Halting problem proofs refuted on the basis of software engineering

This is an explanation of a key new insight into the halting problem provided in the language of software engineering. Technical computer science terms are explained using software engineering terms. No knowledge of the halting problem is required.

It is based on fully operational software executed in the x86utm operating system. The x86utm operating system (based on an excellent open source x86 emulator) was created to study the details of the halting problem proof counter-examples at the much higher level of abstraction of C/x86.

To fully understand this paper a software engineer must be an expert in:

- (a) The C programming language.
- (b) The x86 programming language.
- (c) Exactly how C translates into x86 (how C function calls are implemented in x86).
- (d) The ability to recognize infinite recursion at the x86 assembly language level.

The computer science term "halting" means that a Turing Machine terminated normally reaching its last instruction known as its "final state". This is the same idea as when a function returns to its caller as opposed to and contrast with getting stuck in an infinite loop or infinite recursion.

In computability theory, the halting problem is the problem of determining, from a description of an arbitrary computer program and an input, whether the program will finish running, or continue to run forever. Alan Turing proved in 1936 that a general algorithm to solve the halting problem for all possible program-input pairs cannot exist.

For any program H that might determine if programs halt, a "pathological" program P, called with some input, can pass its own source and its input to H and then specifically do the opposite of what H predicts P will do. **No H can exist that handles this case**. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Halting_problem

The following H and P have the above specified pathological relationship to each other. When H(P,P) correctly determines that its input specifies a non-halting sequence of instructions the above template is refuted. All of the conventional halting problem proofs depend on the above undecidable input template and fail without it.

```
typedef void (*ptr)();
int H(ptr p, ptr i);

void P(ptr x)
{
  if (H(x, x))
    HERE: goto HERE;
  return;
}
int main()
{
  Output("Input_Halts = ", H(P, P));
}
```

When the execution trace of function P() simulated by function H() shows:

- (1) Function H() is called from P().
- (2) With the same parameters to H().
- (3) With no instructions in P() that could escape this infinitely recursive simulation: {index jump, conditional branch, return}

Then H(P,P) correctly predicts that its correctly simulated input would never terminate normally thus H aborts its simulation of P and rejects this input as non-halting. (details are shown at the x86 level as Example 03).

This general principle refutes conventional halting problem proofs

Every simulating halt decider that correctly simulates its input until it correctly predicts that this simulated input would never terminate normally (reach its final state), correctly rejects this input as non-halting.

Example 01: H0 correctly determines that Infinite_Loop() never halts

```
void Infinite_Loop()
    HERE: goto HERE;
}
int main()
{
    Output("Input_Halts = ", HO((u32)Infinite_Loop));
  Infinite_Loop()
[00001102](01) 55
[00001103](02) 8bec
[00001105](02) ebfe
[00001107](01) 5d
[00001108](01) c3
                                                           push ebp
                                                          mov ebp,esp
jmp 00001105
                                  8bec
                                  ebfe
                                                          pop ebp
                                                           ret
Size in bytes: (0007) [00001108]
_main()
[00001192](01)
[00001193](02)
[00001195](05)
[00001196](03)
[00001142](01)
[00001143](05)
[00001143](05)
[00001140](03)
[000011b0](02)
[000011b2](01)
Size in bytes:
                                                           push ebp
                                  8bec mov ebp, esp
6802110000 push 00001102
e8d3fbffff call 00000d72
83c404
                                   83c404
                                                           add esp,+04
                                  50 push eax
68a3040000 push 000004a3
e845f3ffff call 000004f2
                                  83c408
                                                           add esp,+08
                                                           xor eax, eax
                                  33c0
                                  5d
                                                          pop ebp
 Size in bytes:(0034) [000011b3]
  machine
                       stack
                                              stack
                                                                   machine
                                                                                            assembly
  address
                       address
                                                                   code
                                                                                            language
 [00001192][00101ef8][00000000] 55 push ebp
[00001193][00101ef8][00000000] 8bec mov ebp,esp
[00001195][00101ef4][00001102] 6802110000 push 00001102
[0000119a][00101ef0][0000119f] e8d3fbffff call 00000d72
HO: Begin Simulation Execution Trace Stored at:211fac [00001102][00211f9c][00211fa0] 55 push ebp [00001103][00211f9c][00211fa0] 8bec mov ebp,esp [00001105][00211f9c][00211fa0] ebfe jmp 00001105 [00001105][00211f9c][00211fa0] ebfe jmp 00001105 HO: Infinite Loop Detected Simulation Stopped
  return 1:
 [0000119f][00101ef8][00000000] 83c404 add esp,+04 [000011a2][00101ef4][00000000] 50 push eax [000011a3][00101ef0][000004a3] 68a3040000 push 000004a3 [000011a8][00101ef0][000004a3] e845f3ffff call 000004f2
Input_Halts = 0
[000011ad][00101ef8][00000000] 83c408
[000011b0][00101ef8][00000000] 33c0
[000011b2][00101efc][00100000] 5d
[000011b3][00101f00][00000004] c3
                                                                                           add esp,+08
                                                                                           xor eax, eax
                                                                                           pop ebp
                                                                                            ret
Number of Instructions Executed(554) == 8 Pages
```

Example 02: H correctly determines that Infinite_Recursion() never halts

```
void Infinite_Recursion(int N)
   Infinite_Recursion(N);
 int main()
    Output("Input_Halts = ", H((u32)Infinite_Recursion, 0x777));
__Infinite_Recursion()
[000010f2](01) 55
[000010f3](02) 8bec
[000010f5](03) 8b4500
[000010f8](01) 50
[000010f9](05) e8f4f
[000010fe](03) 83c400
[00001101](01) 5d
[00001102](01) c3
Size in bytes:(0017)
                                                  push ebp
                             8bec mov ebp, esp
8b4508 mov eax, [ebp+08]
50 push eax
e8f4ffffff call 000010f2
                             83c404
                                                  add esp,+04
                                                 pop ebp
 Size in bytes:(0017) [00001102]
_main()
[000011b2](01)
[000011b3](02)
[000011b5](05)
[000011b4](05)
[000011c4](03)
[000011c7](01)
[000011c4](05)
[000011c4](05)
[000011d2](03)
[000011d7](01)
[000011d8](01)
Size in bytes:
                                                  push ebp
                             8bec mov ebp,esp
6877070000 push 00000777
                             68f2100000 push 000010f2
e8aefdffff call 00000f72
                             83c408
                                                  add esp,+08
                             50 push eax
68a3040000 push 000004a3
e820f3ffff call 000004f2
                             83c408
                                                  add esp,+08
                                                 xor eax,eax
                             33c0
                             5d
 Size in bytes:(0039) [000011d8]
  machine
                                       stack
                                                         machine
                                                                             assembly
                    stack
  address
                    address
                                       data
                                                         code
                                                                             language
 [000011b2] [00101f39] [0000000]
[000011b3] [00101f39] [00000000]
[000011b3] [00101f39] [00000777]
[000011ba] [00101f35] [000010f2]
[000011bf] [00101f2d] [000011c4]
                                                                             push ebp
                                                        8bec mov ebp, esp
6877070000 push 000010f2
68f2100000 push 000010f2
                                                        e8aefdffff call 00000f72
H: Infinite Recursion Detected Simulation Stopped
  if (current->Simplified_Opcode == CALL)
   [000011c4][00101f39][00000000] 83c408 add esp,+08
[000011c7][00101f35][00000000] 50 push eax
[000011c8][00101f31][000004a3] 68a3040000 push 000004a3
[000011cd][00101f31][000004a3] e820f3ffff call 000004f2
 [000011d2][0010131][000004a3] 6820131

Input_Halts = 0

[000011d2][00101f39][00000000] 83c408

[000011d5][00101f39][00000000] 33c0

[000011d7][00101f3d][00000018] 5d

[000011d8][00101f41][00000000] c3
                                                                             add esp,+08
                                                                             xor eax,eax
                                                                             pop ebp
Number of Instructions Executed(1118) == 17 Pages
```

Example 03: H(P,P) correctly determines that its input never halts

```
void P(u32 x)
    if (H(x, x))
  HERE: goto HERE;
    return;
int main()
    Output("Input_Halts = ", H((u32)P, (u32)P));
push ebp
                                                                mov ebp, esp
mov eax, [ebp+08]
                                8bec
                                8b4508
 [00001203] (03)
[00001208] (01)
[00001209] (03)
[0000120c] (01)
[0000120d] (05)
[00001212] (03)
                                50
                                                                push eax
                                8b4d08
                                                                mov ecx, [ebp+08]
                                                                push ecx
call 00001032
                                e820feffff
                                83c408
                                                                add esp,+08
 [00001212] (03)
[00001215] (02)
[00001217] (02)
[00001219] (02)
[0000121b] (01)
                                                                test eax,eax
jz 0000121b
                                85c0
                                7402
                                                                jmp 00001219
                                ebfe
                                5d
                                                                pop ebp
 [0000121c](01)
                                                                ret
Size in bytes: (0027) [0000121c]
_main()
[00001222] (01)
[00001223] (02)
[00001225] (05)
[00001224] (05)
[00001234] (03)
[00001237] (01)
[00001238] (05)
[0000123d] (05)
[00001242] (03)
[00001247] (01)
[00001247] (01)
[00001248] (01)
Size in bytes:
                                                                push ebp
                                                                mov ebp,esp
push 00001202
push 00001202
call 00001032
                                8bec
                                6802120000
6802120000
                                e8fefdffff
                                83c408
                                                                add esp,+08
                                                                push eax
push 000003b3
                                50
                                68b3030000
                                e8c0f1ffff
                                                                call 00000402
                                83c408
                                                                add esp,+08
                                33c0
                                                                xor eax, eax
                                                                pop ebp
                                5d
                                                                ret
Size in bytes:(0039)
                                          [00001248]
  machine
                                                               machine
                                                                                     assembly
                      stack
                                          stack
  address
                      address
                                          data
                                                               code
                                                                                     language
                                        [00000000]
[00000000]
[00001202]
[00001202]
 [00001222] [0010200f]
[00001223] [0010200f]
[00001225] [0010200b]
                                                                                     push ebp
                                                             8bec mov ebp,esp
6802120000 push 00001202 // push P
6802120000 push 00001202 // push P
e8fefdffff call 00001032 // call executed H
[0000122f][00102003][00001234]
Begin Simulation
                                      Execution Trace Stored at:2120c3
Begin Simulation E
Address_of_H:1032
[00001202][002120af]
[00001203][002120af]
[00001205][002120af]
                                        [002120b3]
[002120b3]
[002120b3]
                                                                                     push ebp
                                                                                    mov ebp,esp
mov eax,[ebp+08]
                                                              8bec
                                                              8b4508
[00001203][002120a7][00212033] 8b4308 mov eax,[ebp+08]
[00001208][002120ab][00001202] 50 push eax // push P
[00001209][002120ab][00001202] 8b4d08 mov ecx,[ebp+08]
[0000120c][002120a7][00001202] 51 push ecx // push P
[0000120d][002120a3][00001212] e820fefffff cal] 00001032 // call emulated H
Infinitely Recursive Simulation Detected Simulation Stopped
```

H knows its own machine address and on this basis it can easily examine its stored execution_trace of P (see above) to determine:

- (a) P is calling H with the same arguments that H was called with.
- (b) No instructions in P could possibly escape this otherwise infinitely recursive emulation.
- (c) H aborts its emulation of P before its call to H is emulated.

```
[00001234][0010200f][00000000] 83c408 add esp,+08 [00001237][0010200b][00000000] 50 push eax [00001238][00102007][000003b3] 68b3030000 push 000003b3 [0000123d][00102007][000003b3] e8c0f1fffff call 00000402 Input_Halts = 0 [00001242][0010200f][00000000] 83c408 add esp,+08 [00001245][0010200f][00000000] 33c0 xor eax,eax [00001247][00102013][00100000] 5d pop ebp [00001248][00102017][00000004] c3 ret Number of Instructions Executed(870) / 67 = 13 pages
```

From a purely software engineering perspective (anchored in the semantics of the x86 language) it is proven that H(P,P) correctly predicts that its correct and complete x86 emulation of its input would never reach the "ret" instruction (final state) of this input.

Copyright 2022 PL Olcott

Example 04: An impossible program: Strachey(1965)

The Computer Journal, Volume 7, Issue 4, January 1965, Page 313, https://doi.org/10.1093/comjnl/7.4.313

```
typedef void (*ptr)();
     rec routine P

§L :if T[P] go to L
            Return §
void Strachey_P()
    L: if (T(Strachey_P)) goto L;
int main()
    Output("Input_Halts = ", T(Strachey_P));
_Strachey_P()
[000012a6] (01)
[000012a7] (02)
[000012a9] (05)
[000012b3] (03)
[000012b6] (02)
[000012b8] (02)
[000012bc] (01)
[000012bd] (01)
Size in bytes:
                                                      push ebp
                               8bec mov ebp.esp
68a6120000 push 000012a6
e833fcfffff call 00000ee6
                                                      add esp,+04
                                83c404
                                                      test eax,eax
jz 000012bc
                                85c0
                               7402
                                                     jmp 000012a9
pop ebp
                                ebed
                                5d
Size in bytes:(0024) [000012bd]
_main()
[00001346](01)
[00001347](02)
[00001349](05)
[00001356](01)
[00001357](05)
[00001357](05)
[00001361](03)
[00001364](02)
[00001366](01)
[00001367](01)
Size in bytes:
                               55 push ebp
8bec mov ebp,esp
68a6120000 push 000012a6
e893fbfffff call 00000ee6
                                83c404
                                                      add esp,+04
                                                     push eax
                               6817050000 push 00000517
e805f2ffff call 00000566
                               83c408
                                                      add esp,+08
                                33c0
                                                      xor eax, eax
                               5d
                                                      pop ebp
Size in bytes:(0034) [00001367]
  machine
                                          stack
                                                              machine
                                                                                    assembly
  address
                      address
                                          data
                                                              code
                                                                                    language
[00001346] [0010221b] [00000000]
[00001347] [0010221b] [00000000]
[00001349] [00102217] [000012a6]
[0000134e] [00102213] [00001353]
                                                                                   push ebp
                                                             8bec mov ebp,esp
68a6120000 push 000012a6
                                                             e893fbffff call 00000ee6
T: Begin Simulation
                                           Execution Trace Stored at:1122c7
Address_of_T:ee6
[000012a6][001122b7][001122bb] 55 push ebp
[000012a7][001122b7][001122bb] 8bec mov ebp,esp
[000012a9][001122b3][000012a6] 68a6120000 push 000012a6
[000012ae][001122af][000012b3] e833fcffff call 00000ee6
T: Infinitely Recursive Simulation Detected Simulation Stopped
```

T knows its own machine address and on this basis it can easily examine its stored execution_trace of Strachey_P (see above) to determine:

- (a) Strachey P is calling T with the same arguments that T was called with.
- (b) No instructions in Strachey_P could possibly escape this otherwise infinitely recursive emulation.
- (c) T aborts its emulation of Strachey P before its call to T is emulated.

```
[00001353] [0010221b] [00000000] 83c404 add esp,+04 push eax [00001356] [00102217] [00000000] 50 push 00000517 [00001357] [00102213] [00000517] 6817050000 push 00000517 [0000135c] [00102213] [00000517] e805f2fffff call 00000566 Input_Halts = 0 [00001361] [0010221b] [00000000] 83c408 add esp,+08 [00001364] [0010221b] [00000000] 33c0 xor eax,eax pop ebp [00001366] [0010221f] [00000000] c3 ret Number of Instructions Executed(538) == 8 Pages
```

Appendix (Simulating halt decider applied to Peter Linz proof)

The following is the same idea a shown above this time it is applied to the Peter Linz Halting Problem proof. It can only be undertood within the context of this proof.

A simulating halt decider (SHD) computes the mapping from its inputs to its own final states on the basis of the behavior of its correctly simulated input.

All of the conventional halting problem counter-example inputs are simply rejected by a simulating halt decider as non-halting because they fail to meet the Linz definition of halting:

computation that halts ... the Turing machine will halt whenever it enters a final state. (Linz:1990:234)

USENET comp.theory: On 4/11/2022 3:19 PM, Malcolm McLean wrote:

- > PO's idea is to have a simulator with an infinite cycle detector.
- > You would achieve this by modifying a UTM, so describing it as
- > a "modified UTM", or "acts like a UTM until it detects an infinite
- > cycle", is reasonable. And such a machine is a fairly powerful
- > halt decider. Even if the infinite cycle detector isn't very
- > sophisticated, it will still catch a large subset of non-halting
- > machines.

The following simplifies the syntax for the definition of the Linz Turing machine \hat{H} . There is no need for the infinite loop after H.qy because it is never reached. The halting criteria has been adapted so that it applies to a simulating halt decider (SHD).

 $\hat{H}.q_0 \langle \hat{H} \rangle \vdash^* H \langle \hat{H} \rangle \langle \hat{H} \rangle \vdash^* \hat{H}.qy$

If the correctly simulated input $\langle \hat{H} \rangle \langle \hat{H} \rangle$ to H would reach its own final state of $\langle \hat{H}.qy \rangle$ or $\langle \hat{H}.qn \rangle$.

 $\hat{H}.q_0 \langle \hat{H} \rangle \vdash^* H \langle \hat{H} \rangle \langle \hat{H} \rangle \vdash^* \hat{H}.qn$

If the correctly simulated input $\langle \hat{H} \rangle \langle \hat{H} \rangle$ to H would never reach its own final state of $\langle \hat{H}, q_1 \rangle$ or $\langle \hat{H}, q_1 \rangle$.

When \hat{H} is applied to $\langle \hat{H} \rangle$ // subscripts indicate unique finite strings \hat{H} copies its input $\langle \hat{H}_0 \rangle$ to $\langle \hat{H}_1 \rangle$ then H simulates $\langle \hat{H}_0 \rangle \langle \hat{H}_1 \rangle$

Then these steps would keep repeating: (unless their simulation is aborted)

 \hat{H}_0 copies its input $\langle \hat{H}_1 \rangle$ to $\langle \hat{H}_2 \rangle$ then H_0 simulates $\langle \hat{H}_1 \rangle$ $\langle \hat{H}_2 \rangle$

 \hat{H}_1 copies its input $\langle \hat{H}_2 \rangle$ to $\langle \hat{H}_3 \rangle$ then H_1 simulates $\langle \hat{H}_2 \rangle \langle \hat{H}_3 \rangle$

 \hat{H}_2 copies its input $\langle \hat{H}_3 \rangle$ to $\langle \hat{H}_4 \rangle$ then H_2 simulates $\langle \hat{H}_3 \rangle \langle \hat{H}_4 \rangle$...

Since we can see that the simulated input: $\langle \hat{H}_0 \rangle$ to H would never reach its own final state of $\langle \hat{H}_0.qy \rangle$ or $\langle \hat{H}_0.qn \rangle$ we know that it is non-halting.

Linz, Peter 1990. An Introduction to Formal Languages and Automata. Lexington/Toronto: D. C. Heath and Company. (317-320)