

Enrique Morata

Darwinlandia (briefing)



Darwinlandia

**This is a blog of criticism
against vulgar social darwinism
in the United States.**

Escrito por plainphilosophy el 18/12/2007 14:47 | [Comentarios](#) (2)

Theory of the United States

What is the United States?

The Founding Fathers such Washington, Adams, Jefferson, Franklin told us that the American Revolution was necessary , to develop a new country of freedom and democracy. This is false.

The Founding Fathers were aristocrats, plantation owners that had a dream of an American Empire , and to get this, they needed first to break with the other empire, the British, to fill the colonies with massive immigration to populate the lands farther Appalachia that they wanted to steal to the indians (who had historical rights over those territories , without doubt).

As you can read in the Declaration of Independence, since the very beginning, the Founding Fathers wanted to build an empire from the Atlantic to the Pacific and were at odds with the King George III because the British Crown wanted to develop the colonies slowly, with controlled immigration, good planification and a civilized style.

The americans were seen by the british as half-wild colonials by their intercourse with the indians and by the influence of that vast american land that turned the colonial british into half-wild independentists with vulgarity as their trademark.

The Founding Fathers used the disease of the King George, porphyria, to difamate him.

The Founding Fathers never had the intention to give too much power to the people.

U.S.A. has never been a land of freedom and democracy, this is propaganda that all the presidents since Washington have used to handle their own people.

U.S.A. is an ARISTOCRATIC DEMOCRACY, according to the definition of Aristotle in his book "The politics".

An aristocratic democracy is a sort of democracy where there are elections, a president elected, a Congress but where an aristocracy governs indeed. The American aristocracy has always been an aristocracy of millionaires, nowadays they are the councils of the great corporations, electric, nuclear, military, gas and petrol, pharmaceuticals, computers, health industry, aeronautics, space, chemicals, food industry that really rule the United States.

The president and the senators just pretend, they only deal with foreign affairs matters and appear at the television as actors and clowns of the political show.

Escrito por plainphilosophy el 18/12/2007 14:59 | [Comentarios](#) (0)

U.S.A -2

The American aristocracy is the councils of the big corporations.

The councils of the great corporations decide what is good and what is bad for the American people. This is the aristocracy that rules the U.S.A. SINCE EVER.

For the American Empire, the paradigm has always been the Roman Empire. The current U.S.A. copies the ancient Romans even in their concept of the military.

At the end of the Roman Empire, the Roman politicians used to hire barbarians as legionaries for their army.

The same is doing now the U.S.A., most of the current American soldiers are Hispanics or other immigrants that win their U.S. citizenship by serving for the U.S. army.

They are the strongest soldiers in the World, they are supplied with the best military material, the USA enjoys many military bases around the World to protect the American interests when the need arises. As an empire with a powerful army, nobody dares to criticize the U.S.A.

The American citizens, corrupted by this status quo, can only think in their selfishness.

They only care of getting a good job, a car, a house, money, petrol, the U.S.A. be the best country in the world with the best of the World for them, no matter how to get the raw materials for the U.S. industry to keep running it or from where comes the petrol.

Because this corruption of the american people,
they don't care of being the most contaminated country in the World.

The presidency of Jimmy Carter was a noble trying to humanize the U.S.A
BUT HE FAILED.

Carter met at the end of his presidency a high rate of unemployment,
a heavy economic crisis and the USSR and Iran were teasing him.
The failure of Carter's presidency demonstrates
how difficult is to improve or to change the USA.

Escrito por plainphilosophy el 18/12/2007 15:18 | [Comentarios](#) (0)

U.S.A -3

U.S.A. is the country of vulgar social darwinism.

The americans are born with this kind of thinking inside their head:
life is a struggle for survival where only the strongest or fittest survive.

All the americans believe this rubbish.

There is something in the North American land
that turns its people darwinists.

Darwinism is a truth as evident
as the gravity law of Newton.

Nobody objects to this innate truth of darwinism, in the U.S.A.

One of the ways darwinism is applied in the U.S.A.
is in its policy of immigration.

The illegal immigrant that is strong, smart and healthy
will succeed in staying away from the immigration police
and after some years living illegally in the U.S.A. he will get the green card.

The illegal immigrant that is dumb, sick, weak or clumsy,
he will fall into the claws of the immigration police and will be deported in 2
days, handcuffed and put on the black list of all the immigration departments
of the world.

This is american darwinism in its more disgusting form.

Darwinism also infects management and business administration.

The strongest business survives, the weakest dies.

Business world is a war among employers.

The employees are soldiers that work hard to win this war against
competition.

The employers, by their Human Resources director,
hire only the best employees, the most loyal to the firm,
those with the most right way of life. So spoke Henry Ford and Andrew
Carnegie.

People fight each other to get a good job and an impressive curriculum.

This is wild capitalism as it has always been in the U.S.A.
IT IS PART OF THEIR WAY OF LIVING.

SOME SAY THAT THE U.S.A. CAN ONLY EXIST BEING THIS WAY : a Darwinist country.

Taylorism, as it was loved by Henry Ford,
recommends to hire only the right employees.

And, with the time, it happens that the best employees
belong all of them to the same kind of person, the posh,
the kind of people with degrees in engineering or bussiness management
that live very well in our current system
and that don´t want to improve it or change it.

Escrito por plainphilosophy el 18/12/2007 18:27 | [Comentarios](#) (0)

U.S.A.-4

Australia and Canada are better countries than the United States.

When we compare the U.S.A. with Australia or Canada
we realize that those countries are better, more civilized, with better
Healthcare system, with less aggressive people and with better Welfare State
than the U.S.A.

Australia and Canada were developed by the British Empire
as they wanted to develop the American colonies if independence were not
won by the rebels.

The British Crown developed Canada and Australia slowly,
in a civilized way, with great control of immigration,
with good planification of the new cities and the new territory to tame.
As a result, those two countries are better countries than the U.S.A.,
they are less populated and more peaceful,
their administration is better and their way of life more quiet.

Canada and Australia never broke with the British, quite the opposite,
they remain faithful to the British Crown to the day,
Queen Elisabeth II is the Queen of Australia and Canada too, and she
delegates to a General Governor.

Most colonial people that were not rebels around 1776 , they fled to Canada.
The relations between U.S.A. and Canada all along the XIX century were bad
because this fact.

Therefore we can say that the original sin of the U.S.A. was to gain
independence from the British.

Had they not, the current U.S.A. would be a better country,
very much like Canada and Australia,
less populated, with better Healthcare system

with less enormous and vicious influence to the rest of the World.

Escrito por plainphilosophy el 18/12/2007 18:49 | [Comentarios](#) (0)

U.S.A. -5

The United States are not a land of freedom and democracy.

The americans consider anyone that dares to blame their country, an anti-american.

We are not against the american people because they are the first that suffer the tyranny of the aristocracy of millionaires that rules them.

We are against an aristocracy that cheats their one people, promising them since the days of the Independence a land of freedom of democracy,

when since the very beginning the purpose of the american aristocrats was to build and American Empire ruled by them.

It is doubtful that the U.S.A. have been sometime a land of freedom of democracy, most of the time these words were void and pure propaganda to keep the american people deluded, presenting to them America as a paradise meanwhile the rest of the world was primitive and ruled by tyrans.

Nowadays the U.S.A. are no longer such a place of freedom and democracy, on the contrary, the U.S.A. are now the main **hurdle** that stops the rest of the world to progress in a way towards deeper freedom and democracy.

The U.S.A furnish the rest of the World with a bad example, in all the fields.

All that is taught at the Bussiness Schools of the World is a copy of what is taught at the American schools of management.

The American way of doing science is copied by the rest of the scientists around the world, the same happens with technology , art, television and entertainment. The American model is the only one and there is no possibility to develop alternative ways in science , ways of life or else.

But the american model is not the best, it is only the most powerful, imposed by a country with the most expensive army, with the CIA, by the big corporations and their money and by the weight of their 300 million population.

And if Al Gore reaches the presidency, he would do nothing, as he is a professional politician, son of a professional politician, he is a millionaire that lives in a big and energy-cost expensive house . All the american millionaires are the same.

The only hope that stays for the rest of the World is that some day a new Civil War bursts there, or a revolution such that of the hippies in the 60' or perhaps this huge country breaks into several countries smaller and less powerful, California by one side, Texas by another, The South again as the Confederation , the former 13 colonies again by themselves, Great Lakes and the Mid-West, the Great Prairies and the Rockies.

Escrito por plainphilosophy el 18/12/2007 19:04 | [Comentarios](#) (0)

Improving the USA

When we criticize the U.S.A. , it is to improve this country, not to destroy it.

The islamic terrorists are the ones that want to destroy the U.S.A., not us.

Islam is the most harsh, violent and fanatical religion ever.

They think that the American way of life is hell and that the Islamic way of life is the only holy one.

The muslims send to the death penalty to its critics, such Salman Rushdie. The muslims take advantage of the fall of the USSR

to try to conquer the World Empire for the Islam.

Mahomet urged all the muslims to conquer the World for the Islam. They tried it in the VII CENTURY a.d. and now they are trying again. The muslims, not matter if they come from Morocco or from Malaysia, are able, strong people.

They could conquer the World easily if it not were by the United States.

The United States, with its nuclear weapons and its very expensive army, is the umbrella that protects our Western countries from being invaded by the muslims.

Therefore, we need the United States, we don't want to destroy them , we just want to improve them.

Escrito por plainphilosophy el 19/12/2007 11:17 | [Comentarios](#) (0)

Big corporations

The big corporations are a form of tyranny.

The big corporations are a sort of a country inside the country.

They have an army, the security guards,
a people, its employees,
a foreign policy, to sink the competition,
a territory, its skyscrapers and industrial estates,
a department of immigration, its managers of Human Resources
a central intelligence agency, their private eyes against industrial spying,
and a goal,
to furnish jobs for its employees
which are the people the corporation serves
and to earn money to pay the high salaries of its chiefs,
its counsellors and managers.

The big corporations have too much power in our democracies
and , with the pretext of keeping the jobs for their employees,
they act with machiavelism
to earn money at whatever price.

They impose to the population its products,
its style, its pollution,
its machinery
and they say this is to give jobs
to their employees
and to keep running the corporation.

Along the XX century the big corporations
have overlaped the people´s power .

They are the responsible of the great ecological disasters of that century,
of all the pollution and
the medical and pharmaceutical experiments with the population,
taking
nuclear risks
to get electricity to keep running the country ,
all this
without even asking to the people.

It is the duty of the XXI century to forbid, by law,
the existence of the big corporations.

If we have been able in the past
to legislate a law against trusts or monopolies,
it is now the time
to achieve a law against big corporations.

By this law, never more a big corporation should exist.

**THIS LAW SHOULD ALLOW ONLY
SMALL AND MEDIUM SIZE FIRMS,**

with a maximum of 200 employees.

Escrito por plainphilosophy el 20/12/2007 20:58 | [Comentarios](#) (0)

Groening

The Simpsons are a report on the things that go wrong in our time.

-Matt Groening as the current representative of the American skepticism.

Mark Twain was the most important American skeptical ever, his novel "A Yankee in the King Arthur´s court" is a satire on the American management style.

American skepticism has been mistaken too often as fickle humourism.

Matt Groening makes evident (in his Simpsons

and with his comic-books on love ,job and life),

how preposterous and stupid is our time and the current USA.

Escrito por plainphilosophy el 22/12/2007 17:54 | [Comentarios](#) (0)

Darwinland

Darwinists turn this planet into a sort of Darwinland.

According to the darwinists, this planet is Darwinland, a place where all the living beings must fight to survive as only the most fitted or the stronger lives another day.

For many years, the foes of DARWIN have been labelled as "biblical creationists" and despised as morons.

But we are scientists, as serious and graduated as the darwinists, and we see on Darwinism a lot of black holes and political use of Darwinism that we want to report.

1-First, we are not "negatives" in the sense that we want to block the progress of the biological science.

On the contrary, we want that biology advances further until finding a better and richer theory than this insufficient and coarse Darwinism, which is unable to explain a lot of phaenomena that have happened on this Earth since millions of years ago.

2-Second, we don´t deny some truths of Darwinism,

we don't deny that there is a struggle to survive among all the living beings and that the most fitted survives,
we don't deny that there is a natural selection .

What we say is : all those concepts are not enough to explain the rise of the life on this planet and all the biological events that have happened here along the ages.

Life and living beings are very complex feats that demand very complex theories to explain them, and Darwinism is not a complex theory, quite the opposite.

3-Biologists abuse of the explanation: "this is because natural selection". When they find at their laboratories a biological fact, they explain it by the very cozy and easy explanation of "this is because natural selection".

We must demand to the biologists that they develop a better, more complex theory than the cheap trick of saying "this is because natural selection".

4-Darwinism is a simpleton, coarse, faulty and inefficient theory , 150 years old, and it must be improved by a better, advanced theory that can explain many biological facts that currently fall out of Darwin's dogmas.

5-Electronic microscope has been the device that has brought great progress into the XX century biology, not Darwinism. When a biologist finds something in his experiments that doesn't fit into Darwinism, he ignores it, fearing the scorn of the other darwinist biologists.

Therefore, Darwinism has not been the key for the development of XX century biology, quite the opposite, Darwinism has been a hurdle that has slowed Biology's progress, as Darwinism has acted as a dictatorship that forced biologists to see and to think only what Darwin allowed to see and to think.

6-Biological facts are neuter, but biologists aren't. They cast upon their findings their political, philosophical or religious ideology.

Biological facts can be interpreted in many different ways depending on the ideology of each biologist.

It is a shame that all the current departments of biology at the universities are ruled by darwinists bigots, blocking the rise of non-darwinist biologists which are excluded from the university.

7-Darwinism has become a religion of State, supported even by the Vatican. People that dares to object to Darwinism are considered as hereticals , prosecuted and forbidden. There must be something rotten on this scientific theory when, to survive itself, must crash and exterminate its oppositors. We should distrust such a theory that behaves in such way.

And as Thomas Kuhn wrote in his book on scientific revolutions, darwinist biologists act the same way act the old school of scientists when they are challenged by a younger, fresher school. Darwinists turn aggressive and mafia-like to protect their jobs and their old theories.

8-The concept of evolution was already known by the old materialists such Lucretius but it was not until the XIX century that it began to be used politically ,

first by the British Empire that needed a theory to justify its racist and victorian imperialism, second by the U.S. wild capitalism and third and worst of all, by the nazis.

Escrito por plainphilosophy el 30/01/2008 16:40 | [Comentarios](#) (0)

2

8-Biologists fall too often into the fallacy called: "the Biologist Fallacy". When they observe at their microscopes and at their laboratories some biological feat performed by amoeba or other one-cell beings, they translate to the human scale the behaviour they have seen through their microscopes, claiming that humans must behave the same way amoeba do.

This is the "Biologist Fallacy".

Men are very complex creatures whose behaviour demands related very complex explanations.

We are not amoeba.

9-Darwinists are the kind of people that feel awe and disgust in front of the every day show of slaughter and cruelty in the animal world. Therafter they feel depressed and to overcome it, they begin to worship this ruthless animal world.

It is a psychological reaction very close to the "Stockholm Syndrome" where a kidnapped person begins to understand his kidnappers.

Here we have been kidnapped by a bad God ,down to this dangerous planet and we react worshipping him , the responsible of all this mess called the World.

Darwinists worship evolution , war, fight to survive, effort , thrift.

Hemingway did the same, he believed that all the World was as the Serengeti, but bigger !

Hemingway loved the hunt, the bulls, death and life understood as a restless fight.

10-But darwinists are a very smart people, they talk about a fight to survive but they don't want to migrate to those countries which are real Darwinlands, such HAITI or Papua, where men die every day.

Darwinists say that life is a fight to death as it was between the gladiators of the Roman Circus but they don't want to live in those countries where people is kill every day and where they should effort at full strenght to survive a single day.

Darwinists prefer to stay at their confortable universities.

The countries at war are too Darwinlands but nobody wants to travel there.

11-Darwinists talk about a "war without blood".

Sport and economical war among corporations are samples of this "war without blood".

How can darwinists explain the existence of those "wars without blood" and other human deeds such the truces, curfews, sundays, holydays...which don't happen at the animal world ?

How can they explain this kind of people that by Sunday attend a mess at the Church

but the rest of the week turn barbarian at their corporations, working inside the wild capitalism system , remorseless ?

12-Darwinism and wild capitalism mean the same: those that love capitalism do an eulogy of darwinism at the same time. Darwin is at the basement of wild Capitalism.

It is important to take off the mask from Darwin's face : we will meet a wild capitalist.

13-Darwin is the not-direct responsible of millions of suicides commited in the last 150 years.

Darwinism has put a lot of pressure on people to produce more, to be a better worker, to be a winner, to show that they are the stronger . If they don't succeed they become despised , treated as "not suitable to survive" and facing extinction.

This is the sad and black history of 150 years of Darwinism.

14-People that love evolution, become puppets at the hands of Goddess Evolution.

As Circe turned Ulisses' men into pigs, Goddess Evolution turns darwinists into blind , bruttish forces that obey Goddess Evolution desires.

We should control evolution , not be controlled by Goddess Evolution.

15-Darwin was a barbarian slob ,

stubborn ,with two fixed ideas on his mind (natural selection and the survival of the stronger) .He travelled around the World to find shells and fossils that could support his believes.

When he found something that couldn't fit it, he ignored it.

He preferred to anchor at the Galapagos Islands, barren and volcanic, rather than New Zealand or the Canary Islands where they thrive many old plants that bother darwinists, as they don't know how to explain them.

Darwin, back home, needed a big success to save his wretched life (he suffered all his life from a possible yellow fever caught when shipping aboard the BEAGLE).

And he got it with his book on the origin of species, which was much needed by his far-right Victorian countrymen.

Since then, Darwinism has been both a biological theory and a far-right political theory.

16-If Darwinism were right, there would be too a fight for survival among the scientific theories and Darwinism would be one of the worsts , as Darwinism, in its 150 years of history, has been rewritten several times by the biologists.

Aristotle should be the winner, as his books have survived 2.500 years and are rediscovered each century, furnishing the roots for many sciences.

Aristotle has been the greatest wise in History, he has brought enlightenment to mankind in many fields.

Darwin , instead, was a barbarian, and has left in the 150 sad years of history of Darwinism a trace of war, tyrannies and death.

If we must choose between Aristotle the Greek or Darwin the Barbarian, we choose without doubt Aristotle.

17-All the concepts of Darwin come from the old barbarian religion of the Germans and the Scandinavians that spread around Central Europe and Northern Europe 3.000 years ago.

It was a religion of war, big framed and strong men that worshipped Odin and Thor, a religion of cult to the strongest , a cult to violence, to Hella, the Ragnarok, the Berserk, the Walhalla...

Darwinism is the same than to say: "Justice is the law of the stronger" , as the barbarians used to say.

Escrito por plainphilosophy el 30/01/2008 17:26 | [Comentarios](#) (0)

3

17- For the powerful, big and strong men, darwinism is perfect as it justifies their belief on their superiority, justifies their tyrannies and their use of the lower classes to become rich.

This kind of people knows that they will survive because they are stronger and better. Therefore they don't want that Darwinism be objected and think there is no need to revise it. They say that Darwinism is a perfect theory.

But for the clumsy, infirm, handicapped or weak, Darwinism has meant a sentence to a wretched life and, eventually, to death. They have been considered by the darwinists as not able to survive, despised, forgotten and finally sentenced to fade away in silence.

Men of our time have been educated as darwinists. They believe on it as a religion, by blind faith. When they see a handicapped person, they think he is not strong enough to survive and subsequently, must face extinction, by Darwin's law.

This is the ultimate reason why handicapped people don't receive more attention, help and funds from governments and general public. It will be very difficult to change the mind of the public, darwinist as they are nowadays.

It will take several new generations, better trained with a free thinking, to get people with a mind not inserted with those Darwin's dogmas.

One day, next generations will question their ancestors, all of them darwinist bigots impossible to recicle, why they believed such idols of their time by blind faith, as Francis Bacon would say.

18-If Darwin were right, yankees wouldn't be the most evolved people of the World, but Asians.

Yankees mistake "to be evolved" by "to be bigger".

Yankees spoil their own country, now the most polluted of the World.

Their country spends more petrol and energy than the other countries. The yankees need a lot of energy, food, luxuries, gadgets and a big life to survive.

An Asian, such a Thailander, would do a better man, he is well muscled, with an average height, fed by a single dish of rice at day, living in an austere environment without spoiling it and working steadily all day.

He would be a more evolved man than a big yankee. A thailander is more fitted to its environment and respects it better than a yankee does.

19-Darwinists say that the World is divided in two worlds.

By one side we get the animal world, absolutely darwinist, the big fish eats the small fish.

By the other side, we have the human world, a sophisticated civilitation with survival fights without blood, as it happens in football or in the rivalry among professionals. But the darwinist economists don't pay attention to the fact that, if we wish, the human world has no need to be darwinist at all, not even plagued with "wars without blood".

By the same principle that we got a separate human world from the animal world, we can get too a human world not darwinist. It is possible to get it ,if we want to.

But darwinist economists insist that it must be a war among the corporations, a war among the employees to be the best, a war to get the best curriculum, a war against the competition , a war won by the hard work of the very good employees of a corporation which are rewarded with money by their war effort , and that bloody money is the loot of these wars among corporations.

And the darwinist economists say that this is the only way the economic system can work.

20-But those darwinist economists don't realize that they are barbarians, they use the same concepts that barbarians did use: war among companies, competition, rivalry among scientists to achieve a discovery, cult to the strength ,cult to the effort ,cult to force oneself to be able to produce more. All those concepts are barbarian.

21-And if darwinism were true, either way it should be the duty of mankind to release all men from this slavery that forces people to fight each other to survive, or to get a job . This is a curse we should fight until we get rid of it.

A bad god put us on this planet, forlorn as we are. It was a dirty trick that god played on mankind. His law is that we should fight one against the other to survive. It is our duty to liberate ourselves from this load. This is the meaning of History and its social advances. This is our rebel cause to reach the time when men won't need more to fight each other to survive.

22-Man doesn't descend from ape.

Man is an ape , although a special ape, a strange ape.

23-Some impossible animals don't fit on darwinism:

kangaroos that have their front limbs handicaped, the lazy bear from Venezuelan jungles and the koala that enjoy a very slow metabolism, sharks that haven't evolved for millions of years...

Darwinist tell us that the shark reached a perfect design millions of years ago and therefore it had no need to evolve further.

Well then , it is all a matter of reaching a perfect design !

This is what creationists have always said !

And all the very complex creatures that populate this planet must have behind them some kind of an intelligent designer, be god , be some primeval force or other .

Escrito por plainphilosophy el 30/01/2008 18:32 | [Comentarios](#) (0)

4

24-Darwinists tell us that we were, in other ages, reptiles and fish.

Well then ,why reptiles and fish didn't become extinct

when men appeared on the surface of this planet ?

25- We are not against science.

We are against fanatical theories such darwinism that doesn't allow the progress of science.

We the non-biblical creationists are not against all darwinism as we accept some of its truths

such the existence of a struggle for life and a natural selection of the fittests.

But we cannot feel satisfied with such simple theories, we need a more complex one to explain the stunning complexity of this world , the complexity of ourselves and of our fellow living beings.

26-DNA is just a very complex bunch of chemical reactions among aminoacids, enzymes and proteins.

Biologists enjoy to project on the DNA all kind of thoughts, political , philosophical and social, but the DNA is just chemical reactions and nothing else, DNA has not ideology or creed.

Biologists project on the DNA all their political and philosophical believes.

IF YOU ARE AN U.S. CITIZEN AND YOU GET ANGRY BY WHAT I AM WRITING ON THIS BLOG,

REMEMBER THAT AT THE END OF THE XIX CENTURY, PEOPLE SUCH HERMAN MELVILLE, THE AUTHOR OF "MOBY DICK", WROTE THE SAME:

THE U.S. HAD BEGUN AS THE LAND OF FREEDOM AND DEMOCRACY BUT BY 1880 IT WAS EVIDENT THAT THE U.S. WAS JUST ANOTHER EMPIRE, EXPANDING WETSWARDS, WITH A NEW AMERICAN ARISTOCRACY OF MILLIONAIRES RULING THE COUNTRY AND A WORKING CLASS SUFFERING THE SAME APALLING WORKING AND LIVING CONDITIONS OF THEIR EUROPEAN BROTHERS.

THIS IS THE U.S. AND IF YOU ARE NOT ABLE TO SEE IT, IT IS BECAUSE YOU HAVEN'T TRAVELLED AROUND THE WORLD AND YOU HAVEN'T SEEN THE U.S. FROM OUTSIDE.

Escrito por plainphilosophy el 30/01/2008 19:33 | [Comentarios](#) (1)

Acerca de plainphilosophy

popular philosophy

Archivo

- [Enero 2008](#) (4)
- [Diciembre 2007](#) (9)

Categorías

[biology](#)
[economy](#)
[philosophy](#)
[politics](#)

Suscríbete

[RSS](#) | [Atom](#)

Contacto

[Contactar](#)

Albergado en: blogspot.es

Noticias: [Noticias](#)

A briefing of my books \

