Eliminating Undecidability and Incompleteness in Formal Systems

The only thing required to eliminate incompleteness, undecidability and inconsistency from formal systems is converting the formal proofs to theorem consequences of symbolic logic to conform to the sound deductive inference model.

Within sound deductive inference a conclusion only counts as true when a connected sequence of valid deductions proceeds from a set of true premises all the way to the conclusion.

Stipulating this definition of Axiom:

An expression of language defined to have the semantic value of Boolean True.

Stipulating this specification of True and False:

Axiom(1) $\forall F \in Formal_System \forall x \in Closed_WFF(F)$ (True(F, x) \leftrightarrow (F \vdash x)) Axiom(2) $\forall F \in Formal_System \forall x \in Closed_WFF(F)$ (False(F, x) \leftrightarrow (F $\vdash \neg$ x))

Stipulating that formal systems are Boolean:

Axiom(3) $\forall F \in Formal_System \forall x \in Closed_WFF(F) (True(F,x) \lor False(F,x))$

The stipulated definition of Axiom provides the symbolic logic equivalent of true premises. Stipulated Axiom(1) and Axiom(2) require a connected sequence of inference steps to proceed all the way to the theorem consequences. Axiom(3) screens out semantically malformed expressions as not belonging to the formal system.

The third step of the Tarski Undefinability Theorem proof:

(3) $x \notin Pr$ if and only if $x \in Tr$ Refuted on the basis of contradicting simplified Axiom(1): $x \in Tr \leftrightarrow x \in Pr$

The following logic sentence is refuted on the basis of Axiom(3)

∃F ∈ Formal_System $\exists x \in Closed_WFF(F)$ (G \leftrightarrow ((F \nvDash G) \land (F $\nvDash \neg$ G))) There is no expression G of Formal System F that is neither True or False.

Making the following paragraph false:

The first incompleteness theorem states that in any consistent formal system F within which a certain amount of arithmetic can be carried out, there are statements of the language of F which can neither be proved nor disproved in F. (Raatikainen 2018)

Raatikainen, Panu, "Gödel's Incompleteness Theorems", The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy (Fall 2018 Edition), Edward N. Zalta (ed.), URL = https://plato.stanford.edu/archives/fall2018/entries/goedel-incompleteness/.

Copyright 2017, 2018, 2019 PL Olcott