

man enabled him
d socialist Bert
remained active as
ty, and was a del-
nce in Liverpool.
ht in Leeds, that
le contemplated
ver, the proceed-
ott was expelled
tion (SDF) for
Socialism: Christ
(SDF leadership
that should be
position that reli-
d religion should

the Enemy of
who had been sec-
oday School and

gist and evolutionist
ably one of the most
biologists of the late
by training, he was
controversial yet sci-
ated equilibria, whi
the known fossil rec
eral public; his long
magazine was antho
vard-based Gould
and what he saw a
minism, engaging
against British evolu
Richard Dawkins. M
in the public arena,
book) maintaining t
fully coexist (see EV
CONFLICT WITH SCIE
end writer, yet he w

and Lewontin in *measure of Man* n of controversy, and plain exaggeration in criticizing the aption in evolutionary proposition that the result of natural selection is also true that—statements—natural selection is the only causal mechanism for adaptive adaptations. In fact, what is really at issue is the Darwinian view of the world. It is time to focus on non-reductive materialism. Similarly, while it is a readable book that has been checked his-

with *The Structure of Evolutionary Theory*, a technical treatise co-written by Lewontin and Gould. Once again, the predictions loom large. The book is a thesis of a particular kind of reductionism. Professional biologists may have a long time to come to grips with research along the Darwinian starting point for creationism, the philosophy of science and the history of biology. Gould's insistence on reductionism without editorial intervention is long, convoluted, and unnecessarily long. I would wish some of the more interesting readers, irritating as it may be, wanted it that way, to illustrate generations of