



# Interval Valued Neutrosophic Soft Topological Spaces

Anjan Mukherjee<sup>1</sup>, Mithun Datta<sup>2</sup>, Florentin Smarandache<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Tripura University, Suryamaninagar, Agartala-799022, Tripura, India, Email: anjan2002\_m@yahoo.co.in

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Tripura University, Suryamaninagar, Agartala-799022, Tripura, India, Email: mithunagt007@gmail.com

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of New Mexico, Gallup, USA, Email: smarand@unm.edu

**Abstract.** In this paper we introduce the concept of interval valued neutrosophic soft topological space together with interval valued neutrosophic soft finer and interval valued neutrosophic soft coarser topology. We also define interval valued neutrosophic interior and closer of an

interval valued neutrosophic soft set. Some theorems and examples are cited. Interval valued neutrosophic soft subspace topology are studied. Some examples and theorems regarding this concept are presented.

**Keywords:** Soft set, interval valued neutrosophic set, interval valued neutrosophic soft set, interval valued neutrosophic soft topological space.

## 1 Introduction

In 1999, Molodtsov [9] introduced the concept of soft set theory which is completely new approach for modeling uncertainty. In this paper [9] Molodtsov established the fundamental results of this new theory and successfully applied the soft set theory into several directions. Maji et al. [7] defined and studied several basic notions of soft set theory in 2003. Pie and Miao [11], Aktas and Cagman [1] and Ali et. al. [2] improved the work of Maji et al [7]. The intuitionistic fuzzy set is introduced by Atanasiu [4] as a generalization of fuzzy set [15] where he added degree of non-membership with degree of membership. Neutrosophic set introduced by F. Smarandache in 1995 [12]. Smarandache [13] introduced the concept of neutrosophic set which is a mathematical tool for handling problems involving imprecise, indeterminacy and inconstant data. Maji [8] combined neutrosophic set and soft set and established some operations on these sets. Wang et al. [14] introduced interval neutrosophic sets. Deli [6] introduced the concept of interval-valued neutrosophic soft sets.

In this paper we form a topological structure on interval valued neutrosophic soft sets and establish some properties of interval valued neutrosophic soft topological space with supporting proofs and examples.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section we recall some basic notions relevant to soft sets, interval-valued neutrosophic sets and interval-valued neutrosophic soft sets.

**Definition 2.1:** [9] Let  $U$  be an initial universe and  $E$  be a set of parameters. Let  $P(U)$  denotes the power set of  $U$  and  $A \subseteq E$ . Then the pair  $(f, A)$  is called a *soft set* over  $U$ , where  $f$  is a mapping given by  $f : A \rightarrow P(U)$ .

**Definition 2.2:** [13] A neutrosophic set  $A$  on the universe of discourse  $U$  is defined as

$$A = \left\{ (x, \mu_A(x), \gamma_A(x), \delta_A(x)) : x \in U \right\}, \quad \text{where}$$

$\mu_A, \gamma_A, \delta_A : U \rightarrow ]^{-}0, 1^{+}[$  are functions such that the condition:  $\forall x \in U, \quad ]^{-}0 \leq \mu_A(x) + \gamma_A(x) + \delta_A(x) \leq 3^{+}$  is satisfied.

Here  $\mu_A(x), \gamma_A(x), \delta_A(x)$  represent the truth-membership, indeterminacy-membership and falsity-membership respectively of the element  $x \in U$ . From philosophical point of view, the neutrosophic set takes the value from real standard or non-standard subsets of  $]^{-}0, 1^{+}[$ . But in real life application in scientific and engineering problems it is difficult to use neutrosophic set with value from real standard or non-standard subset of  $]^{-}0, 1^{+}[$ . Hence we consider the neutrosophic set which takes the value from the subset of  $[0, 1]$ .

**Definition 2.3:** [14] An *interval valued neutrosophic set*  $A$  on the universe of discourse  $U$  is defined as  $A = \left\{ (x, \mu_A(x), \gamma_A(x), \delta_A(x)) : x \in U \right\}$ , where  $\mu_A, \gamma_A, \delta_A : U \rightarrow Int]^{-}0, 1^{+}[$  are functions such that the

condition:

$\forall x \in U, \quad -0 \leq \sup \mu_A(x) + \sup \gamma_A(x) + \sup \delta_A(x) \leq 3^+$  is satisfied.

In real life applications it is difficult to use interval valued neutrosophic set with interval-value from real standard or non-standard subset of  $Int([0,1])$ . Hence we consider the interval valued neutrosophic set which takes the interval-value from the subset of  $Int([0,1])$  (where  $Int([0,1])$  denotes the set of all closed sub intervals of  $[0,1]$ ). The set of all interval valued neutrosophic sets on  $U$  is denoted by  $IVNS(U)$ .

**Definition 2.4:** [6] Let  $U$  be an universe set,  $E$  be a set of parameters and  $A \subseteq E$ . Let  $IVNS(U)$  denotes the set of all interval valued neutrosophic sets of  $U$ . Then the pair  $(f, A)$  is called an *interval valued neutrosophic soft set* (IVNSs in short) over  $U$ , where  $f$  is a mapping given by  $f : A \rightarrow IVNS(U)$ . The collection of all interval valued neutrosophic soft sets over  $U$  is denoted by  $IVNSs(U)$ .

**Definition 2.5:** [6] Let  $U$  be a universe set and  $E$  be a set of parameters. Let  $(f, A), (g, B) \in IVNSs(U)$ , where  $f : A \rightarrow IVNS(U)$  is defined by

$$f(a) = \left\{ \left( x, \mu_{f(a)}(x), \gamma_{f(a)}(x), \delta_{f(a)}(x) \right) : x \in U \right\}$$

and  $g : B \rightarrow IVNS(U)$  is defined by

$$g(b) = \left\{ \left( x, \mu_{g(b)}(x), \gamma_{g(b)}(x), \delta_{g(b)}(x) \right) : x \in U \right\}$$

where

$$\mu_{f(a)}(x), \gamma_{f(a)}(x), \delta_{f(a)}(x), \mu_{g(b)}(x), \gamma_{g(b)}(x), \delta_{g(b)}(x) \in Int([0,1])$$

for  $x \in U$ . Then

(i)  $(f, A)$  is called *interval valued neutrosophic subset* of  $(g, B)$  (denoted by  $(f, A) \subseteq (g, B)$ ) if  $A \subseteq B$  and

$$\mu_{f(e)}(x) \leq \mu_{g(e)}(x), \gamma_{f(e)}(x) \geq \gamma_{g(e)}(x),$$

$$\delta_{f(e)}(x) \geq \delta_{g(e)}(x) \quad \forall e \in A, \forall x \in U. \text{ Where}$$

$$\mu_{f(e)}(x) \leq \mu_{g(e)}(x) \quad \text{iff} \quad \inf \mu_{f(e)} \leq \inf \mu_{g(e)} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\sup \mu_{f(e)} \leq \sup \mu_{g(e)}$$

$$\gamma_{f(e)}(x) \geq \gamma_{g(e)}(x) \quad \text{iff} \quad \inf \gamma_{f(e)} \geq \inf \gamma_{g(e)} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\sup \gamma_{f(e)} \geq \sup \gamma_{g(e)}$$

$$\delta_{f(e)}(x) \geq \delta_{g(e)}(x) \quad \text{iff} \quad \inf \delta_{f(e)} \geq \inf \delta_{g(e)} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\sup \delta_{f(e)} \geq \sup \delta_{g(e)}.$$

(ii) Their *union*, denoted by  $(f, A) \cup (g, B) = (h, C)$  (say), is an interval valued neutrosophic soft set over  $U$ , where  $C = A \cup B$  and for  $e \in C, h : C \rightarrow IVNS(U)$  is defined by

$h(e) = \left\{ \left( x, \mu_{h(e)}(x), \gamma_{h(e)}(x), \delta_{h(e)}(x) \right) : x \in U \right\}$ , where for  $x \in U$ ,

$$\mu_{h(e)}(x) = \begin{cases} \mu_{f(e)}(x) & \text{if } e \in A - B \\ \mu_{g(e)}(x) & \text{if } e \in B - A \\ \mu_{f(e)}(x) \vee \mu_{g(e)}(x) & \text{if } e \in A \cap B \end{cases}$$

$$\gamma_{h(e)}(x) = \begin{cases} \gamma_{f(e)}(x) & \text{if } e \in A - B \\ \gamma_{g(e)}(x) & \text{if } e \in B - A \\ \gamma_{f(e)}(x) \wedge \gamma_{g(e)}(x) & \text{if } e \in A \cap B \end{cases}$$

$$\delta_{h(e)}(x) = \begin{cases} \delta_{f(e)}(x) & \text{if } e \in A - B \\ \delta_{g(e)}(x) & \text{if } e \in B - A \\ \delta_{f(e)}(x) \wedge \delta_{g(e)}(x) & \text{if } e \in A \cap B \end{cases}$$

(iii) Their *intersection*, denoted by  $(f, A) \cap (g, B) = (h, C)$  (say), is an interval valued neutrosophic soft set of over  $U$ , where  $C = A \cap B$  and for  $e \in C, h : C \rightarrow IVNS(U)$  is defined by

$h(e) = \left\{ \left( x, \mu_{h(e)}(x), \gamma_{h(e)}(x), \delta_{h(e)}(x) \right) : x \in U \right\}$ , where for  $x \in U$  and  $e \in C$ ,

$$\mu_{h(e)}(x) = \mu_{f(e)}(x) \wedge \mu_{g(e)}(x), \gamma_{h(e)}(x) = \gamma_{f(e)}(x) \vee \gamma_{g(e)}(x)$$

$$\text{and } \delta_{h(e)}(x) = \delta_{f(e)}(x) \vee \delta_{g(e)}(x).$$

(iv) The *complement* of  $(f, A)$ , denoted by  $(f, A)^c$  is an interval valued neutrosophic soft set over  $U$  and is defined as  $(f, A)^c = (f^c, \bar{A})$ , where

$f^c : \bar{A} \rightarrow IVNS(U)$  is defined by

$$f^c(a) = \left\{ \left( x, \delta_{f(a)}(x), [1 - \sup \gamma_{f(a)}(x), 1 - \inf \gamma_{f(a)}(x)], \mu_{f(a)}(x) \right) : x \in U \right\}$$

for  $a \in A$ .

**Definition 2.6:**[5,6] An IVNSs  $(f, A)$  over the universe  $U$  is said to be universe IVNSs with respect to  $A$  if  $\mu_{f(a)}(x) = [1, 1], \gamma_{f(a)}(x) = [0, 0], \delta_{f(a)}(x) = [0, 0]$   $\forall x \in U, \forall a \in A$ . It is denoted by  $I$ .

**Definition 2.7:** An *IVNSs*  $(f, A)$  over the universe  $U$  is said to be null *IVNSs* with respect to  $A$  if  $\mu_{f(a)}(x) = [0, 0]$ ,  $\gamma_{f(a)}(x) = [1, 1]$ ,  $\delta_{f(a)}(x) = [1, 1] \quad \forall x \in U, \forall a \in A$ . It is denoted by  $\phi$ .

**3 Interval Valued Neutrosophic Soft Topological Spaces**

In this section, we give the definition of interval valued neutrosophic soft topological spaces with some examples and results. We also define discrete and indiscrete interval valued neutrosophic soft topological space along with interval valued neutrosophic soft finer and coarser topology.

Let  $U$  be an universe set,  $E$  be the set of parameters,  $\wp(U)$  be the set of all subsets of  $U$ , *IVNS*( $U$ ) be the set of all interval valued neutrosophic sets in  $U$  and *IVNSs*( $U; E$ ) be the family of all interval valued neutrosophic soft sets over  $U$  via parameters in  $E$ .

**Definition 3.1:** Let  $(\zeta_A, E)$  be an element of *IVNSs*( $U; E$ ),  $\wp(\zeta_A, E)$  be the collection of all interval valued neutrosophic soft subsets of  $(\zeta_A, E)$ . A sub family  $\tau$  of  $\wp(\zeta_A, E)$  is called an interval valued neutrosophic soft topology (in short *IVNS*-topology) on  $(\zeta_A, E)$  if the following axioms are satisfied:

- (i)  $(\phi_{\zeta_A}, E), (\zeta_A, E) \in \tau$
- (ii)  $\{(f_A^k, E) : k \in K\} \subseteq \tau \Rightarrow \bigcup_{k \in K} (f_A^k, E) \in \tau$
- (iii) If  $(g_A, E), (h_A, E) \in \tau$  then  $(g_A, E) \cap (h_A, E) \in \tau$

The triplet  $(\zeta_A, E, \tau)$  is called interval valued neutrosophic soft topological space (in short *IVNS*-topological space) over  $(\zeta_A, E)$ . The members of  $\tau$  are called  $\tau$ -open *IVNS* sets (or simply open sets). Here  $\phi_{\zeta_A} : A \rightarrow \text{IVNS}(U)$  is defined as  $\phi_{\zeta_A}(e) = \{(x, [0, 0], [1, 1], [1, 1]) : x \in U\} \quad \forall e \in A$ .

**Example 3.2:** Let  $U = \{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$ ,  $E = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ ,  $A = \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ . The tabular representation of  $(\zeta_A, E)$  given by

U	e <sub>1</sub>	e <sub>2</sub>
u <sub>1</sub>	([.5,.8],[.3,.5],[.2,.7])	([.4,.7],[.2,.3],[.1,.3])
u <sub>2</sub>	([.4,.7],[.3,.4],[.1,.2])	([.6,.9],[.1,.2],[.1,.2])
u <sub>3</sub>	([.5,.1],[0,.1],[.3,.6])	([.6,.8],[.2,.4],[.1,.3])

e <sub>3</sub>
([.3,.9],[0,.1],[0,.2])
([.4,.8],[.1,.2],[0,.5])
([.4,.9],[.1,.3],[.2,.4])

**Table1:** Tabular representation of  $(\zeta_A, E)$

The tabular representation of  $(\phi_{\zeta_A}, E)$  is given by

U	e <sub>1</sub>	e <sub>2</sub>
u <sub>1</sub>	([0,0],[1,1],[1,1])	([0,0],[1,1],[1,1])
u <sub>2</sub>	([0,0],[1,1],[1,1])	([0,0],[1,1],[1,1])
u <sub>3</sub>	([0,0],[1,1],[1,1])	([0,0],[1,1],[1,1])

e <sub>3</sub>
([0,0],[1,1],[1,1])
([0,0],[1,1],[1,1])
([0,0],[1,1],[1,1])

**Table2:** Tabular representation of  $(\phi_{\zeta_A}, E)$

The tabular representation of  $(f_A^1, E)$  is given by

U	e <sub>1</sub>	e <sub>2</sub>
u <sub>1</sub>	([.1,.7],[.4,.8],[.3,1])	([.1,.3],[.4,.6],[.2,.6])
u <sub>2</sub>	([.1,.3],[.6,.7],[.2,.8])	([0,.5],[.5,.8],[.4,1])
u <sub>3</sub>	([.4,.8],[.6,.7],[.6,.9])	([0,.3],[.4,.7],[.2,.8])

e <sub>3</sub>
([.2,.5],[.8,.9],[.4,.9])
([0,.3],[.6,.9],[.1,.7])
([.1,.3],[.6,.8],[.3,.7])

**Table3:** Tabular representation of  $(f_A^1, E)$

The tabular representation of  $(f_A^2, E)$  is given by

U	e <sub>1</sub>	e <sub>2</sub>
u <sub>1</sub>	([.4,.7],[.5,.7],[.4,.9])	([.2,.3],[.4,.5],[.7,.9])
u <sub>2</sub>	([.3,.5],[.4,.8],[.1,.4])	([.4,.6],[.3,.5],[.2,.5])
u <sub>3</sub>	([.3,.9],[.1,.2],[.6,.7])	([.5,.7],[.6,.7],[.3,.4])

e <sub>3</sub>
([.3,.7],[.5,.8],[.1,.2])
([.1,.3],[.3,.5],[.6,.8])
([.2,.6],[.3,.5],[.5,.8])

**Table4:** Tabular representation of  $(f_A^2, E)$

Let  $(f_A^3, E) = (f_A^1, E) \cap (f_A^2, E)$  then the tabular representation of  $(f_A^3, E)$  is given by

U	e <sub>1</sub>	e <sub>2</sub>
u <sub>1</sub>	([.1.,.7],[.5.,.8],[.4.,1])	([.1.,.3],[.4.,.6],[.7.,.9])
u <sub>2</sub>	([.1.,.3],[.6.,.8],[.2.,.8])	([0.,.5],[.5.,.8],[.4.,1])
u <sub>3</sub>	([.3.,.8],[.6.,.7],[.6.,.9])	([0.,.3],[.6.,.7],[.3.,.8])

  

e <sub>3</sub>	
([.2.,.5],[.8.,.9],[.4.,.9])	
([0.,.3],[.6.,.9],[.6.,.8])	
([.1.,.3],[.6.,.8],[.5.,.8])	

Table5: Tabular representation of (f<sub>A</sub><sup>3</sup>, E)

Let (f<sub>A</sub><sup>4</sup>, E) = (f<sub>A</sub><sup>1</sup>, E) ∪ (f<sub>A</sub><sup>2</sup>, E) then the tabular representation of (f<sub>A</sub><sup>4</sup>, E) is given by

U	e <sub>1</sub>	e <sub>2</sub>
u <sub>1</sub>	([.4.,.7],[.4.,.7],[.3.,.9])	([.2.,.3],[.4.,.5],[.2.,.6])
u <sub>2</sub>	([.3.,.5],[.4.,.7],[.1.,.4])	([.4.,.6],[.3.,.5],[.2.,.5])
u <sub>3</sub>	([.4.,.9],[.1.,.2],[.6.,.7])	([.5.,.7],[.4.,.7],[.2.,.4])

  

e <sub>3</sub>	
([.3.,.7],[.5.,.8],[.1.,.2])	
([.1.,.3],[.3.,.5],[.1.,.7])	
([.2.,.6],[.3.,.5],[.3.,.7])	

Table6: Tabular representation of (f<sub>A</sub><sup>4</sup>, E)

Here we observe that the sub-family τ<sub>1</sub> = {(φ<sub>ζ<sub>A</sub></sub>, E), (ζ<sub>A</sub>, E), (f<sub>A</sub><sup>1</sup>, E), (f<sub>A</sub><sup>2</sup>, E), (f<sub>A</sub><sup>3</sup>, E), (f<sub>A</sub><sup>4</sup>, E)} of ϕ(ζ<sub>A</sub>, E) is a IVNS-topology on (ζ<sub>A</sub>, E), as it satisfies the necessary three axioms of topology and (ζ<sub>A</sub>, E, τ) is a IVNS-topological space. But the sub-family τ<sub>2</sub> = {(φ<sub>ζ<sub>A</sub></sub>, E), (ζ<sub>A</sub>, E), (f<sub>A</sub><sup>1</sup>, E), (f<sub>A</sub><sup>2</sup>, E)} of ϕ(ζ<sub>A</sub>, E) is not an IVNS-topology on (ζ<sub>A</sub>, E), as the union (f<sub>A</sub><sup>4</sup>, E) = (f<sub>A</sub><sup>1</sup>, E) ∪ (f<sub>A</sub><sup>2</sup>, E) does not belong to τ<sub>2</sub>.

**Definition 3.3:** As every IVNS-topology on (ζ<sub>A</sub>, E) must contains the sets (φ<sub>ζ<sub>A</sub></sub>, E) and (ζ<sub>A</sub>, E), so the family ϑ = {(φ<sub>ζ<sub>A</sub></sub>, E), (ζ<sub>A</sub>, E)} forms a IVNS-topology on (ζ<sub>A</sub>, E). The topology is called indiscrete IVNS-topology and the triplet (ζ<sub>A</sub>, E, ϑ) is called an indiscrete interval valued neutrosophic soft topological space (or simply indiscrete IVNS-topological space).

**Definition 3.4:** Let ξ denotes the family of all IVNS-subsets of (ζ<sub>A</sub>, E). Then we observe that ξ satisfies all the axioms of topology on (ζ<sub>A</sub>, E). This topology is called discrete interval valued neutrosophic soft topology and the triplet (ζ<sub>A</sub>, E, ξ) is called discrete interval valued neutrosophic soft topological space (or simply discrete IVNS-topological space).

**Theorem 3.5:** Let {τ<sub>i</sub> : i ∈ I} be any collection of IVNS-topology on (ζ<sub>A</sub>, E). Then their intersection ∩<sub>i ∈ I</sub> τ<sub>i</sub> is also a IVNS-topology on (ζ<sub>A</sub>, E).

**Proof:** (i) Since (φ<sub>ζ<sub>A</sub></sub>, E), (ζ<sub>A</sub>, E) ∈ τ<sub>i</sub> for each i ∈ I. Hence (φ<sub>ζ<sub>A</sub></sub>, E), (ζ<sub>A</sub>, E) ∈ ∩<sub>i ∈ I</sub> τ<sub>i</sub>.

(ii) Let {(f<sub>A</sub><sup>k</sup>, E) : k ∈ K} be an arbitrary family of interval valued neutrosophic soft sets where (f<sub>A</sub><sup>k</sup>, E) ∈ ∩<sub>i ∈ I</sub> τ<sub>i</sub> for each k ∈ K. Then for each i ∈ I, (f<sub>A</sub><sup>k</sup>, E) ∈ τ<sub>i</sub> for k ∈ K and since for each i ∈ I, τ<sub>i</sub> is a IVNS-topology, therefore ∪<sub>k ∈ K</sub> (f<sub>A</sub><sup>k</sup>, E) ∈ τ<sub>i</sub> for each i ∈ I.

Hence ∪<sub>k ∈ K</sub> (f<sub>A</sub><sup>k</sup>, E) ∈ ∩<sub>i ∈ I</sub> τ<sub>i</sub>.

(iii) Let (f<sub>A</sub><sup>1</sup>, E), (f<sub>A</sub><sup>2</sup>, E) ∈ ∩<sub>i ∈ I</sub> τ<sub>i}, then (f<sub>A</sub><sup>1</sup>, E), (f<sub>A</sub><sup>2</sup>, E) ∈ τ<sub>i} for each i ∈ I. Since for each i ∈ I, τ<sub>i} is an IVNS-topology, therefore (f<sub>A</sub><sup>1</sup>, E) ∩ (f<sub>A</sub><sup>2</sup>, E) ∈ τ<sub>i} for each i ∈ I. Hence (f<sub>A</sub><sup>1</sup>, E) ∩ (f<sub>A</sub><sup>2</sup>, E) ∈ ∩<sub>i ∈ I</sub> τ<sub>i}.</sub></sub></sub></sub></sub>

Thus ∩<sub>i ∈ I</sub> τ<sub>i} satisfies all the axioms of topology. Hence ∩<sub>i ∈ I</sub> τ<sub>i} forms a IVNS-topology. But union of IVNS-topologies need not be a IVNS-topology. Let us show this with the following example.</sub></sub>

**Example 3.6:** In example 3.2, the sub families τ<sub>3} = {(φ<sub>ζ<sub>A</sub></sub>, E), (ζ<sub>A</sub>, E), (f<sub>A</sub><sup>1</sup>, E)} and τ<sub>4} = {(φ<sub>ζ<sub>A</sub></sub>, E), (ζ<sub>A</sub>, E), (f<sub>A</sub><sup>2</sup>, E)} are IVNS-topologies in (ζ<sub>A</sub>, E). But their union τ<sub>3} ∪ τ<sub>4} = {(φ<sub>ζ<sub>A</sub></sub>, E), (ζ<sub>A</sub>, E), (f<sub>A</sub><sup>1</sup>, E), (f<sub>A</sub><sup>2</sup>, E)} is not a IVNS-topology in (ζ<sub>A</sub>, E).</sub></sub></sub></sub>

**Definition 3.7:** Let (ζ<sub>A</sub>, E, τ) be an IVNS-topological space over (ζ<sub>A</sub>, E). An interval valued neutrosophic soft

subset  $(f_A, E)$  of  $(\zeta_A, E)$  is called interval valued neutrosophic soft closed set (in short *IVNS*-closed set) if its complement  $(f_A, E)^c$  is a member of  $\tau$ .

**Example 3.8:** Let us consider example 3.2. then the *IVNS*-closed sets in  $(\zeta_A, E, \tau_1)$  are

U	e <sub>1</sub>	e <sub>2</sub>
u <sub>1</sub>	([.2,.7],[.5,.7],[.5,.8])	([.1,.3],[.7,.8],[.4,.7])
u <sub>2</sub>	([.1,.2],[.6,.7],[.4,.7])	([.1,.2],[.8,.9],[.6,.9])
u <sub>3</sub>	([.3,.6],[.9,.1],[.5,.1])	([.1,.3],[.6,.8],[.6,.8])

  

e <sub>3</sub>
([0,.2],[.9,.1],[.3,.9])
([0,.5],[.8,.9],[.4,.8])
([.2,.4],[.7,.9],[.4,.9])

**Table7:** Tabular representation of  $(\zeta_A, E)^c$

U	e <sub>1</sub>	e <sub>2</sub>
u <sub>1</sub>	([1,1], [0,0],[0,0])	([1,1], [0,0],[0,0])
u <sub>2</sub>	([1,1], [0,0],[0,0])	([1,1], [0,0],[0,0])
u <sub>3</sub>	([1,1], [0,0],[0,0])	([1,1], [0,0],[0,0])

  

e <sub>3</sub>
([1,1], [0,0],[0,0])
([1,1], [0,0],[0,0])
([1,1], [0,0],[0,0])

**Table8:** Tabular representation of  $(\phi_{\zeta_A}, E)^c$

U	e <sub>1</sub>	e <sub>2</sub>
u <sub>1</sub>	([.3,1],[.2,.6],[.1,.7])	([.2,.6],[.4,.6],[.1,.3])
u <sub>2</sub>	([.2,.8],[.3,.4],[.1,.3])	([.4,1],[.2,.5],[0,.5])
u <sub>3</sub>	([.6,.9],[.3,.4],[.4,.8])	([.2,.8],[.3,.6],[0,.3])

  

e <sub>3</sub>
([.4,.9],[.1,.2],[.2,.5])
([.1,.6],[.1,.4],[0,.3])
([.3,.7],[.2,.4],[.1,.3])

**Table9:** Tabular representation of  $(f_A^1, E)^c$

U	e <sub>1</sub>	e <sub>2</sub>
u <sub>1</sub>	([.4,.9],[.3,.5],[.4,.7])	([.7,.9],[.5,.6],[.2,.3])
u <sub>2</sub>	([.1,.4],[.2,.6],[.3,.5])	([.2,.5],[.5,.7],[.4,.6])
u <sub>3</sub>	([.6,.7],[.8,.9],[.3,.9])	([.3,.4],[.3,.4],[.5,.7])

  

e <sub>3</sub>
([.4,.9],[.3,.5],[.4,.7])
([.6,.7],[.8,.9],[.3,.9])

([.1,.2],[.2,.5],[.3,.7])
([.6,.8],[.5,.7],[.1,.3])
([.5,.8],[.5,.7],[.2,.6])

**Table10:** Tabular representation of  $(f_A^2, E)^c$

U	e <sub>1</sub>	e <sub>2</sub>
u <sub>1</sub>	([.4,1],[.2,.5],[.1,.7])	([.7,.9],[.4,.6],[.1,.3])
u <sub>2</sub>	([.2,.8],[.2,.4],[.1,.3])	([.4,1],[.2,.5],[0,.5])
u <sub>3</sub>	([.6,.9],[.3,.4],[.3,.8])	([.3,.8],[.3,.4],[0,.3])

  

e <sub>3</sub>
([.4,.9],[.1,.2],[.2,.5])
([.6,.8],[.1,.4],[0,.3])
([.5,.8],[.2,.4],[.1,.3])

**Table11:** Tabular representation of  $(f_A^3, E)^c$

U	e <sub>1</sub>	e <sub>2</sub>
u <sub>1</sub>	([.3,.9],[.3,.6],[.4,.7])	([.2,.6],[.5,.6],[.2,.3])
u <sub>2</sub>	([.1,.4],[.3,.6],[.3,.5])	([.2,.5],[.5,.7],[.4,.6])
u <sub>3</sub>	([.6,.7],[.8,.9],[.4,.9])	([.2,.4],[.3,.6],[.5,.7])

  

e <sub>3</sub>
([.1,.2],[.2,.5],[.3,.7])
([.1,.7],[.5,.7],[.1,.3])
([.3,.7],[.5,.7],[.2,.6])

**Table12:** Tabular representation of  $(f_A^4, E)^c$

are the *IVNS*-closed sets in  $(\zeta_A, E, \tau_1)$ .

**Theorem 3.9:** Let  $(\zeta_A, E, \tau)$  be an *IVNS*-topological space over  $(\zeta_A, E)$ . Then

1.  $(\phi_{\zeta_A}, E)^c, (\zeta_A, E)^c$  are *IVNS*-closed sets.
2. Arbitrary intersection of *IVNS*-closed sets is *IVNS*-closed set.
3. Finite union of *IVNS*-closed sets is *IVNS*-closed set.

**Proof:** 1. Since  $(\phi_{\zeta_A}, E), (\zeta_A, E) \in \tau$ , therefore

$(\phi_{\zeta_A}, E)^c, (\zeta_A, E)^c$  are *IVNS*-closed sets.

2. Let  $\{(f_A^k, E) : k \in K\}$  be an arbitrary family of *IVNS*-closed sets in  $(\zeta_A, E, \tau)$  and let  $(f_A, E) = \bigcap_{k \in K} (f_A^k, E)$ .

Now  $(f_A, E)^c = \left(\bigcap_{k \in K} (f_A^k, E)\right)^c = \bigcup_{k \in K} (f_A^k, E)^c$  and  $(f_A^k, E)^c \in \tau$  for each  $k \in K$ , so  $\bigcup_{k \in K} (f_A^k, E)^c \in \tau$ . Hence  $(f_A, E)^c \in \tau$ . Thus  $(f_A, E)$  is *IVNS*-closed set.

3. Let  $\{(f_A^i, E) : i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$  be a family of *IVNS*-closed sets in  $(\zeta_A, E, \tau)$  and let  $(g_A, E) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n (f_A^i, E)$ .

Now  $(g_A, E)^c = \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n (f_A^i, E)\right)^c = \bigcap_{i=1}^n (f_A^i, E)^c$  and  $(f_A^i, E)^c \in \tau$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$ , so  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n (f_A^i, E)^c \in \tau$ . Hence  $(g_A, E)^c \in \tau$ . Thus  $(g_A, E)$  is *IVNS*-closed set.

**Definition 3.10:** Let  $(\zeta_A, E, \tau_1)$  and  $(\zeta_A, E, \tau_2)$  be two *IVNS*-topological spaces over  $(\zeta_A, E)$ . If each  $(f_A, E) \in \tau_2$  implies  $(f_A, E) \in \tau_1$ , then  $\tau_1$  is called interval valued neutrosophic soft finer topology than  $\tau_2$  and  $\tau_2$  is called interval valued neutrosophic soft coarser topology than  $\tau_1$ .

**Example 3.11:** In example 3.2 and 3.6,  $\tau_1$  is interval valued neutrosophic soft finer topology than  $\tau_3$  and  $\tau_3$  is called interval valued neutrosophic soft coarser topology than  $\tau_1$ .

**Definition 3.12:** Let  $(\zeta_A, E, \tau)$  be a *IVNS*-topological space over  $(\zeta_A, E)$  and  $\beta$  be a subfamily of  $\tau$ . If every element of  $\tau$  can be express as the arbitrary interval valued neutrosophic soft union of some elements of  $\beta$ , then  $\beta$  is called an interval valued neutrosophic soft basis for the *IVNS*-topology  $\tau$ .

**Example 3.13:** In example 3.2, for the *IVNS*-topology  $\tau_1 = \{(\phi_{\zeta_A}, E), (\zeta_A, E), (f_A^1, E), (f_A^2, E), (f_A^3, E), (f_A^4, E)\}$ , the subfamily  $\beta = \{(\phi_{\zeta_A}, E), (\zeta_A, E), (f_A^1, E), (f_A^2, E), (f_A^3, E)\}$  of  $\wp(\zeta_A, E)$  is a interval valued neutrosophic soft basis for the *IVNS*-topology  $\tau_1$ .

**4 Some Properties of Interval Valued Neutrosophic Soft Topological Spaces**

In this section some properties of interval valued neutrosophic soft topological spaces are introduced. Some results on *IVNSInt* and *IVNSCI* are also introduced.

**Definition 4.1:** Let  $(\zeta_A, E, \tau)$  be a *IVNS*-topological space and let  $(f_A, E) \in \text{IVNSS}(U; E)$ . The interval valued neutrosophic soft interior and closer of  $(f_A, E)$  is denoted by *IVNSInt*( $f_A, E$ ) and *IVNSCI*( $f_A, E$ ) are defined as  $\text{IVNSInt}(f_A, E) = \bigcup \{(g_A, E) \in \tau : (g_A, E) \subseteq (f_A, E)\}$  and  $\text{IVNSCI}(f_A, E) = \bigcap \{(g_A, E) \in \tau^c : (f_A, E) \subseteq (g_A, E)\}$  respectively.

**Example 4.2:** Let us consider example 3.2 and take an *IVNSS*  $(f_A^5, E)$  as

U	e <sub>1</sub>	e <sub>2</sub>
u <sub>1</sub>	([.2.,.8],[.3.,.6],[.2.,.8])	([.2.,.4],[.4.,.6],[.2.,.4])
u <sub>2</sub>	([.1.,.6],[.4.,.5],[.2.,.7])	([.2.,.6],[.5.,.7],[.1.,.7])
u <sub>3</sub>	([.5.,.8],[.5.,.6],[.5.,.8])	([.1.,.4],[.4.,.6],[.1.,.5])

  

e <sub>3</sub>
([.2.,.6],[.7.,.8],[.3.,.4])
([.1.,.4],[.2.,.5],[.1.,.5])
([.2.,.5],[.5.,.8],[.2.,.4])

Table13: Tabular representation of  $(f_A^5, E)$

Now  $\text{IVNSInt}(f_A^5, E) = (f_A^1, E)$  and  $\text{IVNSCI}(f_A^5, E) = (f_A^1, E)^c$ .

**Theorem 4.3:** Let  $(\zeta_A, E, \tau)$  be a *IVNS*-topological space and  $(f_A, E), (g_A, E) \in \text{IVNSS}(U; E)$  then the following properties hold

1.  $\text{IVNSInt}(f_A, E) \subseteq (f_A, E)$
2.  $(f_A, E) \subseteq (g_A, E) \Rightarrow \text{IVNSInt}(f_A, E) \subseteq \text{IVNSInt}(g_A, E)$
3.  $\text{IVNSInt}(f_A, E) \in \tau$
4.  $(f_A, E) \in \tau \Leftrightarrow \text{IVNSInt}(f_A, E) = (f_A, E)$
5.  $\text{IVNSInt}(\text{IVNSInt}(f_A, E)) = \text{IVNSInt}(f_A, E)$
6.  $\text{IVNSInt}(\phi_A, E) = \phi_A, \text{IVNSInt}(U_A, E) = U_A$

**Proof:**

1. Straight forward.
2.  $(f_A, E) \subseteq (g_A, E)$  implies all the *IVNS*-open sets contained in  $(f_A, E)$  also contained in  $(g_A, E)$ .

i.e.

$$\{(f_A^*, E) \in \tau : (f_A^*, E) \subseteq (f_A, E)\} \subseteq \{(g_A^*, E) \in \tau : (g_A^*, E) \subseteq (g_A, E)\}$$

i.e.

$$\cup \{ (f_A^*, E) \in \tau : (f_A^*, E) \subseteq (f_A, E) \} \subseteq \cup \{ (g_A^*, E) \in \tau : (g_A^*, E) \subseteq (g_A, E) \}$$

i.e.  $IVNSInt(f_A, E) \subseteq IVNSInt(g_A, E)$

3.  $IVNSInt(f_A, E) = \cup \{ (f_A^*, E) \in \tau : (f_A^*, E) \subseteq (f_A, E) \}$

It is clear that  $\cup \{ (f_A^*, E) \in \tau : (f_A^*, E) \subseteq (f_A, E) \} \in \tau$

So,  $IVNSInt(f_A, E) \in \tau$ .

4. Let  $(f_A, E) \in \tau$ , then by (1)  $IVNSInt(f_A, E) \subseteq (f_A, E)$ .

Now since  $(f_A, E) \in \tau$  and  $(f_A, E) \subseteq (f_A, E)$ ,

Therefore

$$(f_A, E) \subseteq \cup \{ (g_A^*, E) \in \tau : (g_A^*, E) \subseteq (g_A, E) \} = IVNSInt(f_A, E) \cap IVNSInt(g_A, E) \subseteq (f_A, E) \cap (g_A, E)$$

i.e.  $(f_A, E) \subseteq IVNSInt(f_A, E)$

Thus  $IVNSInt(f_A, E) = (f_A, E)$

Conversly, let  $IVNSInt(f_A, E) = (f_A, E)$

Since by (3)  $IVNSInt(f_A, E) \in \tau$

Therefore  $(f_A, E) \in \tau$

5. By (3)  $IVNSInt(f_A, E) \in \tau$

$\therefore$  By (4)  $IVNSInt(IVNSInt(f_A, E)) = IVNSInt(f_A, E)$ .

6. We know that  $(\phi_A, E), (U_A, E) \in \tau$

$\therefore$  By (4)  $IVNSInt(\phi_A, E) = \phi_A, IVNSInt(U_A, E) = U_A$

**Theorem 4.4:** Let  $(\zeta_A, E, \tau)$  be a *IVNS*-topological space and  $(f_A, E), (g_A, E) \in IVNSs(U; E)$  then the following properties hold

1.  $(f_A, E) \subseteq IVNSCI(f_A, E)$
2.  $(f_A, E) \subseteq (g_A, E) \Rightarrow IVNSCI(f_A, E) \subseteq IVNSCI(g_A, E)$
3.  $(IVNSCI(f_A, E))^c \in \tau$
4.  $(f_A, E)^c \in \tau \Leftrightarrow IVNSCI(f_A, E) = (f_A, E)$
5.  $IVNSCI(IVNSCI(f_A, E)) = IVNSCI(f_A, E)$
6.  $IVNSCI(\phi_A, E) = \phi_A, IVNSCI(U_A, E) = U_A$

**Proof:** straight forward.

**Theorem 4.5:** Let  $(\zeta_A, E, \tau)$  be an *IVNS*-topological space on  $(\zeta_A, E)$  and let  $(f_A, E), (g_A, E) \in IVNSs(U; E)$ . Then the following properties hold

1.  $IVNSInt((f_A, E) \cap (g_A, E)) = IVNSInt(f_A, E) \cap IVNSInt(g_A, E)$

2.  $IVNSInt((f_A, E) \cup (g_A, E)) \supseteq IVNSInt(f_A, E) \cup IVNSInt(g_A, E)$

3.  $IVNSCI((f_A, E) \cup (g_A, E)) = IVNSCI(f_A, E) \cup IVNSCI(g_A, E)$

4.  $IVNSCI((f_A, E) \cap (g_A, E)) \subseteq IVNSCI(f_A, E) \cap IVNSCI(g_A, E)$

5.  $(IVNSInt(f_A, E))^c = IVNSCI(f_A, E)^c$

6.  $(IVNSCI(f_A, E))^c = IVNSInt(f_A, E)^c$

**Proof:**

1. By theorem 4.2 (1),  $IVNSInt(f_A, E) \subseteq (f_A, E)$  and  $IVNSInt(g_A, E) \subseteq (g_A, E)$ . Thus

$$IVNSInt(f_A, E) \cap IVNSInt(g_A, E) \subseteq (f_A, E) \cap (g_A, E)$$

Hence

$$IVNSInt(f_A, E) \cap IVNSInt(g_A, E) \subseteq IVNSInt((f_A, E) \cap (g_A, E))$$

..... (i)

Again since  $(f_A, E) \cap (g_A, E) \subseteq (f_A, E)$ . By theorem 4.2 (2),  $IVNSInt((f_A, E) \cap (g_A, E)) \subseteq IVNSInt(f_A, E)$ .

Similarly

$$IVNSInt((f_A, E) \cap (g_A, E)) \subseteq IVNSInt(g_A, E)$$

Hence

$$IVNSInt((f_A, E) \cap (g_A, E)) \subseteq IVNSInt(f_A, E) \cap IVNSInt(g_A, E) \dots$$

..... (ii)

Using (i) and (ii) we get,

$$IVNSInt((f_A, E) \cap (g_A, E)) = IVNSInt(f_A, E) \cap IVNSInt(g_A, E)$$

2. Since  $(f_A, E) \subseteq (f_A, E) \cup (g_A, E)$ .

By theorem 4.2 (2),

$$IVNSInt(f_A, E) \subseteq IVNSInt((f_A, E) \cup (g_A, E))$$

Similarly,

$$IVNSInt(g_A, E) \subseteq IVNSInt((f_A, E) \cup (g_A, E))$$

Hence

$$IVNSInt((f_A, E) \cup (g_A, E)) \supseteq IVNSInt(f_A, E) \cup IVNSInt(g_A, E)$$

3. Similar to 1.

4. Similar to 2.

5.  $(IVNSInt(f_A, E))^c = (\cup \{ (g_A, E) \in \tau : (g_A, E) \subseteq (f_A, E) \})^c$

$$= \cap \{ (g_A, E) \in \tau^c : (f_A, E)^c \subseteq (g_A, E) \}$$

$$= IVNSCI(f_A, E)^c$$

6. Similar to 5.

Equality does not hold in theorem 4.4 (2), (4). Let us show this by an example.

**Example 4.6:** Let  $U = \{u_1, u_2\}$ ,  $E = \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ ,  $A = \{e_1, e_2\}$ . The tabular representation of  $(\zeta_A, E)$  is given by

U	e <sub>1</sub>	e <sub>2</sub>
u <sub>1</sub>	([.5.,.8],[.3.,.5],[.2.,.7])	([.3.,.9],[.1.,.2],[0.,.1])
u <sub>2</sub>	([.4.,.6],[.3.,.4],[.1.,.2])	([.4.,.8],[.1.,.3],[.1.,.2])

Table14: Tabular representation of  $(\zeta_A, E)$

The tabular representation of  $(\phi_{\zeta_A}, E)$  is given by

U	e <sub>1</sub>	e <sub>2</sub>
u <sub>1</sub>	([0,0], [1,1], [1,1])	([0,0], [1,1], [1,1])
u <sub>2</sub>	([0,0], [1,1], [1,1])	([0,0], [1,1], [1,1])

Table15: Tabular representation of  $(\phi_{\zeta_A}, E)$

The tabular representation of  $(f_A, E)$  is given by

U	e <sub>1</sub>	e <sub>2</sub>
u <sub>1</sub>	([.1.,.7],[.4.,.8],[.3.,.1])	([.2.,.5],[.7.,.9],[.3.,.7])
u <sub>2</sub>	([.1.,.2],[.6.,.7],[.2.,.7])	([0.,.3],[.5.,.8],[.4.,.1])

Table16: Tabular representation of  $(f_A, E)$

Clearly  $\tau = \{(\phi_{\zeta_A}, E), (\zeta_A, E), (f_A, E)\}$  is a IVNS-topology on  $(\zeta_A, E)$ . Let us now take two interval valued neutrosophic soft sets  $(g_A, E)$  and  $(h_A, E)$  as

U	e <sub>1</sub>	e <sub>2</sub>
u <sub>1</sub>	([.1.,.6],[.4.,.9],[.4.,.1])	([.1.,.5],[.7.,.9],[.3.,.8])
u <sub>2</sub>	([.1.,.2],[.6.,.7],[.2.,.8])	([0.,.2],[.5.,.9],[.4.,.1])

Table17: Tabular representation of  $(g_A, E)$

U	e <sub>1</sub>	e <sub>2</sub>
u <sub>1</sub>	([0.,.7],[.5.,.8],[.3.,.1])	([.2.,.5],[.8.,.1],[.6.,.7])
u <sub>2</sub>	([.1.,.2],[.6.,.8],[.3.,.7])	([0.,.3],[.6.,.8],[.5.,.1])

Table18: Tabular representation of  $(h_A, E)$

Now  $(g_A, E) \cup (h_A, E) = (f_A, E)$

$$\therefore IVNSInt((g_A, E) \cup (h_A, E)) = IVNSInt(f_A, E) = (f_A, E)$$

Also  $IVNSInt(g_A, E) = (\phi_{\zeta_A}, E)$ ,  $IVNSInt(h_A, E) = (\phi_{\zeta_A}, E)$

$$\therefore IVNSInt(g_A, E) \cup IVNSInt(h_A, E) = (\phi_{\zeta_A}, E) \cup (\phi_{\zeta_A}, E) = (\phi_{\zeta_A}, E)$$

Thus

$$IVNSInt((f_A, E) \cup (g_A, E)) \neq IVNSInt(f_A, E) \cup IVNSInt(g_A, E).$$

Therefore equality does not hold for (2).

By theorem 4.4 (5),

$$IVNSCI(g_A, E)^c = (IVNSCI(g_A, E))^c = (\phi_{\zeta_A}, E)^c = (\zeta_A, E).$$

Similarly  $IVNSCI(h_A, E)^c = (\zeta_A, E)$ .

Therefore

$$IVNSCI(g_A, E)^c \cap IVNSCI(h_A, E)^c = (\zeta_A, E) \cap (\zeta_A, E) = (\zeta_A, E)$$

. Also

$$\begin{aligned} IVNSCI((g_A, E)^c \cap (h_A, E)^c) &= IVNSCI((g_A, E) \cup (h_A, E))^c \\ &= (IVNSInt((g_A, E) \cup (h_A, E)))^c \\ &= (IVNSInt(f_A, E))^c \\ &= (f_A, E)^c \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$IVNSCI((f_A, E) \cap (g_A, E)) \neq IVNSCI(f_A, E) \cap IVNSCI(g_A, E)$$

. Therefore equality does not hold in (4).

### 5 Interval Valued Neutrosophic Soft Subspace Topology

In this section we introduce the concept of interval valued neutrosophic soft subspace topology along with some examples and results.

**Theorem 5.1:** Let  $(\zeta_A, E, \tau)$  be an IVNS-topological space on  $(\zeta_A, E)$  and  $(f_A, E) \in \wp(\zeta_A, E)$ . Then the collection  $\tau_{(f_A, E)} = \{(f_A, E) \cap (g_A, E) : (g_A, E) \in \tau\}$  is an IVNS-topology on  $(\zeta_A, E)$ .

**Proof:**

(i) Since  $(\phi_{\zeta_A}, E), (\zeta_A, E) \in \tau$ , therefore  $(f_A, E) \cap (\phi_{\zeta_A}, E) = (\phi_{f_A}, E) \in \tau_{(f_A, E)}$  and  $(f_A, E) \cap (\zeta_A, E) = (f_A, E) \in \tau_{(f_A, E)}$ .

(ii) Let  $(f_A^k, E) \in \tau_{(f_A, E)}, \forall k \in K$ . Then  $(f_A^k, E) = (f_A, E) \cap (g_A^k, E)$  where  $(g_A^k, E) \in \tau$  for each  $k \in K$ .

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcup_{k \in K} (f_A^k, E) &= \bigcup_{k \in K} ((f_A, E) \cap (g_A^k, E)) = (f_A, E) \cap \left( \bigcup_{k \in K} (g_A^k, E) \right) \in \tau_{(f_A, E)} \\ &\text{(since } \bigcup_{k \in K} (g_A^k, E) \in \tau \text{ as each } (g_A^k, E) \in \tau \text{).} \end{aligned}$$

(iii) Let  $(f_A^1, E), (f_A^2, E) \in \tau_{(f_A, E)}$  then

$$(f_A^1, E) = (f_A, E) \cap (g_A^1, E) \text{ and}$$

$$(f_A^2, E) = (f_A, E) \cap (g_A^2, E) \text{ where } (g_A^1, E), (g_A^2, E) \in \tau.$$



Now

$$(f_A^1, E) \cap (f_A^2, E) = ((f_A, E) \cap (g_A^1, E)) \cap ((f_A, E) \cap (g_A^2, E)) \\ = (f_A, E) \cap ((g_A^1, E) \cap (g_A^2, E)) \in \tau_{(f_A, E)}$$

(since  $(g_A^1, E) \cap (g_A^2, E) \in \tau$  as  $(g_A^1, E), (g_A^2, E) \in \tau$ ).

**Definition 5.2:** Let  $(\zeta_A, E, \tau)$  be an IVNS-topological space on  $(\zeta_A, E)$  and  $(f_A, E) \in \wp(\zeta_A, E)$ . Then the IVNS-topology  $\tau_{(f_A, E)} = \{(f_A, E) \cap (g_A, E) : (g_A, E) \in \tau\}$  is called interval valued neutrosophic soft subspace topology and  $(f_A, E, \tau_{(f_A, E)})$  is called interval valued neutrosophic soft subspace of  $(\zeta_A, E, \tau)$ .

**Example 5.3:** Let us consider the IVNS-topology  $\tau_1 = \{(\phi_{\zeta_A}, E), (\zeta_A, E), (f_A^1, E), (f_A^2, E), (f_A^3, E), (f_A^4, E)\}$  as in example 3.2 and an IVNSS  $(f_A, E)$ :

U	e <sub>1</sub>	e <sub>2</sub>
u <sub>1</sub>	([.4.,.6],[.6.,.7],[.3.,.5])	([.5.,.7],[.4.,.6],[0.,.3])
u <sub>2</sub>	([.2.,.3],[.3.,.6],[.5.,.7])	([.6.,.8],[.4.,.5],[.2.,.3])
u <sub>3</sub>	([.5.,.7],[.4.,.6],[.3.,.4])	([.4.,.5],[.7.,.9],[.6.,.7])

  

e <sub>3</sub>		
([.3.,.5],[.5.,.8],[.2.,.3])		
([.5.,.8],[.5.,.7],[.2.,.3])		
([.1.,.3],[.7.,.9],[.5.,.7])		

Table19: Tabular representation of  $(f_A^1, E)$

Then  $(\phi_{f_A}, E) = (f_A, E) \cap (\phi_{\zeta_A}, E)$ :

U	e <sub>1</sub>	e <sub>2</sub>
u <sub>1</sub>	([0,0],[1,1],[1,1])	([0,0],[1,1],[1,1])
u <sub>2</sub>	([0,0],[1,1],[1,1])	([0,0],[1,1],[1,1])
u <sub>3</sub>	([0,0],[1,1],[1,1])	([0,0],[1,1],[1,1])

  

e <sub>3</sub>		
([0,0],[1,1],[1,1])		
([0,0],[1,1],[1,1])		
([0,0],[1,1],[1,1])		

Table20: Tabular representation of  $(\phi_{f_A}, E)$

$(g_A^1, E) = (f_A, E) \cap (f_A^1, E)$ :

U	e <sub>1</sub>	e <sub>2</sub>
u <sub>1</sub>	([.1.,.6],[.6.,.7],[.3.,.1])	([.1.,.3],[.4.,.6],[.2.,.6])
u <sub>2</sub>	([.1.,.3],[.6.,.7],[.5.,.8])	([0.,.5],[.4.,.5],[.4.,.1])
u <sub>3</sub>	([.4.,.7],[.4.,.6],[.6.,.9])	([0.,.3],[.7.,.9],[.6.,.8])

e <sub>3</sub>		
([.2.,.5],[.5.,.8],[.4.,.9])		
([0.,.3],[.6.,.9],[.2.,.7])		
([.1.,.3],[.7.,.9],[.5.,.7])		

Table21: Tabular representation of  $(g_A^1, E)$

$(g_A^2, E) = (f_A, E) \cap (f_A^2, E)$ :

U	e <sub>1</sub>	e <sub>2</sub>
u <sub>1</sub>	([.4.,.6],[.6.,.7],[.4.,.9])	([.2.,.3],[.4.,.6],[.7.,.9])
u <sub>2</sub>	([.2.,.3],[.4.,.8],[.5.,.7])	([.4.,.6],[.4.,.5],[.2.,.5])
u <sub>3</sub>	([.3.,.7],[.4.,.6],[.6.,.7])	([.4.,.5],[.7.,.9],[.6.,.7])

e <sub>3</sub>		
([.3.,.5],[.5.,.8],[.2.,.3])		
([.1.,.3],[.5.,.7],[.6.,.8])		
([.1.,.3],[.7.,.9],[.3.,.8])		

Table22: Tabular representation of  $(g_A^2, E)$

$(g_A^3, E) = (f_A, E) \cap (f_A^3, E)$ :

U	e <sub>1</sub>	e <sub>2</sub>
u <sub>1</sub>	([.1.,.6],[.6.,.8],[.4.,.1])	([.1.,.3],[.4.,.6],[.7.,.9])
u <sub>2</sub>	([.1.,.3],[.6.,.8],[.5.,.8])	([0.,.5],[.4.,.5],[.4.,.1])
u <sub>3</sub>	([.3.,.7],[.4.,.6],[.6.,.9])	([0.,.3],[.7.,.9],[.6.,.8])

e <sub>3</sub>		
([.2.,.5],[.5.,.8],[.4.,.9])		
([0.,.3],[.6.,.9],[.6.,.8])		
([.1.,.3],[.7.,.9],[.5.,.8])		

Table23: Tabular representation of  $(g_A^3, E)$

$(g_A^4, E) = (f_A, E) \cap (f_A^4, E)$ :

U	e <sub>1</sub>	e <sub>2</sub>
u <sub>1</sub>	([.2.,.5],[.5.,.8],[.4.,.9])	([.2.,.5],[.5.,.8],[.4.,.9])
u <sub>2</sub>	([0.,.3],[.6.,.9],[.6.,.8])	([0.,.3],[.6.,.9],[.6.,.8])
u <sub>3</sub>	([.1.,.3],[.7.,.9],[.5.,.8])	([.1.,.3],[.7.,.9],[.5.,.8])

e <sub>3</sub>		
([.3.,.5],[.5.,.8],[.2.,.3])		
([.1.,.3],[.5.,.7],[.2.,.7])		
([.1.,.3],[.7.,.9],[.5.,.7])		

Table24: Tabular representation of  $(g_A^4, E)$

Then  $\tau_{(f_A, E)} = \{(\phi_{f_A}, E), (f_A, E), (g_A^1, E), (g_A^2, E), (g_A^3, E)\}$  is an interval valued neutrosophic soft subspace

topology for  $\tau_1$  and  $(f_A, E, \tau_{(f_A, E)})$  is called interval valued neutrosophic soft subspace of  $(\zeta_A, E, \tau_1)$ .

**Theorem 5.4:** Let  $(\zeta_A, E, \tau)$  be an IVNS-topological space on  $(\zeta_A, E)$ ,  $\beta$  be an IVNS-basis for  $\tau$  and  $(f_A, E) \in \wp(\zeta_A, E)$ . Then the family  $\beta_{(f_A, E)} = \{(f_A, E) \cap (g_A, E) : (g_A, E) \in \beta\}$  is an IVNS-basis for subspace topology  $\tau_{(f_A, E)}$ .

**Proof:** Let  $(h_A, E) \in \tau_{(f_A, E)}$  be arbitrary, then there exists an IVNSS  $(g_A, E) \in \tau$  such that  $(h_A, E) = (f_A, E) \cap (g_A, E)$ . Since  $\beta$  is a basis for  $\tau$ , therefore there exists a sub collection  $\{\chi_A^i, E : i \in I\}$  of  $\beta$  such that  $(g_A, E) = \cup_{i \in I} (\chi_A^i, E)$ .

Now

$$(h_A, E) = (f_A, E) \cap (g_A, E) = \cup_{i \in I} ((f_A, E) \cap (\chi_A^i, E))$$

. Since  $(f_A, E) \cap (\chi_A^i, E) \in \beta_{(f_A, E)}$ , therefore  $\beta_{(f_A, E)}$  is an IVNS-basis for the subspace topology  $\tau_{(f_A, E)}$ .

**Conclusion**

In this paper we introduce the concept of interval valued neutrosophic soft topology. Some basic theorem and properties of the above concept are also studied. IVN interior and IVN closer of an interval valued neutrosophic soft set are also defined. Interval valued neutrosophic soft subspace topology is also studied.

In future there will be more research work in this concept, taking the basic definitions and results from this article.

**References**

[1] H. Aktas, N. Cagman, Soft Sets and soft groups, Inform. Sci., 177(2007), 2726-2735.  
 [2] M. I. Ali, F. Feng, X. Liu, W. K. Min and M. Shabir, On some new operations in soft set theory, Computers and Mathematics with Applications 57(9)(2009),1547-1553.  
 [3] I. Arockiarani, I. R. Sumathi, J. Martina Jency, "Fuzzy neutrosophic soft topological spaces, International Journal of Mathematical Archive,4(10)( 2013), 225-238.  
 [4] K. Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 20(1986), 87-96.  
 [5] S. Broumi, I. Deli, and F. Smarandache, Relations on Interval Valued Neutrosophic Soft Sets, Journal of New Results in Science, 5 (2014), 1-20.  
 [6] I. Deli, Interval-valued neutrosophic soft sets and its decision making, Kilis 7 Aralık University, 79000 Kilis, Turkey.

[7] P. K. Maji, R. Biswas and A. R. Roy, Soft Set Theory, Computers and Mathematics with Applications, 45(2003),555-562.  
 [8] P. K. Maji, Neutrosophic soft set, Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Information, 5(1)(2013), 157-168.  
 [9] D. Molodtsov, Soft set theory-first results, Computers and Mathematics with Applications 37(4-5)(1999), 19-31.  
 [10] Anjan Mukherjee, Ajoy Kanti Das, Abhijit Saha, Interval valued intuitionistic fuzzy soft topological spaces, Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics, 6 (3), ( 2013), 689-703.  
 [11] D. Pie, D. Miao, From soft sets to information systems, Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Granular Comput. 2(2005), 617-621.  
 [12] F. Smarandache, Neutrosophic Logic and Set, mss., <http://fs.gallup.unm.edu/neutrosophy.htm>, 1995.  
 [13] F. Smarandache, Neutrosophic set- a generalisation of the intuitionistic fuzzy sets, int. J. Pure Appl. Math. 24(2005), 287-297.  
 [14] H. Wang, F. Smarandache, Y.Q. Zhang, R. Sunderraman, Interval Neutrosophic Sets and logic: Theory and Applications in Computing, Hexis; Neutrosophic book series, No: 5, 2005  
 [15] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Information and Control, 8 (1965), 338-353.

Received: September 30, 2014. Accepted: October 25, 2014.