Rebutting the Sipser Halting Problem Proof

MIT Professor Michael Sipser has agreed that the following verbatim paragraph is correct (he has not agreed to anything else in this paper):

If simulating halt decider H correctly simulates its input D until H correctly determines that its simulated D would never stop running unless aborted then H can abort its simulation of D and correctly report that D specifies a non-halting sequence of configurations.

A simulating halt decider computes the mapping from its input finite strings to an accept or reject state on the basis of the actual behavior specified by this input as measured by its correct simulation of this input. The following shows how the correct value for the D and (D) diagonal in Sipser's Figure 4.6 is accept.

We start with Sipser's definitions of H and D:

On input (M, w), where M is a TM and w is a string, H halts and accepts if M accepts w. Furthermore, H halts and rejects if M fails to accept w. In other words, we assume that H is a TM, where

```
H((M,w) = { accept if M accepts w
{ reject if M does not accept w
```

Now we construct a new Turing machine D with H as a subroutine. This new TM calls H to determine what M does when the input to M is its own description (M). Once D has determined this information, it does the opposite. That is, it rejects if M accepts and accepts if M does not accept.

```
D((M)) =  { accept if M does not accept (M) { reject if M accepts (M) (Sipser 1997:165)
```

We encode the Sipser D and define the behavior of Sipser H as C functions.

```
// Sipser_H returns 1 when its input would halt and return 1
// otherwise Sipser_H returns 0
//
int Sipser_D(int (*M)())
{
  if ( Sipser_H(M, M) )
    return 0;
  return 1;
}

int main()
{
  Output((char*)"Input_Halts = ", D(D));
}
```

When H correctly simulates D it finds that D remains stuck in infinitely recursive simulation:

- (a) D calls H that simulates D with an x86 emulator
- (b) that calls a simulated H that simulates D with an x86 emulator
- (c) that calls a simulated H that simulates D with an x86 emulator ...

Until the executed H recognizes this repeating state, aborts its simulation of D and returns 0.

Complete halt deciding system (Visual Studio Project) Sipser version.

- (a) x86utm operating system
- (b) x86 emulator adapted from libx86emu to compile under Windows
- (c) Several halt deciders and their sample inputs contained within Halt7.c
- (d) The execution trace of Sipser_H applied to Sipser_D is shown in Halt7_Sipser.txt https://liarparadox.org/2022_10_08.zip

D calls simulating halt decider H which computes the mapping from its input D to an accept or reject state on the basis of the behavior of its correct simulation of D. When H correctly determines that this simulated input would remain stuck in recursive simulation H aborts this simulation and reports non-halting by returning 0. When D reverses this decision it returns 1. This is used to correctly fill in the "?" in the Sipser Figure 4.6 (see below) with "accept".

Simulating halt decider H recognizes instances of recursive simulation using the same criteria that it uses in its dynamic behavior pattern that recognizes infinite recursion:

```
void Infinite_Recursion(u32 N)
  Infinite_Recursion(N);
}
         \langle M_1 \rangle
                                            ⟨M₄⟩ . . .
                                                       ⟨D⟩ . . .
                    \langle M_2 \rangle
                                \langle M_3 \rangle
   M<sub>1</sub> accept
                    reject
                                accept
                                            reject
                                                        accept
   M₂ accept
                    <u>accept</u>
                                accept
                                            accept
                                                        accept
   M₃ reject
                    reject
                                reject
                                            reject
                                                        reject
                    accept
   M<sub>4</sub> accept
                                reject
                                            <u>reject</u>
                                                        accept
                                                        __?__
         reject
                     reject
                                accept
   D
                                            accept
    Figure 4.6 (Sipser 1997:167)
```

Sipser, Michael 1997. Introduction to the Theory of Computation. Boston: PWS Publishing Company (165-167)

Appendix

```
int Sipser_D(int (*M)())
  if ( Sipser_H(M, M) )
    return 0;
  return 1;
int main()
  Output((char*)"Input_Halts = ", D(D));
 Sipser_D()
[000012ae]
[000012af]
             55
                                push ebp
             8bec
                                mov ebp,esp
000012b1
             8b4508
                                mov eax, [ebp+08]
000012b4
             50
                                push eax
[000012b5]
                                mov ecx, [ebp+08]
             8b4d08
[000012b8
             51
                                push ecx
             e880fdffff
                                call 0000103e
 [000012b9]
[000012be]
             83c408
                                add esp,+08
                                test eax, eax
[000012c1]
             85c0
                                jz 000012c9
[000012c3]
             7404
000012c5]
000012c7]
                                xor eax,eax
             33c0
             eb05
                                imp 000012ce
000012c9]
             b801000000
                                mov eax,00000001
[000012ce]
             5d
                                pop ebp
[000012cf] c3
                                ret
Size in bytes:(0034) [000012cf]
Sipser_H: Begin Simulation
                                    Execution Trace Stored at:111fa8
 machine
             stack
                          stack
                                      machine
                                                    assembly
 address
             address
                                      code
                                                    language
                          data
[000012ae][00111f94][00111f98]
[000012af][00111f94][00111f98]
                                                    push ebp
                                                                    // Begin Sipser_D
                                      8bec
                                                    mov ebp,esp
[000012b1]
            [00111f94] [00111f98]
                                      8b4508
                                                    mov eax, [ebp+08]
[000012b4] [00111f90] [000012ae]
                                      50
                                                    push eax
                                                                         push Sipser_D
[000012b4][00111150][000012ae] 8b4d08 mov ecx,[ebp+08]
[000012b8][00111f8c][000012ae] 51 push ecx // push Sipser_D
[000012b9][00111f88][000012be] e880fdffff call 0000103e // call Sipser_H
Sipser_H: Infinitely Recursive Simulation Detected Simulation Stopped
```

We can see that the first seven instructions of Sipser_D simulated by Sipser_H precisely match the first seven instructions of the x86 source-code of Sipser_D. This conclusively proves that these instructions were simulated correctly.

Anyone sufficiently technically competent in the x86 programming language will agree that the above execution trace of Sipser_D simulated by Sipser_H shows that Sipser_D will never stop running unless Sipser H aborts its simulation of Sipser D.