Deductively Sound Formal Proofs

Using the sound deductive inference model as the basis of the a notion of a formal system defines [Deductively Sound Formal Proofs]. Within (DSFP) closed Well-formed formula that were undecidable in other formal systems are excluded on the basis that they do not belong to deductively sound inference.

The sound deductive inference model specifies:

[a connected sequence of valid deductions from true premises to a true conclusion]

Introduction to Mathematical logic Sixth edition Elliott Mendelson (2015)

1.4 An Axiom System for the Propositional Calculus page 28 A wf C is said to be a consequence in S of a set Γ of wfs if and only if there is a sequence B1, ..., Bk of wfs such that C is Bk and, for each i, either Bi is an axiom or Bi is in Γ , or Bi is a direct consequence by some rule of inference of some of the preceding wfs in the sequence. Such a sequence is called a proof (or deduction) of C from Γ . The members of Γ are called the hypotheses or premisses of the proof.

We use $\Gamma \vdash C$ as an abbreviation for "C is a consequence of Γ "...

When we simply assume that the set of premises: Γ are true we transform conventional formal proofs into [Deductively Sound Formal Proofs]. These formal proofs: ($\Gamma \vdash C$) transmit the truth value of their premises to their consequent making the consequent of these proofs necessarily true.

Haskell Curry Foundations of Mathematical Logic, 1977

Let T be such a theory. Then the elementary statements which belong to T we shall call the elementary theorems of T; we also say that these elementary statements are true for T. Thus, given T, an elementary theorem is an elementary statement which is true. A theory is thus a way of picking out from the statements of F a certain subclass of true statements.

When we assume that Axioms are True we create a corresponding pair of predicates.

- (1) True(x) \leftrightarrow (\vdash x)
- (2) False(x) \leftrightarrow ($\vdash \neg x$)

Providing another example of: [Deductively Sound Formal Proofs].

With True and False formalized we specify a semantic criterion of Well-formedness:

(3) Deductively_Sound_Consequent(x) \leftrightarrow (True(x) \lor False(x))

This predicate excludes all consequences that do not belong to deductively sound inference. This eliminates undecidability in all [Deductively Sound Formal Systems] which are any formal system that implements [Deductively Sound Formal Proofs].

Copyright 2019 PL Olcott