Graduate students' work status, perception, and online satisfaction predicting in-person instruction intention

Ayesha C. Penuela¹, Ryan Michael F. Oducado²

¹College of Nursing, West Visayas State University, Iloilo City, Philippines ²College of Nursing and College of Education, West Visayas State University, Iloilo City, Philippines

Article Info ABSTRACT

Article history:

Received Apr 20, 2024 Revised Sep 18, 2024 Accepted Sep 25, 2024

Keywords:

Graduate students In-person instruction Online learning Satisfaction School reopening Along with easing COVID-19 restrictions, schools are reopening and starting face-to-face classes. Intending in-person instruction after a relatively long period after off-campus and online learning requires exploration. This study determined the factors that predict students' intention to attend in-person instruction among graduate students. The responses of 178 college of education graduate students were gathered electronically using valid and reliable survey instruments. Findings showed that graduate students are moderately to highly satisfied with online learning but also highly intend to attend in-person instruction. The majority of graduate students think that it is unsafe and possible to spread COVID-19, and felt worried to less worried when school reopens. Multiple regression revealed that work status, perceived safety and worry about school reopening, and online learning satisfaction predicted intention to attend in-person instruction. School administrators should ensure the safety operation of schools should students return to campuses, especially when there are threats to their health and security.

This is an open access article under the <u>CC BY-SA</u> license.



Corresponding Author:

Ryan Michael F. Oducado College of Nursing and College of Education, West Visayas State University La Paz, Iloilo City, Philippines Email: rmoducado@wvsu.edu.ph

1. INTRODUCTION

The COVID-19 pandemic has precipitated unparalleled disruption in higher education, necessitating that educational institutions and students acclimate to remote and online learning modalities [1]–[3]. Before the pandemic, graduate schools in the Philippines primarily conducted classes in the traditional face-to-face form [4]. However, with the onset of the pandemic, they transitioned to the emerging online learning modality. Graduate students pursuing advanced degrees that often require rigorous coursework and research have found themselves at the intersection of the changes brought about by the pandemic and the shift in learning modality [5], [6]. In the Philippine context, research during the early part of the pandemic showed that graduate students encountered levels of stress, fear, and anxiety ranging from moderate to high [7]. Additionally, some challenges experienced pertain to technology and internet accessibility, with the acquisition of paid online resources posing an obstacle in fulfilling research-related tasks expected in graduate studies [8].

Since the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic, educational institutions in the Philippines have remained closed, resulting in one of the longest school breaks globally [9]. Due to the widespread availability and expanded distribution of COVID-19 vaccines, along with the conclusion of the pandemic's critical phase [10], restrictions have gradually relaxed, and higher education institutions and schools have resumed in-person classes [11]. Hence, the intention to attend in-person instruction after a relatively long period after

off-campus and online learning requires exploration. Transitioning from online to face-to-face classes necessitates adjustment [12]. After becoming accustomed to remote learning environments, students and teachers may need time to readapt to the dynamics of in-person instruction, including interacting in real-time, navigating physical classroom settings, and engaging in hands-on learning activities. Moreover, transitioning back to in-person classes and any other changes individuals may encounter can elicit various psychological responses [10]. Educational institutions and schools could consider students' diverse beliefs and perceptions to gain a deeper insight into their inclination toward in-person instruction [13].

A literature review resulted in certain studies exploring the return to face-to-face classes. For instance, a study in Indonesia and Australia looked at teachers' well-being and perceptions about school reopening [14], [15]. The willingness of Chinese parents to send their children back to school after the outbreak was also assessed [16]. A study in the United Kingdom also reported internal and external challenges in the reverse transition of shifting from online to offline education [17]. A cross-country comparison of fear of returning to traditional classes during the COVID-19 pandemic involving university students from Portugal, France, England, Brazil, and Paraguay was also conducted [18]. A study in a Peruvian public university reported symptoms of depression, anxiety, and stress in students when returning to traditional on-campus classes [19]. The experiences of students in higher education in Venezuela, Ecuador, and Chile returning to face-to-face classrooms were also documented [20]. Studies were also conducted in the Philippine setting [12], [13].

Despite research on return to in-person classes, research among graduate students is still underrepresented. This study delves into the complex interplay of various factors that influence the intention of graduate students to return to in-person instruction. By investigating the role of these factors, this research aims to shed light on the intricate factors influencing the decisions of graduate students, thus contributing to the broader dialogue on higher education in a post-pandemic world. Further, understanding the intricate relationships between these predictors can provide valuable insights for educational institutions, policymakers, and academic advisors, enabling them to make informed decisions about the future of graduate education during and beyond the pandemic.

2. METHOD

2.1. Research design and participants

This study used a descriptive, correlational, cross-sectional research design. In 2022, during the initial stage of the Philippines' transition back to in-person instruction, 178 graduate students of the college of education of a University in Western Philippines actively participated in the study. The minimum required sample size based on a-priori sample size calculator for multiple regression with nine predictor variables, .15 anticipated effect size, .80 desired statistical power level, and a 5% probability level is only 113.

2.2. Survey instruments

The data collection tool for this survey included four parts. The first part asked about participants' personal characteristics, which include age, sex, residence, marital status, degree program, work status, and vaccination status. Two questions on school reopening perceptions of perceived safety and worry ("What do you think the conditions will be like when the school reopens?" and "How do you feel about schools reopening?") were adopted from earlier Indonesian research [14]. The 7-item online learning satisfaction scale [21] was adopted with 5-point Likert response options ranging from "1-strongly disagree" to "5-strongly agree" and Cronbach's alpha of .95 for this study. Another scale was adopted to assess graduate students' in-person instruction intention [13]. The scale consisted of 5 items answerable by "1-strongly disagree" to "5-strongly agree." Table 1 shows the numerical values and response options of the Likert scale. Moreover, the Cronbach's alpha of the scale for this study sample was highly reliable, with a value of .97.

Table 1. Response of	options and nume	erical values of the	he Likert scale

Numerical values	Interpretation	
5	Strongly agree	
4	Agree	
3	Neither agree or disagree	
2	Disagree	
1	Strongly disagree	

2.3. Data collection

An online survey methodology was employed to gather data for this study. The survey was designed to investigate various factors influencing the intention of graduate students to attend in-person instruction

among graduate students. To commence the data collection process, administrative clearance was obtained for the academic research's technical and ethical conduct. The survey tool was distributed via Google Forms, offering participants a user-friendly and easily accessible platform. The survey remained open for responses from March to April 2022. Participants were instructed to provide honest and thoughtful responses to contribute valuable insights to the research. Upon the survey's closure, the collected data were exported from Google Forms for further analysis per the study's objectives.

2.4. Statistical data analysis

Data analysis was conducted utilizing IBM SPSS version 26. Descriptive statistics (frequency, percentage, standard deviations, and mean) were used to characterize the data. Inferential statistical tests were employed to explore significant differences and correlations, including the t-test for Independent Samples, one-way ANOVA, and Pearson's r. Multiple regression analysis was utilized to determine significant predictors, and the significance level was set at .05 alpha.

3. RESULTS

Table 2 shows that the average age of the participants was 29, and all (100%) were vaccinated against COVID-19. The majority were female (80.3%), residing in towns (67.4%), single (73%), enrolled in the masters' degree program (77.5%), and presently working or employed (89.3%). Table 3 shows that 40.4% felt not so safe and that there was a possibility of spreading COVID-19, while 39.9% and 41% felt worried and less worried about school reopening, respectively. Regarding online learning satisfaction, 34.3% were moderately satisfied, and 40.4% were highly satisfied. A total of 28.1% and 37.1% had high to very high intentions to attend in-person instruction, respectively.

Table 2. Personal characteristics

Var	Variables		Percentage
Age	[M=29.19, SD=7.44]		
Gender	Male	35	19.7%
	Female	143	80.3%
Residence	City	58	32.6%
	Town	120	67.4%
Marital status	Single	130	73.0%
	Married	48	27.0%
Degree program	Masters	138	77.5%
	Doctoral	40	22.5%
Work status	No	19	10.7%
	Yes	159	89.3%
Vaccination status	Yes	178	100%
	No	-	-

Note: M=mean, SD: standard deviation

Table 3. School reopening perception, online learning satisfaction, in-person classes intention

Variables		Frequency	Percentage
What do you think the conditions will	do you think the conditions will Safe and unlikely to spread COVID-19		25.3%
be like when the school reopens?	Not so safe, and there is a possibility of spreading COVID-19	72	40.4%
	Unsafe with the possibility of spreading COVID-19	11	6.2%
	Unpredictable	48	27.0%
	I do not know	2	1.1%
How do you feel about schools	Very worried	9	5.1%
reopening?	Worried	71	39.9%
	Less worried	73	41.0%
	Not worried	17	9.6%
	Unsure	8	4.5%
Level of Satisfaction [M=3.62, SD=.86]	Very high	30	16.9%
	High	72	40.4%
	Moderate	61	34.3%
	Low	13	7.3%
	Very low	2	1.1 %
Level of Intention [M=3.90, SD=1.02]	Very high	66	37.1%
	High	50	28.1%
	Moderate	41	23.0%
	Low	18	10.1%
	Very Low	3	1.7%

Note: Very low=1.00-1.50, Low=1.51-2.50, Moderate=2.51-3.50, High=3.51-4.50, Very high=4.51-5.00

Table 4 shows that the t-test for Independent Samples revealed that there was a significant difference in the intention to attend in-person instruction based on work status (t=-2.950, p=.004), perception of safety on school reopening (t=6.193, p=.001), and feeling of worry on school reopening (t=7.627, p=.000). Pearson's r revealed a statistically significant inverse correlation (r=-.412, p=.000) between online learning satisfaction and intention to attend in-person instruction. Moreover, multiple regression analysis showed that work status (B=.587, p=.014), perceived safety (B=.100, p=.024), perceived worry (B=-.195, p=.026), and online learning satisfaction (B=-.445, p=.000) were significant predictors of graduate students' intention to attend in-person instruction.

	Wasiahlar	Bi	Bivariate analysis		Regressi	on analysis
	Variables	M (SD)	Test statistics	p-value	B	p-value
Gender ^a	Male	3.87 <u>+</u> 1.20	191	.849	.006	.976
	Female	3.91 <u>+</u> 1.00				
Residence ^a	City	3.79 <u>+</u> 1.02	976	.331	.109	.474
	Town	3.96 <u>+</u> 1.04				
Marital status ^a	Single	3.96 <u>+</u> 1.00	1.323	.188	335	.105
	Married	3.73 <u>+</u> 1.13				
Degree program ^a	Masters	3.93 <u>+</u> 1.01	.603	.547	.077	.686
	Doctoral	3.82 <u>+</u> 1.12				
Work status ^a	Yes	3.98 <u>+</u> 1.03	-2.950	.004*	.587	.014*
	No	3.35 <u>+</u> .93				
Safety ^b	Safe	4.41 <u>+</u> .79	6.193	.001*	.100	.024*
	Not so safe	3.74 <u>+</u> 1.03				
	Unsafe	3.27 <u>+</u> .91				
	Unpredictable/I do not know	3.81 <u>+</u> 1.12				
Worry ^b	Worried to very worried	3.57 <u>+</u> 1.13	7.627	.000*	195	.026*
	Less worried	4.15 <u>+</u> .85				
	Not worried	4.59 <u>+</u> .82				
	Unsure	3.60 <u>+</u> .88				
Age ^c			010	.898	.011	.391
Satisfaction ^c			412	.000*	455	.000*

Table 4. Associated factors of intention to attend in-person instruction

Note: R Square = .270, F = 6.894, p = .000, ^at-test, ^bANOVA, ^cPearson's, *p<.05

4. DISCUSSION

This study highlights the importance of considering the multifaceted factors influencing graduate students' intentions regarding in-person instruction. Graduate students in this study had a high intention of attending face-to-face classes. Similarly, nursing students in the Philippines strongly desired to return to the traditional mode of instructional delivery [13]. Also, while Chinese parents were worried, they were willing to bring their children back to school and recommence classes [16]. However, some studies reported otherwise. Students in the United Kingdom strongly resisted returning to offline in-campus classes [17].

It must be noted that this survey was conducted two years after the pandemic, and all graduate students in this study had already been vaccinated. This research also found that about 50% of the students were less worried about returning to in-person classes and that the perception of safety and concerns about school reopening substantially influenced students' intentions. Perception of the risk posed by the coronavirus decreased from the epidemic to the post-epidemic phase, reducing protective behaviors [22]. Also, the perception of the risk of COVID-19 infection notably decreased following vaccination [23]. This could have influenced their greater desire for in-campus classes compared to surveys conducted earlier during the pandemic. On the contrary, research in Peru disclosed that 67.2% expressed apprehension about returning to in-person classes [10]. Students in Saudi Arabia at a private university were observed to be experiencing worry and stress due to COVID-19, which had a detrimental effect on their comfort levels while on campus shortly after the return to in-person classes [24]. Among teachers, those in Indonesia were also concerned about health risks if schools reopen [14]. Teachers in Japan, Norway, and Australia encountered anxiety about infection impacting their well-being after the reopening of schools amid the COVID-19 pandemic [15], [25], [26]. Therefore, schools must prioritize and communicate safety measures effectively, addressing students' worries and creating a transparent, reassuring environment. Regular updates and transparent communication about safety protocols may help alleviate concerns and increase students' willingness to receive in-person instruction [27].

This study also demonstrated that online learning satisfaction significantly determines students' intentions for face-to-face learning. Graduate students who have had positive experiences with online learning may be more inclined to continue with this modality. However, those who are dissatisfied may prefer in-person instruction. Satisfaction significantly influences behavioral intention [28]. It has also been

reported that university students who favor a distance learning model exhibit notably elevated levels of fear of returning to face-to-face learning during the COVID-19 pandemic [18]. Besides, while this study found an inverse correlation between online learning satisfaction and intention to attend face-to-face classes, it also found a high online learning satisfaction and intention of students to return to face-to-face classes. This result may suggest students preferring a more blended approach in graduate education. Similarly, a study in Romania recommended a blended learning approach [29]. A survey among students in Chile, Venezuela, and Ecuador found that students prefer a blended learning approach when comparing online and in-person instruction [20]. A similar pattern was noted among graduate students in the Philippines wanting a blended type of graduate study [4]. A blended learning modality promotes a harmonious integration of face-to-face and digital education, utilizing and leveraging the strengths of both approaches [30]-[32]. Some potential advantages of blended learning encompass enhanced pedagogy, increased engagement in learning, and greater flexibility in teaching and learning methodologies [33]. One study among graduate students disclosed that the advantages of blended learning outweighed its challenges [34]. Offering students options in learning modalities benefits students [35]. Academic institutions should enhance the quality of their online learning platforms and traditional face-to-face delivery to guarantee that students receive quality educational instruction regardless of the mode of teaching and delivery. Nevertheless, a review study strongly recommends conducting extensive research on blended learning, taking into account the local context [36].

Finally, it is noteworthy that work status emerged as a significant predictor in this study. Graduate students who were working had greater inclinations to attend face-to-face classes. Recently published research likewise found that student status (a student working part-time compared to those not working part-time) influences students' preference toward the learning environment [36]. Perhaps their experience working face-to-face and knowing they are already vaccinated may have contributed to their reduced concern for COVID-19, making them more likely to attend face-to-face classes during the COVID-19 pandemic due to concerns about their health and safety, the convenience of online learning, and the perceived better quality of remote instruction compared to in-person classes. Nonetheless, a better understanding of how student attributes correlate with their preferences for specific learning environments can aid educational institutions and educators in crafting diverse and engaging learning experiences tailored to learners' unique characteristics and cater to graduate students' diverse work statuses [36].

This study has certain limitations that should be acknowledged. It primarily focused on graduate students of the College of Education, which restricts the generalizability of the findings to the specifics of the Philippine context. To improve the generalizability of the conclusions, it is imperative for future research to expand the sample size by incorporating graduate students from diverse disciplines and schools. In addition, the cross-sectional design of this study poses constraints on making causal inferences, as it only explored the relationship between the predictor and outcomes variables. It is important to note that the outcomes derived from this research are susceptible to self-report bias. Given the limitations, caution is advised when interpreting and applying the research findings. Despite these limitations, the study contributes valuable insights to the evidence concerning factors affecting the intention to attend in-person instruction after prolonged remote learning.

5. CONCLUSION

This study's results highlight the importance of considering the multifaceted factors influencing graduate students' intention to attend in-person instruction after long community quarantine and remote learning. The three key predictors, namely work status, perceived safety and concern about school reopening, and online learning satisfaction, have significantly contributed to this intention for face-to-face classes among graduate students. In the evolving landscape of higher education, this research underscores the significance of considering these factors when examining the preferences and inclinations of graduate students towards returning to in-person classes to foster a more responsive and accommodating learning environment in graduate education and help meet the diverse needs of graduate students in the post-pandemic era. School administrators and educational policymakers seeking to design more effective approaches that address diverse needs and preferences may consider a more flexible modality combining face-to-face and online education in delivering graduate programs and ensuring the safe operations of schools.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank the participants who voluntarily answered the survey and the Dean and Associate Dean of the College of Education Graduate School for their valuable assistance in allowing the researchers to conduct the study.

REFERENCES

- M. Treve, "What COVID-19 has introduced into education: challenges facing higher education institutions (HEIs)," *Higher Education Pedagogies*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 212–227, Jan. 2021, doi: 10.1080/23752696.2021.1951616.
- [2] P. Singh, S. P. J., R. Ranjan, B. K. Dubey, and C. S. B. Singh, "An exploratory study on perceived online learning experience of university students during the COVID-19 pandemic," *International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education (IJERE)*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 280–291, Feb. 2024, doi: 10.11591/ijere.v13i1.26009.
- [3] R. Maharddhika and M. Prasetianto, "Learning from COVID-19: how Indonesian English teachers see computer-assisted language learning?" *International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education (IJERE)*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 433–440, Feb. 2024, doi: 10.11591/ijere.v13i1.25865.
- [4] J. Núñez et al., "Continuing the distance learning modality of graduate studies in post-COVID Philippines: A survey," FDLA Journal, vol. 7, p. 3, 2023.
- [5] E. A. Osea, "Graduate studies schooling during COVID-19 pandemic: Doctorate and master's students experience in a private higher education institution in the Philippines," *International Journal of Business, Law, and Education*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 82–87, May 2022, doi: 10.56442/ijble.v3i2.55.
- [6] B. A. Walsh *et al.*, "Historically underrepresented graduate students' experiences during the COVID-19 pandemic," *Family Relations*, vol. 70, no. 4, pp. 955–972, Oct. 2021, doi: 10.1111/fare.12574.
 [7] R. M. Oducado, G. Parreño-Lachica, and J. Rabacal, "Personal resilience and its influence on COVID-19 stress, anxiety and fear
- [7] R. M. Oducado, G. Parreño-Lachica, and J. Rabacal, "Personal resilience and its influence on COVID-19 stress, anxiety and fear among graduate students in the Philippines," *IJERI: International Journal of Educational Research and Innovation*, no. 15, pp. 431–443, May 2021, doi: 10.46661/ijeri.5484.
- [8] I. Ancho, "Graduate education during COVID-19 pandemic: Inputs to policy formulation in the new normal," *Recoletos Multidisciplinary Research Journal*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 87–105, Dec. 2020, doi: 10.32871/rmrj2008.02.07.
- [9] United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF), "Reopening schools safely in the Philippines," UNICEF Philippines, 2021. https://www.unicef.org/philippines/reopening-schools-safely (accessed Jul. 18, 2024).
- [10] M. Cajachagua Castro, K. Miranda Limachi, J. V Chávez Sosa, and S. Huancahuire-Vega, "Concern about returning to face-toface classes after the pandemic: Importance of emotional intelligence and stress coping strategies in health science students," *Advances in Medical Education and Practice*, vol. 14, pp. 937–945, Sep. 2023, doi: 10.2147/AMEP.S415187.
- [11] Commission on Higher Education (CHED) Department of Health, "CHED-DOH joint memorandum circular No. 2021-001: Guidelines on the gradual reopening of campuses of higher education institutions for limited face-to-face classes during the Covid-19 pandemic," Quezon City, 2021. [Online]. Available: https://ched.gov.ph/wp-content/uploads/CHED-DOH-Joint-Memorandum-Circular-No.-2021-001-Guidelines-on-the-Gradual-Reopening-of-Campuses-of-Higher-Education-Institutions-for-Limited-Face-to-Face-Classes-during-the-COVID-19-Pandemic.pdf.
- [12] M. L. Bordeos, K. R. M. Lagman, and I. P. S. Cruz, "Students in the new normal: Their experiences in the pandemic's limited face-to-face classes," *American Journal of Education and Technology*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 42–51, 2022, doi: 10.54536/ajet.v1i3.979.
- [13] R. M. F. Oducado, J. V. Cleofas, and G. P. Soriano, "Predicting nursing students' intention to attend face-to-face classes on school reopening: A theory of planned behavior application," *Nursing Forum*, vol. 57, no. 5, pp. 733–738, Sep. 2022, doi: 10.1111/nuf.12732.
- [14] A. Amri, Y. Tebe, A. Siantoro, M. Indrawati, and C. Prihadi, "Teachers voices on school reopening in Indonesia during COVID-19 pandemic," *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*, vol. 4, no. 1, p. 100218, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.ssaho.2021.100218.
 [15] J. Ryan, N. Koehler, T. Cruickshank, S. L. Rogers, and M. Stanley, "Teachers are the guinea pigs': teacher perspectives on a
- [15] J. Ryan, N. Koehler, T. Cruickshank, S. L. Rogers, and M. Stanley, "Teachers are the guinea pigs': teacher perspectives on a sudden reopening of schools during the COVID-19 pandemic," *The Australian Educational Researcher*, vol. 51, no. 2, pp. 445– 461, Apr. 2024, doi: 10.1007/s13384-022-00577-6.
- [16] Z. Zhan, Y. Li, X. Yuan, and Q. Chen, "To be or not to be: Parents' willingness to send their children back to school after the COVID-19 outbreak," *The Asia-Pacific Education Researcher*, vol. 31, no. 5, pp. 589–600, Oct. 2022, doi: 10.1007/s40299-021-00610-9.
- [17] X. Zhao and W. Xue, "From online to offline education in the post-pandemic era: Challenges encountered by international students at British universities," *Frontiers in Psychology*, vol. 13, p. 1093475, Jan. 2023, doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2022.1093475.
- [18] M. Leite, J. Fernandes, K. Carvalho, M. Pina-Zallio, R. Verza, and M. P. Gonçalves, "Fear of returning to face-to-face classes in times of COVID-19: A cross-country comparison," *Journal of School and Educational Psychology*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 49–65, Apr. 2023, doi: 10.47602/josep.v3i1.25.
- [19] E. G. Estrada-Araoz, J. A. Bautista Quispe, L. M. Córdova-Rojas, E. Ticona Chayña, H. Mamani Coaquira, and J. Huaman Tomanguilla, "Mental health of university students when returning to face-to-face classes: A cross-sectional study," *Behavioral Sciences*, vol. 13, no. 6, p. 438, May 2023, doi: 10.3390/bs13060438.
- [20] K. Lobos, R. Cobo-Rendón, F. Sáez, J. Mella, and N. Cisternas, "Return to face-to-face classrooms in higher education: students experiences in Chile, Venezuela, and Ecuador," *Emerging Science Journal*, vol. 7, pp. 217–237, Oct. 2023, doi: 10.28991/ESJ-2023-SIED2-017.
- [21] R. Strong, T. L. Irby, J. T. Wynn, and M. M. McClure, "Investigating students' satisfaction with eLearning courses: The effect of learning environment and social presence," *Journal of Agricultural Education*, vol. 53, no. 3, pp. 98–110, Sep. 2012, doi: 10.5032/jae.2012.03098.
- [22] L. Savadori and M. Lauriola, "Risk perceptions and COVID-19 protective behaviors: A two-wave longitudinal study of epidemic and post-epidemic periods," *Social Science & Medicine*, vol. 301, p. 114949, May 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.socscimed.2022.114949.
- [23] V. De Nicolò, P. Villari, and C. De Vito, "Impact of COVID-19 vaccination on risk perception: a cross- sectional study on vaccinated people," *European Journal of Public Health*, vol. 32, no. Supplement_3, Oct. 2022, doi: 10.1093/eurpub/ckac129.664.
- [24] S. Rashid, S. Shaikh, L. Mardini, and F. S. Saad, "Back to school: COVID-19 post-lockdown classroom anxiety," *Education Sciences*, vol. 12, no. 11, p. 800, Nov. 2022, doi: 10.3390/educsci12110800.
- [25] S. Selvik and M. K. Herrebrøden, "Teacher experiences under COVID-19 pandemic school reopening periods: A window of opportunity for adapted education," *Teaching and Teacher Education*, vol. 139, p. 104445, Mar. 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.tate.2023.104445.
- [26] N. Wakui *et al.*, "Causes of anxiety among teachers giving face-to-face lessons after the reopening of schools during the COVID-19 pandemic: a cross-sectional study," *BMC Public Health*, vol. 21, no. 1, p. 1050, Dec. 2021, doi: 10.1186/s12889-021-11130-y.
 [27] United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF), "Tips for schools on how to strengthen communication with parents/caregivers,"
- [27] United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF), "Tips for schools on how to strengthen communication with parents/caregivers," 2020. [Online]. Available: https://www.unicef.org/romania/stories/tips-schools-how-strengthen-communication-parentscaregivers (accessed Jul. 18, 2024).

- [28] M. K. A. Illescas, A. K. S. Ong, and J. D. German, "Online or traditional learning at the near end of the pandemic: Assessment of students' intentions to pursue online learning in the Philippines," *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, vol. 15, no. 8, p. 6611, 2023, doi: 10.3390/su15086611.
- [29] C. E. Stoian, M. A. Fărcaşiu, G.-M. Dragomir, and V. Gherheş, "Transition from online to face-to-face education after COVID-19: The benefits of online education from students' perspective," *Sustainability*, vol. 14, no. 19, p. 12812, Oct. 2022, doi: 10.3390/su141912812.
- [30] R. Cobo-Rendón, C. Bruna Jofre, K. Lobos, N. Cisternas San Martin, and E. Guzman, "Return to university classrooms with blended learning: A possible post-pandemic COVID-19 scenario," *Frontiers in Education*, vol. 7, p. 957175, Jul. 2022, doi: 10.3389/feduc.2022.957175.
- [31] R. I. Platonova, N. A. Orekhovskaya, S. B. Dautova, E. V. Martynenko, N. I. Kryukova, and S. Demir, "Blended learning in higher education: Diversifying models and practical recommendations for researchers," *Frontiers in Education*, vol. 7, p. 957199, Jul. 2022, doi: 10.3389/feduc.2022.957199.
- [32] J. Singh, K. Steele, and L. Singh, "Combining the best of online and face-to-face learning: Hybrid and blended learning approach for COVID-19, post vaccine, & post-pandemic world," *Journal of Educational Technology Systems*, vol. 50, no. 2, pp. 140–171, Dec. 2021, doi: 10.1177/00472395211047865.
- [33] R. K. Masalela, "Potential benefits and complexities of blended learning in higher education: The case of the University of Botswana," *Turkish Online Journal of Distance Education*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 66–82, 2009.
- [34] G. Tussupbekova *et al.*, "Graduate students' experiences in a blended learning program in Kazakhstan: A mixed-method study employing interaction equivalency theorem," *Contemporary Educational Technology*, vol. 14, no. 4, p. ep386, Aug. 2022, doi: 10.30935/cedtech/12398.
- [35] M. Larson, R. Davies, A. Steadman, and W. M. Cheng, "Student's choice: In-person, online, or on demand? A comparison of instructional modality preference and effectiveness," *Education Sciences*, vol. 13, no. 9, p. 877, Aug. 2023, doi: 10.3390/educsci13090877.
- [36] L. Y. Chaw and C. M. Tang, "Learner characteristics and learners' inclination towards particular learning environments," *Electronic Journal of e-Learning*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 1–12, 2023, doi: 10.34190/ejel.21.1.2537.

BIOGRAPHIES OF AUTHORS



Ayesha C. Penuela (b) (S) (S) (S) (c) is an Associate Professor at the College of Nursing of the West Visayas State University. She is a registered nurse and a licensed professional teacher. She also serves as the Research Coordinator of the College of Nursing. Among her fields of interest are psychiatric and mental health nursing and nursing education. She can be contacted at email: acpenuela@wvsu.edu.ph.



Ryan Michael F. Oducado B S is a registered nurse, midwife, licensed professional teacher, and registered guidance counselor. He is a Professor of the College of Nursing, an adjunct faculty of the College of Education, and the Director of the University Research and Development Center of the West Visayas State University. His research interests are in the fields of nursing education, teaching and learning, public health, and mental health. He can be contacted at email: rmoducado@wvsu.edu.ph.