

Running Head: THE VAGINA ELECTRON MODEL

The Vagina Electron Model: Coitus Action-at-a-Distance
(Dualistic Panendeism)

Pantheism (in many ways) is another name for atheism. People who believe nothing want to enjoy themselves, and they want to enjoy themselves much, much, much more than the people around them. Pantheism means that life is as it should be, and that if a certain someone is having fun, and a lot more fun--more than starving people--then that is as it should be, according to God (whom substantive atheists often formally say they believe in, and don't). It's only natural.

German and Anglo-Saxon pagans are the normal people of the land. Paganism is different from pantheism, which says that *God* is everything, that everything is *God*, and that *God* has a plan. Most happy people are pantheists. Christ isn't one of those, though St. Paul might well be. Pantheists are akin to naturalists, who generally believe that everything is as it should be, that *God* approves of everything that happens, and that the status quo is wonderful. Kierkegaard might say that pantheists are the best able to enjoy excursions to Deer Park.ⁱ Spinoza, alternatively, is difficult to classify and is maybe not a pantheist, but a panentheist. Various idiosyncratic, speculative people are often in the panentheistic group, including people who identify with emotions and feelings, such as Schopenhauer.

Many contemporary Protestants are pantheists, as are many Jews, and as are many Catholics. The primary, substantive difference between Jews and Catholics (or Protestants) is that in the latter, the *sayings and doings* gap has become noticeably wider. As Darwin wrote:

To do good in return for evil, to love your enemy, is a height of morality to which it may be doubted whether the social instincts would, by themselves, have ever led us. It is necessary that these instincts, together with sympathy, should have been highly cultivated and extended by the aid of reason, instruction, and the love or fear of God, before any such golden rule would ever be thought of and obeyed.ⁱⁱ

The first section of this paper will examine the element of life and living related to newborns having little, if any, say in being born. Next, the gap between *sayings and doings* will be addressed (cf St. Matthew 21:28-31, New American Bible). Subsequently, how panpsychism relates to the purported divinity of the mind will be probed. Finally, though not definitively, a quantitative and qualitative new model will be presented with regard to whether or not a mind-body gap exists in humankind.

Who infuriates her most? She says, "I am here, I like you, and I see you, but your strength is too weak to draw me to you."ⁱⁱⁱ

Being Born Is Not a Choice

Earth: this is where we are. The earth is made of dirt. The earth is flying through space in various ways and in multiple directions (or dimensions). Here is where we are on the Map. The question is: Where Is the Map?

Vaginas are connected to Earth (whatever It is) in a way that might be different from other connections. Earth, and everything out there, is an "extension," an extended view in our hallucinating reality. As Glattfelder explains, neuroscientists strongly state that people's experiences and perceptions are hallucinations created by sensory inputs to the brain.^{iv}

Is there a mind-body gap, or is there not? Male and female orgasms might be a good time and place for hiding these borderline amorphous transactions by jumping from one realm to the other or by having effects on one side result from causes on the other.

Jesus said, "If the flesh came into existence because of spirit, that's amazing. If spirit came into existence because of the body, that's really amazing! But I'm amazed at how [such] great wealth has been placed in this poverty."^v

There might be an element of panpsychism wherever there is grey brain nervous matter, including, as Darwin noted, the reasoning of animals. "It is certain that there may be extraordinary mental activity with an extremely small absolute mass of nervous matter..."^{vii}

Glattfelder comments on consciousness further, stating that it is the most basic element of existence, even though this is in direct contradistinction to typical deterministic, scientific materialism.^{vii} Darwin also wrote:

"In what manner the mental powers were first developed in the lowest organisms is as hopeless an

Pastors who talk about God as the Creator piss off women (who roll their eyes) because, although Christianity distinguishes between a "child of God" & a "child of nature" (John 6:63; John 3:7-8, NKJV), it's a difficult topic to discuss politely.

enquiry as how life itself first originated. These are problems for the distant future, if they are ever to be solved by man."^{viii}

There is no controversy over the *free will* of being born. There is none. There is no choice. A person is born and has no say in the matter, no argument, no participation in the decisions related to the event.

Pastors who talk about God as the Creator piss off women (who roll their eyes) because, although Christianity distinguishes between a "child of God" and a "child of nature" (John 6:63; John 3:7-8, NKJV), it's a difficult topic to discuss politely.

Being born is not a choice. Over the years of each person's life, choosing becomes more of an option, though it is not always encouraged. At some point, all human beings become conscious, albeit to varying degrees.

There is no free will in the chemicals of a human's bloodstream (feelings). There is free will in the quantum mechanics of *each* human's mind (thoughts/reason/consciousness).

"I am, however, very far from wishing to deny that instinctive actions may lose their

fixed and untaught character, and be replaced by others performed by the aid of the free will,^{ix} as Darwin further states.

Yin-yang relationships are dramatic wars. When wives and girlfriends know how much men truly *love* them, women have too much leverage, which is why men have to resist sex sometimes, to avoid getting manipulated like blundering yo-yos.

Being born again (not baptism) is a choice/change from living by kinetic energy (natural ruler) to living by potential energy with a supernatural/divine ruler.

Targeting marketers contribute to this warfare by exacerbating, maintaining, and strengthening mindless, classist hostilities, aka kinetic energy, while the Catholic community *helps* many families to unproductively expend all their energy by not allowing condoms, abortions, or sexual education, by keeping a tight rein on the constituent parts of the whole, and by preventing *being born* as being a conscious choice. Being a *part* of the whole is kinetic energy, while being a thinking *member* of the community allows for potential energy to build along with the possibility of reasonable, periodic disobedience.

Thoughts are composed of photons. Photons are massless particles that make up light, and they can be seen

Yin-yang relationships are dramatic wars. When wives & girlfriends know how much men truly "love" them, women have too much leverage, which is why men have to resist sex sometimes, to avoid getting manipulated like blundering yo-yos.

through the effects they have on matter (cf St. John 3:8, New American Bible). Photons are the smallest possible particles of light and electromagnetic energy. They have no mass or charge, but they do have energy and momentum. Photons travel at the speed of light, and perhaps are a contributing factor that produces, as Darwin stated, "movement without any apparent cause."^x

Being forced on the path to move in single file, with fire on the left and with nothingness on the right, The Guide said to be careful with the seeing of our eyes: "A mistaken step is easy to make."^{xii}

He was great, I thought, and useful for the truth of the earth, but when I saw his wife, I couldn't understand. How does the earth not rupture when a crane and a saint mate? After embarking on a truth search, this one landed a pirouetting farce.... and married it. Another was cautious and reserved, but then he found a villain, and he married it. That one looked for an angelic being, and he finds he now must be an angel himself.^{xii}

Sayings and Doings

Chuck Colson wrote a book, called *Loving God*, that was published in 1983. This followed his 1976 book, *Born Again*. This followed his close, felonious involvement in the irreputable Watergate event during the Nixon administration.

Establishing the religion of Saturday sinning & Sunday solution was well-documented already in Plato's *Republic*, and it is the epitome level of pragmatism at its most egregious.

There is an idea of St. Augustine's that Colson mentions in *Loving God*, and it relates to how following Plato is different from following Christ; following Christ means following with one's whole being and leaving everything behind that is a hindrance to DOING that.^{xiii}

Of course, this essay, and St. Augustine's comment, and Colson's repeating of it are only words--thinking, and writing, and SAYING. The gap between the Sayings and the Doings is enormous; maybe unbridgeable.

Establishing the religion of Saturday sinning & Sunday solution was well-documented already in Plato's *Republic*, & it is the epitome level of pragmatism at its most egregious.

Was St. Augustine (Colson?) a dualist who DID NOT put his whole life into his sayings, but only told other people to do so? There is a chance, a possibility, that dualists are many of the people who say there is no free will because they use their free will to be Machiavellian (circa 1500) dualists. Descartes (circa 1630) really pissed these empty pretenders off when he made

Female is nature--->nature loves efficiency--->nature loves lying--->man wants happiness--->if there is no happiness, man wants pleasure (or beer) to blot out the pain--->man wants woman for the anticipation of pleasure--->man pursues efficiency & lying to get woman with whom he is fascinated.

assertions about the existence of the mind-body gap.

A female is a natural being. Nature is efficient. Sayings that are lyings sometimes can be efficient. Males want to be happy.

If one of them isn't happy, he often wants pleasure (or beer) to blot out the pain of his unhappiness. Men anticipate pleasure from women. Therefore, men frequently resort to lying as an efficient way to get women that they want. As Darwin wrote, a man is driven "unfortunately very often by his own strong selfish desires."^{xiv} He continues, saying:

At the moment of action, man will no doubt be apt to follow the stronger impulse; and though this may occasionally prompt him to the noblest deeds, it will more commonly lead him to gratify his own desires at the expense of other men. But after their gratification when past and weaker impressions are judged by the ever-enduring social instinct, and by his deep regard for the good opinion of his fellows, retribution will

surely come. He will then feel remorse, repentance, regret, or shame; this latter feeling, however, relates almost exclusively to the judgment of others. He will consequently resolve more or less firmly to **act** [emphasis added] differently for the future; and this is conscience; for conscience looks backwards, and serves as a guide for the future.^{xv}

Without a clinical, quantitative, obvious definition, Darwin's words on *conscience* aren't necessarily correct, though for some people they likely are. Being born again can help someone to become *someone* rather than a natural *somemany*. Who a person is happens not only to be a question that is difficult to answer, but it is also a question that is difficult to understand. What is the self-aware I, the Who, the Conscience, the Consciousness? Does a person's conscience care that, per Dickens, "It was the best of times, it was the worst of times,^{xvi}" or, per Plato, "when one half of the world is triumphing and the other half plunged in grief at the same events happening to the city or citizens?^{xvii}" A person's conscience can help him or her to be a single-minded someone or to be a multi-minded somemany--it's a continuum... from Great to Greedy.

The Pet Shop Boys sing, "It's a Sin," but what a sin is turns out to be a little obfuscating.

For instance, optional definitions of *sexual immorality* are purposely vague to hinder and confuse most people (men), to anger people, and to let various cultures

Optional definitions of "sexual immorality" are purposely vague to hinder & confuse most people (men), to anger people, & to let various cultures indecipherably set their own fluctuating opinions of what is considered an obscenity.

indecipherably set their own fluctuating opinions of what is considered an obscenity.

Is youthful lasciviousness natural? Is youthful lascivious thinking natural? Is adult lasciviousness, within or without marriage, natural? Is adult lascivious thinking, within or without marriage, natural? Is youthful lasciviousness God's will? Is youthful lascivious thinking

Randomness that increases, with no increase in orderliness, leads to a stable high-energy state, while orderliness that increases, with no increase in randomness, leads to a stable low-energy state, because this is how chaos & balance relate.

God's will? Is adult lasciviousness, within or without marriage, God's will? Is adult lascivious thinking, within or without marriage, God's will? Is youthful lasciviousness a

sin? Is youthful lascivious thinking a sin? Is adult lasciviousness, within or without marriage, a sin? Is adult lascivious thinking, within or without marriage, a sin? Is there a negative correlation between the official dogma--sayings-- and actions--doing? "Therefore, do and observe all things whatsoever they tell you, but do not follow their example. For they preach but they do not practice" (St. Matthew 23:3, New American Bible).

In a socio-economic environment, a stable high-energy state is achieved when randomness, but not orderliness, increases, while orderliness that increases, with no increase in randomness, leads to a stable low-energy state, because this is how chaos and balance relate.

Spoken words by people highly skilled in the art of hogwash can have the effect of increased randomness by the rapid vibration of the vocal cords. Darwin explained that with regard to "the faculty of language... even the smallest proficiency in it might require more brain power than the greatest proficiency in any other direction..."^{xviii} Also,

Meretricious spouses falsely claim, "I looove you," even though 1 Corinthians 13:5 teaches us that love doesn't keep track of partners' errors & missteps.

The same high mental faculties which first led man to believe in unseen spiritual agencies, then in fetishism, polytheism, and ultimately in monotheism, would infallibly lead him, as long as his reasoning powers remained poorly developed, to various strange superstitions and customs.^{xix}

An example of this might be that *greed is good* (for the economy). Another example, perhaps: Can action-at-a-distance, as an unseen spiritual agency, jump the mind-body gap through quantum entanglement? A third possible representation of this could be priests *saying*

On a scale from 1 (not evil) to 10 (pure evil), how (freevil) are you? Our freedoms allow us to sin in ways gleefully offending.

whatever the biggest donors in the local congregation told, or liked, him or her to say; as Darwin indicated regarding high self-

monitoring opportunists "excelling in utility to their masters."^{xx} A fourth specimen could be meretricious spouses who falsely claim, "I looove you," even though 1 Corinthians 13:5 warns that love doesn't keep track of partners' errors and missteps.

In some ways, freedoms allow people to sin in ways gleefully offending, by tolerating freevillness (cf frivolous).

To do good in return for evil, to love your enemy, is a height of morality to which it may be doubted whether the social instincts would, by themselves, have ever led us. It is necessary that these instincts, together with sympathy, should have been highly cultivated and extended by the aid of reason, instruction, and the love or fear of God, before any such golden rule would ever be thought of and obeyed.^{xxi}

Of course, thinking of something, and saying it, is different than obeying it. As Glattfelder discussed, in any realistic system--in Hegel's system, in pantheism, in panpsychism, or in others--"a decentralized blueprint for the interactions of the system's components^{xxiii}" is necessary.

However, if the decentralized blueprint is more interested in talking and in pretending than in doing, demoralization and degradation can, and will, eventually occur.

An unbridled broad sat astride the beast, her eyes greedily laying waste in her path...^{xxiii}

If you are encumbered, you cannot be a real friend. Are you overbearing? If so, no one will like you. Women are naturally cumbersome and frequently disdainful, and they cannot be of the friendly persuasion--love is their arsenal, and it's filled with injustice and wildness. Even what and whom a woman loves must bear with assault and with a mixture of light and night. Woman has no capacity for being a friend; both broads and maidens still mostly resemble cows and cats and birds.^{xxiv}

No Divine Mind

It's possible for two people to be married and successful partners in crime, but it's not all that common. However, for those who do succeed in this manner, mutual alignment in obfuscation might be perfectly permissible and understandable. In fact, these types probably prefer to keep other people in the dark. Is sex "ultimately meaningless in terms of our actions in life"?^{xxv}--not interesting or to be toyed with? Some entities believe that each woman's vagina is and are "things as they are in themselves, noumena, the transcendental object...^{xxvi} That whereof we cannot speak, we must consign to silence.^{xxvii}" Successful nonsexual partners in crime (of mind/reason) can also include, for example, alliances between billionaires and seminaries.

On the other hand, many sex partners might reasonably and legitimately be better off when they realize the prudence of communicating more explicitly:

Honesty (for men, women) is not breached unless you ask: "Did you touch, kiss, oralize, or coit someone today?" To avoid hell (a hellish existence), one's happy condition must be confronted frequently (daily?), because the origin of the Anglo-Saxon word "hell" means "to cover, to conceal, to hide."

The Catholic branch of Judaism, specifically, according to Lemaitre,^{xxviii} tries to pay *less* attention to matters related to sexual *morality* (less morality) by giving Catholic parents complete control over the education of sexual matters for their progeny.

Is the Catholic sex world part of the earthly phenomena or is it part of the noumena, or is it part of the pseudo-noumena, as van Nieukerken explained concerning things hiding behind the *veil*^{xxix} of the phenomena that we perceive as human beings? The Catholic *divine* mind seems to

Honesty (for men, women) is not breached unless you ask: "Did you touch, kiss, oralize, or coit someone today?" To avoid hell (a hellish existence), one's happy condition must be confronted frequently (daily?), because the origin of the Anglo-Saxon word "hell" means "to cover, to conceal, to hide."

want to keep the economy churning by letting men be in charge of the Catholic ideas, while procreating with women (all of whom are not Christians), because

women will always mate up with a man who has the economy's cash. Hegelian panpsychists are more inclined to worship nature and the nature spirits of non-Christianized pagan cultures, according to Northover--per Sjöstedt-Hughes.^{xxx} To the extent that humanity's marriages, births, deaths, and divorces greatly outweigh other events such that any other events in most people's lives are inconsequential, this is possibly plausible and understandable.

Is consciousness universal? Every system might have some degree of consciousness. This

view is sometimes called panpsychism.^{xxxix} Is the Old Boys' Club a type of conscious sex system for men (who are in the club)? Is collective intelligence or groupthink--e.g. gaining the acceptance of the friends--a type of conscious female sex system?

Consciousness is not universal. Here is what there is. There are determined *choices*, such as by a tree branch bending toward the light. These are not really choices per se. Skinner, Thorndike, Einstein, and Newton would consider these examples related to kinetic energy, not thinking, not reason, not mind.

Determined?--deter-mind?--*deter*

Latin *dēterrēre* : *dē-*, *de-* + *terrēre*,
to frighten. For people, these would

Humanity's marriages, births, deaths, & divorces (genealogy) greatly outweigh other events such that any other events in most people's lives are only inconsequential hooley.

be those who are *scared out of their mind*, i.e. those who are deterred from thinking.

The other side of this is an *undetermined* free *choice*--this consists of potential energy thinking and mind, possibly related to Bohr's quantum mechanics. In this, the mind is undetermined--un-deter-mind?---un (not) *deter* from Latin *dētermināre*, to limit : *dē-*, *de-* + *terminus*, boundary. For all mindful creatures, these would be those who are NOT scared out of their mind, i.e. those who are NOT deterred from thinking.

There is no *divine* mind, there is only reason and mind which can serve evil or good. Parts of the world are formed out of nature by God--good mind, but other parts of the world are fabrications of the devil--da evil mind. Lying is the dark demon, dark energy. One cannot lie for God.

Therefore, sex cannot be left out to function on its own^{xxxix} unless preventing justice is the goal, and, for women who believe in love and injustice,^{xxxix} that probably is their goal. Da evil mind allows it; the good mind cannot.

My reason, which loved to think of my lady, now more than ever was hankered to do so. Neither art nor nature ever contrived a more appealing distraction to hook a man's thoughts. Whether by image or face-to-face, nothing can charm more than the glorious delight I get from seeing her sparkling eyes.^{xxxiv}

A woman has one primary concern; it is her problem and her solution both--getting pregnant. The child is the goal and the target. Women use men to get what they want.^{xxxv}

Am?

Cogito ergo sum means some things to some people. For other people, of course, it is gibberish. In reality, however, there is no consensus about whether it is more likely a valid statement or rather an improper one.

Darwin tries to avoid the issue altogether by noting that in the English translation--"I think, therefore I am"--the phrase could be shortened by leaving out the word "I." He writes, "The letter m in the word am, means I; so that in the expression *I am*, a superfluous and useless rudiment has been retained."^{xxxvi} He's arguing about the means and methods of evolution, but he's also trying to avert the difficulty of explaining what, and who, the "I" is. The mind of a human--what is it? Who is it? What is consciousness? When planarian flatworms made the transition or jump from mitosis reproduction to meiosis reproduction, was there an "I" involved? As is typical, cloudy and confusing conceptions are confidently used by some people who hope

that no one will confront them and demand clearer definitions.^{xxxvii}

The vagina electron model is proposing that an atom's proton be likened to the mind of a man, that an atom's neutron be likened to culture, to human *environments*, and to the body of a woman, and that the atom's electrons signify *the choice* made by reason and mind. An electron's position cannot be measured until *the choice* has been made in each instance--direction leaning immediately in favor of the body, flesh, sarx, nature, or direction leaning more generously and interminably in favor of the spirit and Good consciousness. As the reminder in St. Matthew 26:41 states, the spirit <consciousness> is indeed willing but the flesh <sarx> is weak (more accurately, "the flesh <sarx> is *strong*").

Animal magnetism brings men's and women's bodies together. If not for disagreements (repulsive energy) in men's and women's spirits, humanity would collapse inwardly and implode into a central heap of inactivity. Some balance (on Earth) is kept by the disagreements that keep us apart. Is life getting faster? Is the universe accelerating? The acceleration of the universe (the rich get further faster from the poor) is based on 70% of the

Animal magnetism brings men's & women's bodies together. If not for disagreements (repulsive energy) in men's & women's spirits, we would collapse inwardly & implode into a central heap of inactivity. Some balance (on Earth) is kept by the disagreements that keep us apart.

universe being misinformative (dark energy).^{xxxviii} Physicists generally attribute the accelerating expansion of the universe to the influence of a poorly understood phenomenon

known as dark energy.^{xxxix} Current scientific consensus is that the universe at present has about 70% of its energy density in dark energy, about 25% in dark matter, and only 5% in the form of *normal* matter made of neutrons, protons, and electrons.^{xl}

Anything is possible at $t=0$ with reason. At $t > 0$, entities need to affect other electrons in

other people to *establish* the extension in time. Panpsychism, in a way, could be molecular directional alignment based on the choices of choosing creatures.

Fields influence the direction of unthinking nervous matter, such as the *mass* of people who actually listen at a Catholic *mass*--this is also an element of panpsychism (cf Thoreau's *mass* of men). Action-at-a-distance is the electron spin effect that a person's thinking (and actions?) have on other people's thinking (and actions?) negatively correlated by the distance spread... because Force is equal to Work times Distance (in physics)-- $F = W \times D$.

The mass of men serve the state thus, not as men mainly, but as machines, with their bodies. They are the standing army, and the militia, jailers, constables... In most cases there is no free exercise whatever of the judgment or of the moral sense; but they put themselves on a level with wood and earth and stones; and wooden men can perhaps be manufactured that will serve the purpose as well.
 ~Thoreau (Civil Disobedience)

Matter is anything that occupies space and has mass, and energy, i.e. the ability to do work. Money is not matter because it does not have the ability to do work. Money is a field.

Potential energy / gravity x distance = *mass* = F (force) x Δt (change in time) / Δv (change in velocity).

Photons carry momentum. A field is made of photons that have energy but no *mass*. A woman's vagina is made of fields, like a corn field of dirt. A vagina is a field (or with a field hidden inside of it). Women love money. Fields love fields.

The mind-body gap is never jumped, and it doesn't have to be, because the direction can be affected without jumping through quantum entanglement, through spooky action-at-a-

distance, and through doggie-

style coitus (in which the

participants do not touch each

other, but their fields do) by the

Randomness maximization & energy minimization lead to a stable state in physics. Do these three concepts translate satisfactorily, or not, with our view of what is fundamentally real?

force of the photons which have magnitude and direction. Electro-magnetic fields are made of photons. The magnitude and direction of an electro-magnetic field, and its intensity at any point, is directly proportional to the magnitude and direction of the force of the photon at that point.

A stable state in physics is found when randomness is maximized and energy is minimized. These three parts of the equation are related to reality in the sense that Einstein's field equations show how matter and energy determine the curvature of spacetime, possibly including the mystery of rotating black holes and the fields of floating vaginas.

I long for your instructions so fervently as though they were already fulfilled without delay. When the communication has been received, I do it.^{xli}

Summary

Origen was the *origen-ator* atheist (not founding Father) founder (Old Testament) of the Christian religion. The untrue Gospel is good for the economy. The true Gospel about free will is bad for the economy and bad for its efficient operating performance.

Deism is the belief in a god who created the universe but does not govern worldly events, does not answer prayers, and has no direct involvement in human affairs. Panendeism is the belief that the Being of God includes and penetrates the whole universe with photons and grey

matter (without touching it, except by fields), so that every part of it exists in Him, but that His Being is more than, and not exhausted by, the universe. Dualism includes photons that are not made of the same sub-atomic particles as atoms of matter. Was Descartes right?

Panpsychism includes all energy that affects public phenomena and the public domain--privacy (along with misinformation) is the dark energy that tries to affect the public domain, but surreptitiously. The morpheme "psych" means soul, direction, and is not necessarily related to choice and choosing.

Republicans who believe in Intelligent Design say that God has a plan. Democrats who believe in evolution say that there is no plan and that nature decides. These are two heads of the same coin, and dualistic panendeism is neither of these. As Kant's *Critique of Pure Reason* led soon to the actions of the French Revolution, so, too, hopefully will *dualistic panendeism* soon lead to a new revolution in human history, with a dramatic decrease in dark energy and misinformation, for "when He, the Spirit of truth, has come, He will guide [us] into all truth" (St. John 16:13, NKJV).

References

- Colson, C. (1987). *Loving God*. Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan.
- Dante Alighieri. (1954). *The divine comedy: the inferno (canto II)*. (J. Ciardi, Trans.) New York: New American Library.
- Dante Alighieri. (1961, 1965, 1967, 1970). *The divine comedy: the paradiso (canto XXVII)*. (J. Ciardi, Trans.) New York: New American Library.
- Dante Alighieri. (1957, 1959, 1960, 1961). *The divine comedy: the purgatorio (cantos XXV, XXXII)*. (J. Ciardi, Trans.) New York: New American Library.
- Darwin, C. (1874). *The descent of man and selection in relation to sex*. New York: H. L. Fowle, International Science Library.
- Dickens, C. (1994). *A Tale of Two Cities*. Retrieved September 25, 2024, from <https://www.gutenberg.org/cache/epub/98/pg98-images.html>. (Original work published 1859).
- Edamura, S. (2014). The deistic god of the first critique and Spinoza's god. *Kanazawa Seiryō University*. Retrieved June 17, 2024, from https://www.seiryō-u.ac.jp/u/research/gakkai/ronbunlib/e_ronsyu_pdf/No124/03_edamura_TheDeisticGod_124.pdf
- Glattfelder, J. B. (2019). The consciousness of reality (chapter 14). *Information–Consciousness–Reality, The Frontiers Collection*. Retrieved June 17, 2024, from https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-030-03633-1_14 (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/2.5/>) No changes made.
- Gospel of Thomas. (M. M. Mattison, Trans.) Retrieved August 29, 2024, from <https://www.gospels.net/thomas>

Johannesson, A. T. (2014). On the thing in itself: in defence of Kant against Nietzsche.

Asgeirtheodor.wordpress.com. Retrieved June 17, 2024, from

<https://asgeirtheodor.wordpress.com/wp-content/uploads/2014/09/on-the-thing-in-itself-e28093-in-defence-of-kant-against-nietzsche1.pdf>

Kierkegaard, S. (1992). Concluding unscientific postscript to philosophical fragments. (H. V.

Hong and E. H. Hong, Trans.) Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Kirshner, R. P. (2024, March). Accelerating universe. *AccessScience*. Retrieved September 16,

2024, from <https://www.accessscience.com/content/article/a800550>

Lemaitre, J. (2012). By reason alone: catholicism, constitutions, and sex in the Americas.

International journal of constitutional law, 10(2), 493 – 511. Retrieved June 22, 2024,

from <https://academic.oup.com/icon/article/10/2/493/666043>

Nietzsche, F. W. (1954). Nietzsche. (W. Kaufmann, Trans.) New York: The Viking Press.

Northover, R. A. (2022). Schopenhauer and religion: translating myth into metaphysics. *HTS*

Theologese Studies/Theological Studies, 78(2), a7788. Retrieved June 17, 2024, from

<https://doi.org/10.4102/hts.v78i2.7788>

Plato, “Republic.” (1952). Great books of the western world: Plato. (R. M. Hutchins, Ed.)

Chicago: Encyclopaedia Britannica, Inc.

Tolstoy, L. (1899). What is art?. (A. Maude, Trans.) New York: Crowell and Company.

van Nieukerken, A. (2019). The aesthetics of the religious and the sacralization of “positivist”

science – “idealism” (Adam Asnyk) and decadent religious poetry of early modernism

(Stanisław Korab-Brzozowski). *Tekstualia*, 1(5): 103-122. Retrieved June 17, 2024, from

<https://tekstualiascience.com/resources/html/article/details?id=207884&language=en>

Endnotes

-
- i Kierkegaard, S.
 ii Darwin, C. (p. 114)
 iii Nietzsche, F. W.
 iv Glattfelder, J. B.
 v Gospel of Thomas (saying 29)
 vi Darwin, C. (p. 54)
 vii Glattfelder, J. B.
 viii Darwin, C. (p. 66)
 ix *ibid.* (p. 68)
 x *ibid.* (p. 96)
 xi Dante. (1957, 1959, 1960, 1961)
 xii Nietzsche, F. W.
 xiii Colson, C.
 xiv Darwin, C. (p. 111)
 xv *ibid.* (p. 115)
 xvi Dickens, C. (p. 1)
 xvii Plato, "Republic." (p. 363)
 xviii Darwin, C. (p. 48)
 xix *ibid.* (p. 97)
 xx *ibid.* (p. 29)
 xxi *ibid.* (p. 114)
 xxii Glattfelder, J. B. (p. 542)
 xxiii Dante. (1957, 1959, 1960, 1961)
 xxiv Nietzsche, F. W.
 xxv Johannesson, A. T. (p. 9)
 xxvi *ibid.* (p. 17)
 xxvii *ibid.* (p. 18)
 xxviii Lemaitre, J.
 xxix van Nieukerken, A.
 xxx Northover, R. A.
 xxxi Glattfelder, J. B.
 xxxii Edamura, S.
 xxxiii Nietzsche, F. W.
 xxxiv Dante. (1961, 1965, 1967, 1970)
 xxxv Nietzsche, F. W.
 xxxvi Darwin, C. (p. 92)
 xxxvii Tolstoy, L.
 xxxviii Kirshner, R. P.
 xxxix *ibid.*
 xl *ibid.*
 xli Dante. (1954)