- Benardete, S. 1984. *The Being of the Beautiful: Plato's Theaetetus, Sophist, and Statesman*. Chicago.
- Benardete, S. 1993. 'Sophist'. *The Review of Metaphysics* 46, 747–80.
- Benardete, S. 2000. "On Plato's *Sophist*". In *The Argument of the Action: Essays on Greek Poetry and Philosophy*, edited by R. Burger and M. Davis, 323–353. Chicago.
- Benitez, E. 1996. 'Characterisation and Interpretation: The Importance of Drama in Plato's *Sophist*'. *Literature & Aesthetics* 6: 27–39.
- Berthiaume, G. 1982. *Les rôles du mégéiros. Étude sur la boucherie, la cuisine et le sacrifice dans la Grèce ancienne*. Leiden.
- Berger, F. 1965. 'Rest and Motion in the *Sophist*'. *Phronesis* 10: 70–77.
- Bird, A. and E. Tobin. 2016. 'Natural Kinds'. In *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, edited by Edward N. Zalta. Accessed August 9, 2016, URL = <<http://plato.stanford.edu/archives/spr2015/entries/natural-kinds/>>.
- Blackson, T. A. 1991. 'Plato and the Senses of Words'. *Journal of the History of Philosophy* 29: 169–82.
- Blondell, R. 2002. *The Play of Character in Plato's Dialogues*. Cambridge.
- Blondell, R. 2003. 'The Man With No Name. Socrates and the Visitor from Elea'. In *Plato As Author: The Rhetoric of Philosophy*, edited by A. Michelini, 247–66. Leiden.
- Bluck, R. 1957. 'Forms as Standards'. *Phronesis* 2: 155–127.
- Bluck, R. 1975. *Plato's Sophist*. edited by G.C. Neal. Manchester.
- Bonazzi, M. 2022. 'Political, All Too Political. Again on Protagoras' Myth in Its Intellectual Context'. *Polis* 39: 425–45.
- Bondeson, W. B. 1976. 'Some Problems about Being and Predication in Plato's *Sophist* 242–249'. *Journal of the History of Philosophy* 14 (1):1–10.
- Bossi, B. 2005. 'Is Socrates Really Defending Conceptualism in *Parmenides* 132b3–d4?'. In *Plato's Parmenides: Proceedings of the Fourth Symposium Platonicum Pragense*, edited by Ales Havlíček, 58–74. Prague.

- Bossi, B. 2013. 'Back to the Point: Plato and Parmenides – Genuine Parricide?'. In *Plato's Sophist Revisited*, edited by B. Bossi. and T. Robinson, 157-74. Berlin.
- Bossi, B. and Robinson T., eds. 2013. *Plato's 'Sophist' Revisited*. Berlin.
- Bostock, D. 1984. 'Plato on "Is Not"'. *Oxford Studies in Ancient Philosophy* 2: 89–119.
- Bradley, F. H. 1916. *Appearance and Reality. A Metaphysical Essay*. London.
- Braga da Silva, A. L. 2017. *Plato, the Good, and the fragility of human raft*. São Paulo.
- Braga da Silva, A. L. 2022. 'The "Ideas as Thoughts" Hypothesis of Parmenides 132b-c: A Historical Approach'. In *Plato's Parmenides*, edited by L. Brisson, A. Macé and O. Renaut, 59–66. Baden-Baden.
- Brancacci, A. 1990. *Oikeios logos. La filosofia del linguaggio di Antistene*. Napoli.
- Brancacci, A. 1993. 'Antisthène et la tradition antiplatonicienne au IV siècle'. In *Contre Platon I. Le platonisme dévoilé*, edited by M. Dixsaut, 31–53. Paris.
- Brancacci, A. 1999. 'Eutidemo e Dionisodoro, gli opsimateis del Sophista e un passo dell' Eutidemo'. *Elenchos* 20: 381-96.
- Brancacci, A. 2005. 'Episteme and Phronesis in Antisthenes'. *Méthexis* 18: 7-28.
- Brann, E., P. Kalkavage, and E. Salem, trans. 1996. *Plato: Sophist or The Professor of Wisdom*. Newburyport, MA.
- Bremer, D. 1973. 'Hinweise zum griechischen Ursprung und zur europäischen Geschichte der Lichtmetaphysik'. *Archiv für Begriffsgeschichte* 17: 7–35.
- Bremond, M. 2019. 'Melissos, Gorgias et Platon dans la première hypothèse du Parménide'. *Revue de Philosophie Ancienne* 37-1: 61–99.
- Brisson, L. 1982. *Platon, Les mots et les mythes*. Paris.
- Brisson, L. 1994. *Platon, Parménide, traduction inédite, introduction et notes*. Paris.
- Brisson, L. 2008. 'La définition de l'être par la puissance: un commentaire de *Sophiste* 247B-249D'. In *Dunamis: autour de la puissance chez Aristote*, edited by M. Crubellier, A. Jaulin, D. Lefebvre, and P.-M. Morel, 172–187. Louvain la neuve.
- Brisson, L. 2016. 'La notion de *phthónos* chez Platon'. In *Lectures de Platon*, L. Brisson, 219–234. Paris.
- Brisson, L. and O. Renaut. 2017. *Érotique et politique chez Platon. Erôs, genre et sexualité dans la cité platonicienne*. Sankt Augustin.
- Broadie, S. 2021. *Plato's Sun-Like Good. Dialectic in the Republic*. Cambridge.
- Brown, L. 1986. 'Being in the Sophist: A Syntactical Enquiry'. *Oxford Studies in Ancient Philosophy* 4: 49-70. Reprinted in *Plato I: Metaphysics and Epistemology*, ed. G. Fine, 455-478. 1999.
- Brown, L. 1994. 'The verb "to be" in greek philosophy'. In: Everson, S. (ed.). *Language*. Cambridge.
- Brown, L. 1998. 'Innovation and Continuity: The Battle of Gods and Giants', *Sophist* 245-249'. In *Method in Ancient Philosophy*, edited by J. Gentzler, 181–207. Oxford.
- Brown, L. 2008, 2019. 'The Sophist on Statements, Predication and Falsehood'. In *The Oxford Handbook of Plato*, edited by G. Fine, 437-62. Updated version in 2019, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition, 309-336.
- Brown, L. 2010. 'Definition and Division in Plato's Sophist'. In *Definition in Greek Philosophy*, edited by D. Charles, 151-171. Oxford.

## References

- Brown, L. 2012. 'Negation and Not-Being: Dark Matter in the *Sophist*'. *Presocratics & Plato*, edited by R. Patterson, V. Karasmanis, and A. Hermann, 233-54. Las Vegas.
- Brown, L. 2018. 'Aporia in Plato's *Theaetetus* and *Sophist*'. In *The Aporetic Tradition in Ancient Philosophy*, edited by G. Karamanolis and V. Politis, 91-111. Cambridge.
- Brunschwig, J. 2000. 'Metaphysics  $\Lambda$  9'. In *Aristotle's Metaphysics  $\Lambda$ , Symposium Aristotelicum*, edited by D. Charles and M. Frede, 275-306. Oxford.
- Blondell, R. 2002. *The Play of Character in Plato's Dialogues*. Cambridge.
- Bluck, R. 1975. *Plato's Sophist. A commentary*. Edited by G. C. Neal. Manchester.
- Buchheim, T. 2013. 'Megista genê und Weisen der Gemeinschaft in Platons *Sophistes* (249d-259e)'. *Zeitschrift für philosophische Forschung* 67 (4): 538-65.
- Buckels, C. 2016. 'The Ontology of the Secret Doctrine in Plato's *Theaetetus*'. *Phronesis* 61: 243-59.
- Burkert, W. 1962. 'Τόης. Zum griechischen 'Schamanismus''. *Rheinisches Museum für Philologie*, 105: 36-55.
- Burnet, J., ed. 1900. *Platonis Opera*, Vol. 1. Oxford.
- Burnet, J. 1914. *Greek Philosophy, I, Thales to Plato*. London.
- Burnyeat, M. 1990. *The Theaetetus of Plato, with a translation of Plato's Theaetetus by M. J. Levet*. Indianapolis.
- Burnyeat, M. 1997. 'First Words: A Valedictory Lecture', *Proceedings of the Cambridge Philological Society* 43: 1-20.
- Burnyeat, M. F. 2003. 'Socrates, Money and the Grammar of GIGNESTHAI'. *Journal of Hellenic Studies* 123: 1-25
- Buxton, R. 2013. 'Wolves and Werewolves in Greek Thought'. In *Myths and Tragedies in their Ancient Greek Contexts*, Oxford.
- Capizzi, A. 1955. *Protagora. Le testimonianze e i frammenti*. Firenze.
- Carone, G. R. 2005. *Plato's Cosmology and Its Ethical Dimensions*. Cambridge.
- Carroll, N. 2009. *On Criticism*. London.
- Calzolari, V., Barnes, J. 2009. *L'œuvre de David l'Invincible*, Leiden.
- Campbell, L. 1867. *The Sophistes and Politicus of Plato, with a Revised Text and English Notes*. Oxford.
- Cambiano, G. 1991. *Platone e le tecniche*. Roma.
- Casadesús Bordoy, F. 2013. 'Why is it so Difficult to Catch a Sophist? Pl. *Sph.* 218d3 and 261a5'. In *Plato's Sophist Revisited*, edited by B. Bossi and T. M. Robinson, 15-27. Berlin.
- Casertano, G. 2007. *Paradigmi della verità in Platone*. Roma.
- Cassin, B. 1980. *Si Parménide. Le traité anonyme «De Melisso Xenophane Gorgia»*. Lille. *Cahiers de Philologie* 4.
- Centrone, B. 1998. *Platone. Fedro. Traduzione di Piero Pucci. Introduzione e note di Bruno Centrone*. Roma.
- Centrone, B. 2005. 'L'eidos come holon in Platone e i suoi riflessi in Aristotele'. In *Eidos - Idea. Platone, Aristotele e la tradizione platonica*, edited by F. Fronterotta and W. Leszl, 103-114. Sankt Augustin.



- Centrone, B. 2008. *Platone*. Sofista. Torino.
- Centrone, B. 2009. *Platone. Simposio. Traduzione e commento di Matteo Nucci. Introduzione di Bruno Centrone*. Torino.
- Chantraine, P. 1968-1980. *Dictionnaire étymologique de la langue grecque*, 4 vols. Paris. Reissued in 1999 in one vol.
- Cherniss, H. 1944. *Aristotle's Criticism of Plato and the Academy*. Baltimore.
- Chiaradonna, R. 2008. 'Energeia et Kinêsis chez Plotin et Aristote (Enn. VI 1, [42], 16, 4-19)'. In *Dunamis: autour de la puissance chez Aristote*, 471-91. Louvain-la-Neuve.
- Classen, C.J. 1959. *Sprachliche Deutung als Triebkraft platonischen und sokratischen Philosophierens*. München.
- Classen, C. J. 1960. *Untersuchungen zu Platons Jagdbildern*. Berlin.
- Cohen, S. M. 1973. 'Plato's Method of Division'. In *Patterns in Plato's Thought*, edited by J. M. E. Moravcsik, 181-191. Boston.
- Collobert, C. 2020. *Territoire philosophique, territoire poétique : l'annexion platonicienne*. Grenoble.
- Cooper, J. M., ed. 1997. *Plato, Complete Works*. Indianapolis. 'Sophist', 235-93; 'Theaetetus', 157-234.
- Corcilius, K. 2018. 'Ideal Intellectual Cognition in *Tim.* 37a2-c5', *Oxford Studies in Ancient Philosophy* 54: 51-106.
- Cordero, N.-L. 1991. 'L'invention de l'école éléatique: Platon, *Sophiste*, 242 d'. In *Études sur le Sophiste de Platon*, edited by P. Aubenque & M. Narcy, 91-124. Napoli.
- Cordero, N.-L. 1993. *Platon, Le Sophiste. Traduction inédite, introduction et notes*. Paris.
- Cordero, N.-L. 2007. 'Il faut rétablir la version originale de *Sophiste* 240b7-9'. *Elenchos* 28: 403-13.
- Cordero, N.-L. 2013. 'The relativization of "separation" (*chorismos*) in the *Sophist*'. In *Plato's Sophist Revisited*, edited by B. Bossi and T. Robinson, 187-201.
- Cordero, N.-L. 2014a. *Cuando la realidad palpataba. La concepción dinámica del ser en la filosofía griega*. Buenos Aires.
- Cordero, N.-L. 2014b. *Platón contra Platón. La autocrítica del Parménides y la ontología del Sofista*. Buenos Aires.
- Cordero, N.-L. 2020. 'Parménides y la concepción ante-predicativa de la verdad'. *Revista Archai* 30: 1-21.
- Cornford, F. M. 1935. *Plato's Theory of Knowledge*. London. Reprinted: 1957, 2000, 2010, 2013.
- Cornford, F. M. 1939. *Plato and Parmenides*. London.
- Corradi, M. 2012. *Protagora tra filologia e filosofia. Le testimonianze di Aristotele*. Pisa.
- Corradi, M. 2022. 'Πρὸς τοὺς ἐν τὸ ὄν εἰσάγοντας. Protagora davanti a Parmenide e Zenone'. In *Le vie dell'essere. Studi sulla ricezione antica di Parmenide*, a cura di Enrico Volpe, 87-108. Baden-Baden.
- Corradi, M. 2023. 'Protagoras on Being: Between ὀρθοέπεια and the Eleatic Legacy'. *Rhizomata* 11: 189-207.
- Coulter, J. A. 1976. *The Literary Microcosm. Theories of Interpretation of the Later Neoplatonists*. Leiden.

- Crivelli, P. 2012. *Plato's Account of Falsehood: A Study of the Sophist*. Cambridge.
- Crivelli, P. 2019. 'Plato's Philosophy of Language'. In *The Oxford Handbook of Plato*, edited by G. Fine, 481-505. Oxford.
- Alessandro and Carmen Cusinato, G. 2023. *Periagoge: Theory of Singularity and Philosophy as an Exercise of Transformation*, trans. R. Shibuya and K. Whittle, Leiden
- da Motta, G. D. 2019. 'What Beauty is Socrates Seeking by Chasing Handsome Youths?' In *Looking at Beauty to Kalon in Western Greece*, edited by H. L. Reid and T. Leyh, 149-160. Sioux City, Iowa.
- Delcomminette, S. 2014. 'Odysseus and the Home of the Stranger from Elea'. *Classical Quarterly* 64: 533-541.
- Denniston, J. D. 1952. *Greek Prose Style*. Oxford.
- Derbolav, J. 1972. *Platons Sprachphilosophie im Kratylos und in den späteren Schriften*. Darmstadt.
- de Rijk, L. M. 1986. *Plato's Sophist: A Philosophical Commentary*. Amsterdam.
- de Romilly, J. 1975. *Magic and Rhetoric in Ancient Greece*. Cambridge, Mass.
- Derrida, J. 1972. *La dissémination*. Paris.
- Detel, W. 1972. *Platons Beschreibung des falschen Satzes im Theätet und Sophistes*. Göttingen.
- Detienne, M., and J.-P. Vernant. 1989. *The Cuisine of Sacrifice among the Greeks*. Translated by Paula Wissing. Chicago.
- Deuschle, J. 1857. *Platons Werke*. Stuttgart.
- Deussen, P. 1869. Bonn: Adolphus Marcus.
- Diès, A. 1909. *La définition de l'être et la nature des Idées dans le Sophiste de Platon*. Paris.
- Diès, A. 1923. *Platon. Œuvres complètes*, t. VIII, 1<sup>ère</sup> partie, *Parménide* ; 1925. 3<sup>ème</sup> Partie, *Le Sophiste*. Paris.
- Dillon, J. 1973. *Iamblichus Chalcidensis in Platonis Dialogos Commentariorum Fragmenta*. Leiden.
- Dinan, M. 2013. 'Of Wolves and Dogs: The Eleatic Stranger's Socratic Turn in the *Sophist*'. In *Socratic Philosophy and Its Others*, edited by C. Dunn and D. Schaeffer, 115-139. Lanham, Md.
- Dixsaut, M. 1997. 'What is it Plato Calls "Thinking"?' In *Proceedings of the Boston Area Colloquium in Ancient Philosophy* 13: 1-27. Leiden.
- Dixsaut, M. 2000. *Platon et la question de la pensée*. 'Qu'appelle-t-on penser ? Du dialogue intérieur de l'âme selon Platon', 45-70; 'L'analogie intenable: le Soleil et le Bien', 121-51; 'Platon, Parménide et le logos', 175-223. Paris.
- Dixsaut, M. 2001. *Le Naturel philosophe : Essai sur les dialogues de Platon, 3e édition, revue et corrigée*. Paris.
- Dixsaut, M. 2001. *Métamorphoses de la dialectique dans les dialogues de Platon*. Paris.
- Dixsaut, M. 2006. 'Platon et ses deux mémoires'. In *Mémoire et souvenir. Six Études sur Platon, Aristote, Hegel et Husserl*, edited by A. Brancacci and G. Gigliotti, 13-45. Napoli.
- Dixsaut, M. 2015. *Platon-Nietzsche: L'autre manière de philosopher*. Paris.
- Dixsaut, M. 2022. *Platon, le Sophiste*. Intro., texte grec, trad. et commentaire. Paris.

- Dixsaut, M., D. El Murr, M.-A. Garavay, A. Hasnaoui, E. Helmer, A. Larivée, A. de la Taille, F. Tesseirenc. 2018. *Platon. Le Politique. Introduction, traduction (texte grec vis à vis) et commentaire*. Paris.
- Dominick, Y.H. 2018, 'The image of the noble sophist'. *Epoche* 22: 203-220.
- Dorion, L.-A. 1990. 'La subversion de l'"elenchos" juridique dans l'"Apologie de Socrate"'. *Revue philosophique de Louvain* 88: 311-43.
- Dorion, L.-A. 2011. *Xénophon. Memorables. Livre IV*, Paris.
- Dorion, L.-A. 2012. 'Aristotle's definition of elenchus in the light of Plato's *Sophist*'. In *The Development of Dialectic from Plato to Aristotle*, edited by J. L. Fink, 251-69. Cambridge.
- Dorter, K. 1994. *Forms and Good in Plato's Eleatic Dialogues: The Parmenides, Theaetetus, Sophist, and Statesman*. Berkeley.
- Dorion, Louis-André. 2016. 'La critique de l'*elenchos* socratique dans *La République* (VII 537D-539D)'. In *Logique et dialectique dans l'antiquité*, ed. by J.-B. Gourinat and J. Lemaire, 43-66. Paris.
- Dover, K. J. 1978. *Greek Homosexuality*. London.
- Duerlinger, J. 2009. *A Translation of Plato's Sophist with an Introductory Commentary..* New York.
- Duke, E. A., W. F. Hicken, W. S. M. Nicoll, D. B. Robinson, and J. C. D. Strachan, eds. 1995. *Platonis Opera*, Vol. 1. Oxford.
- Duncombe, M. 2020. *Ancient Relativity: Plato, Aristotle, Stoics, and Sceptics*. Oxford.
- Ebert, T. 2004. *Platon. Phaidon*. Göttingen.
- Eckroth, G. 2007. 'Meat in Ancient Greece: Sacrificial, Sacred or Secular?' *Food & History* 5: 249-72.
- El Murr, D. 2016. 'Logique ou dialectique? La puissance normative de la division platonicienne'. In *Logique et dialectique dans l'antiquité*, edited by J.-B. Gourinat et J. Lemaire, 107-133. Paris.
- Else, G. F. 1972. *The structure and date of Book X of Plato's Republic*. Heidelberg.
- van Emde Boas, E., A. Rijksbaron, L. Huitink, and M. de Bakker. 2019. *The Cambridge Grammar of Classical Greek*. Cambridge.
- Enache, C. 2008. 'Der unsichtbare Totengott. Platons Namendeutung des Hades im Phaidon (80d-81c) und im Kratylos (403a-404b)'. *Rheinisches Museum für Philologie* 151: 61-82.
- Erler, M. 1987. *Der Sinn der Aporien in den Dialogen Platons*. Berlin.
- Erler, M. 2003. 'To Hear the Right Thing and to Miss the Point: Plato's Implicit Poetics'. In *Plato as Author: The Rhetoric of Philosophy*, edited by A. N. Michelini, 153-73. Leiden.
- Esses, D. 2019. 'Philosophic Appearance and Sophistic Essence in Plato's *Sophist*: A New Reading of the Definitions', *Ancient Philosophy* 39: 295-317.
- Fait, P. 2007. *Aristotele. Le confutazioni Sofistiche*. Roma.
- Fait, P. 2021, 'Protagoras' Attack on Wrestling and the Other Arts: The Cradle of Antilogic and Eristic'. In *L'eristique: définitions, caractérisations et historicité*, textes réunis et édités par Sylvain Delcomminette et Geneviève Lachance, 47-81. Bruxelles.

- Ferber, R. 1989. *Platos Idee des Guten, Zweite, durchgesehene und erweiterte Auflage*. St. Augustin.
- Ferber, R. 2020a. *Platonische Aufsätze*. Berlin.
- Ferber, R. 2020b. “Auf diese Weise nun gebe ich selbst meine Stimme ab” – Einige Bemerkungen zu Platons später Ideenlehre unter besonderer Berücksichtigung des *Timaios*. *Gymnasium: Zeitschrift für Kultur der Antike und Humanistische Bildung* 105: 419–44. Reprinted with modifications in R. Ferber. 2020. *Platonische Aufsätze*, 219–37. Berlin.
- Ferber R. 2021. “Second Sailing towards Immortality and God: On Plato’s *Phaedo*, 99e4-100a3, with an Outlook on Descartes’ *Meditations*, AT VII, 67.” *Mnemosyne*, 74: 371-400
- Ferrari, F. 2000. ‘Teoria delle idee e ontologia’. In *Platone. La Repubblica*. Traduzione e commento a cura di M. Vegetti. Vol. IV. Libro V, 365–91. Napoli.
- Ferrari, F. 2003. ‘L’idea del bene: collocazione ontologica e funzioni causale’. In: *Platone. La Repubblica*. Trad. e comm. a cura di M. Vegetti. Vol. V. Napoli, 289-325.
- Ferrari, F. 2013. ‘L’interpretazione del *Teeteto* e la natura della epistemologia platonica. Alcune osservazioni’. *Elenchos* 34: 399–422.
- Ferrari, F. 2020. ‘Die ‚Seele‘ des Seienden bei Platon *Sophistes* 248e–249a und *Timaios* 30a–31c’. In *Anima Mundi: On the Origins and Fortune of a fundamental idea*, edited by C. Helmig, 77–90. Berlin.
- Ferrari, F. 2022. ‘La maschera di Parmenide: riduzionismo ed equiparazionismo nella prima parte del *Parmenide* di Platone’. *Philologia Philosophica* 1: 63–89.
- Ferrari, F. 2023. ‘Al di là dei nomi e del pensiero: Platone di fronte all’indicibile e all’ineffabile’. *Eranos Yearbook* 75 [2019–2020–2021], 37–83. Einsiedeln.
- Ferrari, J. 2007. ‘The Three-Part Soul’. In *The Cambridge Companion to Plato’s Republic*, edited by J. Ferrari, 165-201. Cambridge.
- Ferro, F. 2022. ‘The Eleatic Palamedes: Zeno’s Defence of the Eleatic Doctrine of the One-all in the *Phaedrus*’. *Méthexis* 34: 1–23.
- Foucault, M. 1966. *Les Mots et les Choses, Une archéologie des sciences humaines*. Paris.
- Fournier, H. 1946. *Les Verbes “dire” en grec ancien*. Paris.
- Fowler, H. N., trans. 2006. *Plato: In Twelve Volumes. 7: Theaetetus. Sophist*. Reprinted. The Loeb Classical Library 123. Cambridge, Mass.
- Frances, B. 1996. ‘Plato’s Response to the Third Man Argument in the Paradoxical Exercise of the *Parmenides*’, *Ancient Philosophy* 16: 47–64.
- Fraser, C. 2020. ‘Paradoxes in the School of Names’. In *Dao Companion to Chinese Philosophy of Logic*, edited by Yiu-ming Fung, 285-307. Cham.
- Frede, M. 1962. ‘Bemerkungen zum Text der Aporienpassage in Platon’s “*Sophistes*”’. *Phronesis* 7: 132-36.
- Frede, M. 1967. *Prädikation und Existenzaussage*. Göttingen.
- Frede, M., Brunschwig, J. 1989. ‘Les Origines de la Notion de Cause’. *Revue de Métaphysique et de Morale* 4, 483-511.
- Frede, M. 1992. ‘Plato’s *Sophist* on False Statements’. In *The Cambridge Companion to Plato*, edited by Richard Kraut, 397–424. Cambridge.
- Frede, M. 1996a. ‘Die Frage nach dem Seienden: *Sophistes*’. In *Platon*, edited by T. Kobusch and B. Mojsisch, 181-99. Darmstadt.

- Frede, M. 1996b. 'The Literary Form of the *Sophist*'. In *Form and Argument in Late Plato*, edited by C. Gill and M. M. McCabe, 135-51. Oxford.
- Frede, D. 2005. *Platons Phaidon: Der Traum von der Unsterblichkeit der Seele*. Darmstadt.
- Frege, G. 1884. *Die Grundlange der Arithmetik: Eine logisch-mathematische Untersuchung überden Begriff der Zahl*. Breslau.
- Frege, G. 1892a. 'Über Begriff und Gegenstand', *Vierteljahrsschrift für wissenschaftliche Philosophie* 16: 192-205.
- Frege, G. 1892b. 'Über Sinn und Bedeutung'. *Zeitschrift für Philosophie und philosophische Kritik* 100: 23–50. Quoted from G. Frege. 1967. *Kleine Schriften*, edited by I. Angelelli, Hildesheim, 43–162. Beany, M., ed. 1997. 'On *Sinn* and *Bedeutung*'. In *The Frege Reader*, trans. By M. Black, 151-71. Oxford.
- Frege, G. 1918–19, 'Die Verneinung: Eine logische Untersuchung', *Beiträge zur Philosophie des deutschen Idealismus* 1: 143–57.
- Friedländer, P. 1975. *Platon*. III. Berlin-New York.
- Fronterotta, F. 1995. 'L'être et la participation de l'autre: une nouvelle ontologie dans le *Sophiste*'. *Les Études philosophiques* 3: 311-353.
- Fronterotta, F. 2007. *Platone: Sofista. Introduzione, traduzione e note*. Milano.
- Fronterotta, F. 2008. 'La Notion de Δύναμις Dans Le Sophiste'. In *Dunamis: Autour de La Puissance Chez Aristote*, edited by M. Crubellier, A. Jaulin, D. Lefebvre, and P.-M. Morel, 187–224. Louvain-la-Neuve.
- Fronterotta, F. 2013. 'Theaetetus Sits –Theaetetus Flies: Ontology, predication and truth in Plato's *Sophist*'. In *Plato's Sophist Revisited*, edited by B. Bossi and T. M. Robinson, 204–24.
- Fronterotta, F. 2018. "‘Movimento, Vita, Anima e Intelligenza’". La ΣΕΜΝΟΤΗΣ deo ΠΑΝΤΕΛΩΣ ON Nel *Sofista* Platonico'. *Antiquorum Philosophia* 12: 27-36.
- Fung, Y.-M. 2009. 'The School of Names'. In *History of Chinese Philosophy*, edited by Bo Mou, 164-188. London.
- Gallop, D. 1975. *Plato. Phaedo*. Oxford.
- Gallop, D. 1984. *Fragments. Parmenides of Elea: a text and translation with an introduction*. Toronto
- Garfield, J. L. & Priest, G. 2021. 'Knots in the Dao'. In *What Can't Be Said: Paradox and Contradiction in East Asian Thought*, edited by Y. Degushi, J. L. Garfield, G. Priest and R. H. Sharf, 13-41. Oxford.
- Gavray, M.-A. 2021, 'Protagoras, la lutte et les *Discours terrassants*'. In *L'éristique: définitions, caractérisations et historicité*, textes réunis et édités par Sylvain Delcomminette et Geneviève Lachance, 23-46. Bruxelles.
- Ge, T. 2019. 'The Status of Xenocrates in the History of the Text of Plato's Corpus Reconsidered'. *Phoenix* 73, 372-87.
- Gerson, L. (ed.) 2010. *The Cambridge History of Philosophy in Late Antiquity*. Cambridge.
- Gerson, L. 2022. 'Review of Broadie' 2021. In *Ancient Philosophy* 42 (1): 307-11.
- Gertz, S. 2010. 'Do Plato and Aristotle Agree on Self-Motion in Souls?' In *Conversations Platonic and Neoplatonic: Intellect, Soul and Nature*, edited by J. F. Finamore and R. M. Berchman, 73-87. Sankt Augustin.

- Giannantoni, G. 1990. *Socratis et Socraticorum Reliquae*. Napoli.
- Giannopoulou, Z. 2022. 'Socratic Midwifery and Noble Sophistry: An Intertextual Reading'. In *New Explorations in Plato's Theaetetus: Belief, Knowledge, Ontology, Reception*, edited by D. Zucca, 163-176. Leiden.
- Gill, M. L. and P. Ryan. 1996. *Plato*, Parmenides. Indianapolis.
- Gill, M. L. 2002. 'Plato on Being a Not-Being: The Text of *Parmenides* 162a-b', In *Noctes Atticae*, edited by B. Amden, P. Flensted-Jensen, T. H. Nielsen, A. Schwartz, and C. G. Tortzen, 121-29. Copenhagen.
- Gill, M. L. 2010. 'Division and Definition in Plato's *Sophist* and *Statesman*'. In *Definition in Greek Philosophy*, edited by D. Charles, 172-200. Oxford.
- Gill, M. L. 2012. *Philosophos: Plato's Missing Dialogue*. Oxford.
- Gill, M. L. 2021. 'Images of Wisdom in the Prologue of Plato's *Sophist*'. *Journal of Greco-Roman Studies* 60: 137-152.
- Gili, L. 2017. 'Plato's *Sophist* 216a3-4'. *Méthexis* 29: 171-73.
- Gómez-Lobo, A. 1977. 'Plato's Description of Dialectic in the *Sophist*, 253d1-e2'. *Phronesis* 22 (1): 29-47
- Gonzalez, F. 2001. 'The Eleatic Stranger: His Master's voice?'. In *Who Speaks for Plato? Studies in Platonic Anonymity*, edited by G. A. Press, 161-181. Lanham, Md.
- Gonzalez, F. 2011. 'Being as Power in Plato's *Sophist* and Beyond.' In *Plato's Sophist: Proceedings of the Seventh Symposium Platonicum Pragense*, edited by A. Havlíček and F. Karfik, 63-95. Prague.
- Gonzalez, F. J. 2019. 'Being as Activity: A Defense of the Importance of *Metaphysics* 1048b18-35 for Aristotle's Ontology'. *Oxford Studies in Ancient Philosophy* 56: 123-91.
- Gonzalez, F. J. 2020. 'Thinking as Conversation in Plato's *Theaetetus*'. In *Plato's Theaetetus Revisited*, edited by B. Bossi and T. M. Robinson, 173-92. Berlin.
- Goodwin, W. W. 1890. *Syntax of the Moods and Tenses of the Greek Verb*. Boston.
- Gordon, J. 2012. *Plato's Erotic World. From Cosmic Origins to Human Death*. Cambridge.
- Grasso, E. 2008. 'Socrate dans le *Sophiste* : Platon, le juge et le prétendant'. In *Socratica 2005 : Studi sulla Letteratura socratica antica*, edited by L. Rossetti, 305-25. Bari.
- Graeser, A. 2003. 'Platons Parmenides'. In *Akademie der Wissenschaften und Literatur, Mainz, Abhandlungen der geistes- und sozialwissenschaftlichen Klasse* 3. Stuttgart.
- Granieri, R. 2023. 'Hermodorus of Syracuse and Sextus Empiricus' "Pythagoreans" on Categories and Principles'. *Classical Quarterly* 73: 1-15.
- Grote, G. 1875. *Plato and the Other Companions of Sokrates*. London.
- Grote, G. 1884. *Plato and the Other Companions of Socrates*, vol. III. London.
- Guglielminetti, E. 2012. 'Gemelli diversi : Sulla "piccola differenza" tra il sofista e il filosofo'. *Spazio Filosofico* 4: 115-124.
- Guthrie, W. K. C. 1965. *A History of Greek Philosophy*. Vol. II. *The Presocratic Tradition from Parmenides to Democritus*. Cambridge.
- Guthrie, W. K. C. 1975. *History of Greek Philosophy*. Vol. IV. Cambridge.
- Gutiérrez, R. 2002. "'La Lógica de la Decadencia". En torno a las formas deficientes de gobierno en la República de Platón'. *Estudios de Filosofía* 26: 43-60.

- Gutiérrez, R. 2012. 'The Three Waves of Dialectic in Plato's *Republic*'. In *Plato's Styles and Characters. Between Literature and Philosophy*, edited by G. Cornelli, 12–32. Berlin.
- Gutiérrez, R. 2017. *El arte de la conversión. Un estudio sobre la República de Platón*. Lima.
- Gutiérrez, R. 2022. *Koinōnía y Justicia. De la República al Parménides*. *Areté* 34: 201–213.
- Hackforth, R. 1945. *Plato's Examination of Pleasure*. Cambridge.
- Hadot, P. 1960. 'Être, vie et pensée chez Plotin et avant Plotin'. In *Les Sources des Plotin*, 107–57. Vandoeuvres-Genève.
- Halfwassen, J. 1992. *Der Aufstieg zum Einen. Untersuchungen zu Platon und Plotin*. Stuttgart.
- Halfwassen, J. 2001. 'Monismus und Dualismus in Platons Prinzipienlehre'. In *Platonisches Philosophieren*, edited by T. A. Szlezák, 67–85. Zürich.
- Halper, E. (forthcoming). 'Dynamis and Agency in the *Sophist*'. In *Platonic Power*, edited by C. Araujo.
- Hansen, C. 1983. *Language and Logic in Ancient China*. Ann Arbor.
- Harte, V. 2002. *Plato on Parts and Wholes. The Metaphysics of Structure*. Oxford.
- Haskins, C. E. 1891. 'On Homeric Fishing-Tackle'. *The Journal of Philology* 19: 238–40.
- Heath, M. 1989. 'The Unity of Plato's *Phaedrus*'. *Oxford Studies in Ancient Philosophy* 7: 151–73.
- Heinaman, R. 1981. 'Self-Predication in the *Sophist*'. *Phronesis* 26: 55–66.
- Heinaman, R. 1983a. 'Being in the *Sophist*'. *Archiv für Geschichte der Philosophie* 65: 1–17.
- Heinaman, R. 1983b. 'Communion of Forms'. *Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society* n.s 83: 175–90.
- Heindorf, L.F. 1806, *Platonis dialogi selecti – Cratylus Parmenides Euthydemus*, Berlin.
- Helmig, C. 2007. 'Plato's Arguments against Conceptualism. *Parmenides* 123b3–c11 Reconsidered'. *Elenchos, Rivista di Studi sul Pensiero antico* 28: 303–336.
- Henry, D. 2012. 'A Sharp Eye for Kinds: Plato on Collection and Division'. *Oxford Studies in Ancient Philosophy* 41: 229–55.
- Hermannus, C. 1865. *Platonis Dialogi Secundum Trasylli Tetralogias Dispositi Ex Recognitione Caroli Friderici Hermanni*. Vol. 1. Leipzig.
- Herrmann, F.-G. 1998. 'On Plato's *Sophist* 226B–231B'. *Hermes* 126: 109–117.
- Horky, P. 2022. 'Aristotle's Intermediates and Xenocrates' Mathematics'. *Revue de philosophie ancienne* 40: 79–112.
- Horn, C. 1997. 'Platons *epistêmê–doxa*–Unterscheidung und die Ideetheorie (Buch V 474b–480a und Buch X 595c–597e)'. In: *Platon–Politeia*, edited by Otfried Höffe, 291–312. Berlin.
- Höls, V. 2019. 'The Tübingen School'. In *Brill's Companion to German Platonism*, edited by A. Kim, 328–48. Leiden.
- Howland, J. 1997. *The Paradox of Political Philosophy*. Lanham, Md.
- Ildefonse, F. 1997. *La naissance de la grammaire dans l'antiquité grecque*. Paris.
- Ildefonse, F. and J. Lallot. 2002. *Aristote. Catégories*. Paris.

- Ionescu, C. 2013. 'Dialectic in Plato's *Sophist*: Division and the Communion of Kinds'. *Arethusa* 46 (1): 41-64.
- Irani, T. 2022. 'Perfect Change in Plato's *Sophist*'. *Oxford Studies in Ancient Philosophy* 60: 45-93.
- Irwin, E. 2005. *Solon and Early Greek Poetry*. Cambridge.
- Isaac, D. 2003. *Proclus. Trois études sur la providence. De l'existence du mal (III), traduction*. Paris.
- Jankélévitch, V. 1957. *Le Je-ne-sais-quoi et le presque-rien*. Paris.
- Johnston, M. 2006. 'Hylomorphism'. *Journal of Philosophy* 103: 652-698.
- Jones, R.M. 1916. *The Platonism of Plutarch*, Menasha, Wis. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. 1980. New York.
- Jouanna, J. 1988, *Hippocrates. Des vents – De l'art*. Paris.
- Jürgasch, T. 2013. *Theoria versus Praxis? Zur Entwicklung eines Prinzipienwissens im Bereich der Praxis in Antike und Spätantike*. Berlin.
- Kahn, C. H. 1966. 'The Greek Verb "To Be" and the Concept of Being'. *Foundations of Language* 2 (3): 245-65.
- Kahn, C. H. 1981. 'Some Philosophical Uses of "To Be" in Plato'. *Phronesis* 26: 105-34.
- Kahn, C. H. 1988. 'Being in Parmenides and Plato'. *La parola del passato* 43: 237-61.
- Kahn, C. H. 1996. *Plato and the Socratic Dialogue: The Philosophical Use of a Literary Form*. Cambridge.
- Kahn, C. H. 2013. *Plato and the Post-Socratic Dialogues: The Return to the Philosophy of Nature*. Cambridge.
- Karfik, F. 2011. 'Pantelôs on and megista genê (Plato, *Soph.* 242c-259b)'. In *Plato's Sophist: Proceedings of the Seventh Symposium Platonicum Pragense*, edited by A. Havlíček and F. Karfik, 120-145. Prague.
- Kerferd, G. B. 1954. 'Plato's Noble Art of Sophistry'. *Classical Quarterly* 4: 84-90.
- Keyt, D. 1969. 'Plato's Paradox That the Immutable Is Unknowable'. *Philosophical Quarterly* 19: 1-14.
- Keyt, D. 1973. 'Plato on falsity : *Sph.* 263b'. In *Exegesis and Argument, Studies in Greek Philosophy presented to G. Vlastos*, edited by E. N. Lee, A. P. D. Mourelatos, and R. M. Rorty. *Phronesis* suppl. vol. 1, 285-305. Assen.
- Kohnke, F. W. 1957. 'Plato's conception of τὸ οὐκ ὄντως οὐκ ὄν'. *Phronesis* 2: 32-40.
- Kolb, P. 1997. *Platon's Sophistes: Theorie des Logos und Dialektik*. Würzburg.
- Konstantakos, I. M. 2017. 'Aristophanic Shape-Shifters: Myth, Fairytale, Satire'. *Λογείον/Logeion* 7: 108-44.
- Kostman, J. 1989. 'The Ambiguity of "Partaking" in Plato's *Sophist*'. *Journal of the History of Philosophy* 27: 343-63.
- Krämer, H.-J. 1959. *Arete bei Platon und Aristoteles*, Heidelberg.
- Krämer, H.J. 1964. *Der Ursprung der Geistmetaphysik: Untersuchungen zur Geschichte des Platonismus zwischen Platon und Plotin*. Amsterdam.
- Krämer, H. J. 1969. 'Epekeina tês ousías'. *Archiv für Geschichte der Philosophie* 51, 1-30.



- Kraus, M. 2018. 'Δοκεῖν, δόξα and εἰκός in the *Phaedo*'. In *Plato's Phaedo. Selected Papers from the Eleventh Symposium Platonicum*, edited by G. Cornelli, T. M. Robinson, F. Bravo, 268–72. Sankt Augustin.
- Künne, W. 2004. 'Die ‚Gigantomachie‘ in Platons *Sophistes*: Versuch Einer Analytischen Rekonstruktion'. *Archiv für Geschichte der Philosophie* 86 (3): 307–21.
- Labarbe, J. 1949. *L'Homme de Platon*. Liège.
- Laks, A. 2000. 'Metaphysics Λ 7'. In *Aristotle's Metaphysics Lambda. Symposium Aristotelicum*, edited by M. Frede and D. Charles, 207–44. Oxford.
- Lane, M. 2021. 'Statecraft as a Ruling, Caring, and Weaving *dunamis*: 303d4–305e7'. In *Plato's Statesman: A Philosophical Discussion*, edited by P. Dimas, M. Lane, S. Sauvé Meyer, 195–216. Oxford.
- Langbein, W. 1911. *De Platonis ratione poetas laudandi*. Jena.
- Larsen, K. 2007. 'The Soul of Sophistry: Plato's *Sophist* 226a9–231b9 revisited'. *Filosofiske Studier* 102: 1–14.
- Larsen, J. K., V. V. Haraldsen, and J. Vlasits, eds. 2022. *New Perspectives on Platonic Dialectic: A Philosophy of Inquiry*. New York.
- Lefebvre, D. 2018. *Dynamis. Sens et genèse de la notion aristotélicienne de puissance*. Paris.
- Lafrance, Y. 2015. *La théorie platonicienne de la doxa*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Paris.
- Lee, E. N. 1972. 'Plato on Negation and Not-Being in the *Sophist*'. *The Philosophical Review* 81 (3): 267–304.
- Leigh, F. 2008. 'The Copula and Semantic Continuity in Plato's *Sophist*'. *Oxford Studies in Ancient Philosophy* 34, 105–21.
- Leigh, F. 2010. 'Being and Power in Plato's *Sophist*'. *Apeiron* 43: 63–85.
- Leigh, F. 2012. 'Restless Forms and Changeless Causes'. *Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society* 112: 239–261
- Lévystone, D. 2005. 'La figure d'Ulysse chez les Socratiques: Socrate *polutropos*'. *Phronesis* 50 (3): 181–214.
- Lloyd, A. C. 1987. 'Parhypostasis in Proclus'. In *Proclus et son influence. Actes du Colloque de Neuchâtel (1985)*, edited by G. Boss and G. Steel, 145–157. Zürich.
- Long, A. A. 2004. 'Parmenides on Thinking Being'. In *Frühgriechisches Denken*, edited by V. G. Rechenauer, 227–251. Göttingen.
- Long, A. A. 2015. 'Who Let the Dogs Out? Tracking the Philosophical Life Among the Wolves and Dogs of Plato's *Republic*'. In *Plato's Animals: Gadflies, Snakes, Stingrays, Swans, and Other Philosophical Beasts*, edited by Jeremy Bell and Michael Naas. Bloomington, IN.
- Long, A. A. 2020. 'What is the Principle of Movement, the Self-Moved (Plato) or the Unmoved (Aristotle)? The Exegetic Strategies of Hermias of Alexandria and Simplicius in Late Antiquity'. In *Studies in Hermias' Commentary on Plato's Phaedrus*, edited by J. F. Finamore, C.-P. Manolea, and S. K. Wear, 115–141. Leiden.
- Louis, P. 1945. *Les Métaphores de Platon*. Paris.
- Lucas, T. 2005. 'Later Mohist Logic, "Lei", Classes, and Sorts', *Journal of Chinese Philosophy*, 32-3: 349–365.

- Lucas, T. 2012. 'Why White Horses are not Horses and other Chinese Puzzles', *Logique et Analyse* 218: 185-203.
- Luz, M. 2015. 'Socrates, Alcibiades, and Antisthenes in PFlor 113'. In *From the Socratics to the Socratic Schools*", edited by U. Zilioli, 192-210. New York.
- Macé, A. 2006. *Platon, Philosophie de L'Agir et du Pâtir*. Sankt Augustin.
- Magee, J. 1998. *Anicii Manlii Severini Boethii De Divisione Liber*. Leiden.
- Mainoldi, C. 1984. *L'image du loup et du chien dans la Grèce ancienne. D'Homère à Platon*. Paris.
- Malcolm, J. 2006. 'Some cautionary remarks on the "is"/"teaches" analogy'. *Oxford Studies in Ancient Philosophy* 31: 281-296.
- Mann, J. E. 2012, *Hippocrates. On the Art of Medicine*. Leiden.
- Mansfeld, J. 1986. 'Aristotle, Plato and the Preplatonic Doxography and Chronography'. In *Storiografia e dossografia nella filosofia antica*, edited by G. Cambiano, 61-85. Torino.
- Mansfeld, J. 2016. 'Melissus in the ancient tradition, from Isocrates to Simplicius'. In *Eleatica 2012: Melissus between Miletus and Elea*, edited by M. Pulpito, 85-94. Sankt Augustin.
- Marcos, G. 1991. 'Aporías del no ser y aporías de lo falso en *Sofista* 237b-239c'. *Revista Latinoamericana de Filosofía* 27-3: 259-74.
- Marmodoro, A. 2021. *Forms and Structure in Plato's Metaphysics*. Oxford.
- Marsico, C. 2005. Platón, *Crátilo*. Introducción, traducción y notas. Buenos Aires.
- Marsico, C. 2007. *Polémicas y paradigmas en la invención de la gramática*. Córdoba.
- Marsico, C. 2014a. Filósofos Socráticos: *Testimonios y fragmentos II. Antístenes, Fedón, Esquines y Simón*. Buenos Aires.
- Marsico, C. 2014b. 'The methodologic dimension of antisthenic philosophy'. In *Antisthenica, Cynica, Socratica*, edited by V. Suvak, 226-245. Prague.
- Marsico, C. 2024. 'Preeminent in *phronesis*: Xenophon and Aristotle on the Intellectual Virtues'. In *Xenophon and Aristotle on the Virtues*, edited by G. Danzig *et al.*
- Mawford, K. E. 2020. *Changing Shapes and Fluid Forms: Shapeshifters in Greek Poetry*. PhD diss. Univ. of Manchester.
- McCabe, M. M. 2000. *Plato and his Predecessors*. Cambridge.
- McDowell, J. 1973. *Plato, Theaetetus*. Trans. with notes. Oxford.
- Meijer, P. Stork, P. 2017. *A New Perspective on Antisthenes*.
- Meinwald, C. 1991. *Plato's Parmenides*, Oxford.
- Meinwald, C. 1992. 'Good-bye to the Third Man'. In *The Cambridge Companion to Plato*, edited by R. Kraut, 365-96. Cambridge.
- Mesch, W. 2011. 'Die Bewegung des Seienden in Platons *Sophistes*'. In *Plato's Sophist: Proceedings of the Seventh Symposium Platonicum Pragense*, edited by A. Havlíček and F. Karfik, 96-119. Prague.
- Mesquita, P. 2018. 'La Koinōnía en Platón'. *Areté* 30 (2): 209-224.
- Mié, F. 2001. 'Identidad, definición y clases naturales en el método platónico de la división'. *Methexis* 14 (1): 87-99.

- Migliori, M. 1990. *Dialettica e Verità. Commentario filosofico al "Parmenide" di Platone*. Milano.
- Migliori M. 2007. *Plato's Sophist, Value and Limitation on Ontology*, Sankt Augustin.
- Migliori M. 2013. *Il disordine ordinato. La filosofia dialettica di Platone. 2 vols., I. Dialettica, metafisica e cosmologia; II. Dall'anima alla prassi etica e politica*. Brescia.
- Miller, D. 2004. 'Fast and Loose about Being: Criticism of Competing Ontologies in Plato's *Sophist*'. *Ancient Philosophy* 24 (2): 339–63.
- Miller, M. 2016. 'What the Dialectician Discerns: A New Reading of *Sophist* 253d-e'. *Ancient Philosophy* 36 (2): 321-52.
- Molas, J. M. and Villarroel, P. S. 2013. 'Plato's Enquiry Concerning the Sophist as a Way towards "Defining" Philosophy'. In *Plato's Theaetetus Revisited*, edited by B. Bossi and T. M. Robinson, 29-39. Berlin.
- Moore, H. 2015. 'Animal Sacrifice in Plato's Later Methodology'. In *Plato's Animals: Gadflies, Horses, Swans, and Other Philosophical Beasts*, edited by J. Bell and M. Naas, 179-192. Bloomington.
- Moravcsik, J. M. E. 1962. 'Being and Meaning in the *Sophist*'. *Acta Philosophica Fennica* 14: 23–78.
- Moravcsik, J. M. E. 1973. 'Plato's Method of Division'. In *Patterns in Plato's Thought*, edited by J. M. E. Moravcsik, 158-180. Boston.
- Moreschini, C. 1966, *Platonis Parmenides – Phaedrus*, Rome.
- Morgan, M. L. 1993. 'Philosophy in Plato's *Sophist*'. *Proceedings of the Boston Area Colloquium in Ancient Philosophy* 9: 83-111.
- Morrow, G. and J. Dillon. 1987. *Proclus' Commentary on Plato's Parmenides*. Princeton.
- Motta, A. 2014. *Prolegomeni alla filosofia di Platone*. Roma.
- Motta, A. 2018. *λόγους ποιεῖν. L'eredità platonica e il superamento dell'aporia dei dialoghi*. Napoli.
- Motta, A. & Petrucci, F.M. 2022. 'Introduction: Towards the Isagogical Crossroads'. In *Isagogical Crossroads from the Early Imperial Age to the End of Antiquity*, edited by A. Motta and F. M. Petrucci, 1–15. Leiden.
- Mou, B. 2009. 'On some methodological issues concerning Chinese philosophy: an introduction'. In *History of Chinese Philosophy*, edited by B. Mou, 1-39. London.
- Mouze, L. 2020. *Chasse à l'homme et faux-semblants dans le Sophiste de Platon*. Paris.
- Movia, G. 1991. *Apparenze essere e verità. Commentario storico-filosofico al 'Sofista' di Platone*. Milan.
- Müller, H. and Steinhardt K. 1852. *Platon's Sammtliche Werke, Übersetzt von Hieronimus Müller mit Einleitungen Begleitet von Karl Steinhardt*. Vol. 3. Leipzig.
- Muniz F. and Rudebusch G. 2018. 'Dividing Plato's Kinds'. *Phronesis* 63: 392-407.
- Muniz F. and Rudebusch G. 2023. 'Genos and Eidos in Plato'. *Ancient Philosophy*. 43: 35-50
- Murgatroyd, P. 2007. *Mythical Monsters in Classical Literature*. London.
- Murphy, D. J. 2023. 'The Sophist's Puzzling *Epistēmē* in the *Sophist*', *Classical Quarterly* 73 (1): 53-65.
- Murray, A. T. 1924. *The Iliad with English Translation*. Cambridge, Mass.

- Nails, D. 1995. *Agora, Academy and the Conduct of Philosophy*. Boston.
- Narcy, M. 2013a. 'Pourquoi l'erreur?'. In *La mesure du savoir: Études sur le "Théétète" de Platon*, edited by D. El Murr, 95–128. Paris.
- Narcy, M. 2013b. 'Remarks on the First Five Definitions of the Sophist (*Soph.* 221c–235a)'. In *Plato's Sophist Revisited*, edited by B. Bossi and T. M. Robinson, 57–70. Berlin.
- Nehamas, A. 1982. 'Participation and Predication in Plato's Later Thought'. In: *Review of Metaphysics* 36: 343–74.
- Nehamas, A. 1999. 'Eristic, Antilogic, Sophistic, Dialectic: Plato's Demarcation of Philosophy from Sophistry', In Id., *Virtues of Authenticity. Essays on Plato and Socrates*, 108–122. Princeton.
- Notomi, N. 1999. *The Unity of Plato's Sophist: Between the Sophist and the Philosopher*. Cambridge.
- Notomi, N. 2007. 'Plato against Parmenides: *Sophist* 236D - 242B'. In *Reading Ancient Texts. Volume I: Presocratics and Plato. Essays in Honour of Denis O'Brien*, edited by S. Stern-Gillet and K. Corrigan, 167–187. Leiden.
- Notomi, N. 2011. 'Image-Making in *Republic X* and the *Sophist*'. In *Plato and the Poets*, edited by P. Destrée and F.-G. Herrmann, 299–326. Leiden.
- Notomi, N. 2017. 'Reconsidering the Relations between the Statesman, the Philosopher, and the Sophist'. In *Plato's Statesman: Dialectic, Myth, and Politics*, edited by J. Sallis, 183–195. Albany.
- Notomi, N. 2018. 'The Soul and Forms in Plato's *Phaedo*'. In *Plato's Phaedo: Selected Papers from the Eleventh Symposium Platonicum*, edited by G. Cornelli, T. M. Robinson and F. Bravo, 288–293. Sankt Augustin.
- Notomi, N. 2019. 'Imagination for Philosophical Exercise in Plato's *Republic*: The Story of Gyges' Ring and the Simile of the Sun'. In *Psychology and Ontology in Plato*, edited by L. Pitteloud and E. Keeling, 1–13. Cham.
- Notomi, N. 2022. 'Homonymy and Similarity in Plato's *Parmenides*'. In *Plato's Parmenides: Selected Papers of the Twelfth Symposium Platonicum*, edited by L. Brisson, A. Macé, and O. Renaut, 211–219. Sankt Augustin.
- Nussbaum M., ed. 1986. *Logic, Science and Dialectic: Collected Papers in Greek Philosophy*. Itacha, N.Y.
- Oberhammer, A. A. 2016. *Buchstaben als 'Paradigma' in Platons Spätdialogen: Dialektik und Modell im "Theaitetos" 'Sophistes', 'Politikos' und 'Philebos'*. Berlin.
- O'Brien, D. 1995. *Le non-être. Deux études sur le Sophiste de Platon*. Sankt Augustin.
- O'Brien, D. 2000. 'Parmenides and Plato on what is not'. In *The Winged Chariot. Collected Essays on Plato and Platonism in Honour of L.M. de Rijk*, edited by M. Kardaun and J. Spruyt, 19–104. Leiden.
- O'Brien, D. 2005. 'La forma del non essere nel Sofista di Platone'. In *Eidos - Idea. Platone, Aristotele e la tradizione platonica*, edited by W. Leszl and F. Fronterotta, 115–59. Sankt Augustin.
- O'Brien, D. 2007. 'L' hypothèse' de Parménide (Platon, *Parménide* 137 a7–b 4)'. *Revue des Études Grecques* 120 (2): 414–480.

- O'Brien, D. 2011. 'The Stranger's Farewell (258E6-259A1)'. In *Plato's Sophist*, edited by A. Havlíček and F. Karfík, 199-220. Prague.
- O'Brien, D. 2013a. 'Forms and Concepts'. In *Plato, Poet and Philosopher*, edited by E. Moutsopoulos and M. Protopapas-Marneli, 193-244. Athens.
- O'Brien, D. 2013b. 'A Form that 'is' of what 'is not'. Existential *einai* in Plato's Sophist'. In *The Platonic Art of Philosophy*, edited by G. Boys-Stones, D. El-Murr and C. Gill, 221-248. Cambridge.
- O'Brien, D. 2013c. 'Does Plato Refute Parmenides?'. In *Plato's Sophist Revisited*, edited by B. Bossi and T. M. Robinson, 117-155. Berlin.
- O'Brien, D. 2017. 'Plotinus on Evil: Proclus and the Author of the Divine Names'. In *Defining Platonism, Essays in honor of the 75th birthday of John M. Dillon*, edited by J. F. Finamore and S. K. Wear, 130-161. Steubenville, Ohio.
- O'Meara, D. J. 2005. 'The Metaphysics of Evil in Plotinus: Problems and Solutions'. In *Agonistes. Essays in Honour of Denis O'Brien*, edited by J. Dillon and M. Dixsaut, 179-85. London.
- Opsomer, J., Steel, C. 2014. *Proclus. On the Existence of Evils, translation*. London, New York.
- Opsomer, J. 2020. 'The Platonic Soul, from the Early Academy to the First Century CE'. In *Body and Soul in Hellenistic Philosophy*, edited by B. Inwood, and J. Warren, 171-98. Cambridge.
- Oscanyan, F. S. 1972. 'On six definitions of the sophist: *Soph.* 221c- 231e'. *The Philosophical Forum* 4: 241-58.
- Owen, G. E. L. 1960. 'Eleatic Questions'. *The Classical Quarterly* 10: 84-102.
- Owen, G. E. L. 1966. 'Plato and Parmenides on the Timeless Present'. *Monist* 50 (3): 317-40.
- Owen, G. E. L. 1971. 'Plato on Not-Being'. In *Plato 1: Metaphysics and Epistemology*, edited by Gregory Vlastos, 223-67. New York. Reprinted 1971. Garden City, N.Y.
- Owen, G. E. L. 1986. 'Dialectic and Eristic in the treatment of Forms'. In *Logic, Science and Dialectic*, edited by M. Nussbaum. Itacha, N.Y.
- Palumbo, L. 2021. 'Mimêsis teorizzata e mimêsis realizzata nel Sofista platonico'. In *Platonic Mimesis Revisited*, edited by J. Pfefferkorn and A. Spinelli, 193-209. Sankt Augustin.
- Palmer, J. A. 1999. *Plato's Reception of Parmenides*. Oxford.
- Palmer, J. A. 2009. *Parmenides and Presocratic Philosophy*. Oxford.
- Paparella, F. 2014. *Proclo. Tria Opuscola. Provvidenza, Libertà, Male, traduzione*. Milano.
- Parry, R. D. 1996. *Plato's Craft of Justice*. Albany.
- Parry, R. D. 2021. 'Episteme and Techne'. *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy* (Winter 2021 Edition), Edward N. Zalta (ed.), URL = <<https://plato.stanford.edu/archives/win2021/entries/episteme-techne/>>.
- Patterson, R. 1985, *Image and Reality in Plato's Metaphysics*, Indianapolis.
- Patterson, R. 2023. 'εἰκῶν'. *The Bloomsbury Handbook of Plato*, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., edited by G. Press and M. Duque. London.

- Pelletier, F. J. and Zalta, E. N. 2000. 'How to Say Goodbye to the Third Man', *Nous* 34 (2): 165–202.
- Petraki, Z. 2022. 'Alcibiades' epiphanic experience in Plato's *Symposium*'. *Illinois Classical Studies* 47: 45-73.
- Petridou, G. 2015, *Divine Epiphany in Greek Literature & Culture*. Oxford.
- Pfefferkorn, J. & Spinelli, A., eds. 2021. *Platonic Mimesis Revisited*. Sankt Augustin.
- Pippin, R. B. 1979. 'Negation and not-being in Wittgenstein's *Tractatus* and Plato's *Sophist*'. *Kant-Studien* 70-1-4: 179-196.
- Polansky, R. M. 1992. *Philosophy and Knowledge: A Commentary on Plato's Theaetetus*. Lewisburg, Penn.
- Politis, V. 2006a. 'Aporia and Searching in the Early Plato'. In *Remembering Socrates*, edited by L. Judson and V. Karasmanis, 88-109. Oxford.
- Politis, V. 2006b. 'The Argument for the Reality of Change and Changelessness in Plato's *Sophist* (248e7-249d5)'. In *New Essays on Plato*, edited by F. G. Herrmann, 149–75. Swansea.
- Praechter, K. 1910. 'Richtungen und Schulen in Neuplatonismus'. In *Genethliakon Carl Robert*, edited by G. Halensis, 105-56. Berlin.
- Prince, S. 2015. *Antisthenes of Athens: Text, Translations, and Commentary*. Ann Arbor.
- Priou, A. 2013. 'The Philosopher in Plato's *Sophist*'. *Hermathena* 195: 5-30.
- Priou, A. 2023. *Defending Socrates: Political Philosophy Before the Tribunal of Science*. Macon.
- Queneau, R. 1933. *Le Chiendent*. Paris.
- Quine, W.V. 1969. *Ontological Relativity and Other Essays*. New York.
- Remes, P. 2021. 'The *Prooimion* and the *Skopos*. Proclus' *Commentary of the Alcibiades I*'. In *Framing the Dialogues: How to Read Openings and Closures in Plato*, edited by E. Kaklamanou, M. Pavlou, A. Tsakmakis, 263–79. Leiden.
- Renaud, F. 2021. 'Homère interlocuteur ? La citation dialectique chez Platon'. In *Platon citeur: un exemple de réappropriation par la philosophie des discours de savoir antérieurs*, edited by M.-L. Desclos, 79–101. Paris.
- Renaud, F. 2022. *La Justice du dialogue et ses limites : Étude du Gorgias de Platon*. Paris.
- Rickless, S. C. 2017. *Plato's Forms in Transition. A Reading of the Parmenides*. New York.
- Roberts, J. 1986. 'The Problem about Being in the *Sophist*'. *History of Philosophy Quarterly* 3: 229-243.
- Robinson, D. B. 1999. 'Textual Notes on Plato's *Sophist*'. *Classical Quarterly* 49 (1): 139–60.
- Robinson, D. B. 2001. 'The Phantom of the *Sophist*: τὸ οὐκ ὄντως οὐκ ὄν (240a-c)'. *Classical Quarterly* 51: 435-57.
- Robinson, R. 1941. *Plato's Earlier Dialectic*. Ithaca, N.Y. 2nd edition. 1953. Oxford.
- Robinson, R. 1942a. 'Plato's Consciousness of Fallacy'. *Mind* 51: 97-114.
- Robinson, R. 1942b. 'Plato's *Parmenides* II'. *Classical Philology* 37: 159-86.
- Robinson, T. M. 2013, 'Protagoras and the Definition of "Sophist"'. In *Plato's Sophist Revisited*, edited by B. Bossi and T. M. Robinson, 3-13. Berlin.

- Rochol, H. 1971. 'The Dialogue *Parmenides*: An Insoluble Enigma in Platonism'. *International Philosophical Quarterly* 11: 496–520.
- Rosen, S. 1983. *Plato's Sophist: The Drama of Original and Image*. New Haven.
- Ross, D. 1951. *Plato's Theory of Ideas*. Oxford.
- Rowe, C. 1995. *Plato, Statesman, with translation and commentary*. Warminster.
- Rowe, C. 2005. *Plato, Phaedrus, translated with an introduction and notes*. London.
- Rowe, C. 2013. "La fin du *Théétète*." In *La Mesure du savoir, Études sur le Théétète de Platon*, edited by D. El Murr, 173-187. Paris.
- Rowe, C. 2015<sup>1</sup>. *Plato: Theaetetus and Sophist*. Cambridge.
- Rowe, C. 2015<sup>2</sup>. 'Plato, Socrates, and the *genei gennaia sophistikê* of *Sophist* 231b'. In *Second Sailing: Alternative Perspectives on Plato*, edited by D. Nails and H. Tarrant, 149-67. Helsinki.
- Russell, B. 1903. *Principles of Mathematics*. Cambridge.
- Russell, B. 1919. *Introduction to Mathematical Philosophy*. London.
- Russell, B. 1945. *A History of Western Philosophy; And Its Connection with Political and Social Circumstances from the Earliest Times to the Present Day*. New York.
- Ryle, G. 1939. 'Plato's "Parmenides" (II.)'. *Mind* 48: 302-25.
- Ryle, G. 1966. *Plato's Progress*. Cambridge.
- Sabrier, P. 2016. *The Role of Kinêsis and Stasis in Plato's Sophist: An Inquiry into the Two Forgotten Megista Gene of the Sophist*. PhD Dissertation.
- Sabrier, P. 2019. 'Parts, Forms, and Participation in the *Parmenides* and *Sophist*: A Comparison'. *EPlaton* 13
- Santas, G. 1980. The Form of the Good in Plato's Republic. *Philosophical Inquiry* 2 (1).
- Sassi, M. M. 2020. 'The indiscreet charm of brightness: from early Greek thought to Plato'. In *Colour Psychology in the Graeco-Roman World*, edited by K. Ierodiakonou. *Entretiens sur l'antiquité Classique* 66. Vandoeuvres.
- Sayre, K. M. 1969. *Plato's Analytic Method*. Chicago.
- Sayre, K. M. 1983. *Plato's Late Ontology: A Riddle Resolved*. Princeton.
- Schleiermacher, F. 1824. *Platons Werke*. 2nd ed. Vol. 2. Berlin.
- Schnapp, A. 1984. 'Éros en chasse'. In *La Cité des images : religion et société en Grèce antique*, edited by Institut d'archéologie et d'histoire ancienne (Lausanne) and Centre Louis Gernet (Paris), 67–83. Lausanne.
- Schnapp, A. 1997. *Le chasseur et la cité. Chasse et érotique dans la Grèce ancienne*. Paris.
- Schofield, M. 1974. 'Plato on Unity and Sameness'. *Classical Quarterly* 24: 33-45.
- Schofield, M. 1996. 'Likeness and Likenesses in the *Parmenides*', In *Form and Argument in Late Plato*, edited by C. Gill and M. M. McCabe, 49-77. Oxford.
- Schwabe, W. 2001. 'Der Geistcharakter des "überhimmschlichen Raumes"'. In *Platonisches Philosophieren. Zehn Vorträge zu Ehren von Hans Joachim Krämer*, edited by T. A. Szlezák, 181–331. Hildesheim.
- Scolnicov, S. 2003. *Plato's Parmenides*. Berkeley.
- Scott, D., 1995, *Recollection and Experience*. Cambridge.
- Sedley, D. 1998. 'Platonic Causes'. *Phronesis* 43: 114-132.

- Sedley, D. 2006. 'Plato on Language'. In *A Companion to Plato*, edited by H. Benson, 214-27. Oxford.
- Sedley, D. 2021a. 'Xenocrates' Invention of Platonism'. In *Authority and Authoritative Texts in the Platonist Tradition*, edited by M. Erler, J. E. Heßler, and F. M. Petrucci, 12-37. Cambridge.
- Sedley, D. 2021b. 'An Iconography of Xenocrates' Platonism'. In *Authority and Authoritative Texts in the Platonist Tradition*, edited by M. Erler, J. E. Heßler, F. M. Petrucci, 38-63. Cambridge.
- Seeck, G. A. 2011. *Platons Sophistes. Ein kritischer Kommentar*. München.
- Shorey, P. 1891. 'On Parmenides 162 A. B.'. *American Journal of Philology* 12: 349-53.
- Shorey, P. 1895. *The Idea of Good in Plato's Republic*. Chicago.
- Shorey, P. 1900. 'Review of: A. Patin, *Parmenides im Kampf gegen Heraklit*, Leipzig 1899'. *American Journal of Philology* 1: 200-216.
- Shorey, P. 1930. 'Plato Sophist 255C and τὸ δισσοῦν'. *Classical Philology* 25: 80.
- Sidgwick, H. 1872. 'The Sophists'. *Journal of Philology* 4: 228-307.
- Sidgwick, H. 1873. 'The Sophists'. *Journal of Philology* 5: 66-68.
- Skemp, J. B. 1963. 'Sophist'. In *The Collected Dialogues of Plato*, edited by E. Hamilton and H. Cairns. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Skemp, J. B. 1967. *The Theory of Motion in Plato's Later Dialogues*. Amsterdam.
- Smyth, H.W. 1956, *Greek Grammar*, rev. by G.M. Messing. 1984. Cambridge, Mass.
- Speliotis, E. 2013. 'Sophist and Philosopher in Plato's *Sophist*'. In *Socratic Philosophy and its Others*, edited by C. Dunn and D. Schaeffer, 197-215. Lanham, Md.
- Stallbaum, G. 1839. *Platonis Parmenides*, Leipzig.
- Stallbaum, G. 1840. *Platonis Opera Omnia Vol. Viii, Sect. Ii Continens Sophistam*. Vol. viii, sect. ii. Gotha.
- Starobinski, J. 2000. 'Jalons pour une histoire du concept d'imagination'. In *L'Œil Vivant II: La Relation critique*. Paris.
- Steel, C. 1998. 'Proclus. On the Existence of Evil'. *Proceedings of the Boston Area Colloquium in Ancient Philosophy* 14: 83-102.
- Stenzel, J. 1931. *Studien zur Entwicklung der platonischen Dialektik von Sokrates zu Aristoteles*. Leipzig.
- Stenzel, J. 1940. *Plato's Method of Dialectic*, translated and edited by D. J. Allan. Oxford.
- Stewart, M. A. and R. K. Sprague. 1977. 'Plato's Sophistry'. *Aristotelian Society Supplementary Volume* 51: 21-62.
- Stover, J. 2016. *A New Work by Apuleius: The Lost Third Book of the De Platone*. Oxford.
- Strobel, B. 2014. *Proklos. Tria opuscula, Textkritisch kommentierte Retroversion der Übersetzung Wilhhelms von Meorbeke*. Berlin.
- Strobel, B. 2021. 'Bild und falsche Meinung in Platons *Sophistes*'. In *Platonic Mimesis Revisited*, edited by J. Pfefferkorn and A. Spinelli, 249-273. Sankt Augustin.
- Suter, R., L. Indraccolo, and W. Behr., eds. 2020. *The Gongsun Longzi and Other Neglected Texts. Aligning Philosophical and Philological Perspectives*. Berlin.
- Syropoulos, S. 2018. *A Bestiary of Monsters in Greek Mythology*. Oxford.



- Szaif, J. 1996. *Platons Begriff der Wahrheit*. Freiburg.
- Szaif, J. 2000. 'Platon über Wahrheit und Kohärenz'. *Archiv für Geschichte der Philosophie* 82: 119-148.
- Szaif, J. 2018. 'Socrates and Benefits of Puzzlement'. In *The Aporetic Tradition in Ancient Philosophy*, ed. by G. Karamanolis and V. Politis, 29-47. Cambridge.
- Szaif, J. 2022. 'Aporetic Discourse and Protreptic in Plato's *Lysis*'. *Revista Archai* 32, supplementum: *Studies on Plato's Lysis*. <https://impactumjournals.uc.pt/archai/issue/view/754>
- Szlezák, T. A. 1989. *Platon und die Schriftlichkeit der Philosophie*. Berlin.
- Szlezák, T.A. 2004. *Das Bild des Dialektikers in Platons späten Dialogen*. Berlin/New York: De Gruyter.
- Szlezak, T. A. 2011. 'Die Aufgabe des Gastes aus Elea: Zur Bedeutung der Eingangsszene des *Sophistes* (216a-218a)'. In *Plato's Sophist: Proceedings of the Seventh Symposium Platonicum Pragense*, edited by A. Havlíček and F. Karfik, 11-34. Prague.
- Szlezák, T. A. 2019 'The Indefinite Dyad in Sextus Empiricus's Report and Plato's *Parmenides*'. In: *Aufsätze zur griechischen Literatur und Philosophie*, 601-614. Baden Baden..
- Szlezák, T. A. 2021. *Platon: Meisterdenker der Antike*. Munich.
- Tarrant, H. 2020. 'Answering early critics of the *Phaedrus*' styles and strategies'. In *Studies in Hermias' Commentary on Plato's Phaedrus*, edited by J. Finamore, C. Manolea and S. Wear, 187-201. Leiden.
- Tarrant, H. and Baltzly, D. 2018. 'Hermias and the Interpretation of the *Phaedrus*'. In *Brill's Companion to the Reception of Plato in Antiquity*, edited by H. Tarrant, D.A. Layne, D. Baltzly, and F. Renaud, 486-497. Leiden.
- Tarrant, H. (forthcoming). 'Hermias and Alexandrian Platonists on early *Phaedrus*-Reception'. In *Plato's Phaedrus: Eros, Philosophy, and the Mysteries*, edited by M. Bizoń and A. Serafin. Leiden.
- Taylor, A. E. 1926. *Plato: The Man and His Work*. London.
- Taylor, A. E. 1928. *A Commentary on Plato's Timaeus*. Oxford.
- Taylor, A. E. 1949. *Plato. The Man and his Work*. London.
- Taylor, C. C. W. 2006. 'Socrates the Sophist'. In *Remembering Socrates*, edited by R. L. Judson and V. Karasmanis, 157-168. Oxford.
- Teisserenc, F. 2007. 'Consonnes et voyelles : les fonctions de l'Être et de l'Autre dans *Le Sophiste* de Platon (251a-259e)'. *Dialogue* 46: 231-64.
- Thesleff, H. 1967. *Studies in the Styles of Plato*. Helsinki.
- Thesleff, H. 1982. *Studies in Platonic Chronology*. Helsinki.
- Tomin, J. 1988. 'Dating of the *Phaedrus* and interpretation of Plato'. *Antichthon* 22: 26-41.
- Trabattoni, F. 1998. '*Parmenide*, I, 31-32'. *Hyperboreus. Studia Classica* 4: 5-20.
- Trevaskis, J. R. 1955. 'The Sophistry of Noble Lineage (Plato, *Sophist* 230a5-232b9)'. *Phronesis* 1: 36-49.
- Trevaskis, J. R. 1966. 'The μέγιστα γένη and the vowel analogy of Plato, *Sophist* 253'. *Phronesis* 11: 99-116.

- Tuozzo, Thomas M. 2021. "Rethinking Deduction Five of Plato's Parmenides (160b5-163b6)." *PLATO JOURNAL* 22: 69-77
- Untersteiner, M. 1947-1948. 'Studi sulla sofistica. *Le Antilogie di Protagora*'. *Antiquitas* 2 (3) (1947-1948), 34-44 (= *Scritti minori*. I, 388-402. Brescia).
- Usacheva, A. 2012. 'Concerning the date of Plato's *Phaedrus*'. *Hermathena* 189 (1): 53-70.
- Van Eck, J. 1995. 'Falsity without Negative Predication: On *Sophistes* 255e-263d'. *Phronesis* 40 (1): 20-47.
- Van Eck, J. 2000. 'Plato's Logical Insights: On *Sophist* 254d-257a'. *Ancient Philosophy* 20: 53-79.
- Van Eck, J. 2002. 'Not-Being and Difference: On Plato's *Sophist*, 256d5-258e3'. *Oxford Studies in Ancient Philosophy* 23: 68-84.
- Van Eck, J. 2009. 'Moving Like a Stream: Protagoras' Heracliteanism in Plato's *Theaetetus*'. *Oxford Studies in Ancient Philosophy* 36: 199-248.
- Van Norden, B. W. 2011. *Introduction to Classical Chinese Philosophy*. Indianapolis.
- Vázquez, D. 2018. 'Argumentation and Reflection in Plato's Gigantomachia (*Sophist* 245e6-249d5)'. *Archiv für Geschichte der Philosophie* 100 (3): 241-85.
- Vegetti, M. 2003. Megiston mathema. In *Pl. La Repubblica. Vol. V*. Napoli.
- Vimercati, E. 2016. 'Che senso ha dialogare in Filosofia? Note a margine del metodo socratico e platonico'. In *Discernere e scegliere nella Chiesa. Atti della Giornata Canonistica Interdisciplinare*, edited by P. Gherri, 323-36. Città del Vaticano.
- Vlasits, J. 2021. 'The Puzzle of the *Sophist*'. *Archiv für Geschichte der Philosophie* 105 (3): 359-387.
- Vlastos, G. 1954 'The Third Man Argument in the *Parmenides*'. *Philosophical Review* 63: 319-349.
- Vlastos, G. 1969. 'Plato's "Third Man"-Argument (*Prm.* 132a1-b2): Text and Logic'. *Philosophical Quarterly* 19: 289-301.
- Vlastos G., ed. 1971. *Plato: A Collection of Critical Essays*, 2 vols., Garden City, N.Y.
- Vlastos, G. 1981a. 'The "Two-Level Paradoxes" in Aristotle'. In *Platonic Studies*, 323-34. Princeton.
- Vlastos, G. 1981b. 'An Ambiguity in the *Sophist*'. In *Platonic Studies*, 270-322. Princeton.
- Vlastos, G. 1981c. 'Reason and Causes in the *Phaedo*'. In *Platonic Studies*, 76-110. Princeton.
- Vlastos, G. 1991. *Socrates: Ironist and Moral Philosopher*. Ithaca.
- Vlastos, G. 1994. *Socratic Studies*. Cambridge.
- Vogel, de. C. J. 1953. 'Platon a-t-il ou n'a-t-il pas introduit le mouvement dans son monde intelligible?' In *Actes du XIème Congrès International de Philosophie, Bruxelles, 20-26 Août 1953*, Amsterdam/Louvain, edited by E. Nauwelaerts, 61-67. Repr. in de Vogel. 1970. *Philosophia, Part I, Studies in Greek Philosophy*, 176-182. Assen.
- Von Fritz, K. 1971. 'The Philosophical Passage in the Seventh Platonic Letter and the Problem of Plato's "Esoteric" Philosophy'. In *Essays in Ancient Greek Philosophy*, edited by J. Anton and G. Kustas, 408-47. Albany.
- Von Kutschera, F. 1995. *Platons Parmenides*. Berlin.

- Wagner, F. W. 1856. *Platons Werke. Griechisch und Deutsch, Mit Kritischen und Erklärenden Anmerkungen. Zweiundzwanzigster Theil, Der Sophist*. Vol. 22. Leipzig.
- Wagner, F. W. 1857. 'Zu Platon'. *Rheinisches Museum für Philologie* 11: 316–20.
- Weiss, R. 2018. 'Pity or Pardon: Plato, Xenophon, and Aristotle on the Appropriate Response to Intentional Wrongdoing'. In *Plato and Xenophon: Comparative Studies*, edited by G. Danzig, D. Johnson and D. Morrison, 277–317. Leiden.
- White, N. P. 1993. *Plato. Sophist*. Indianapolis.
- Wiehl, R. and Apelt O., trans. 1985. *Der Sophist*. Philosophische Bibliothek 265. Hamburg.
- Wiggins, D. 1971. 'Sentence Meaning, Negation, and Plato's Problem of Non-Being'. In *Plato. A Collection of Critical Essays*. I, *Metaphysics and Epistemology*, edited by G. Vlastos, 268–303. Garden City, N.Y.
- Wiitala, M. 2018. 'The Argument against the Friends of the Forms Revisited: *Sophist* 248a4–249d5'. *Apeiron* 51 (2): 171–200.
- Wilamowitz-Moellendorf, U. v. 1919. *Platon, II, Beilagen und Textkritik*. Berlin.
- Wittgenstein, L. 1922. *Tractatus Logico-Philosophicus*. Translated by C. K. Ogden. London.
- Wittgenstein, L. 1961. *Notebooks, 1914–1916*. New York.
- Wolff, F. 1991. 'Le chasseur chassé. Les définitions du sophiste'. In *Études sur le Sophiste*, edited by P. Aubenque, 19–52. Naples.
- Zaks, N. 2018. 'Socratic Elenchus in the *Sophist*'. *Apeiron* 51: 271–390.
- Zaks, N. 2023. *Apparences et dialectique. Un commentaire du Sophiste de Platon*. Leiden.
- Zeller, E. 1889. *Die Philosophie der Griechen in ihrer geschichtlichen Entwicklung dargestellt. Sokrates und die Sokratiker. Plato und die alte Akademie*. 4th ed. Vol. II, 1. Leipzig.
- Zuckert, K. 2000. 'Who's a Philosopher? Who's a Sophist? The Stranger vs. Socrates'. *Review of Metaphysics* 54: 65–97.
- Zucchetti, N. 2020. 'An unexplained overlap between *Sophist* 232b1–236d4 and *Republic* X. The case of the sophist as a painter'. *Revista Archaï* 30: 1–27.

