

DARWINLAND

Enrique Morata



America Star Books

© 2014 by Enrique Morata.

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means without the prior written permission of the publishers, except by a reviewer who may quote brief passages in a review to be printed in a newspaper, magazine or journal.

First printing

Softcover 9781633823938
PUBLISHED BY AMERICA STAR BOOKS, LLLP
www.americastarbooks.com

Preface for the English readers:

This is a book against vulgar Social Darwinism.

For 150 years the Darwinists have made believe to the people that Darwinism was a biological theory not related with Social Darwinism.

We demonstrate that both are the same thing: a far-right political theory that sprung by the mid XIX th century amidst the Victorian racist, imperialist, militarist British Empire to justify its policy.

People of far-right and right political tendencies know they have on their bodies and minds something “special”, they feel they are better than the other men, they are wiser, more able to work hard, to study, to be good at sports, they are sometimes handsome or beautiful too and they think they are this way because they are “more evolved” than the other men.

We are not Darwinists, we are Lamarckians and we believe that all the people stronger, smarter, more successful, handsomer have received such gifts from their ancestors, from the hard work of their ancestors to develop their bodies and minds by hard exercise and toil.

The people of right political tendencies feel they have better body and mind than the other men and hinder whatever kind of change on society and politics that could send them to lose their privileges and good status in life.

They know they have worked hard to get a good education, a good body, a good position and they turn right politically minded people to protect their status, achieved with so much effort by them or by their ancestors, inherited from them by genetics (those are the “secondary characteristics” of every individual, as Lamarck said).

The people of the right is Darwinist by interest, not by scientific demonstration of this biological theory but to protect a personal status. People of the right fear to lose their shape, on mind and body, that so difficult has been to achieve to them.

By implication, they accept that all on this World is a matter of development of potential talents that every individual bears inside him, as Lamarck said.

It is not an “evolution” at all.

The people of the right is not “more evolved” than the other men, just more “developed” by hard work.

The same happens with the people which is fanatically nationalistic.

They know their land has made them with such national characteristics, along many centuries.

They have fear to lose their national characteristics if their land is not independent from other countries or if their land is invaded by other people.

When the fanatically nationalistic defend the independence of their land, they are defending too their personal shape on body and mind, which their land has carved on them along many centuries.

The fanatically nationalistic are very proud of themselves and on their land.

They are very proud on the unique characteristics that their land has built on them.

Lamarck is still useful to understand the nationalistic and the people of the right: all of them want to protect their “secondary characteristics”.

Their land or the hard work have made “special individuals” of them with a “special” shape on body and mind.

And those “secondary characteristics” are inherited by the descendants. The nationalistic and the right minded people want to preserve their more developed body and mind.

Let’s mention too the continental derive which is a fact that happens on geological periods of billions of years.

A continent clashes against other or leaves the company of some other continent by drifting inch by inch on a voyage of billions of years.

The Darwinists say the anomalies we observe among the living beings (those animals and plants with strange forms and strange ways of living on faraway islands) are caused by the isolation: but the continents and the islands move and some day there will be no isolation at all on Australia or Madagascar.

So the continental derive is not the cause of the “evolution” of strange living beings on faraway islands, because by the same continental derive, some day those lands will belong to some continent.

By this simple way, we demonstrate that the usual explanation by the isolation made by the Darwinists on the strange living beings of the islands is nonsense: someday this isolation will disappear.

What is the purpose of “evolution” to raise strange living beings on remote islands when we know that on some billion years time those islands will clash against a continent?

It is stupid to raise strange animals and plants on lost islands if some billion of years later those animals and plants will belong to a continent.

So the explanation by the isolation is not the real cause of those strange living beings.

It is time for a new biological theory to come, a theory written for the people of the XXI th century, a theory free of all the subjectivism of the Darwinism incorporating all the new discoveries issued from Genetics.

Capitalism, as well as all our current political system, will have to adapt to this new theory.

Soon or later it will happen, as it has always been on Mankind's History when a new scientific discovery has forced men to adapt to it.

DARWINLAND

1—Darwinism is not a biological theory but a far-right political theory.

Only a simpleton would believe that the extreme complexity of this World could be explained by such rude and basic concepts such the natural selection and the survival of the fittest.

2—Genetics has replaced Darwinism as the current paradigm in Biology since 60 years ago.

Darwinism is finished as the leading biological theory since 60 years ago but many biologists hide this fact to the public, because political reasons.

Genetics will tell us on the next 100 or 200 years what is Life and what is Man.

Wild Capitalism will end its dark days, at the same time that Darwinism disappears too (as they cannot survive the natural selection of the Truth and Darwinism is not the Truth.) and Capitalism will be reformed to adjust to the new discoveries of Genetics, which have nothing to do with the old Darwinism.

Darwinism peters out.

3—Darwinism is at the basements of the Wild Capitalism economical and political system.

Wild Capitalism and Darwinism are like Siamese brothers and cannot live one without each other.

Most biologists are wild capitalists and don't want that Wild Capitalism change to reform and adapt to the new biological theory of Genetics.

4—Genetics will bring on the next 100 or 200 years many discoveries on the DNA, the genes and the chromosomes that will teach us how appeared Life on this planet and how bloomed Men.

Darwinism has nothing to do to answer those questions. Darwinism is an obsolete theory.

5—Genetics is already teaching us Life and Men didn't appear on this planet because "a struggle for life and the survival of the fittest and an evolution" as Darwinists have said to the public on the last 150 years.

6—Genetics is teaching us that the causes for the coming of Life and Men on this planet are many and very complex, related with the development of the DNA and the genes.

7—We are Lamarckians, not Darwinists.

We believe, as Lamarck said, that our “secondary characteristics” (those that can be trained by effort, sport, military life, education or exercise because the living beings have a potential inside them that allows them to develop those “talents” that they bear in) can be gathered generation after generation, as the sons of the shoemakers learn easily the trade of their father and have a body fit to work mending shoes.

8—Lamarck never talked about the “primary characteristics” (those related with the structure of every living being, its inner organs, skeleton, mind, general constitution) as Lamarck didn’t know how the “primary characteristics” were created.

9—Now Genetics explains us how were created those “primary characteristics”: by all the information gathered in the DNA.

10—Therefore, there is no further need for Darwinism as a theory.

11—Darwinism was much in need by the XIX th century, when the British Empire under Queen Victory had to justify in some way its imperialistic, racist and militarist policy.

Darwinism was the perfect theory to this purpose.

Darwinism told the British new middle class, the new British gentry issued by the Industrial Revolution and the Capitalism of Adam Smith, that they were “more evolved”

than the other men and therefore they could encroach, invade and exterminate the other “backward” countries of the World at their pleasure.

12—Darwin was a member of this new British gentry and all of his Darwinist theory reflects the way of thinking of the Victorian British gentry and new middle class.

Lamarck, instead, was a French aristocrat who had observed for generations how the aristocratic families developed “secondary characteristics” that their descendants inherited.

13—There is nothing original on Darwin’s theory: the concept of “evolution” was already known by the old Greeks philosophers.

In India, since thousand of years ago, the Vedas and Upanishads mention a kind of “evolution” in this World, according to the concepts of the Hindu religion.

In the old Germanic religion we find the concepts of the “struggle for life” and the survival of the strongest warrior.

In fact, Darwinism is an adaptation of those old Germanic concepts regarding Life as a war where only the strongest survives.

The only merit of Darwin was to collect a huge amount of fossils and shells around the World to support his theory, which was in fact an old theory that was made

fashionable again by Darwin because the British Empire of the XIX th century demanded it.

14—In Spain, Darwinism has never been part of the Iberian traditions.

We have always believed that some men have been made with a better “dough” than others, by Nature, without any kind of superiority over the others by this fact.

They are just handsomer, stronger, smarter than the others but not “superior” or “more evolved” than the other men.

They are just made with a different, better “dough”.

Some of those “better” men know themselves that they have a better body and mind and they embody the best of the Iberian race and often they feel the call to be bullfighters, singers, sportsman or other leading men of the country.

In Spain, people has always known that some men are better than others, as some apples and peaches are better than others, but the Spaniards have never thought that it was caused by an “evolution” or because some peaches or apples were “more evolved” than others.

It was only a fact of Nature, that raised sometimes better creatures.

The old Greeks such Hesiod believed too that some men were made of better “matter” than others: some were made of gold and silver, the best, others from brass, an alloy mixing good and bad things on it and the worst were those made of iron (the warrior-like) and clay (the weaker).

In all the cultures it has been something natural that the best men, those made of gold, ruled the countries as kings and politicians meanwhile the worst men, those made of iron and clay, lived like slaves and workers.

Herakles was, for the old Greeks, just a man of great strength and power but they never said on him that he was “more evolved” than the others, as such a concept doesn’t belong to the Greek traditions.

There was not an “evolutionism” here, only a matter better kneaded with which Herakles was made, neither a belief on “the survival of the most evolved”.

Those concepts are not present in almost all the civilizations of the past, except one: the old Germanic, Scandinavian religion of barbarians worshipping Odin (Wotan), Thor (Donner), Loki, Hella.

They were the old Germans and Scandinavians who were always after being “more than a simple man”: they wanted to be stronger, greater and from those traditions came Darwin, As their ancestors the German barbarians, the British of Darwin’s time mistook to be “more evolved” for “to be stronger, bigger”.

Darwinism belongs to the renaissance of the old Germanic barbarian concepts, a Renaissance that happened by mid XIX th century, promoted by philosophers such Schopenhauer (talking on the barbarian that always wants more of everything), Nietzsche (who took again the old Germanic concepts of the Ragnarok or the end of the times repeating once and once again all the events and the Superman or the Superbarbarian, so strong that nobody can force him to obey the laws or the Ethics), the music of Wagner and some decades later, with Hitler and the nazi.

All of them belong, like Darwin, to this Renaissance of the Barbarian thought.

In Spain, the Germanic concepts of “superiority” of the strongest and survival of the winners in a war called “Life” came with the invasion of Spain by the Goths (a Germanic tribe) and have become part of the Spaniard way of thinking since then by the influence of the upper ruling class, the military chiefs and the aristocracy, most of them descendants of Goths.

The Germans are, since many thousands of years, the stronger race on this planet, they are tall, strong, well built and big framed.

The Germans have determined the History of Europe since ever, first as the antithesis of the Romans and later as the invaders of England (mixing with the Celt), France (mixing with the Celt or Gaul), Spain (mixing with

the Iberians), Northern Italy and even Northern Africa (by the Vandals).

From their Central Europe lands, the Germans have influenced all the World, by their immigrants to North America, by their scientists and philosophers and by their artists.

As the stronger race of this planet, it is difficult for the other races to relate with the Germans.

In Spain, the relation is of admiration (even today, just think all the Spaniards wanted Germany to win the Football World Cup instead of our cousins the Argentinians) as almost all the politicians always refer to Germany on all subjects.

In England, the relation with the Germans is of mistrust.

It is difficult to relate with such strong, over endowed race.

They think, from their big bodies, that all on this Universe is a matter of war and to be the strongest.

They can seem civilized now but from time to time their old, atavistic believes emerge again, disguised as a war to get the best scientist or the best technology or else.

Darwinism, although proposed by an English, is in fact a German, very German theory.

It seems that the role of the other races on this planet is to be a brake to the excesses of the Germans.

As it happens with the men who are too much strong, from time to time they turn berserk and they forget that they are just men.

15—Richard Dawkins, the current Pope of the Atheists, is a very fanatical Darwinist.

His only contribution to Biology is his concept of the “selfish gen”, a concept that he borrowed from Mendel.

According to this concept, the “selfish gen” is God himself that uses Men as a mere car where to live in for thousands of years, meanwhile God develops himself inside us towards an end that Men cannot understand.

In England, it is useless to criticize Darwin, as he is considered there as one of his National Heroes (alongside with Shakespeare).

16—In the Muslim countries, Darwinism is considered the worst offspring that never came out of an evil mind.

Muslims believe Darwinism is the culprit of the worst disasters happened in the XX th century, specially Nazism and Wild Capitalism.

Harun Yahya, a Turkish Islamic theologian, has written several books, well researched, demonstrating the evils of Darwinism.

Harun Yahya contributes with a lot of proofs on the manipulations and biased interpretations made by the Darwinists on fossils and the other found bones.

For Muslims, Alla has made Men and has “evolved” us for reasons of his own, taking all the time He needed to accomplish his work.

A childish natural selection and a blind and stupid evolution cannot explain the magnificence of Allah’ s Creation.

17—In the Western countries, Darwinism has been used to justify the success of the “winners”, being employers, politicians, millionaires, Hollywood actors, sportsmen, rock musicians or else.

They were the best, the most “evolved” and therefore they had the right to be millionaires.

People with a better body, beautiful, with a nimble mind, able to do much work, considered themselves as “more evolved” than the other men and therefore, they believed they had the right to receive more privileges than the other men.

18—But the Declaration of the Human Rights never says that some men must be “superior” to other men because they are “more evolved”.

It never says neither that some countries have the right to invade, encroach and exterminate other “less evolved” countries because some countries are more “evolved” than the Third World countries.

19—Darwin chose the Galapagos Islands as his favourite place for researching on “evolution”, discarding the Canary islands or New Zealand which featured far much more complex living beings than the primeval, primitive and barren Galapagos Islands.

In other islands of the planet, Darwinism theory would be more difficult to demonstrate.

20—Darwin had a personal need for success when publishing his book on the origin of the species, as until then his life had been a failure, sick of a strange disease (probably yellow fever) and spending long years unknown to write his definite version of the book.

This can explain why Darwin was so much interested in imposing his theory as a “new one” introducing himself as a fighter against the superstitions grovelling from Aristotle and the Church for centuries on the origin of Men, Darwin introduced his theory as the one that really was serving Science for its advancement.

21—Since then, Darwinism has posed as the definite scientific theory that has brought light to Mankind, as opposed to the darkness brought for centuries by Aristotle and the Church.

Darwinists have even convinced the people of the left that Darwinism was a “lefty”, progressive, advanced theory which it is not at all.

22—Darwinism is a political far-right theory, Herbert Spencer soon realised it and wrote on the survival of the fittest factories, countries, organisms that had “evolved” from very simple forms to more complex forms in the course of the ages.

23—Wallace reached similar conclusions to Darwin’s by the same years, but Wallace was very reluctant to use the concept of “evolution” in politics, and later he turned spiritualist believing that such “evolution” had something to do with a spiritual “evolution” of the living beings.

24—In the USA, people is Darwinist since birth, not by thoughtful analysis.

The Nature is so overwhelming in the USA, dangerous and exalted at the same time, that the North Americans have always been Darwinists, long before the coming of Darwin, because the demanding US land doesn’t forgive: in that land only the strongest survive.

Since the first British settlers 400 years ago, all the North Americans know this harsh truth:

North America is beautiful but dangerous and you must be very strong to survive and to make a living there.

The USA is a paradox: it is the most Darwinist and Wild Capitalist country in the World but at the same time, part of its population is Creationist.

The only way to explain it is by studying the History of the USA.

The country was settled, since the days of the British colony, both by fanatical Christian sects such the "Quakers" and by wild, barbarian men that believed only in "the law of the strongest".

From both kind of people was budded the US civilization.

The wild, barbarian men craved to become millionaires at whatever price (often by the exploitation of their countrymen) and the religious fanatical remained very conservative, puritan and "decent" among such tribes of barbarians that crossed the country wave after wave, because the massive immigrations.

The religious North Americans have never accepted Darwinism, but they act at their every day working life as Social Darwinists, asking their employees to be the best and to produce more and more goods like slaves.

It is another paradox of the USA: even the Creationists behave in the USA like Social Darwinists at their work or factory.

So USA is the most Darwinist country in the World, first because the North American nature is so demanding that only the strong survives there, second because most North American people are of the wild, barbarian kind and Darwinism is their natural way of thinking (as Darwinism is not other thing that a new formulation of the old barbarian Germanic religion of cult to the war and to the strongest).

And third, because even the religious, Creationist people there, they act as Social Darwinist at their jobs.

We know that Wild Capitalism is a form of Capitalism that incorporated Darwinism as his master plan.

Wild Capitalism cannot exist without Darwinism.

The USA is the country of Wild Capitalism, so it is too the most Darwinist country in the World.

Some North Americans believe that Wild Capitalism and Social Darwinism belong to the oldest traditions of the USA and that the USA cannot be other way (without Wild Capitalism and Darwinism, it would be then Canada and not the USA).

25—The Jewish tradition knows a sort of “evolutionism” too on its sacred rolls.

Noah was a good man among thousands of bad men and God sent the deluge to exterminate the bad men.

Noah survived because he was a good man and then after his descendants populated the new World left for them after the deluge.

The Jewish tradition assumes that many “deluges” or changes have happened since the beginning of this World, each one exterminating the “bad” living beings and allowing to survive only the “good” living beings.

But who can judge who are the good and who are the bad?

For the Jewish, there is a “chosen country” by God which is Israel, but it doesn’t mean some kind of “natural selection” on all the countries of the World to decide which one was the best.

There is not such concept as “the most evolved” on the Jewish tradition.

Many geniuses of the History of Mankind have been Jewish but they have never said of themselves that they were “more evolved” than the other men, because such concept doesn’t belong to the Jewish tradition, but to the Germanic traditions.

The Jewish have always thought on themselves as the chosen people by God and as the most intelligent

and learned people of all (thanks to working on the Bible since 5000 years ago).

But there is no “evolution” neither “natural selection” on the Jewish traditions.

The Jewish people is, according to the Bible, the chosen people but not by an “evolution” but by God’s will.

At the end of the XIX th century, Theodor Herzl, an Austrian Jewish, read Nietzsche and Darwin and founded the Zionist movement.

He believed Jewish were the “superior race”, a people of supermen who should rule the World.

It is by Theodor Herzl that the Germanic traditions enter the Jewish ones.

What happened in Germany from 1933 to 1945 can be understood as a struggle between two races (the German as ruled by the nazis and the Jewish as ruled by the Zionist movement) to be the “superior race” which should rule the World.

The noxious influence of Darwin and Nietzsche on everything that happened along the XX th century was so great that even the Jewish fell under their influence.

And at last the Jewish became the worst hit by Darwin's and Nietzsche's influence, as they turned the victims of the Holocaust.

26—Darwinism has been the most politically used scientific theory in History.

There is no other scientific theory with such huge political implications such Darwinism.

It demonstrates that Darwinism is not really a biological theory but a political theory.

The people which is right politically biased (the voters of the Republican Party in the USA or the Conservative Party in the UK) adopts Darwinism as a theory that protects their status in life, believing themselves they are "more evolved" than the other people and because it, they deserve to be the millionaires they are or the successful men they are.

They believed they have the right to use the lower classes of people because those are "less evolved" and therefore they must be used by the "most evolved" to make money and to get a high standard of living.

But there is no scientific evidence that the things must be this way, it is all together a scheme that has worked very well for the "winners" of our societies along the XX th century, a time when everything was justified by Darwinism ("the best survives").

Many people have felt themselves that they were “supermen”, more evolved than the other people, being superior in talent and strength to the others and deserving to get privileges, such to become millionaires.

Darwinism is applied too at the Management Schools, where the students are taught that only the strongest factories survive.

The economic concept of the “creative destruction” after an economical crisis by which the old businesses are replaced by new ones, is not other thing that the old Germanic concept of the Ragnarok or the end of the times and a new beginning (repeating everything again).

The Nazis at the World War II also talked of the “creative destruction” of Europe to be built again as Third Reich.

Nietzsche was not other thing than the philosopher of the Nazis. He justified the will for power of the Nazis, putting themselves above all Ethics and laws, and alongside with Darwinism, Nietzsche justified the tyranny of the “supermen”, the most evolved, the strongest.

27—Darwinism is a faulty theory that cannot explain a lot of facts than happens in this World.

We wonder how such a flawed theory, needing so much improvements, has been so used to justify so many tyrannies along the XX th century.

Darwinism is not other thing than “the law of the strongest”, but written with an appearance of science.

28—Darwinists have turned Darwin a sort of saint or God, worshipping him with a blind faith.

Darwinists have said that Darwin released mankind of the slavery of Aristotle’s doctrines and the Church superstitions.

Darwinists have turned Darwin a champion of the Science.

Darwinists biologists worship Darwin at their departments of Biology as he were a God.

Darwinists biologists control the departments of Biology at our Universities and blockade those biologists that are not Darwinists.

They love to expose Creationists as “morons ” and “ignorant”.

All is false, Darwin was not a God, he was a poor reader of Aristotle, otherwise he could have realised that his Darwinism was not other thing than a version of Aristotle’s finalism.

Aristotle believed there is an “evolution” or course towards better living beings along History, following the principle of the “better end available”.

All the living beings were raised and developed by this principle: “for the best end, for the better good end possible”.

Darwinism says the same, understanding by “the best end” the increasing in complexity of the living beings in a very long process Darwin called “evolution”.

There is not a feud between Aristotle’s system and Darwin’s as the last is just a version of the first.

29—Darwin belongs to the Positivist School, created by August Comte at the beginning of the XIX th century.

To this School, the new religion should be Science.

The new saints of this new religion must be the leading scientists like Darwin.

Science and technology are now the only things that matter, religion and philosophy being now outdated and belonging to a primitive and forgotten past.

30—DNA is a part of all men, like our kidneys or our hands.

By itself, DNA cannot explain what is man, as our kidney cannot neither.

Genetists are trying to tame DNA to cure diseases such bowel cancer (as caused by a faulty gene) and many others of genetic origin.

But if someday genetists have got to manipulate all the information gathered inside the DNA, it will be still a long way ahead to understand what is man.

Genetists hope they will know what is man after manipulating all the information included in the DNA, but we are sceptic on this, we are not sure that when the genetists had got the cure of all the genetic based diseases, we would still ask ourselves what is all about, why there is a DNA, what is man after all.

Even if the Nazis had got their perfect man of blue eyes, blond, big and athletic by genetic manipulation, we would be still asking ourselves what is it all about.

Perhaps the genetists of the future will realise that the DNA is just a part of our body that can be trained, as it can be trained the arm of a tennis player.

31—Nietzsche wrote in one of his first papers that the Germans were: “a force of the Nature, like the glaciers that carve valleys on the mountains by violence” and that this was the job of the Germans in the History of Mankind: to bring big changes by violence.

His “superman” is a “superbarbarian” that puts himself above the good and bad, he has no pangs of conscience and believes his freedom consists in doing everything that could make him stronger, happier and free to develop all his talents.

The “superbarbarian” hates Christians, as they always propose conscience troubles to him.

Christians defend the rights of the weaker, sick people and that kind of people stops the “superbarbarian” and hampers him.

The “superbarbarian” is, by definition, a man that does what pleases him, going where his body, his needs, his strength, his will and talents lead him.

The “superbarbarian” has been mistaken too often as “the most evolved man” along the XX th century.

He is not such, he is only “Conan the barbarian”, the famous character of the motion pictures and comic-books: a big barbarian.

32—Darwinist biologists abuse of the concept of “mutation”.

They explain everything by a “mutation” but they unable to explain how happened this “mutation”, why and for what purpose.

In Philosophy, there is the classic subject of the substance and the accidents.

The living beings need to keep an essence or substantial form, a stable form meanwhile their accidents can change.

Accidents are shallow events or parts, not belonging to the substance which doesn't change.

All living beings change all time.

It is called the Perpetual Change.

Stagnation means death on this Universe.

All things must move or perish.

But if the living beings hadn't a stable form we call substance or essence,

it would be impossible the existence of the living beings with a given form or constitution if they were on a permanent state of flux.

The substance cannot change, the accidents are those which change.

Aristotle believed that the form is already inside the matter but it needs men to draw it from matter and we do it when we act or work.

The artists does it when working on the matter, sportsman does it when training his body (which is just a heap of matter without the right shape until the athlete develops his body after much training), the playwright does it when he writes a tragedy (the drama was already in the World, the playwright just gives it a form as a play).

Sportsmen know that if they doesn't train just a single day, they lose their right athletic shape.

Sportsmen know that everyday is different and that they must find the right shape of their body for that day and training.

Their body changes slightly day by day, month after month and year after year following Nature's changes and the good sportsmen must find they best athletic shape for the body they get every day and every decade of their life.

The actor does the same when working with his own body; sometimes he plays a boy (when he is 12 years old) and other times we plays an old man (when he turns 75 years old).

On all those cases, the actor plays men who are himself working on his own body and mind. His body and mind are only matter (for Aristotle) and must receive a form by the work of this same man on himself.

For Lamarck and the current genetists, it would mean that our DNA (as it is the pool of our "primary characteristics") is just matter (although it bears the genetic fingerprinting on how are our constitution, our mind, our limbs, our senses) and men must work on their own matter, their bodies and minds to achieve a form.

It can be a professional form, an athletic form, a form given by following a certain ideology or creed or a form

achieved after endorsing a specialized training of many years.

Lamarck would call this form our “secondary characteristics” and according to him, the living beings inherit them from their forefathers.

Genetists say that we inherit them because these forms or secondary characteristics achieved by our ancestors are written in some way inside our DNA.

It means that, from a Lamarckian point of view, the differences among men arise from the different skills developed by our ancestors by working on a given trade, by military or athletic training, by the education and knowledge received by them and by whatever other quirk they had on their body, mind or personality.

For politics, it means that the upper classes are composed by people with a great development of their bodies and minds by training and education, many times inherited from their ancestors meanwhile the lower classes are composed by the people without a form or with only a scanty form by lack of health, education or a good body.

The political strife would be caused then by the trying of the lowest classes to improve their living conditions, to get the means to develop their bodies and minds, meanwhile the upper classes would contrive to keep their privileges, concerning their good social and professional position and money, gained after so much hardship,

hindering the opportunities for the lower classes to develop themselves in body and mind as the upper classes fear the lower classes turning in time rivals of them to get a good life.

More or less this is what Aristotle said on his book "Politics" on how works the society's dynamics.

Sometimes the upper classes play foul and devise dinging theories such Darwinism to justify their privileges.

This is what has happened on the past 150 years and the Victorian British gentry and middle classes are to blame for it.

A man without a form is nothing, is like a beast, all matter without a form.

Form cannot be granted by a blind evolution, neither by random or by some chemical cosmic plan written as DNA.

We give ourselves a form when we work on ourselves.

Students do it when they learn a book.

Apprentices do it when they learn a craft.

Musicians do it when they master their instrument after practising so many scales and arpeggios.

Nobody can stand a man which is out of shape, sappy, flabby, bulky: he is formless.

He is just a genetic machine, grown from the instructions given by his ADN but without a form.

Nobody stands a singer which is out of tune, a clumsy football player or a lecturer who hasn't prepared his speech.

Darwinists say that we should get the form since birth as it should be written inside our DNA. It is not.

So when the biologists talk on the "mutations", they are referring to changes inside the substance as the constitution of the living beings or changes on their accidents?

We know that changes or "mutations" referring to the deep structure of the living being, sometimes lead to miscarriages, deformations and monsters.

Biologists, to the day, still don't know what causes those "mutations".

And they don't know neither if those "mutations" change parts of the inner structure or substance of the living being or if they change only shallow characteristics of it (the accidents).

Lamarck believed that the training of the mind and body by our ancestors could cause “mutations” in our “secondary characteristics” (or accidents).

But Lamarck knew nothing on how could come a “mutation” on our “primary characteristics” (our substance).

Lamarck researched only on the “secondary characteristics”.

Current genetists are researching on our “primary characteristics”, those that make a fish a fish and a man a man.

Aristotle introduced this classical concept in Philosophy: matter and form.

For Aristotle, DNA would be part of the matter of which it is made man (and therefore Aristotle’s “matter” would mean the “primary characteristics” of Lamarck) meanwhile what Lamarck called “secondary characteristics” are what Aristotle called the form.

We cannot change our matter of which we are made of, but we can train our form, to get the form of a shoemaker, a welder, a tennis player, a violinist or else.

Our “secondary characteristics” can be trained to a given point (the point which allows our human constitution, our substance, and no further) and by training it we can get a professional form.

Those “secondary characteristics” can be inherited by our descendants as they are “written” by some way on our genes.

The sportsmen know very well the concept of “form” because they must train everyday to achieve such “form” on their body and if they don’t train a single day, they feel that they have lost their “right form”.

The human body keeps itself on a delicate balance by all the thousands of functions that work at the same time inside it.

How it is regulated this balance and its development, at the childhood and adolescence of our life and along thousands of years of the life of the human species?

We know that this balance is very fragile and must be very measured and slow or it can be wrecked easily by all kind of physical and chemical processes that happen in the Universe.

When this balance is broken, monsters are born.

We believe that the planet Earth is the wise ruler of our inner balance.

The planet develops the living beings carefully when the time is right for it, when the geological age is correct.

Every living being on this planet is an adaptation to the conditions ruling the Earth on a given geological age, as Buffon and Linnaeus suspected.

There is no reason to say that some living beings of past geological ages were “worst” or “less evolved” than the living beings of other geological ages.

In fact, all the living beings just adapt to the living conditions of each geological age, and they are not better or worse, or with more primitive skeletons or forms than others.

They are only adaptations to that geological age.

Fossils and other evidences of old living beings can be interpreted by many ways, according to the ideology of the biologist.

If you are a Darwinist, you will see evidence of old living beings less “evolved” than the current ones.

If you are a Lamarckian like us, you will see on those fossils just variations on a same eternal form of a living being, variations which are shallow and meaningless.

Darwinists explain that the strange animals and plants of Australia and New Zealand appeared because the isolation of those islands from the other continents for millions of years.

But Darwinists don't realise that the whole planet Earth is too an island without contact with the rest of the Universe.

So the "strange" living beings of this planet should be explained, according to those Darwinists, by the condition of "island" of the Earth, very faraway from other planets.

But most Darwinists are not able to accept that the planet Earth, considered as an island, be the developer of all the living creatures.

35—Another inconsistency of the Darwinist biologists is that most of them are ecologists too, talking on preserving the species, keeping clean the planet, protecting the environment.

So they act as ecologists and anti darwinists, because they don't allow to act their "natural selection" which should have turned extinct since many years ago the eagles and bears if it not were by the action of the ecologists.

Or what about the Araucaria of Chile, a tree which is considered by them a "living fossil", a tree with a very primitive structure.

Without the help of the ecologists, such primitive tree would have become extinct years ago.

36—No one genius has said on himself that he was “more evolved” than the other men.

Einstein or Leonardo knew that they were just men like the others with a brain that had more sharpness, abilities or a state of grace by which they could think better and longer than the other men.

Darwinists don't want to be geniuses, they want to be greater, stronger, richer.

Yehudi Menuhin was the greatest violin player of the past century and never talked on himself as a “more evolved” man than the others, he always said that he was just a man with a gift for music, he was a philanthropist, practising yoga.

The geniuses should be the only ones that could claim they are “more evolved” than the others, but they never think it on themselves.

37—The Greek materialists such Epicurus and Democritus accepted by implication that Life was a development of the chemistry of the carbon, along millions of years, by thousands of variations.

They said that some living beings had “better matter, with better composites and on much quantity” than others and by it, some living beings were more “subtle” than others.

But Democritus and Epicurus never said that those with better conglomerate material should turn the tyrants of the other living beings with worse composites.

It is Darwin who introduces the concept of “the best must survive”.

Epicurus always talked on sharing a community of friends, to avoid the outside life which is a dinner of wolves and vultures.

He knew there was a “natural selection” but he never said that “the more evolved must survive, the others must perish”.

Epicurus developed a philosophy of friendship against the evils of this World (specially death).

Epicurus would have said that one of the evils of this world was this natural selection understood as the barbarians do, as a survival of the best and death for the others.

Darwin is the one that introduces such barbarian concepts inside the Greek old materialism.

Darwin is like a barbarian who, 2500 years ago, had read the books of Aristotle and Epicurus and had interpreted according to his barbarian mind those Greek teachings: this is what Darwin did.

The old barbarians gazed the Universe as a grind, always turning, crushing the wheat.

Men enter the grind, are used, are spoilt and are replaced by other young, fresh men for the grind, on a never ending process.

Men were meaningless, the grind was the only thing.

The grind couldn't stop.

The current Capitalist industrial, economic machine is our current grind, another old Barbarian concept on fashion today.

As we say in Spain: "So this is Castile, that raises the men and uses them until they worn out".

39—Kropotkin, the anarchist of the XIX th century, wowed for a "reciprocal help" among all men.

Darwinism cannot explain how is it possible the help among humans on this cruel, merciless World.

Christians have helped others since 2000 years ago.

All their acts hamper the "natural selection" as they cure and rescue the poor and weak.

Nietzsche said in his book "The genealogy of moral" that Christians were weak ad sick and developed their

religion of compassion because they knew it was the only way for them to survive.

We know that the big cargo ships and supertankers of the oceans don't stop to rescue castaways, they have a schedule to fulfil and cannot stop to help anybody.

They turn blind to the needs of those that are on the verge of dying drowned.

Sometimes they say: "there was nobody on the ship's floor as the cargo goes by automatic pilot".

The people of our big towns act the same way when they see at the streets the "homeless", they turn blind or they don't stop to help, they say they are busy or on a rush.

Our societies are Darwinists, very Darwinists.

40—A technocrat mistakes to be "more evolved" for to be "more specialized".

Mankind turned more and more specialized thousands of years ago,.We can say that men are just "specialized monkeys".

But a more specialized man is not a more evolved man.

Our societies, so much advanced technologically, need, to be operational, a lot of workers specialized on many different trades.

But they are not more evolved than the other men, they are just more specialized on their profession.

An Olympic champion is neither a more evolved man, he is only a man specialized in training for years to win an Olympic gold medal.

Some people have the need to demonstrate they are always the best on all kind of matters.

They must demonstrate to their family that they are the best son, to the country that they are the best man, they have the need to be someone important perhaps because inside their own family everybody had been someone important.

When a Darwinist becomes an employer, he must demonstrate to everybody that his company is the best.

But he is not a more evolved man, as he believes on himself, he is only a man who has devoted his life to win at everything he does.

A technocrat is a Darwinist because he believes he has a better, larger education than the people of the countryside.

He thinks on himself as a more evolved men, but he is not such: if he gets lost at the mountains, all his professional knowledge will turn useless, and he will become in a few days a primitive man struggling to survive.

Most people are Darwinist because they live in a big town with lots of opportunities to study several careers and just by it they think on themselves that they are “more evolved” than the other people.

Sometimes the technocrat wants to turn all the country a never ending collection of suburbs and industrial estates, as he feels uncomfortable when he is at the countryside surrounded by cows at the mountains.

He realises at the outdoors that all his “superiority” fades away when he is out of his big town, as his “more evolved” conceit comes from living on a big town with lots of opportunities to grow.

And the technocrat wants to fill all the planet of big towns, to never feel bad this way again when he gets lost at the mountains.

41—Let’s talk now on the “secret nazi”.

This man is usually a manager, chief, president of a big corporation, a millionaire, a winner, a member of the upper class, a man with outstanding personal features such beauty, strength, ability to work hard, talent, who believes on himself as a man “better than the others”.

He always ask the others to work hard, to produce the best goods, he wants that everybody fight to climb high at the profession, he demands that the country be the best of the World with the best products, he has a need that everything that surrounds him be of the uppermost quality, he asks the working class to be more productive at the factories, he asks his Human Resources Manager to hire only the best employees.

He is very proud of himself as a winner and despises the weak, the losers and the handicapped.

This is the kind of guy that Darwinism promotes.

His mind is very inserted with Darwinist ideas, he must be the best, he suffers the Darwinist fever by which he must win at everything and demonstrate to everybody that he is the best at everything.

And many times he doesn't realise that he is a nazi.

He thinks in secret that all the sick, weak and handicapped should be finished.

He thinks in secret that the people of the working class shouldn't have so many rights as they have, and he thinks on them just as "slaves".

This "secret nazi" wears good, expensive clothes, and poses as a good citizen.

But inside his mind he thinks that only the handsome, well built, talented people like him should live in this World and the others, the ugly, those without drift should be exterminated.

When this kind of man is too a technocrat, he believes that the goal of Mankind is to progress on Science and Technology to conquer the Universe someday and to adapt it to the human needs.

As he is very committed to this goal, he thinks that the only people worth to live are those who are technocrats like him and who work researching on Science or Technology or work on some related areas.

The other people are only “parasites” for him, people who don’t contribute to the progress of Science and they can be forgotten, exterminated or just left out at their luck.

The technocrat believes he is more evolved than the other men because he has studied difficult scientific careers, he has worked very hard to get his position and he is one of the best at his profession.

He despises the ecologists, who don’t have a goal for Mankind and who only pretend to live well along their life without struggling to make money or to get a good position.

Ecologists believe that the Intelligent Designer of Man is the very same planet Earth, as we are products of this

planet and we cannot rebel against it, without hurting ourselves, as we are part of the planet.

Bakunin said the same process that humanises monkeys (understood the monkeys as pure tyrannical beasts), turning them humans, men humanises Nature on a sort of feed-back.

The technocrats could face, in the future, how they are replaced by robots.

Robots are much better workers and researchers than them.

Robots could be the next dominant species on this planet.

Robots use us to reproduce themselves and can explore other planets without the organic human problems.

42—Darwinists believe natural selection and evolution are Gods, the real intelligent designers of the Universe.

Those Gods decide what direction must take Life and how.

Darwinists believe men are better than monkeys and day after day we are better than them as we have Science and Technology and monkeys don't.

Science will turn us "supermen", someday.

Darwinists never think on Science as a way to know ourselves better but as a way of turning ourselves “bigger” like supermen.

Technocrats hope to be the new aristocracy of the future by the genetic manipulation to settle soon on other planets where the technocrats will follow their evolution towards a superior form of life.

They are the new aristocracy, they believe they have more talents and better body than the old men of bygone centuries and the future belongs to their new aristocracy of more evolved people.

The other men not evolved can die.

43—Darwinists explain everything by the cheap trick of the “natural selection”: everything is caused by the natural selection, it is a very easy way to explain everything without explaining nothing really.

We see it every day at the Nature documentaries at the TV, the reporters explain everything “because the natural selection”, when in fact they don’t know a thing on how happened it.

Mortadelo and Filemon are two comic-book characters very popular in Spain.

They use to beat each other by all the available means.

Mortadelo and Filemon represent the sadomasochism, Spaniard style.

Darwinism is sadomasochist, it sends people to treat each other by beats, insults, scorns and violence, always to demonstrate oneself to the others that he is stronger or smarter than the others.

Thor and Loki lived this way, always teasing, beating and betraying each other, as it is told at the Scandinavian sagas.

Darwinist lifestyle leads the people to humiliate each other, to show that oneself is better than the others.

Darwinist lifestyle is sadomasochistic, every man believes on himself he is the best and the one that must “survive” to natural selection and he treats ruthlessly the other men, who play the same game on him.

As it is told on the Eurythmics song: “Sweet dreams”, “everybody use you and everybody is used by you”. Darwinist lifestyle comes from the Barbarian times when to commiserate meant to be weak.

45—Some Schools of psychiatry, such as Wilhelm Reich's, believe Darwinism lifestyle causes a lot of neurosis, paranoias, obsessions, manias, depressions and sadomasochistic behaviours in our societies.

Men must show every day that they are better than the others.

The mind collapses after such stress, soon or later.

Gulliver felt himself sometimes a giant (when he lived among the dwarfs) and other times a dwarf (when he lived among the giants).

The Darwinist man sometimes feels himself a giant when he knows he is very superior to other men and other times he feels himself a dwarf when he is in company of very talented people.

46—Poets compare too often the big town lifestyle with the life in a jungle.

But the real jungles of the Amazon or South Asia are never so merciless as the human jungle of the big towns are.

In the real jungle, we know that the big fish eats the small fish and the eagles prey on the pigeons.

There is death, without any doubt: some animals prey on the others and they devour each other.

But there is too an ecological balance where many living beings are born again to be hunted by others on a stable ecological scheme.

The human jungle is much worse.

The environment is poisonous because the pollution, amidst concrete and asphalt, people is bad, treacherous, selfish, they treat each other as if they didn't belong to the same species.

Every man craves to win and to make money and later to enjoy a big life, enjoying his own beautiful body and those of the others.

Some businesses try to sink other businesses or to steal their market, every factory must find a product on demand to make it with the right, cheaper technology or the factory goes broke.

Human jungle is far more chaotic than the real jungle.

The human laws and rules try to keep some kind of balance inside this human jungle.

Darwinists have built this inhuman human jungle that we meet at the big towns.

Darwinists tell us since 150 years ago that human life must be a struggle to survive.

But most of those Darwinists live very comfy at the upper floors of their skyscrapers and from there they gaze down at the streets how the ordinary people fight each other to survive, to get a job or to pay their bills.

Those rich Darwinists are the only ones that enjoy the lifestyle of the big towns, as they have much money to live at the best places, eat at the best restaurants, buy at the most expensive shops and travel by private jet.

They rule the country from the upper floors of their skyscrapers, as if they were Zeus at the Olympus, without turning spoilt or weary by the harsh human jungle that runs on the streets, The millionaire are untouched by this every day war that fights the ordinary people.

Those rich Darwinist promote Darwinism on our societies, telling people that they must work harder or they will be fired and telling them Life is a never ending struggle to survive.

But those rich Darwinists at their offices enjoy a quiet, easy and pleasant life making a lot of money by the exploitation and the toil of thousands of workers of this country.

Such Darwinists apply to others the principles of Social Darwinism but never to themselves: their lifestyle is very relaxed and world-class and they should turn extinct for being lazy and flimsy if the Darwinist laws were the truth, but they don't die because Darwinism is only a political theory that they apply on the working class, never on themselves.

It is so that the human jungle is an artificial, unnatural jungle made by the Darwinists to make money using the work of the working class people.

Human jungle is much worse than the real jungle.

47—Those persons with a well built, handsome, beautiful, athletic, perfect body think by instinct that all the people should be like them, perfect.

They don't understand why the other people is not as perfect as they are.

And they tend to think that the other people are "freaks".

They cannot stand imperfection either on the other people or on the public administration.

They need that everything must be perfect: their own life, their country, their family...

They only stand the people who is perfect like them.

As Democritus said, each person perceives the World according to his inner constitution.

If that person is handsome, he will perceive the World from the constitution of his senses as belonging to the body of a beautiful person.

The handsome cannot stand the ugly, the athletic cannot stand the fat and a race cannot stand another race because, as Democritus said, each person perceives the others from his own body and senses, which admit

some variations from person to person and from one race to another race.

Each person perceives the World in a different way, depending on his kind of body and on some variations of his senses, which depend too on the kind of body of that person.

But genetists tell us that all men share the same DNA.

But the every day experience tell us that some races hate others, that the Israelis and the Palestinians are not compatible, some people don't want to relate with other kind of people, the people of the right loathe the people of the left and sometimes they kill each other in bloody Civil Wars.

Hate among men arises from those differences among men, differences that, Democritus said, spring from the slightest differences on our bodies and can lead some people to the desire to destroy the other ones because they cannot stand them.

Genetists don't know how to explain those unpleasant human behaviours, as we share all the same DNA and we shouldn't be so different.

We should be like brothers or angels to each other, as Beethoven said on his "Ode to joy": all of us identical, sharing the same DNA.

We see in real life that every man tries to assert himself against the others, imposing the talents on

which he knows he is better than the others and turning a tyrant over the others, to get a better life for him.

Some persons are dexter at Maths, others are very handy, others are good at arts or sports, some have an amazing memory and others have a natural ease for the public relations.

But from where come those talents, if we got an identical DNA?

48—The posh, the snobs are often Darwinists too. They believe this World is like Serengeti but bigger.

Hemingway believed it too, he worshipped hunting, death, risky life, bullfighting, violence and life understood as a fight.

Hemingway suffered a depressive mood after gazing the daily slaughterhouse it was the animal World, specially at places like Serengeti, and to overcome his depression, Hemingway turned a worshipper of this bloody scheme of things.

Many people react the same way when they realise that the animals eat each other. They don't assume that we live in two different Worlds.

One is the animal World where all the animals hunt each other.

The other is the human World where the human laws apply.

The human World is not Serengeti but a sophisticated civilization.

We share the same planet but the animal laws are not the same than the human laws.

Darwinism as natural selection and survival of the strongest only happens on the animal world.

There is no reason why Darwinism should apply too to the human world.

If the Darwinists believe that the human world should be ruled by natural selection and survival of the best, they should migrate to the most dangerous countries of the Earth, such Haiti, Solomon Islands and Papua.

There the people is so “animal like” that they kill each other every day.

Darwinists should go there if they love so much this lifestyle, definitely Darwinist.

But they don't want to migrate to those awful countries, they prefer to live at the developed countries with all their laws and comfort, with heating system at home, a car and Medicare.

Haiti, Solomon Islands and Papua can claim with honours that they are the real Darwinlands of this planet.

There people must really fight hard everyday to survive.

The posh say life must be a every day gladiator fight to the death at the arena of the Roman Coliseum but they don' t want to live at Papua, the hell on this planet.

Sometimes Darwinists visit those countries to enjoy a "rich Westerner tourist adventure travel" as they are very bored at their Western advanced countries and have the need of some kind of excitement.

But they never stay more than a few weeks at those countries.

Hemingway felt awe in front of the every day slaughter at Serengeti and he overcame his disgust by turning a worshipper of this state of things: he loved hunting, killing, bullfighting, living dangerously.

He was wrong, he fell in a sort of "Stockholm syndrome" the kind of syndrome where kidnapped people begins to talk well on their kidnapers.

We have been kidnapped on this dangerous World by God, our kidnapper and we begin to talk well on him, because the "Stockholm syndrome "when God is really the responsible of all the blood and evil on this World.

Darwinists suffer too this wrong psychological reaction, they have been hurt by the raw facts of life on this planet and to avoid to face the reality, they have turned fanatical Darwinists, turning his worship of a God that cannot be good if he created such a bad world, to a new worship: the Darwinists new gods “natural selection and evolution”, which are as bad as the former God but whom Darwinists worship foolishly as they worshipped for centuries their former God the same way: because they fear him.

They fear natural selection and evolution.

This is the psychological explanation on why people turn Darwinist and it is because a variation of the “Stockholm syndrome”.

Evolution and natural selection are evils and to overcome his fear of them,

the Darwinist begins to worship them, as they have done all the superstitious men of all times with their dreadful Gods.

—Raimon Panikkar said once:

“The soul doesn’t depend on the laws of the evolution neither on the law of the stronger”. It is a classical Platonic argumentation: the soul cannot be explained by Darwinism.

The Darwinists always talk on the body and its evolution, but they never talk on the “evolution” of the soul.

Plato said that the soul never evolves as it is always the way it is since ever.

—Darwinists see us like stalactites of a cave, made by the very slow gathering of organic matter along millions of years.

But a man is not a stalactite made by beads of water with lime dripping from the cave’s ceiling.

—Pierre Boulle wrote his novel “Planet of the Apes” when he was a French officer in French South Asia, before the independence of those countries.

The novel is racist on a covered way as it talks on the thoughts of Boulle when meeting the Indonesian which he, as a white man, compared with monkeys, specially with the orang utans or “men of the jungle”.

Boulle suffered a cultural shock in Indonesia, he couldn’t understand that people who lived, from his point of view, like monkeys.

He felt himself like a man among monkeys and this is the main subject of the novel as it was written by Boulle.

Hollywood gave a different meaning to the motion picture of the series “Planet of the Apes”, thanks to the

rewriting of the script by Rod Serling, a very sceptic science-fiction writer and the author of most of the scripts of “Twilight Zone”.

Now men live like animals in a planet ruled by the intelligent monkeys.

Men are so brutish that they have almost exterminated themselves by a nuclear war.

Monkeys are better than men and men are now shown at the zoos like animals.

My favourite motion picture of the series is the third: “Escape from the planet of Apes”.

Here in this motion picture a human scientist tries to kill a couple of intelligent monkeys because they destroy his own theory, more or less Darwinist, his theory that Men are the supreme creatures of the Universe.

Most Darwinists act like this scientist: if they observe some fact that hampers the theory of evolution, they hide it to the public, because they believe on themselves that they are the best creatures of the Universe, the most evolved.

Other motion pictures of those series show a conflict between two species or classes: those more “evolved” against those “less evolved”.

Marx could have said that all the fight between classes arises from the delays on the “evolution” of the lower class as compared with the upper class.

Others could say that along the Mankind History, those fights between two “Homo Sapiens” species have been recurrent:

Neanderthals against Cro-Magnons, Europeans against Native Americans...

—The human neck is a very complex fabric of muscles and vertebrae and it keeps its place on the body by a complex balance of forces that we cannot yet understand.

We don't understand neither in all its implications the miracle of bipedestation which demands too a complex balance among many muscles, some of them antagonists, and limbs.

The rib cage is a miracle too of ribs and muscles bound with the vertebrae and we are still far from understanding it, specially when the rib cage has achieved a very athletic form, as it happens on the sportsmen.

The rib cage, as well as all the other parts of the body, can be trained by exercise, military training or sports.

Men can reach then an athletic form, as the human constitution bears the potential for such development.

A simpleton evolution cannot explain how the very complex human constitution came to be.

There must be some much more complex explanations for our very complex body.

—Hegel talks on Phrenology in his book “Phenomenology of the Spirit”, it was a pseudo science on fashion at his time.

Phrenology esteems that people is more or less evolved depending on their skull measures.

Phrenologists measured carefully the skulls of the people.

But if the phrenologists were right, then the most evolved living being would be an elephant.

Or the men with bigger heads, those so called “cow heads”, should be the most evolved men.

Or the man of the future should have the head of an elephant and the body of a child.

But no human skeleton could stand the weight of an elephant’ s brain.

The human body must fulfil a lot of requirements to fit the Physics laws: our brain cannot be too much big or otherwise our body would collapse, our head cannot be too much big or otherwise women could not deliver us.

—From some thousands of years ago, there is no natural selection among sheep, cows, dogs, horses and other tamed animals.

We men practise a kind of “artificial selection” on those animals, selecting the races and crossing them for its productivity or beauty.

We humans play God here, taking the role of the intelligent designers that decide what animals survive and what don't.

Is our “artificial selection”, hampering the “natural selection”.

We do the same thing with the edible plants: the current maize comes from the Mexican teosinte after thousands of years of experimentation crossing the variations.

—Many classical philosophers have believed that the Human species is the one and only fruit of this planet and that if the conditions of this planet change someday, the Human species will disappear to give room to new species adapted to the new conditions.

So thought D' Holbach, the German materialist that lived in France, who wrote in his “System of Nature”: the human species is a specific product of this planet on its current conditions and the Human species depends on

those current conditions of this planet as we are tied with them.

Nature is our Mother.

—There is a way to resolve the huge dilemmas that we face when we think on the origin of Life and Men.

If we accept that this subject is so complex, so much above human ability to handle it, and that people is so diverse, with other experiences of life on this planet and they can imagine many different theories or variations of Evolutionism and Creationism then we should accept that an eclectic theory is the best option.

An eclectic theory gathers all the theories men have proposed on the origin of Life and Men, accepting there is some truth in all of them, as they emerge from the experiences of individuals that have lived and perceived some fact that has convinced them they were right on their thoughts.

Every Darwinist, anti Darwinist or Creationist has known, by his own personal experience, some truth on how is Life on this planet, and he believes it.

For a Darwinist that all his life has known no other thing than struggles and rivalry against other men, because he was raised on a bad suburb, for such a man, Darwin was absolutely right.

For a Creationist that has lived all his life among brothers of his Church, helping each other when in need, it is evident that this World cannot be a hunting of men.

As Leibniz said, we are all like “monads” and each one is different and sees and thinks the Universe by a different way, as each monad occupies a different time and space.

Each monad owns his own little truth on how is Life on this planet.

—A place very conquered by the Darwinists are the Management Schools.

There, Social Darwinism is taught as dogma.

Here in Spain we suffer the influence of those Management Schools, all of them a copy of the US Management Schools.

They teach to act like Social Darwinists with the employees, with the competition from other firms and with the consumers.

—Television is another very Darwinist closed world. Television producers choose only the handsomer, well built, the stars, football players, singers or TV anchors to be spotted at their TV shows.

They say some people is “loved” by the TV cameras and others are not.

Television is the principal window of a country by which it sells to its countrymen the excellences of the country.

Television is the biggest instrument of propaganda ever.

The political power controls the TV to show their countrymen a favourable image of the country: everything goes well, everything is all right at the country, there are no problems at all.

TV is Darwinist because it only allows to be shown there those who are the best men and women of the country.

Top models sell their body for a lot of money as they are the best and make think the public that all is right in the country's affairs.

Photo makers and cameramen search for the picture where the top model looks more sexy or "superior" and sometimes the use of cocaine is needed to get such appearance.

As MacLuhan said, people has a tendency to believe that the true reality is what they watch at the TV and that all the World is plenty of top models and handsome people like the ones spotted at the TV programs.

The morning after, people walks by the street and compares the ordinary people with those top models he saw last night at the TV.

For Darwinists, the TV is the main tool of propaganda to show to the public that only the best survive, as only the best are allowed to be shown at the TV.

—Since Darwin's publication of his book, it has been labelled a new kind of specialized literature: the Darwinist books and the books written by the not Darwinist or written by the Creationist.

Hundreds of books have been published in the past 100 years belonging to this new label.

Most of the books against Darwinism are little known by the public, as the Darwinist biologists that control the Universities have blockaded them, keeping them almost hidden for the public.

But there are hundreds of books against Darwinism that tell the same things I say.

It is a scandal that along the XX th century most people hadn't had the chance to listen to the not Darwinists and our reasons.

This scandal can be explained only by a massive conspiracy by the Darwinist biologists to hide to the public the flaws of Darwinism, because political reasons

as our current Wild Capitalist system cannot work but under a very Social Darwinist coverage.

Darwinism has been for many years a sort of dictatorship, censoring the books of the not Darwinists.

Nothing to do with a real democracy.

—Darwinists say on other geological ages Men were reptiles and fish.

They should have become extinct then, after the rising of Men, as many other species have done, becoming extinct when a new, more evolved species has taken its place on the World.

It seems that at every geological age, some species don' t evolve at all but remain forever on a state of uppermost development already reached by them, such the sharks.

Why some primitive Human tribes cannot survive forever undisturbed?

Why the other more evolved Humans want to kill them?

Why the primitive tribes cannot stay forever as they are now if other species don' t evolve further, such the sharks, and never become extinct?

Why the Darwinists are so obsessed to exterminate those Humans who don't evolve?

Probably because they are posh and they only stand a beautiful, perfect World filled with beautiful, perfect people like themselves and want to exterminate those humans that don't fit on their concept on what should be the World.

—There are some animals which are impossible to explain for Darwinism: the kangaroo, the koala.

The kangaroo is a handicapped animal, his front claws are atrophied and his rear limbs are hypertrophied.

Why?

The koala is the lazy bear of Australia, he is too slow to flee from the predators.

The koala suffers a very slow metabolism, he must spend many hours sleeping.

Even long before the arrival of the British and their weapons, the koalas were defenceless against whatever other creature.

We don't accept the usual explanation given by the Darwinists that the isolation of Australia preserved the koala from continental predators.

The koala is by default an animal impossible on this World according to the Darwinist laws.

The shark is a fish that hasn't evolved for millions of years, we suppose that it is so perfect as a living being that it has no need to evolve further.

Some say that the shark reached a perfect design millions of years ago and that was all.

So it is all a matter of reaching a perfect design and then after we cease to evolve further?

Can we find human beings so perfect that they don't have the need to evolve further neither?

What if I say that some Amazonian tribes are so perfect with their ecological lifestyle that they don't need to evolve further?

Why should the Darwinists exterminate such Amazonian tribes?

—The North Americans mistake “to be bigger” for “to be more evolved”.

The North Americans believe on themselves that they are more evolved than the other nations because they are bigger and they manage more information (it is because so that the US Universities have the largest libraries and archives of the World).

But to be more evolved has nothing to do with to be bigger and to gather more information.

A North American man is not a more evolved man, because he spends a lot of energy, electricity, food, carbon, petrol and many gadgets to feel himself well served in this life.

The USA is the country which needs more petrol and other primary resources to keep it working.

And the USA is the more polluted country in the World by radioactivity, industrial waste and chemical poisons.

The North Americans don't live well with their environment, they don't fit with their environment, they abuse of it.

Instead an Asian such a Thailand man is a much more evolved man, as he spends little energy, he eats just a dish of rice, with an austere lifestyle, he has got an average height with good muscles and can work steady all day without damaging his environment.

An Asian would be a more evolved man than a big North American.

—Men don't descend from apes because Men are themselves apes.

We are a special ape, a rare kind of ape.

It is doubtful that Genetics could change someday our nature of ape. We are very ape-like when we are kids and old men.

—Darwinists turn evolution a sort of Goddess whom humans must obey, willingly or not.

Epicurus knew there was an evolution, but he considered it an evil.

He developed a philosophy of friendship among humans to flee from the evils of this World and among those evils this blind, merciless evolution.

Genetists hope they will master evolution some day to the point that this evolution obey humans and not the opposite like now.

Genetists hope they will lead evolution to the direction chosen by we humans.

By genetic manipulation, we can manipulate evolution.

There are many dangers, we know, such the arrival of monsters and deformities, but Genetists will take the risks.

It is the future.

—Bertrand Jordan, in his book “The impostors of Genetics”, says that the biologists lack on training in Philosophy but despite it, they dare to order the people what is good and what is bad in Ethics, and on subjects such homosexuality, the disabled, those sick of diabetes, of Huntington disease, of thalassemia.

Biologists are technicians, not judges on what is good and what is bad.

—Creationists want to justify their God as an intelligent designer who wanted to create Men as we are nowadays, no matter if their God needed millions of years to accomplish the job.

We the Lamarckians, we want that the political use of Darwinism ends forever and that the biologists forget Darwinism as an old, XIX th century theory devised for the people of the XIX th century, as it is, and that the biologists work to develop a better theory, a theory for the XXI th century for the people of the XXI th century.

We need a better, richer biological theory explaining more things of this World that the old Darwinism cannot explain.

—If some day genetic manipulation gets the cure for all the diseases suffered by the Human species, including all kind of cancers and birth flaws, it will come a great equality among all men.

All of us will enjoy at last a good, healthy body.

This will bother those who already enjoy today a good body and health and who have become accustomed to be the aristocrats of our time.

They would prefer to remain the only ones with good body and health.

They will object to the coming of healing by genetic manipulation.

They don't want that all men enjoy the health and good body they got.

—Biologists love to have political power.

They love to control people, to say what is good and what is bad.

They love to get the power to influence the people of their time.

But biologists are only taxonomists, they describe the parts of the living beings, of the cells, of the genes.

Taxonomy is just taxonomy, it explains nothing, just describes.

You can do taxonomy on the millions of the Universe's stars but by it you won't explain what is the Universe.

We Philosophers must bring on the explanations.

Biologists so many times get lost on never ending arguments on the parts of the DNA and so on.

We Philosophers we have a better perspective to see what is really important on all the recent discoveries on Genetics.

And biologists too often fall into the cheap trick of explaining everything by the “natural selection” as if it were an explanation by itself.

We Philosophers we relate the new Genetics discoveries with the classical Philosophical books to see if the biologists say something really new or not.

Biologists are just the plumbers of the genes, they work with the genes but they don't know the meaning of it all.

We Philosophers must do this job, always keeping in mind the Human scale and the Human needs which so often the biologists forget when spending too many hours at their laboratories gazing the DNA.

Biologists dwell among the clouds, they live inside their microscopic world, inside the DNA, they have lost sight with the real world, they live in an unreal world, a world of madmen.

It is like living aboard the submarine of “Fantastic voyage” as retold by Isaac Asimov, reduced in size and sailing through the DNA and its chemical reactions.

Biologists shouldn't translate what they have lived and seen on their DNA world to our real world, there is no relationship at all, they are two different worlds.

They incur on the “Biologist fallacy”, a fallacy that happens when a biologist wants the real world be like the microscopic world he has seen.

DNA explains nothing on what is Life and Man, as DNA is just a very complex bunch of chemical reactions among amine acids, enzymes and proteins.

If we were a sceptic Greek, we would ask: “and below the DNA, what can we find, and below this, and below this, and below this...”.

We will always discover in the future something beyond the DNA that we couldn’t know at present.

A sceptic Greek would say that the DNA explains nothing.

DNA is just s a lot of very complex chemical reactions among amine acids, proteins and enzymes.

That’s all.

Some genetists want to see on the DNA, projections of their own prejudices and personal ideologies, but the DNA is just a lot of chemical reactions.

Biological facts are neuters, they have no ideology.

Men do have ideology and they project it on the biological facts, but the biological facts have no ideology at all.

Biological facts can be interpreted by many different ways according to the ideology of the biologist.

It happens with the fossils and bone remainders found at Atapuerca and elsewhere.

Darwinists interpret them by a given way and not— Darwinists interpret them by another way.

Aristotle would say that what is really important is that all the living beings must have a beginning, a middle section and an end.

The living being must be wholesome, without the lack of some part.

Only this way it can come to life.

Aristotle says that the creator of Man wanted him to get different sections, composing a whole where every section is related with the others.

The creator of Man was, in this sense, like the author of a tragedy that must combine too a beginning, a middle section and an end for his work to get a complete wholeness.

For the Greek materialists, we are just a compound of atoms gathered at random.

—We wait a new Ice Age for the next 5000 years.

As we are the sons of this planet, we must adjust to the reigning conditions on it.

Probably Mankind will survive to this new Ice Age by building underground towns, Calgary style, with a heating underground system.

Men are a product of this planet and we have always adapted to its situation.

This is a cogent argumentation: we must be careful with Genetics experiments as we need to understand first how this planet has made us.

—All men know since ever that there is a struggle for survival among of all the living beings, it is so easy to see that all the kids know it.

We see since our first years of life that some men survive and others die.

Darwin discovered nothing, everybody knew since ever that there was a struggle for life in this World and that you could perish.

Otherwise men would die easily at the childhood, if they couldn't learn soon that there are thousands of dangers on this World.

Darwinism is a very childish theory.

All the children know that you can die by accident, crime or starvation.

—Old Greeks wanted to live in peace with Nature: nothing in excess, they said.

Old Greeks believed that Nature rules us when we obey it, when we live a natural life, following Nature's laws.

We believe Nature is the intelligent designer of Men, she rules us, she keeps our fragile balance inside our body, she heals us, she kill us when we have finished our due time on this world, ceasing to work all the organs.

—Old Greeks would have never tolerate a painful life by living like slaves under the will of the Goddess called Natural Selection.

Epicurus had written against the pain of dying, not against the pain of being a slave of a tyrant called Natural Selection, a cold, inhuman force of the Universe, worst than death.

Epicurus knew death is not when you are alive and that death only is when you are not longer alive.

But natural selection and evolution are always there,being you alive or dead, to sour you, to turn a misery your life.

Natural selection and evolution are much worse than death.

—If you are born handsome, strong, healthy, with a lot of work ability, we must congratulate you.

You have come to Darwinland, here you will be happy, here everything is designed for your good life.

Darwinland is made for people like you.

Perfect people without any flaw.

Darwinism must be of great interest to you.

You are one of the chosen few.

You will get a future here because you are strong and big.

You won't want that this system could change as you live very well inside it.

Wild Capitalism is perfect for you as you will make a lot of money in it.

Darwinland is good for you and it can last forever.

But if you are not such a perfect person, if you are handicapped, flawed, sick, you won't have a good life, everything is against you on this Darwinland, you won't get a success in your whole life, you are doomed to live like a slave.

If you are handicapped, a disabled, a freak, a weak person, Darwinism sentences you to fade away by the name of the survival of the best.

And if you are a loser but you are stubborn enough to try to get ahead against all odds, we will put you the things as much difficult as we could, to demonstrate all the people that you must not survive: only the best must survive.

In Darwinland, everything is against you if you are a weakling.

Someday, the Universal declaration of the Human Rights will say that no man is superior to any other, although he be bigger, smarter, wiser, handsomer or with more talent than the others.

Someday the Universal declaration of the Human Rights will say that no man has the right to claim privileges for the fact of being “more evolved” than the others, neither to use the other men to become rich or to exterminate other people because they are “less evolved”.

No man will have the right to impose his own theories developed to protect his own interests, such Darwinism, because those theories justify his tyranny.

The tyrants of our time are the followers of the gorilla-men of the prehistory, a time when the gorilla-

men crushed the other members of their tribe by their superior strength.

The Darwinist prevails his nasty theory over the other men because he is still a gorilla-man wanting to rule the other men.

He knows he is stronger and smarter than the other men and wants to impose his will on them, using Darwinism as the pretext.

It is the same behaviour of the gorilla-men of the Stone Age.

—The Darwinist is a fanatical that worships Goddess Evolution and Natural Selection.

The Darwinist, as Feuerbach said, projects on his Goddess all the powers he wanted to get.

He wants for him and for his descendants a better body, better health, better mind and imagines his Goddess Evolution as empowered with all those powers.

He acts the same way that the Archaic Greeks did when imagining how could be Zeus, Apollo and the other Greek Gods, empowered with the characteristics they wanted for themselves.

So the Darwinist, believing he had finished the Age of Religion with his new theory of evolution, in fact he created a variation of the old Greek religion, calling now

Evolution to his new Goddess and dreaming that his Goddess would get everything he wanted to get: to be bigger, smarter, handsomer.

Goddess Evolution can do it...like a wizard would do it, although she lingers some millions of years.

Goddess Evolution dawdles.

Feuerbach said, following Xenophanes, that men conceive their gods from their own inner desires, ambitions and dreams.

Gods are projections of those powers we would like to get as we feel those powers inside us, asking to be developed. We empower our gods with such powers, imagining them as billions of times bigger, stronger and powerful than on our wildest dreams.

The Darwinist perceives inside him a potential to grow endlessly (as he has observed his own growth from a child to an adolescent and later a lad) and imagines his God as a being that can grow boundless forever.

He calls it an “evolution” but indeed it is only a growth.

He dreams on being more and more handsome, tall, big, strong, smart, knowing everything, with more careers and talents to do all the jobs, with huge working and thinking abilities.

He wants to get the characteristics of God.

Most North Americans are this way and we must recognise that they are very close to be a god on this world, as they exert so many talents and skills.

They conceive their God on how they are themselves, like a never ending evolution (mistaking evolution for a mere personal growth).

The Darwinist believes he is more “evolved” than the others, when in fact he only is, from a Lamarckian point of view, just more developed on his growth than the others.

He is very narcissistic, egotist and solipsist.

He turns blind to the real world filled with all kind of living beings, a world of extreme diversity, a world which doesn't suit him, and he thinks he is the only one that exists and that the only purpose of his unique existence is to evolve endlessly.

The Darwinist sees himself as more evolved than the other men and conceives from here his God as a perpetual evolution, which he worships.

He is very bumptious on his conceit of being more evolved and thinks the World must be an affair of evolutions and natural selections as he has seen many times how other people were left behind by him at the school, at his job or at the sport races, defeated and sunk by him.

And all those events have made believe him that he was better than the others.

From here he conveyed his secret believes to the concept on how should be his god.

His gods should be like himself but heftier, always evolving, always leaving behind those weak, belated, wretched people.

People he had contributed himself to sort out and exterminate in the past as he regards himself as an agent of the “natural selection”.

This man has been a successful man, a victor on everything he has done and from here he wants that all the World be a matter of evolutions and natural selections, because he has acted many times as a “natural selector” with other people and knows he has got a body and mind that can evolve (mistaking again evolving for growing).

David Hume said on his “Enquire on the human understanding” (II, 14) that the idea of God is the idea of ourselves as being intelligent, wise and good.

It is an idea we know by thinking and we want God to be like this idea of ourselves but infinitely grown.

The Darwinist has got an idea of himself as a man that can evolve very much.

And he can act too as an agent of the “natural selection” when he sends to oblivion or despair other people he has won at the races, at the castings to get a job or on other situations in life where he has competed against others and has won.

He is only a very proud man who lifts his pride to the point of desiring to found on it a “scientific” theory called Darwinism.

Darwinism is only the theory that suits those who always win, the victors, the best, the outstanding, the over endowed.

Darwinism is the perfect theory for them.

It is like taking their pride on being the best men and turning it a “scientific” theory to justify their superiority: they think are more evolved than the others and that natural selection has spared them.

There is no difference on what the Calvinists say: the best men are those chosen by God. Or what the old Greeks said: the victor at the Olympic Games was the one chosen by the Gods.

Or what the Germanic barbarians said: those that win at the battle are the ones chosen by Odin and what they think and say is the Truth, and all said and thought by the losers is false as they have lost the battle.

Darwinists think all they say, do and think is the Truth because they are the ones chosen to survive, chosen by their Goddesses Natural Selection and Evolution.

Like all the other people believing they are the chosen ones, Darwinists demand a lot of privileges.

Darwinists create their own Goddesses and then after they force the other men to worship them as they do to wield Darwinists the power as the ruling priestly class.

Darwinist gazes himself as big and strong and very clever and from this idea of himself, thinks the World must be a steady evolution always forward, despising those that go backward and don't "evolve".

He is a mad man who, like Don Quijote, likes to see the World from his prejudices and subjectivity and denies the real World with all its overwhelming complexity.

The World must be as he likes it to be: an evolution and a natural selection.

When he worships his goddesses Evolution and Natural Selection, he waits from them that they turn him bigger, smarter, handsomer some time in the future, himself or his offspring.

He is a "raiser of champions" with his sons and daughters, forcing them to study very much, to be trained like Spartans at the sports or at the military, to yield more and more of their own inner talents until they become

winners, successful people, the cream of the society to come, the members of the next upper class.

He believes his descendants will be stronger, smarter, richer, handsomer if he keeps worshipping his Goddesses.

He is so ludicrous that he cannot accept that all the aristocrats of the past had followed the same way to breed better descendants, generation after generation, and it has nothing to do with an evolution neither with a natural selection but with the constant development of the talents that all men got inside them, developing them generation after generation by inheriting those increasing talents.

Some can develop those talents and others cannot.

As David Hume wrote in his “Treatise on the Human Nature”, we feel happy and proud when we know we are beautiful and we feel sad and humble when we know we are ugly.

Hume said that the passion of pride is waked by the idea of our own beauty, strength and vigour.

The Darwinist is just a very proud man on his strength and power and wants to write a “scientific” theory based on his feelings.

This idea of the Darwinist as being a most evolved being only gets sense when he lives inside the human

society where he can ask the other people for respect to him as a “superior man”.

When he is outside the human world, the Darwinist is just a maggot, a nobody, nothing.

The Universe is indifferent with him and doesn't care on his life or death.

The Darwinist only can be recognized as a “superior man” when living among other men.

Outdoors, he is just another puny living being like many others and all his pride is exposed as preposterous.

The Darwinist knows it and reacts as a neurotic: he is so sure that he is “more evolved” than the other men, that he cannot stand the countryside, the mountains and the great plains where he feels himself a nobody.

So the Darwinist becomes a technocrat to turn all the World a series of suburbs, towns and industrial estates.

He wants to turn our World an artificial World, custom made to his size and interests, a place where he can feel he is a human God, a most evolved man, no matter if he botches this World on the process with all his factories and cars.

Darwinism is a political theory because it only makes sense at the relationships among men, on who is superior and who is inferior, but Darwinism has nothing

to do with the real machine of the Universe which follows other far more complex laws than the natural selection and the evolution.

Kant would have said that the Darwinist got, since his very birth, the ideals of the pure reason on how to evolve ceaseless and how to be stronger than the others to survive.

Those transcendent ideas come without any kind of relation with the perception of the outside world by experience.

Kant believed the good and the duty were the only ideals of the pure reason which all men know since our very birth without need to perceive the outside World.

Darwinists understand as their good and duty to follow evolution and natural selection.

Among all the peoples of this planet, only the Germans and their ancestors the barbaric Germans are born with such ideas on life as war and on the survival of the strongest warrior.

And Kant was a German too!

Kant believed our ideals of good and duty should be followed even if we don't get any kind of perception of the outside World.

Darwinists say that they must follow natural selection and evolution even if they hadn't had any kind of and really they behave this way like solipsists, for they don't want to see the extreme complexity of the real World, they just obey their ideals that since their birth tell them they must follow natural selection and evolution.

We believe that only the Germans have born, since thousands of years ago, with such ideals inserted in their brains.

The other peoples of the World have not, as they need to be convinced to accept Darwinism as the only Truth or constrained to believe on Darwinism by the force.

Descartes would say God creates our mind and the World (called by Descartes, the extension).

The extension is everything we perceive outside our own mind and our body is part of the extension.

God asserts our ideas.

And those ideas must be clear, truly, evident, distinctive and eternal or otherwise we would be puppets at the hands of a rogue, a devil that lies on us all time.

Those ideas are the language God talks to us.

Those ideas are only true if God himself asserts them.

The Darwinist believes his God has put the ideas of evolution and natural selection inside his mind and for a Darwinist those ideas are so evident when watching this World that he mocks on those that dare to criticize him.

But the Darwinist doesn't understand that his God Odin has put such ideas in his mind and that natural selection and evolution are just two nicknames for Odin: as all the historians of religions tell us, Odin had many other names, describing his many talents and two other names of Odin were his ability to evolve forever and his role as punisher of those that were defeated at war.

Odin embodies natural selection and evolution as he helps only the strongest warrior and the Darwinist, so ignorant, never realised that when worshipping Goddesses Evolution and Natural Selection, he was worshipping really Odin.

This is another proof that Darwinism is just a new version of the old Germanic and Scandinavian religion.

For Descartes, God is the only one that keeps our mind working as he turns valid our ideas to be truly, clear, evident, distinctive and eternal ones.

The Darwinist and the handicapped share the same mind and conceive the same ideas but the Darwinist says his god Odin has shown him the ideas of evolution and natural selection meanwhile the handicapped says his God as shown him that he has a body which is crippled.

For Descartes, both Darwinists and handicapped men get the same kind of clear, evident ideas, there is no difference at all between the mind of a “more evolved” man from the mind of a handicapped.

The mind of the handicapped is able to know his body as part of the extension or outside World and he suffers because his body is crippled.

For a handicapped, a Darwinist is just a man whose mind is ruled by the rogue devil that lies on him at every time, putting in his mind wrong ideas on natural selection and evolution: this devil is Odin himself.

A handicapped person thinks better by right ideas as he doesn't depend on his extension (his body is sick) meanwhile the Darwinist is absolutely clung to his body and his thought is always marred because it.

If a Darwinist got a good body, he understands the World by how it is his body. A handicapped understands the World on how it is really as his body is half dead and doesn't disturb his thought.

Francis Bacon would say that Darwinists haven fallen into the cave filled with idols.

Each person got a individual cave (his mind, his body) and each person sees the World from how the same World reverberates inside his cave (he feels the World depending how are his body and his mind).

Each cave has a light of its own, where the real light of the Sun is interpreted and mused on, turning corrupted by the biases and interests of the cave's owner.

Each owner has a different, distinctive, personal kind of cave.

The Darwinist sees the world from the frame of his particular cave and bends out of shape the real images and lights that enter his cave, a too big and strong cave.

From his too much big, strong and endowed body and mind, the Darwinist interprets the World, after being digested by his abnormal constitution (it must be said at last that a Darwinist is a sort of a monster) and converted into schemes of evolutions and natural selections.

The Darwinist allows his subjectivity to master him and what is worse, he is not aware of it.

Pico della Mirandola said man is an animal that can take many forms, natures and changes depending on the century and the time.

A Darwinist is just the form that has taken the animal called man the last 150 years, because a pervert fashion.

Time will come when man takes other better forms, when we know more on Genetics.

Descartes believed that all kind of men share the same kind of mind, no matter if they are more evolved or less, or if they are athletes or handicapped.

The Darwinist thinks his body is better, it is big, perfect, well built and beautiful.

The handicapped thinks his body is a wreck, useless, good for nothing.

But both the Darwinist and the handicapped share the same mind and think the same way.

Their body is different but not their mind.

The handicapped has got a mind that knows he is a handicapped and that wants to keep living, his mind wants to keep living although his body be half dead.

This is the tragedy of the handicapped, their mind thinks and thinks well, as their mind knows that their body is crippled.

The mind of the handicapped suffers twice as he knows his body is not operational and he must stand the despises of the “more evolved” that treat him like rubbish and talk on euthanasia.

Thomas More in his “Utopia” recommended the handicapped to leave this World meanwhile sleeping, without pain, without drama, to end their impossible lives.

There is a tendency in the British culture, since many centuries ago, to look for the most practical and easy ways to resolve all the problems.

But sometimes the British have gone too far, as in the case of Darwinism, as his will to resolve all the problems easily and comfortably has lead them to fall into the claws of an atrocious theory such Darwinism that promised to the British easy remedies for their pangs of conscience: they were just more evolved than the other countries, told them Darwin.

The “more evolved” stare at the “less evolved” with anger without paying attention that the “less evolved”, although handicapped or half handicapped keep a mind that still works and well.

Darwinism cannot explain why those “less evolved” keep the same kind of mind than the “more evolved”.

The problem is not the mind, but the body, which is what the Darwinists really love.

They cannot stand ugliness on this World.

They talk lousy on the “less evolved”, say they are a burden for the country, they are disgusting, they degrade the World.

But the “less evolved” got a mind that realises everything, sees everything and understands everything. Their mind is not “less evolved”, it works.

They know very well all the evil the Darwinists practise on them.

The “less evolved” know very well that the “more evolved” would slay them if they could.

The “less evolved” realise that their body is not as good as the body of the “more evolved”.

And the “less evolved” accept to live a life of “hide and shut up”, to avoid the “more evolved” and their anger and cruelty as the “more evolved” are the ones that control our societies.

—If Darwinism were true, we all would be like “Conan the Barbarian”, killing every day dozens of men like him, strong and barbaric.

We can see that this World is not filled with Conans.

This world is very varied, with all kind of individuals and characters.

“Who kills by the sword, will be kill someday by the sword”.

Many centuries ago, men learnt that they couldn't live under a perpetual state of war with everybody against

everybody. Some kind of laws, ethics, rules, political constitutions were needed.

The barbarians are strong when they are young, but when they lose their strength because old age, they must face to be slain by other barbarians younger than them.

The Darwinists, as they are the barbarians of our time, when they are young they can open a path in his profession by being more ruthless, guile, strong, virile, vigorous than the older men and they can succeed easily, to make money and get a good position.

They leave aside those that cannot follow their fast pace.

But someday they will turn 50 years old, they will realise that they cannot do the same daring things they had done at younger age.

They begin to see now how a new generation of young barbarians act the same way they did years ago to climb high on society.

The new barbarians are already calling him an "outdated" and probably he will lose his job, replaced by the new young barbarian ones, as after the 50's nobody wants to hire him.

This is the punishment that deserve the Darwinists.

Like the old barbarians, when they grow old they suffer the cruelty of the new young barbarians and die.

Those Darwinists that have forced so many people to live under their Darwinists laws, turning life a hell by the name of their Goddesses: natural selection and evolution “, now they will suffer in time their loved natural selection and evolution, as applied now on them, forgotten and scorned as they turn senile.

—Let’s talk on the Australian case.

The British Imperialists said that they were the followers of the Roman Empire, bringing civilization and the English language to the aborigines.

The British did so many atrocities against the aborigines along the XIX th century that it can be only explained by the coverage that Darwinism offered to the British rulers and soldiers.

Darwinism told them that the aborigines were the worse race on earth, worse than animals and that they could be exterminated.

The British tried to do the job, but the aborigines were very clever and fled to the inner deserts of Australia where they couldn’t be caught.

But Tasmania was a separate island from Australia and the aborigines there couldn’t hide, so the British kill all of them: it is one of the worst pages in the History of

the British Empire, a black legend that stains England forever.

The British were racists and disliked a lot the physique of the aborigines, too ugly for their taste.

But they liked very much the strange animals and plants of Australia and preserved them from extinction.

The animals and plants of Australia were the product of thousands of years of isolation (said the Darwinists) but the British liked the animals and the plants disliked the aborigines, so they decided to exterminate the aborigines.

They should have preserved all of them, aborigines and plants and animals, as Darwinists said they were all the product of thousands of years of isolation.

The true reason why the British wanted to get rid of the unpleasant, dark aborigines is that they wanted to build a beautiful new country and the aborigines didn't fit on their plans.

Darwinists insisted that the plants and animals of Australia were the product of thousands of years of isolation, but they had not the gallantry to recognize that the aborigines were too the product of thousands of years of isolation.

We don't believe that isolation be the cause of the strange animals and plants of Australia.

We look for another still unknown reason.

We know very well that behind the Darwinists there is always the search for a better life, with better quality of life, with beautiful surroundings.

This is a what the Darwinists really look after and they exterminate what is ugly and “primitive” because it.

Isolation is not a valid explanation for the strange animals and plants of Australia, as the Antarctica has suffered too the same isolation but we don't find there those strange Australian animals, only other animals who, despite the intense cold, have survived without developing such strange features as the Australian plants and animals show.

Darwinism is just a pretext to be used by the posh to arrange the countries to their own taste, which means to endow the countries with high quality of life and beauty but with nothing unpleasant.

Aborigines and animals and plants of Australia should deserve the same kind of preservation, being all of them the product of thousands of years of isolation(according to the same Darwinist theory).

They are all of them unique in this World.

The aborigines lived Anarchist style, without state or laws neither private property.

They had no interest to live at the British XIX th lifestyle.

They preferred to keep their own Stone Age lifestyle.

But under the British administration, suddenly they were treated like thieves if they borrowed something or if they slept at the new and beautiful Australian towns parks.

Aboriginals now had to cope with a lot of new foreign laws.

Darwinism was used by the British to justify the invasion of Australia and its taking over.

They had free licence to kill everything that looked primitive and ugly.

Everything that was deemed as disgusting for the British taste, was discarded.

—The German nazi said that the best men were those with blue eyes, blond, tall and athletic.

They assumed that such a man would think rightly ever, just because his body was so perfect.

But a well built body with a well built skull doesn't assures you that this man will be a honest person.

Many times, people with such a perfect body turn to be very selfish, villains that steal everything they could to get money to live the good life they think they deserve for being so “perfect”.

They treat the other men like “sub humans”.

They only live to preserve their own perfect body, without any kind of interest in other affairs of life.

Other times, a man with a perfect body and skull is like the other men, always after the money and the opportunities to make it, as the other men do.

Athletes know, because they feel it every day, that a good body and a good built skull depends on the good balance of all the muscles of the body (specially those of the neck) and on the good metabolism of the bones.

The skull form is like the emerged part of an iceberg, it shows the good health and balance of the whole body.

A good skull depends on the good physical shape, on the good balance of the muscles and bones of the body and on the good health of the whole organism, and nothing more and it has no other meaning.

A person with a good built skull and body is by no means better than other person.

—Alfred Rosenberg, one of the ministers of Hitler, wrote a book called: “The myth of the XX th century”

He says that the nazi are the descendants of the old Germanic and Scandinavian barbarians.

We can trace those barbarians by what is told about them at the Scandinavian sagas, at the books of the Roman historians, how they are shown at the operas of Wagner and on the book of Georges Lukacs: "The assault to reason" where he demonstrates the tendency to irrationality of all the German culture since ever as being descendants of the Germanic barbarians.

We have too the comic-books of Stan Lee, with his version of the god Thor, adaptations of the Germanic myths to the USA public of our time, vulgar people living under the atomic bomb, believing that the radioactivity will turn them superheroes like Spiderman.

—The University is another very Darwinist world closed into itself.

People that spend some years studying at some University ends to believe that all the outer world is too a Darwinist jungle as the University is.

Inside the University, the students compete to pass the examinations, to get a job as an attendant, to write the best papers and dissertations, to get the best qualifications and a brilliant curriculum.

Teachers compete to get a position, to be the chairman, to publish books, to impose their own field of

research or his own school, they quarrel among different schools, there is a lot of envy and hate, they quarrel too to get money from sponsors, from the government and scholarships.

A hell of a place.

They say that at the MIT, the researchers are awarded with limitless money for their research but they are under such unbearable stress from the sponsors to achieve some discovery or invention soon that suicides abound there.

The University boasts to be the cream of the society, the elite of the knowledge but people fights everyday there to reach a position, to control the knowledge and the power it yields at the hands of the tenure professor.

—Darwinism is used too in a very vulgar way when someone says: “You are a disabled, you the disabled should die “,

“That’s life, big fish eats small fish”,

“Life is a fight and if you can’t fight, you are dead”,

“That’s is how the World is, some win and others lose”.

“You are a useless, puny boy, you are good for nothing, get dead”.

This kind of very vulgar people has always been malicious, has always stolen everything has could, has always been a liar, a Mafia-like employer, a wild business man, a tyrant, a practitioner of exploitations, a devil in this World.

Darwinism has given a coverage to the evils that this kind of men does.

Other people acts the same way but by feigning and playing indifference to the others.

We would be able to accept that the Darwinists have a right to live under their own religion or ideology, if they don't hurt nobody.

But it is very difficult that a strong man restrains himself.

The strong men will always try to crush the other men and they will always find some kind of pretext to do it, such the Darwinism.

—As Lamarckians, we don't like the word "evolution", we prefer the word "development".

There is development of a car or a plane after many tests.

There is development of a symphony from the musical sketches of the composer, from a sequence of chords or from a musical motive.

There is development of a political program along the four years of a Presidency.

There is development of a given technology when there is a need to resolve the technical problems to make a product inside a factory.

There is development of a motion picture script when the actors and the motion picture maker decide how to do each scene.

There is development of a business project from a written plan to the hiring of the industrial estate, hiring of the employees, buying the machinery, marketing.

There is development of a fungus from its underground spores to the edible above soil parts or mushroom.

There is development of a military plan with barracks, ammunition, weaponry, catering, soldiers.

There is development of an Artistic School from the works of a new, original painter or musician or from the coming of a new artistic technique.

There is development of our body and muscles by the practice of sports.

A development appears when there is a new technique, a new star of the arts or a new catalyst (as in chemistry).

All of them open new doors and new horizons.

In all the human works, we need the support of the precedents that have worked and meet the same technical problems we face now to see if they had found some clever solutions to them.

We must know the mistakes committed by others working in this field before us, to avoid them.

We must develop the affair slowly, by parts.

With small contributions of many people.

Each one of them discovering or inventing some small part of the big machine.

Sending the technology a small step ahead, and allowing that new discoveries inspired by the former ones, could emerge.

This is how has been developed the car in the past 100 years.

We Lamarckians believe that man has been developed, not evolved, on a very long and painful process.

We believe that all the forces that work on this Universe have had some part on the development of Life and Men on this planet.

But we don't know how it was made.

Genetists don't know it neither.

They talk on a primeval soap, a broth of DNA braised on this planet, but they don't really know.

Plato believed a mathematical proportion was the key to create Men, with respect to the limits of our constitution and of our mind, avoiding the excesses, following the cosmic justice (the order of the Universe), being man half divine by his soul and thought and half animal by his body and matter.

Man is the butler of this world because he is half divine and half beastly.

Darwinists say that Men have been made from a "Users guide" written in a chemical language (the DNA), a sort of "Users guide" of an Universal Ikea teaching how to assemble a man, in a very slow process of millions of years called "evolution" by the Darwinists, falling out of this race those that are poorly assembled or assembled with blunder, by the intervention of a natural selection that punishes them.

—Darwinists believe that the living beings are just a collection of matter.

By the evolution, more and more matter gathers on us little by little and the living beings grow more and more complex.

If the living beings were just a collection of matter, they would be cancers, not living beings.

As cancer is pure matter without a form or a function.

We would be a sort of a big snow ball made by a lot of small snowflakes.

Darwinists believe we are like the Colorado Great Canyon, a gathering of many geological beds, one on the other.

We are not a conglomerate of stones and dust, issued at each geological age and laid one on the other until they form a mountain or the walls of a canyon.

We are men.

—Hitler was the most evil man ever but he survived World War I and many conspiracies against him when we was Germany's dictator.

Why?

The Nazis were the worst primitive people ever but they were not annihilated by “natural selection” and survived to slay millions of people later.

Why?

At the World War I and II they died millions of young, healthy, stout men.

Why?

The deadly epidemics of flu of 1918 kill millions of young, strong, men but spared the old and the children.

Why?

Some say, like the Stoic and the Christian philosophers, that God scourges Mankind from time to time with wars and natural disasters, to teach us something.

History of Mankind has never been a very straight line always going forward but a strange crooked line, coming and going up and down, turning stagnant or taking a blind alley and even recoils sometimes (the World War II was an evident sample of going back to primitivism in Human History).

Evolution, to the despair of the Darwinists, is not always a straight line that lead us forward but a series of coming and going up and down, stagnations, recoils and blind alleys that lead nowhere.

Darwinists, being scatterbrain, rude people unable to see other thing in Human History that wars, wars and more wars and strife of all kind, when they watch a movie

such “Gone with the wind”, they only understand that a big war happened in Atlanta with thousands of dead.

They cannot grasp any other fact of the plot.

Historians, specially historians of Art know that every century in Human History has been different, with its own art, politics, technology, philosophy, lifestyle and fashions.

To be a Darwinist you must be a very illiterate, untrained, ignorant, uncouth man.

It is impossible that a cult man could swallow such primitive, childish, gaunt and cursory theory, a theory for starry-eyed people only.

History of The World and Mankind is so intricate that only a very churlish man would love to simplify it to see only two very basic facts of “natural selections and survivals of the strongest”.

—Geologists say that Life grew for the first time on this planet about 300 millions years ago.

Genetists say that every 10.000 years there is a “mutation” on the living beings, although this mutation is so slight that nobody can perceive it.

If we do the reckoning, we get 30.000 “mutations” on the past 300 million years, assuming one mutation every 10.000 years.

Are they enough such 30.000 mutations on the past 300 million years to turn a very simple one-cell being into a man?

Genetists, to present, are unable to explain each one of those 30.000 mutations.

We suppose that the cause that triggers each one of those 30.000 mutations is some change in the Universe or in the Sun's cycles.

Perhaps it is better that we don't know of to manipulate those 30.000 mutations to create new ones.

Perhaps it is better that Genetics never uncover the mystery of Life and all the secrets inside the DNA.

As we saw at the movie "The fly" where Jeff Goldblum turns crazy when his human DNA is swapped for a fly's DNA, perhaps it is better that the secrets on Life remain a mystery forever.

It would be very dangerous if Men get the knowledge to manipulate each and every part of their body and mind.

We could create monsters as well as gods.

Religion has always acted like a brake to obstruct the advancement of Science, because the priests have always had fear of the future and on what changes

science could bring to men, to its institutions and its traditions.

We have too fear and without doubt many mistakes will be committed by the Genetists in the next centuries, by the name of Science advancement.

Nobody knows where we are going from here.

Technocrats hope that genetic manipulation will make Men like Gods at last.

Everything that we don' t like on our body and brain could be modified by genetic manipulation.

No more perspiration, warts or pangs of conscience.

Science research cannot be stopped and technocrats believe that our future is to improve ourselves by genetic manipulation and then after to improve this wretched Universe, amending what the former God blundered on it.

—There are some genetists who are very Darwinists bigots too.

They are trying these days to demonstrate that inside the very much complex chemical reactions in the DNA, it happens a kind of “natural selection” too which selects what chemical reactions “survive”.

It takes a very fanatical Darwinist trying to apply his prejudices about a "natural selection" even to the chemical reactions!

He could brag too that inside the core of a nuclear power plant some chemical reactions survive and others don't!

Or that at a petrol refinery there are "natural selections" that select what petrol compound survives, either gasoline or asphalt!

Or that inside any kind of industrial chemical process there are "natural selections" too!

Such preposterous frame of mind describes by itself how Darwinists are.

They contrive there must be a "natural selection" everywhere.

Other Darwinists are applying Maths tools (the same tools applied to try to foresee the subatomic particles' races) to the DNA chemical reactions to see if they can order the chaos DNA is. The same difficult Maths tools are applied to manage too the thousands of employees of the big corporations and the thousands of patients on the public hospitals.

Genetists are so lost actually on their research on the DNA that they try everything to clear their mind up.

They even try to apply the old Darwinist concept of a “natural selection” on the DNA chemical reactions!

Darwinists incur on the “naturalist fallacy” when they see there is an evolution on this World and they subdue us to accept that it MUST BE an evolution on this World forever.

Nothing is written on how will be Mankind’s future, perhaps it will be an evolution, perhaps not or maybe it will happen a new process we cannot imagine at all from now.

When a genetist tries to change Nature, he is scorning her to create a new Nature.

We philosophers, we are very wary on rumpling Nature.

We have followed since many centuries ago the principle that Nature cannot be touched as she is our keystone to discern truth from falsehood.

We have no other way to know what is good for men than asking Nature.

For all the classical Philosophers, Nature has been our mother and our teacher ever.

It is usual on the Barbaric thinkers such Fichte to say that they are the only ones that exist and that Nature is their “against-me” without real existence.

The genetists that want to change Nature by genetic manipulation think the same way:

“Nature is not important, the main thing is myself “.

Such genetists are like the Greek sophists, such Protagoras who said “Man is the measure of all things, not Nature” and therefore if I want to change Nature to serve myself as a man, I can do it.

Nature is our reference for everything, without asking to Nature, we get lost.

This planet is our home, we cannot live on the outer space, extremely cold, radioactive, hostile, where astronauts suffer from bone and muscles disorders with their metabolism turning chaotic after some time.

Human body is composed my very squeamish, fragile tissues which deteriorate easily, those tissues get infections, canker, necrosis by lack of blood flow, are burnt by fire and frozen by cold, alcohol kill liver’s cells, pollution kill brain’s cells, ultraviolet radiation causes cataracts and skin cancer toxic chemicals cause cancer.

Our very delicate tissues cannot bear outside Universe forces and elements but on a very measured way. Sun, food, chemicals, drugs, physical training, knowledge, doping, pain: we cannot stand all this but on a very limited amount or else we die, we turn crazy or

we turn monsters by our excesses or shortcomings by taking too much or too little from the outside.

It must be a mechanism that controls our fragile balance of our mind and body.

We call this mechanism “Nature” and she is the very same planet Earth.

Pain is one of our rulers.

We always avoid pain.

Philosophers are other of Men’s regulators.

Philosophers, as the Stoics said, are used by the planet to restrain the excesses of men, to report the fallacies of the scientists and to adjust men to what is good for them at each epoch.

Natural and human laws are other rulers of men.

They show us what is natural and what is an overdose.

There is a very narrow strait where Men can live in without turning overfed, overworked, overanxious, overbalanced, overbearing, overgrown, overrun, overspent, overstepping the limits of their body and mind.

Or suffering the opposite by the lack of stamina, food, health, drift, education, intelligence, falling by this way under the limits on which human nature must live.

Both extremes are bad and men can only survive on the very narrow line that runs between the too much and the too little.

Nature shows us this narrow line by her natural laws and the human ones when they follow Nature.

It must be something that rules the quantity of foreign elements and forces that reach our body and mind, protecting our right growth without turning us monsters by the excess or the lack of those elements.

Nature is our ruler.

All the elements that surround us on this Universe are deadly for us in big amounts (even the water).

But all the elements present in this Universe have made us although in small, measured quantities as we all are made of the Stars' dust.

Nature controls such small bits of Universe's forces and elements, with intelligent measurements by proportion, care and moderation, as Plato said.

All the Universe's elements benefit men on small, well regulated quantities.

Radioactivity has had a role on the growth of the living beings on this planet, as we are surrounded by radioactivity from the Earth's core and from the outer space.

But the amount of radioactivity that benefit us must always be very small or else we die.

Vitamins are good by right prescriptions but too much vitamins, hormones and anabolic steroids destroy the body.

Ultraviolet radiation is good for the bones in some degree but too much of it causes skin cancer.

Sportsmen that take doping know it can lead to the body's collapse and death.

Men can only survive on a very narrow strip on the surface of this planet where radioactivity is slight and the atmosphere is rich in oxygen.

We can only survive by eating the right food, by keeping a difficult balance on our metabolism and by maintaining a body and mind in good shape (but avoiding "surmenage" or overwork)

And taking drugs carefully.

The human existence is so fickle, it is so easy to break its balance that we always need to correct our swerves

by looking at what Nature teaches us on what is the right way.

Genetics brings a lot of new ways for Mankind to progress but it is our duty as philosophers to keep an eye on what the genetists are doing, as they cannot work on the DNA all day and at the same time decide how men adjust to the new genetic discoveries and technologies.

—Darwinism is the indirect responsible of thousands of suicides committed on the past 150 years.

People commits suicide because they cannot stand the stress at their work, the high requirements demanded by their employers, the high levels of productivity demanded at their factories, the need to be successful, by losing the pace on the Darwinist rat race, by being treated as inferior by other people who sniggers at them, by being labelled as “sludge” with the destination of extinction.

Darwinists have made believe many people that they had to be dead or unborn if they were “not evolved” or “not enough evolved”.

—There are two parallel Worlds sharing this planet.

By one side we got the animal World, where all the animals devour each other albeit some are vegetarians like the elephants.

By other side we got the human World with its laws, civilizations, constitutions and rules.

It is stupid that the Darwinist try to rule our human World as if it were the animal World.

The Darwinist believes, when he gazes himself at the mirror, that he is the most perfect living being.

He sees his well built body, his proportions, his symmetry, his strength, his brilliant mind, his muscles, his big rib cag.

He sees the other men cannot win him, he feels good on a beautiful day of springtime and the beauty of the world and of his own body inspires him to get the same excellence on all the things of his life:

his car, his country with its public administration, the products he makes or buys, his house, the quality of his life, the movies or TV programs he likes, everything must be as excellent as himself is.

When he sees something that doesn't fit into his vision of his World, he suffers pain, disgust and anger.

And then he turns a Darwinist as this religion explains him that he suffers so much when the World is not so perfect as himself is because there is a lot of malevolent people who is "less evolved" than him and that stain this World.

But as Hume taught us, all those Darwinist ideas come for the custom and the probability on the Darwinist

after watching himself and his body, relating later those ideas to his own “evolution” as a man and the “natural selection” he has seen on the World (just because he has seen that some people die and others turn poor).

Then after the Darwinist assumes that the World must be a natural selection and an evolution, just because he has got by custom many ideas about it.

But Hume told us that there is no proof that the same events we experienced on the past which have built our mind by custom, will come again on the future.

The Darwinist believes on Darwinism because he has experienced inside himself an “evolution” and he has seen the effects of the “natural selection” on other people (when they die or lose their job).

This is how so many people is Darwinist nowadays, by a prejudice and not by a scientific and careful study of the argumentations.

We are Lamarckians because we do have done such careful scientific study and we have found many anomalies on Darwinism as a theory.

Vico said that the same human growth along the whole life has furnished the model for men to understand the cycles on History.

Winter, spring, summer and autumn are other models to grasp how are the cycles in Life.

Darwinists take as their first model to understand the Universe their own body and their own life.

From there they infer on the existence of a natural selection and an evolution.

Vico said too that the primitive men were fools, callous, awful, all feelings and nothing of thought, simple brute forces believing the sky was a living being, this sky talking to them by thunders and lightning.

They had rude customs, they were ferocious, unsteady, cruel, they were like children by its weak mind.

So are Darwinists, they think the sky talk to them by an evolution and they begin to worship it.

They look at the evolution and the natural selection as clues to understand the secret language with whom Odin talks to them.

Odin is talking to them since thousands of years ago by wars and killings. Evolution and natural selection are just consequences of those wars and killings ordered by Odin.

Darwinists believe the Universe is talking to them by the language of the evolution.

Odin is the one who is talking to them, ordering to kill the not evolved and to be more and more strong.

—Pierre Bayle thought that on human History there is no intelligent, ordered plan at all.

Bayle regarded History as a lot of mistakes, empty ages, dark points, decadences, contradictions, not evolutions at all but involutions, stagnations and unrelated events.

Evolution is like History as seen by Bayle, It is so tangled as our troubled human History.

Vico believed that History sometimes disguises itself with the appearance of a better, happier age but it is just a return to barbaric days although with a refinement and luxury, sponsored by deluding new laws, new political structures and new bogus scientific theories such Darwinism that promise a new and better age but in fact lead to a new form of a barbaric time.

Technocracy is such, praising the technicians of the factories as the only good citizens, when they only really are brain washed slaves under the influence of the theories such Darwinism which the current system needs to keep operational its economical machine.

The Darwinist sees himself as the statue of Condillac or the actor according to Diderot.

The Darwinist feels he is a statue made by muscles and bones and able to grow more by hard work and training, specially on some very special days of his life

such on his adolescence, and he feels too that his mind can grow steady by study and analysis.

He turns addict to this feeling of wholeness and happiness which he feels when he wins others or when he finds the solution to a difficult problem or on those days when he feels that he is growing after so much athletic training.

The Darwinist turns addict to this great experience and wants to feel it once and once again.

He wants to feel himself strong, more strong than the others and wants to get more quantity of knowledge than the others.

It is the nirvana of those who know they know more and better than the others.

Old Greeks called this sensation “enthusiasm” as it is very close to what the Gods feel.

The Darwinist wants to grow more and more and mistakes this growth for an “evolution”.

He sees how his body is growing, it is bigger day after day, he feels his power when lifting weights and believes he is more evolved than the others and he loves to be this way.

His mind grows too by study, by learning more and more and when he realises that he knows a lot of things

and his thought is fast and brilliant, he begins to think that he is a more evolved man and he enjoys to be this way.

The Darwinists don't want to accept they call "evolution" what is just a specialization on a given skill.

The shoemaker has developed a body and a mind able to work amending shoes and his descendants will meet an easiness and a tendency to work as shoemakers by a suitable body and mind well trained by their ancestors to do such job.

The same happens with the kings and princes which learn their trade by the skills on ruling the country bequeathed to them by the former kings of their dynasty.

Some play foul and want that people believe they are "more evolved" than the other men, but the scientific truth is that, after a specialization for generations (as it happens with the Circus acrobats) people can feat incredible exploits, gathering the form and skills got by their ancestors on their body and mind as well as the secrets of the trade told by the ancestors to their descendants.

By this way they get o go further and be better at this job than their forefathers.

By this way the strongest men have been stronger generation after generation, specially among those warlike tribes such the Germanic barbarians, the

Spartans and the Sioux Indians who have always boosted their people to be athletic, big and strong to win at war.

By military training generation after generation, the people working at the Army is more and more strong with the shape of soldiers.

Lamarck didn't discovered it, it was known since thousands of years ago by all the old peoples of the World.

By this way the most powerful dynasties and aristocratic families were raised, since thousands of years ago.

88—The Darwinist conceives the World from his experience has a strong, big man and with his mind filled with knowledge.

He falls easily into the darwinist disease, by which he wants to be more and more evolved, strong, wise, able to do more work, striving himself more and more.

He is happy if he gets to evolve without stopping.

To cure the Darwinist disease, the infirm must travel around the World to learn from other cultures.

He must leave behind him the Darwinist sect and must realise how complex is this World, how many different

lifestyles it bears and how many different frames of mind exist.

Darwinists and nationalists share the same disease: they see the World from their subjectivism.

The nationalists see the World from their love to their country and they compare and judge the other countries by how is their own country from their direct experience of it (and, of course, their country is always the best).

The Darwinists compare everything with their body and mind and judge the other men and the World from their own body and mind, the most evolved for them.

Those under God's grace are other people very subjective.

They have been awarded by God with beauty, money, luck, happiness and health and they compare and judge the rest of Mankind from their haughty place.

So they believe that the World is beautiful, God is good and Life is wonderful.

They are unable to see the World as it really is because, like the Darwinists and the nationalists, they gaze the World from their body and mind.

They are very good thanks to God and they think all the World must be like them.

Unfortunately, not all the people has been gifted with God's grace.

But the fortunate men think all the people is like them.

—Human species comes in three forms: the over endowed (perhaps by its better metabolism or by hypertrophy), the ordinary people and the under endowed (by diseases, poverty, lack of education, loss of limbs and even, as they believe in India, as a punishment for the flaws of their ancestors).

Those three forms of “Homo Sapiens” are always on a rumpus.

They cannot stand each other.

Those three forms of “Homo Sapiens” are always involved on some kind of political strife for power.

The over endowed vote the right and the under endowed vote the left.

The disabled and under endowed fear the abuses of the over endowed.

The over endowed cannot stand the ugliness and gruesome aspect of the poor and sick.

And the ordinary people shun all them to live their own way, free of troubles.

The human species is so versatile that it can go from the over development (both in brain and muscles) to the under development easily just in a few years depending on if you train yourself or if you leave yourself abated.

How can Darwinism explain the existence of those three forms of “Homo Sapiens”?

—The North Americans are wrong when they believe all the World must be like the USA.

This country is a very special country, magnificent and huge, rich and hard working, but is almost an anomaly on this varied World. It is true that most North Americans got a good, strong body that allows them to perform great tasks and to work hard.

It is true too that the North American land raises big, strong people.

But the rest of the World is different.

The USA is an exception on this planet, not the rule.

The big North American, strong and very pragmatic considers himself the most evolved man on Earth, but he doesn't realise that he is only the product of a very special land called North America.

The North American knows that if he has a good body with every muscle and bone in its right place, he thinks better and his mind is very practical.

And he loves to be this way.

And he thinks North America has raised him this way.

The North American feels himself a very able man and becomes a Darwinist but it is only an effect of his own perceptions on his body and his acts

which he relates with his ideas on the World, as Hume would say.

His vision of the World is not scientific but issued from his ego.

The scientific truth on what is this World is much more complex and cannot spring from a personal, subjective feeling of superiority.

A scientific theory must come from a serious scientific analysis and Darwinism is not a scientific theory.

We accept that most North Americans are very able, strong, well built, pragmatical people.

But we deny that they are this way for being “more evolved” but for being sons of a big land called North America.

They are not the chosen nation that should rule the World or, at least, to ward the World as a World police.

North Americans are just North Americans and we must respect them at the same time that they must respect the other people of this World.

—Darwinism is the swelling of the pride of to be a man, the best creature of the Universe, the most evolved one.

If another creature better than us exists somewhere on the Universe, he will think on himself that he is the best and that the other living beings are poor chaps as they don't have evolved so much.

Darwinists looks at the other living beings as poor devils that have not evolved enough and have remained forever grovelling animals.

The pride of the Darwinists is very akin to the pride of those which are born in a rich family and boast of their affluence, money and luxuries over those that are poor and working class people.

Since ever, some men have been very proud of themselves, thinking on themselves to be superior to the other men. Usually they were aristocrats.

When at the XIX th century comes Darwinism, it furnishes men with a scientific theory that boosts their pride, both as individuals and as species.

People so fool to believe they are the best have existed since ever.

They were ignorant, vulgar, believing to be the most intelligent, strong and clever creatures when they were just fools.

Darwinism gave to that kind of people the proofs that they were right on their pretences.

Darwinism is the official statement that we are the most evolved beings on the Universe.

The ecological problems show a different thing.

We are the worst species on this planet. The most destructive, the most foolish, the most cruel.

Ecologists believe men are not the best beings of the Universe and that all the living species should life in a balance on this planet.

Those that are very proud of being men, they are often too those who treat worse our environment and who pollute the most, as they despise Nature which is only a worthless bunch of vegetables and animals for them.

Darwinism is a problem of ignorance as the most ignorants are those who believe on themselves that they are the best. And once they believe they are the best, they look after a theory that could support their belief that they are the best: Darwinism.

—Darwinland is an amusement park where we play to dodge a bull called “natural selection” to be not caught by it and to survive another day.

The Darwinists have turned this World into a Darwinland.

—Darwinism is the law of the stronger, turned scientific theory.

Since thousands of years ago, men suffer the tyranny of the stronger who encroach everything to enjoy a better life than the rest of the tribe.

Men have tried to control the stronger by laws but each new generation has bred its quote of new strong men that have tried their luck to become tyrants on some degree and field.

It is a very difficult political problem that crawls around since many centuries ago without any effective law to get rid of it.

The strongest first climb to the upper classes, then get rich, later they control the politics, the laws and the scientific theories that could be an advantage for them.

Anarchists such Bakunin have been some of those that have tried to end forever the tyrannies of the strongest over the other people, but it will take us many centuries still ahead to improve this problem.

At every new generation, the problem will appear again as new strong men will be born and will try to impose their tyranny.

What to do with the over-endowed men?

To send them to ostracism, like at Old Greece?

Darwinism is the perfect “scientific” coverage needed by the strong to justify their tyrannies.

—The Darwinist believes he is more evolved than others but in fact what happened is their ancestors developed their body and mind by hard work and their genetic dowry was gifted to their descendants.

Men can develop their body and mind until a limit and beyond it no development comes but often disease and decadence.

Men cannot study 200 careers or grow as tall as the Liberty Statue.

Men can develop their potentiality until they reach the limit allowed to the human nature.

When this limit is reached, men don't develop further.

The body enters then a state of “surmenage” or overwork and the mind cannot learn more.

There is no evolution at all on this process, it is just a development.

Men cannot evolve to be larger than King Kong or to gather at their memory all the Library of the Congress.

If some day a living species come that could perform such incredible feats, it will be no longer called a man but a different, new one species.

Darwinists long to become a different species, better than men, some day on the future.

But this better species won't come by their "evolution" or by their "natural selection" but by genetic manipulation.

The only way that their loved "natural selection" and "evolution" could produce a new, better species than men, would be by a very slow process of about one million years time.

And the Darwinists couldn't notice those changes, as they happen every 10.000 years and are so slight that nobody can perceive them.

Darwinists do notice the changes that happen at the "secondary characteristics" of their descendants but this is not an "evolution" at all.

—The Darwinist boasts, like the aristocrats' sons, on his pride for being the son of good parents, athletic, handsome, strong, smart.

His ancestors worked hard to achieve such great development but the Darwinist knows nothing on the pains and efforts suffered by his ancestors, he only enjoys the physical shape left by them to him.

He is a fool and an ignorant as he bestows the merit of his superiority to the intervention of “the natural selection and the evolution”. His superiority comes from the hard work of his ancestors.

—Those who are strong and beautiful don’t want to accept that they are this way because an excellence of their organs, glands and all their body as a whole, and prefer to tell people they are this way by “the will of the natural selection and the evolution”.

Evolution has nothing to do with the birth of a beautiful man or woman.

A beautiful man or woman is this way by an excellence of all the functions of his body and mind.

A body that works very well produces handsome people with a well built body and a clear mind.

Plato said it and since him, many others have said it, such the neo-classical artist Flaxman.

—Darwinism is only a theory, a plagiarism of the Greek old materialism, manipulated by the barbaric mind of the Darwinists.

Darwinism explains nothing on how has come Men and on how has become a man.

To say that men were long ago reptiles and fish is to say nothing as reptiles and fish are living beings like men and it is not important if we had another form millions of years ago.

What is important is we all are living beings sharing the same basic structures and functions, as Aristotle said.

It is not important the form which can vary according to the geological age and the conditions on this planet.

Darwinism explains nothing on why men are the way they are. Darwinism explains nothing on why men got the kind of body we got, with so many parts and mechanisms that our current Medicine doesn't understand well yet.

Darwinism will never answer those questions as the only thing that Darwinists do is to say: "this is because natural selection" and this is all they can do.

—Darwinists say us that football and the economic and industrial wars are "bloodless wars".

So it means there are wars without dead and therefore natural selection cannot do its grim job.

This is the human World where we play at war without killing each other.

This is the human World where we meet curfews, armistices, holidays, Sundays and truces which we cannot find at the animal World.

Nothing to do with the World of gladiators fighting to death every day at the Roman Coliseum, depicted by the Darwinists as their favourite World.

Darwinism is an invention.

Darwinists play a double game, by one side they tell us that this World is a struggle to survive and by the other side they prefer to live on a Western civilized country where they enjoy holidays, Sundays, truces, bloodless wars...

They love to see with frantic how the sharks eat seals and the lions hunt gazelles but to live, nothing like the USA.

—

Darwinists worship Goddess Evolution and, without realising it, they become their puppets and toys.

Evolution uses them to spread around the idea that Evolution is unstoppable and all men must obey its orders.

Evolution is an evil and Darwinists are her henchmen.

Darwinists are the worst men, the most brute, the most slave as they accept to cease to be men to become a blind force at the hands of their goddess Evolution.

They resign on their condition of men to become a blind, brutish, beastly force that waits meekly the coming of their goddess Evolution to see what does on them as it pleases to her.

I prefer other kind of men, those that fight against Evolution, those who want to keep the dignity on being a man and that don't discourage people saying there is nothing to do against goddess Evolution.

All the Darwinists have betrayed the human condition to become servants of their goddess Evolution.

Darwinists think on themselves as more evolved men, but they are only slaves of the Evolution and the Natural Selection.

Science and Technology need scientists and engineers to exist and many men volunteer to serve them, as they think they will awarded with money and fame.

And overall, men are called "more evolved" if they devote their lives to the Science.

But thousands of scientists have died without prizes nor recognition.

We owe to them all the current technology, inventions and prosperity but they were used by Science to progress herself.

Many others died when researching on very dangerous chemicals, radioactive materials or germs or testing new planes or cars.

We enjoy our high standard of life today thanks to them, to their antibiotics, to their jet planes, to their vaccines, to their vitamins.

They thought they were more “evolved” if they were scientist working for the Mankind sake.

Darwinism told them they were more evolved if they worked as scientists.

They were not, they were martyrs of Science, just researchers as many other thousands of researchers like them.

This is what happens when scientists forget they are men and put their destiny on the hands of Mankind foes: the brutish, material forces that rule this Universe, such natural selection and evolution.

They turn so brutish as those primeval forces, forgetting all the Wisdom of Mankind gathered for centuries before them.

Science must serve men and not the primeval forces and energies that rule this Universe.

Scientists must not turn servants of those primeval forces and energies, no matter if they are very huge and powerful.

—Darwinism is a very noxious ideology and it will take many generations to come to get rid of Darwinism.

It is an ideology that supports very well the selfishness of each man, as Darwinism tells him that he is “more evolved” than the others just because he is handsomer, greater, stronger or smarter.

And all men will always embrace Darwinism willingly as it says to them that they are “more evolved” than the others and each man believes on himself that he is really “more evolved” than the others.

Besides this, every man believes he has got on him some physical features or talents which he believes are worth enough to survive and to be inherited by his descendants.

So every man will always embrace Darwinism as it is the ideology that protects his belief that he is “more

evolved” than the others and that he has in him some unique characteristics which must survive.

It is difficult to imagine a more pervert ideology than Darwinism and a more difficult one to eradicate from men’s heads, as Darwinism suits very well the selfishness of every man.

So we will suffer still many more years this nasty ideology as all men want to perpetuate Darwinism, not because it is a true scientific theory, which is not, but because darwinism makes believe each man that he is “more evolved” than the others.

And that he has some unique characteristics which must “survive”.

This is subjectivism at its uppermost level: we must accept here a scientific theory not by scientific proof but because this theory suits to the interest of each individual.

Therefore darwinism is not a scientific theory but a political one.

It is chosen by men because political reasons: the men of our time need to believe that each one of them is “more evolved” than the others.

The only way to end those 150 years of Darwinism’ sad history is to forbid by law this ideology, an ideology that sends men one against the other to demonstrate

one is better than the other and he is who must survive, an ideology that praises selfishness and cruelty over the other men.

Darwinism should be forbidden on all our countries as much as it is forbidden cannibalism, killing, stealing, terrorism and all other kinds of violence and extremist ideologies.

EPILOGUE

All the religions have said that this planet Earth with all its living beings is a miracle.

It means that Life and Men on this planet don't follow the Laws of this Universe.

This is what it means to be a miracle.

Therefore Life and Men on this planet must follow other Laws of another Universe, a previous Universe or above ours one.

Some "intelligent designer" has put Life and Men on this planet but this God is by no means like the Jehovah of the Bible or the Allah of the Muslims.

God is some kind of force or energy but not like the forces and energies that we observe at our Universe, as this God doesn't follow the Laws of our Universe.

Such energy or force follows another Laws, perhaps those Laws of a previous Universe or a above ours one.

By following other Laws, God, understood as a force or energy, has created Life and Men on this planet.

We know that random cannot create such complex living beings like Men, at least random as it happens in our Universe.

Random, understood as the gathering of some amine acids, proteins and enzymes brought by a comet or asteroid to a planet, receiving significant amounts of energy on it to begin the process of Life, by such random as it happens in our Universe it could appear very simple one-cell microscopic beings, such those that inhabit Mars, but not at all complex living beings such us.

We observe that in this Universe, phenomenon only happen when very huge, immense astronomical forces and energies collide, as when a supernova or a black hole occurs.

To create Life and Men on this planet demands the same astronomical, immense force and energy.

It cannot be made by random, as the only phenomenon we see on this Universe that happens by random are the collision of comets and asteroids, the collisions of planets and satellites and the collision of galaxies.

Who decided to put all those forces and energies to work to create Life and Men on this planet?

We have called traditionally a God to this being.

But as God and intelligent designer, he has raped the Laws of this Universe to create Life and Men.

He has followed different Laws when creating Life and Men. Because it, we are a miracle.

Classical philosophers have always said that the only clue to imagine how could be God is ourselves, Men, as we are the most excellent living beings.

Philosophers have seen that our mind with its intelligence cannot be explained by the Laws of this Universe (as the Universe that contemporary Astronomy shows us is a mess of very brutish, material, primeval immense forces and energies that fight each other with no intelligence at all).

Neither can be explained by this Universe's Laws the body of Men which is beautiful, ruled by proportion, symmetry and order (but only on the athletes and the handsome).

If the old scientists regarded this Universe as ruled by order and beauty it was only by the orbits of the planets and satellites around a Sun.

But contemporary Astronomy shows us a much more wild Universe, as well as contemporary Physics does with the very strange subatomic particles.

It is impossible to regard this Universe as order, proportion and beauty as other Men did on past centuries.

Men keep their intelligence, proportion and symmetry which there is not longer on this Universe.

So Men must follow other Laws different of those of this Universe.

It is difficult to demonstrate this argumentation as many other Laws of this Universe are fulfilled on our planet, such the Law of Gravity, the Laws of Thermodynamics, those of electromagnetism and the other Physics and Chemistry Laws.

Thanks to the presence of those Physics and Chemistry Laws on our planet, Men can infer how works this Universe at larger, astronomical scale.

But at the same time, there are two or three laws that apply on Life and Men on this planet that we cannot find on this Universe.

The first of those Laws is the same existence of Life and Men.

The second Law is the existence of our intelligence and our well designed body.

There is not other planet on this Universe with Life and Men (and even if some day a planet like ours is discovered, it will be too a miracle, out of this Universe's Laws.).

If all the millions of planets in this Universe would have Life and Men, then it would become a Law of this Universe: all its planets must have Life and Men on them.

But until now, Life and Men are "singularities", anomalies which defy all this Universe's "Laws".

An according to Popper, a Law is not longer a Law when we find a unique case on all this Universe where this Law is not fulfilled.

We cannot formulate a Law such: “In this Universe, all the planets are barren except a certain planet called Earth where there is a singularity called Life”.

It wouldn't be a LAW at all.

So Life and Men must have been created (at once or along a very long period, it doesn't matter) by a God who doesn't follow the Laws of this Universe but the Laws of a previous or upper Universe.

And as many classical philosophers and theologians have said, Men are both divine and animal.

We are divine because we have been created by Laws of a different Universe and we are animal because we follow, on most of our mind and body, the same Physics and Chemistry Laws that apply on this material, brutish Universe.

The same planet Earth is both divine and material at the same time. It is material because it follows the Physics and Chemistry Laws of this Universe and it is divine because this planet has Life and Men on its surface whose existence cannot be explained by the Laws of this Universe.

So the Men's duty, as have said too the classical philosophers, is to be the butler of this Universe because Men share some characteristics with this Universe but we have on us other characteristics that belong to another Universe

(God's Universe) and we are a sort of mediators between those two Universes, relating one with the other.

This is the meaning of Men's existence.

