



Gaps between zeros of $GL(2)$ L -functions



Owen Barrett^a, Brian McDonald^b, Steven J. Miller^c, Patrick Ryan^d,
 Caroline L. Turnage-Butterbaugh^{e,*}, Karl Winsor^f

^a Department of Mathematics, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06511, USA
^b Department of Mathematics, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY 14627, USA
^c Department of Mathematics & Statistics, Williams College, Williamstown, MA 01267, USA
^d Department of Mathematics, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA
^e Department of Mathematics, North Dakota State University, Fargo, ND 58108, USA
^f Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
 Received 17 November 2014
 Available online 7 April 2015
 Submitted by B.C. Berndt

Keywords:
 L -functions
 Gaps between zeros
 Selberg Class

ABSTRACT

Let $L(s, f)$ be an L -function associated to a primitive (holomorphic or Maass) cusp form f on $GL(2)$ over \mathbf{Q} . Combining mean-value estimates of Montgomery and Vaughan with a method of Ramachandra, we prove a formula for the mixed second moments of derivatives of $L(1/2 + it, f)$ and, via a method of Hall, use it to show that there are infinitely many gaps between consecutive zeros of $L(s, f)$ along the critical line that are at least $\sqrt{3} = 1.732 \dots$ times the average spacing. Using general pair correlation results due to Murty and Perelli in conjunction with a technique of Montgomery, we also prove the existence of small gaps between zeros of any primitive L -function of the Selberg class. In particular, when f is a primitive holomorphic cusp form on $GL(2)$ over \mathbf{Q} , we prove that there are infinitely many gaps between consecutive zeros of $L(s, f)$ along the critical line that are at most 0.823 times the average spacing.

© 2015 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Contents

1. Introduction	205
2. Proof of Theorem 1.1	208
3. Proof of Theorem 1.5	210
4. Properties of L -functions	211
4.1. The Selberg class \mathcal{S}	212
4.2. Properties of $GL(2)$ L -functions	213
5. Proof of Theorem 1.3	214
6. Lemmata	219
7. Proof of Theorem 1.6	223
7.1. Main term calculations	225

* Corresponding author.
E-mail addresses: Owen.Barrett@gmail.com (O. Barrett), bmcdon11@u.rochester.edu (B. McDonald), sjm1@williams.edu, Steven.Miller.MC.96@aya.yale.edu (S.J. Miller), ryan880@gmail.com (P. Ryan), cturnagebutterbaugh@gmail.com (C.L. Turnage-Butterbaugh), krlwnsr@umich.edu (K. Winsor).

7.2. Error term estimates 227
 Acknowledgments 228
 Appendix A. Additional lemmata and calculations 228
 A.1. An effective Perron formula 228
 A.2. Controlling $\int_{(\frac{1}{4})} \Phi_{\bar{f}}(1 - s + \beta + w)\Gamma(w) dw$ 229
 References 231

1. Introduction

Let f be a primitive form on $GL(2)$ over \mathbf{Q} with level q , which we consider to be fixed. Then f corresponds either to a primitive holomorphic cusp form or to a primitive Maass cusp form. For $\Re(s) \gg 1$, let

$$L(s, f) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^s} \tag{1.1}$$

denote the L -function of degree 2 associated to f as defined by Godement and Jacquet [19]. Here, for any choice of f , we are normalizing so that $a_f(1) = 1$ and the critical line is $\Re(s) = 1/2$. We study the vertical distribution of the nontrivial zeros of $L(s, f)$, which we denote by $\rho_f = \beta_f + i\gamma_f$, where $\beta_f, \gamma_f \in \mathbf{R}$ and $0 < \beta_f < 1$. The analogous problems for normalized gaps between consecutive zeros of the Riemann zeta-function and for the Dedekind zeta-function of a quadratic number field have been studied extensively. For example, see [4–9,14–16,18,21,24,25,44,46,47,50,56,59].

It is known (see Theorem 5.8 of [29]) that

$$N(T, f) := \sum_{0 < \gamma_f \leq T} 1 = \frac{T}{\pi} \log \frac{\sqrt{|q|}T}{2\pi e} + O(\log q(iT, f)) \tag{1.2}$$

for $T \geq 1$ with an implied absolute constant. Here $q(s, f)$ denotes the analytic conductor of $L(s, f)$. Consider the sequence $0 \leq \gamma_f(1) \leq \gamma_f(2) \leq \dots \leq \gamma_f(n) \leq \dots$ of consecutive ordinates of the nontrivial zeros of $L(s, f)$. By (1.2), it follows that the average size of $\gamma_f(n + 1) - \gamma_f(n)$ is

$$\frac{\pi}{\log(\sqrt{|q|}\gamma_f(n))}. \tag{1.3}$$

Let

$$\Lambda_f := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\tilde{\gamma}_f(n + 1) - \tilde{\gamma}_f(n)}{\pi / \log(\sqrt{|q|}\tilde{\gamma}_f(n))} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_f := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\gamma_f(n + 1) - \gamma_f(n)}{\pi / \log(\sqrt{|q|}\gamma_f(n))}, \tag{1.4}$$

where $\tilde{\gamma}_f(n)$ corresponds to the n th nontrivial zero of $L(s, f)$ on the critical line $\Re(s) = 1/2$. Note that under the assumption of the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis for $L(s, f)$, we have $\Lambda_f = \lambda_f$. Unconditionally, it is certainly true that $\Lambda_f \geq \lambda_f \geq 1$, however we expect that $\Lambda_f = \lambda_f = \infty$. Towards a lower bound on λ_f , we prove the following unconditional result for Λ_f .

Theorem 1.1. *Let $L(s, f)$ be a primitive L -function on $GL(2)$ over \mathbf{Q} . Then $\Lambda_f \geq \sqrt{3} = 1.732\dots$*

Corollary 1.2. *Let $L(s, f)$ be a primitive L -function on $GL(2)$ over \mathbf{Q} . Then, assuming the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis for $L(s, f)$, we have $\Lambda_f = \lambda_f \geq \sqrt{3} = 1.732\dots$*

We also consider the question of small gaps between nontrivial zeros of $L(s, f)$, however our arguments may be applied to any primitive L -function, $L(s)$, in the Selberg class,¹ which we denote by \mathcal{S} . It is conjectured that all ‘standard’ automorphic L -functions as described by Langlands are members of the Selberg class, but this is far from established. It is known, however, that the primitive automorphic cuspidal $\mathrm{GL}(2)$ L -functions we consider are members of \mathcal{S} , and moreover membership in \mathcal{S} has been established for primitive holomorphic cusp forms. This has not yet been established, however, in the real-analytic case of Maass cusp forms.

Fix any $L \in \mathcal{S}$. As above, consider the sequence $0 \leq \gamma_L(1) \leq \gamma_L(2) \leq \dots \leq \gamma_L(n) \leq \dots$ of consecutive ordinates of the nontrivial zeros of $L(s)$, and define

$$N(T, L) := \sum_{0 < \gamma_L \leq T} 1 \quad (1.5)$$

and

$$\mu_L := \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\gamma_L(n+1) - \gamma_L(n)}{\pi / \log \gamma_L(n)}. \quad (1.6)$$

By definition, we have $\mu_L \leq 1$, but we expect that $\mu_L = 0$. It is conjectured that all the nontrivial zeros of $L(1/2 + it) \in \mathcal{S}$ are simple, except for a possible multiple zero at the central point $s = 1/2$. In the case that f is a primitive holomorphic cusp form, Milinovich and Ng [42] have shown, under the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis for $L(s, f)$, that the number of simple zeros of $L(s, f)$ satisfying $0 < \gamma_f \leq T$ is greater than a positive constant times $T(\log T)^{-\varepsilon}$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and T sufficiently large.

Every $L \in \mathcal{S}$ satisfies

$$\log L(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_L(n)}{n^s}, \quad (1.7)$$

where $b_L(n) = 0$ unless $n = p^\ell$ for some $\ell \geq 1$, and $b_L(n) \ll n^\theta$ for some $\theta < 1/2$. In addition to the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis, we make the following assumption in the proof of small gaps between nontrivial zeros of $L \in \mathcal{S}$.

Hypothesis A. Let $v_L(n) := b_L(n) \log n$. We have

$$\sum_{n \leq x} v_L(n) \overline{v_L(n)} = (1 + o(1))x \log x \quad (1.8)$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

Hypothesis A, proposed by Murty and Perelli in [49], is a mild assumption concerning the correlation of the coefficients of L -functions at primes and prime powers. **Hypothesis A** is motivated in [49] by the Selberg Orthogonality Conjectures, which are known to hold for L -functions attached to irreducible cuspidal automorphic representations on $\mathrm{GL}(m)$ over \mathbf{Q} if $m \leq 4$. (If $m \leq 4$, see [1,36–38].)

In the case that $m_L = 1$, it has recently been shown in [11] that $\mu_L \leq 0.606894$. We generalize these arguments for any $L \in \mathcal{S}$ to prove the following upper bounds on μ_L .

Theorem 1.3. *Let $L \in \mathcal{S}$ be primitive of degree m_L . Assume the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis and Hypothesis A. Then there is a computable nontrivial upper bound on μ_L depending on m_L . In particular, we record the following upper bounds for μ_L :*

¹ We define the Selberg class in Section 4.

m_L	upper bound for μ_L	
1	0.606894	(1.9)
2	0.822897	
3	0.905604	
4	0.942914	
5	0.962190	
\vdots	\vdots	

where the nontrivial upper bounds for μ_L approach 1 as m_L increases.

Corollary 1.4. *Let f be a primitive holomorphic cusp form on $GL(2)$ over \mathbf{Q} with level q and $L(s, f)$ the associated L -function. Then $L(s, f) \in \mathcal{S}$, so assuming the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis and Hypothesis A, we have*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\gamma_f(n+1) - \gamma_f(n)}{\pi / \log(\sqrt{|q|} \gamma_f(n))} < 0.822897. \tag{1.10}$$

The majority of this article is focused on deriving the lower bound given in Theorem 1.1, which is accomplished using a method of Hall [24] and some ideas of Bredberg [4]. We closely follow the arguments in [59], where the problem is considered for large gaps between consecutive zeros of a Dedekind zeta-function of a quadratic number field. Note that the L -function considered in [59] is of degree 2, however it is not primitive because it factors as the product of the Riemann zeta-function and a Dirichlet L -function. Due to the primitivity of the L -functions in the present work, we must consider a Rankin–Selberg type convolution, which we define by

$$L(s, f \times \bar{f}) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_f(n)|^2}{n^s}, \tag{1.11}$$

for $\Re(s) > 1$. It can be shown that this function extends meromorphically to \mathbf{C} and has a simple pole at $s = 1$. For any choice of f , we let c_f denote the residue of the simple pole of $L(s, f \times \bar{f})$ at $s = 1$.

Following [59], we require asymptotic estimates of the mixed second moments of $L(1/2 + it, f)$ and $L'(1/2 + it, f)$ with a uniform error. We obtain these by way of the following theorem.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $L(s, f)$ be a primitive L -function on $GL(2)$ over \mathbf{Q} . Let $s = 1/2 + it$, T large, and let μ, ν denote non-negative integers. We have*

$$\int_T^{2T} L^{(\mu)}\left(\frac{1}{2} + it, f\right) L^{(\nu)}\left(\frac{1}{2} - it, \bar{f}\right) dt = \frac{(-1)^{\mu+\nu} 2^{\mu+\nu+1}}{\mu + \nu + 1} c_f T (\log T)^{\mu+\nu+1} + O(\mu! \nu! T (\log T)^{\mu+\nu}) \tag{1.12}$$

as $T \rightarrow \infty$, where c_f denotes the residue of the simple pole of $L(s, f \times \bar{f})$ at $s = 1$, and the error term is uniform in μ and ν .

If f is a cusp form of even weight (at least 12) with respect to the full modular group, the cases $\mu = \nu$ are known. The case $\mu = \nu = 0$ in this setting was proved by Good [22], and for any nonnegative integer m , the general case $\mu = \nu = m$ was recently given by Yashiro [60]. In addition to the cases $\mu = \nu = 0$ and $\mu = \nu = 1$, we require the mixed case $\mu = 1, \nu = 0$ in the proof of Theorem 1.1. In this article, we first prove a shifted moment result and then obtain the more general formula given in Theorem 1.5 via differentiation (with respect to the shifts) and Cauchy’s integral formula. We deduce the required shifted moment result, given below and proved in Section 7, using a method of Ramachandra [51].

Theorem 1.6. *Let $L(s, f)$ be a primitive L -function on $GL(2)$ over \mathbf{Q} . Let $s = 1/2 + it$, T large, and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{C}$ such that $|\alpha|, |\beta| \ll 1/\log T$. Then, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_T^{2T} L(s + \alpha, f) L(1 - s + \beta, \bar{f}) \, dt \\ &= \int_T^{2T} \left\{ L(1 + \alpha + \beta, f \times \bar{f}) + \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{-2(\alpha+\beta)} L(1 - \alpha - \beta, f \times \bar{f}) \right\} dt + O(T) \end{aligned} \tag{1.13}$$

as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

The main term of [Theorem 1.6](#) verifies a conjecture arising from the recipe of Conrey, Farmer, Keating, Rubinstein, and Snaith (see [\[12\]](#)), which also predicts the additional lower order terms. Using other methods, the expected lower order terms in [Theorem 1.6](#) can be deduced in the case that f is a holomorphic primitive cusp form of even weight for the full modular group. Farmer [\[17\]](#) has proved the asymptotic behavior of the mollified integral second shifted moment of such $L(s, f)$ when the mollifier is a Dirichlet polynomial of length less than $T^{1/6-\varepsilon}$, where $\varepsilon > 0$ is small. Recently, Bernard [\[2\]](#) has proved the asymptotic behavior of the smooth mollified shifted second moment of such $L(s, f)$ where the mollifier is a Dirichlet polynomial of length less than $T^{5/27}$, with the additional requirement that the shifts are $o(1/\log^2(T))$. (See [\[2, Remark 2\]](#).)

To deduce the upper bound on μ_L appearing in [Theorem 1.3](#), we study the pair correlation of nontrivial zeros of $L(s) \in \mathcal{S}$ given by Murty and Perelli [\[49\]](#). We employ an argument of [\[21\]](#) with a new idea of Carneiro, Chandee, Littmann, and Milinovich [\[11\]](#) to prove the list of upper bounds given in [Theorem 1.3](#).

The article is organized as follows. For primitive $GL(2)$ L -functions, we prove [Theorem 1.1](#) on large gaps between zeros of $L(1/2 + it, f)$ in [Section 2](#). We prove [Theorem 1.5](#) on the mixed second moments of derivatives of $L(1/2 + it, f)$ in [Section 3](#) and the proof of [Theorem 1.6](#) regarding shifted moments in [Section 7](#). For primitive L -functions in the Selberg class, we prove [Theorem 1.3](#) on small gaps between zeros of $L(s)$ in [Section 5](#).

2. Proof of [Theorem 1.1](#)

We now show that [Theorem 1.1](#) follows from [Theorem 1.5](#). We closely follow the proof of [\[59, Theorem 1\]](#), which in turn is a variation of a method of Hall [\[24\]](#) using some ideas due to Bredberg [\[4\]](#).

Define the function

$$g(t) := e^{i\rho t \log T} L\left(\frac{1}{2} + it, f\right), \tag{2.1}$$

where ρ is a real constant that will be chosen later to optimize our result. Fix a primitive form, f , on $GL(2)$, so that f corresponds either to a primitive holomorphic cusp form or to a primitive Maass cusp form. Let $\tilde{\gamma}_f$ denote an ordinate of a zero of $L(s, f)$ on the (normalized) critical line $\Re(s) = 1/2$. Note that $g(t)$ has the same zeros as $L(1/2 + it, f)$, that is, $g(t) = 0$ if and only if $t = \tilde{\gamma}_f$. Let $\{\tilde{\gamma}_f(1), \tilde{\gamma}_f(2), \dots, \tilde{\gamma}_f(N)\}$ denote the set of distinct zeros of $g(t)$ in the interval $[T, 2T]$. Let

$$\kappa_T := \max \{ \tilde{\gamma}_f(n + 1) - \tilde{\gamma}_f(n) : T + 1 \leq \tilde{\gamma}_f(n) \leq 2T - 1 \}, \tag{2.2}$$

and note that $\Lambda_f \geq \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \kappa_T$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$\tilde{\gamma}_f(1) - T \ll 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 2T - \tilde{\gamma}_f(N) \ll 1, \tag{2.3}$$

as otherwise there exist zeros $\tilde{\gamma}_f(0) \leq \tilde{\gamma}_f(1)$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_f(N + 1) \geq \tilde{\gamma}_f(N)$ such that $\tilde{\gamma}_f(0) - \tilde{\gamma}_f(1)$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_f(N + 1) - \tilde{\gamma}_f(N)$ are $\gg 1$, and the theorem holds for this reason.

The following lemma, due to Bredberg [4, Corollary 1], is a variation of Wirtinger’s inequality [27, Theorem 258].

Lemma 2.1 (Wirtinger’s inequality). *Let $y : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be a continuously differentiable function, and suppose that $y(a) = y(b) = 0$. Then*

$$\int_a^b |y(x)|^2 dx \leq \left(\frac{b-a}{\pi}\right)^2 \int_a^b |y'(x)|^2 dx. \tag{2.4}$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be small. By the definition of κ_T and Lemma 2.1, for each pair of consecutive zeros of $g(t)$ in the interval $[T, 2T]$ we have

$$\int_{\tilde{\gamma}_f(n)}^{\tilde{\gamma}_f(n+1)} |g(t)|^2 dt \leq \frac{\kappa_T^2}{\pi^2} \int_{\tilde{\gamma}_f(n)}^{\tilde{\gamma}_f(n+1)} |g'(t)|^2 dt. \tag{2.5}$$

Upon summing both sides of the equation in (2.5) for $n = 1, 2, \dots, N - 1$, we have

$$\int_{\tilde{\gamma}_f(1)}^{\tilde{\gamma}_f(N)} |g(t)|^2 dt \leq \frac{\kappa_T^2}{\pi^2} \int_{\tilde{\gamma}_f(1)}^{\tilde{\gamma}_f(N)} |g'(t)|^2 dt. \tag{2.6}$$

Subconvexity bounds for primitive GL(2) L -functions along the critical line yield $|g(t)| \ll |t|^{1/2-\delta}$, where $\delta > 0$ is a fixed constant. Good [23] established subconvexity in the t -aspect for holomorphic forms of full level, achieving $\delta < 1/6$. Meurman [39] achieved the same for Maass forms of full level. Jutila and Motohashi [32] obtained a hybrid bound in the t - and eigenvalue aspects for full-level holomorphic and Maass forms. Blomer and Harcos [3] obtained $\delta < 25/292$ for holomorphic and Maass forms of arbitrary level and nebentypus in the t -aspect. Michel and Venkatesh [40] proved general subconvexity for GL(2). By (2.3), we have

$$\int_T^{2T} |g(t)|^2 dt \leq \frac{\kappa_T^2}{\pi^2} \int_T^{2T} |g'(t)|^2 dt + O(T^{1-2\delta}). \tag{2.7}$$

Observing that $|g(t)|^2 = |L(1/2 + it)|^2$ and

$$|g'(t)|^2 = |L'(\tfrac{1}{2} + it, f)|^2 + \rho^2 \log^2 T |L(\tfrac{1}{2} + it, f)|^2 + 2\rho \log T \cdot \Re\left(L'(\tfrac{1}{2} + it, f) \overline{L(\tfrac{1}{2} + it, f)}\right), \tag{2.8}$$

Theorem 1.5 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_T^{2T} |L(\tfrac{1}{2} + it, f)|^2 dt &= 2c_f T \log T + O(T), \\ \int_T^{2T} L'(\tfrac{1}{2} + it, f) \overline{L(\tfrac{1}{2} + it, f)} dt &= -2c_f T (\log T)^2 + O(T \log T), \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

and

$$\int_T^{2T} |L'(\frac{1}{2} + it, f)|^2 dt = \frac{8}{3} c_f T (\log T)^3 + O(T (\log T)^2), \tag{2.10}$$

where c_f denotes the residue of the simple pole of $L(s, f \times \bar{f})$ at $s = 1$. Combining these estimates and noting that

$$\frac{1}{1 + O(\frac{1}{c_f} (\log T)^{-1})} = 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{c_f} (\log T)^{-1}\right), \tag{2.11}$$

we find that

$$\frac{\kappa_T^2}{\pi^2} \geq \frac{3}{3\rho^2 - 6\rho + 4} (\log T)^{-2} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{c_f} (\log T)^{-1}\right)\right). \tag{2.12}$$

The polynomial $3\rho^2 - 6\rho + 4$ is minimized by $\rho = 1$. Therefore, inserting this choice of ρ in (2.12), we obtain

$$\kappa_T \geq \frac{\sqrt{3}\pi}{\log T} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{c_f} (\log T)^{-1}\right)\right). \tag{2.13}$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1.5

In this section we prove Theorem 1.5. As in the previous section, we closely follow an argument of [59, Theorem 3], and we include the details here for completeness.

First, note that for $t \in [T, 2T]$, we have

$$\left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{-2(\alpha+\beta)} = T^{-2(\alpha+\beta)} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log T}\right)\right) \tag{3.1}$$

as $T \rightarrow \infty$. Also, we have

$$L(1 \pm \alpha \pm \beta, f \times \bar{f}) = \frac{\pm c_f}{\alpha + \beta} + O(1), \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$T^{-2(\alpha+\beta)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n 2^n (\alpha + \beta)^n (\log T)^n}{n!}. \tag{3.3}$$

Thus, by Theorem 1.6, we have

$$\int_T^{2T} L\left(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha + it, f\right) L\left(\frac{1}{2} + \beta - it, \bar{f}\right) dt = F(\alpha + \beta; T) + O(T), \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$F(\alpha + \beta; T) := c_f T \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^n 2^{n+1} (\alpha + \beta)^n (\log T)^{n+1}}{(n + 1)!}. \tag{3.5}$$

Let

$$R(\alpha, \beta; T) := \int_0^T L\left(\frac{1}{2} + it + \alpha, f\right) L\left(\frac{1}{2} - it + \beta, \bar{f}\right) dt - F(\alpha + \beta; T). \tag{3.6}$$

Then $R(\alpha, \beta; T)$ is an analytic function of two complex variables α, β for $\Re(\alpha), \Re(\beta) < 1/2$; moreover, if $|\alpha|, |\beta| \ll 1/\log T$, then [Theorem 1.6](#) implies that

$$R(\alpha, \beta; T) = O(T) \tag{3.7}$$

as $T \rightarrow \infty$. Differentiating, we find

$$\int_T^{2T} L^{(\mu)}\left(\frac{1}{2} + it + \alpha, f\right) L^{(\nu)}\left(\frac{1}{2} - it + \beta, \bar{f}\right) dt = \frac{\partial^{\mu+\nu} F(\alpha + \beta; T)}{\partial \alpha^\mu \partial \beta^\nu} + R_{\mu, \nu}(\alpha, \beta; T), \tag{3.8}$$

where μ and ν are fixed nonnegative integers and

$$R_{\mu, \nu}(\alpha, \beta; T) := \frac{\partial^{\mu+\nu} R(\alpha, \beta; T)}{\partial \alpha^\mu \partial \beta^\nu}. \tag{3.9}$$

Let $\Omega = \{\omega \in \mathbf{C} : |\omega - \alpha| = 1/\log T\}$. By contour integration and Cauchy’s integral formula, [\(3.7\)](#) implies that

$$\frac{\partial^\mu}{\partial \alpha^\mu} R(\alpha, \beta; T) = \frac{\mu!}{2\pi i} \int_\Omega \frac{R(\omega, \beta; T)}{(\omega - \alpha)^{\mu+1}} d\omega = O(\mu! T (\log T)^\mu). \tag{3.10}$$

A second application of Cauchy’s integral formula yields

$$R_{\mu, \nu}(\alpha, \beta; T) := \frac{\partial^{\mu+\nu}}{\partial \alpha^\mu \partial \beta^\nu} R(\alpha, \beta; T) = O(\mu! \nu! T (\log T)^{\mu+\nu}). \tag{3.11}$$

Setting $\alpha = \beta = 0$, we obtain

$$\int_T^{2T} L^{(\mu)}\left(\frac{1}{2} + it, f\right) L^{(\nu)}\left(\frac{1}{2} - it, \bar{f}\right) dt = \left[\frac{\partial^{\mu+\nu} F(\alpha + \beta; T)}{\partial \alpha^\mu \partial \beta^\nu} \right]_{\alpha=\beta=0} + O(\mu! \nu! T (\log T)^{\mu+\nu}). \tag{3.12}$$

Differentiating $F(\alpha + \beta; T)$ with respect to α and β , we find

$$\left[\frac{\partial^{\mu+\nu} F(\alpha + \beta; T)}{\partial \alpha^\mu \partial \beta^\nu} \right]_{\alpha=\beta=0} = c_f T \frac{(-1)^{\mu+\nu} 2^{\mu+\nu+1} (\log T)^{\mu+\nu+1}}{\mu + \nu + 1}. \tag{3.13}$$

Inserting [\(3.13\)](#) in [\(3.12\)](#), the theorem now follows by summing over the dyadic intervals $[T/2, T], [T/4, T/2], [T/8, T/4], \dots$

4. Properties of L -functions

In this section we collect some basic facts about the L -functions under consideration. The L -functions treated by [Theorem 1.1](#) have an automorphic characterization; they are associated to primitive cusp forms on $GL(2)$ over \mathbf{Q} . We summarize some of their properties below. On the other hand, we prove [Theorem 1.3](#)

for the large set of L -functions in the analytic axiomatic classification of L -functions due to Selberg [55], which we denote \mathcal{S} ; see Conrey and Ghosh [13], Murty [48], and Kaczorowski and Perelli [33] for the basic properties of \mathcal{S} .

Though it is certain that the primitive automorphic cuspidal $\mathrm{GL}(2)$ L -functions we consider are members of the Selberg class, and the membership of primitive holomorphic cusp forms has been established, it has not yet been established in the real-analytic case of Maass cusp forms. Most notably, the Ramanujan hypothesis for Maass forms is not yet a theorem. Fortunately, we do not need to assume the Ramanujan hypothesis (or the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis) for Theorem 1.1. Likewise, there are some specific assumptions we do need for Theorem 1.1 that we do not need for Theorem 1.3.

Therefore, the two sets of assumptions we need for our two main theorems have nontrivial intersection but one set is not a proper subset of the other. The approach we take to presenting these two sets of hypotheses is as follows. First, we will present the axioms of the Selberg class \mathcal{S} needed for Theorem 1.3. Then, we give information specific to the automorphic $\mathrm{GL}(2)$ L -functions to which Theorem 1.1 applies.

4.1. The Selberg class \mathcal{S}

For our purposes, the following axiomatic definition is sufficient, and we follow [49] in our presentation.

(i) (*Dirichlet series*) Every $L \in \mathcal{S}$ is a Dirichlet series

$$L(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_L(n)}{n^s} \quad (4.1)$$

absolutely convergent for $\Re(s) > 1$.

(ii) (*Analytic continuation*) There exists an integer $a \geq 0$ such that $(s-1)^a L(s)$ is an entire function of finite order.

(iii) (*Functional equation*) Every $L \in \mathcal{S}$ satisfies a functional equation of type

$$L_{\infty}(s)L(s) =: \Lambda(s) = \epsilon \bar{\Lambda}(1-s), \quad (4.2)$$

where $\bar{\Lambda}(s)$ denotes $\overline{\Lambda(\bar{s})}$, and

$$L_{\infty} = Q^s \prod_{j=1}^r \Gamma(w_j s + \mu_j) \quad (4.3)$$

with $Q > 0$, $w_j > 0$, $\Re(\mu_j) \geq 0$, and $|\epsilon| = 1$. The *degree* of L is given by

$$m_L := 2 \sum_{j=1}^r w_j. \quad (4.4)$$

(iv) (*Ramanujan hypothesis*) For all positive integers n , we have $a_L(n) \ll n^{o(1)}$.

(v) (*Euler product*) Every $L \in \mathcal{S}$ satisfies

$$\log L(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_L(n)}{n^s}, \quad (4.5)$$

where $b_L(n) = 0$ unless $n = p^{\ell}$ for some $\ell \geq 1$, and $b_L(n) \ll n^{\theta}$ for some $\theta < 1/2$.

4.2. Properties of GL(2) L-functions

In this section we collect some basic facts and hypotheses concerning L-functions attached to primitive (holomorphic or Maass) cusp forms on GL(2). We begin by combining some general remarks from [12, Section 1.1], [53, Section 2], and [52, Section 3.6]. For a more in-depth study of these L-functions, we refer the reader to [29, Chapter 5].

Let f be a primitive (holomorphic or Maass) cusp form on GL(2) over \mathbf{Q} with level q . For $\Re(s) > 1$, let

$$L(s, f) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^s} = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_f(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{\beta_f(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1} \tag{4.6}$$

be the global L-function attached to f (as defined by Godement and Jacquet in [19] and Jacquet and Shalika in [31]), where the Dirichlet coefficients $a_f(n)$ have been normalized so that $\Re(s) = 1/2$ is the critical line of $L(s, f)$. The numbers α_f, β_f are called the non-archimedean Satake or Langlands parameters. We assume $L(s, f)$ is primitive; that is, we assume $L(s, f)$ cannot be written as the product of two degree 1 L-functions. Then $L(s, f)$ admits an analytic continuation to an entire function of order 1. Additionally, there is a root number $\epsilon_f \in \mathbf{C}$ with $|\epsilon_f| = 1$ and a function $L_\infty(s, f)$ of the form

$$L_\infty(s, f) = P(s)Q^s\Gamma(w_1s + \mu_1)\Gamma(w_2s + \mu_2), \tag{4.7}$$

where $Q > 0$, $w_j > 0$, $\Re(\mu_j) \geq 0$, and P is a polynomial whose only zeros in $\sigma > 0$ are the poles of $L(s)$, such that the completed L-function

$$\Lambda(s, f) := L_\infty(s, f)L(s, f) \tag{4.8}$$

is entire, and

$$\Lambda(s, f) = \epsilon_f\Lambda(1 - s, \bar{f}), \tag{4.9}$$

where $\bar{f}(z) = \overline{f(\bar{z})}$, $\Lambda(s, \bar{f}) = \overline{\Lambda(s, f)}$, etc. It will be convenient to write this functional equation in asymmetric form

$$L(s, f) = \epsilon_f\Phi_f(s)L(1 - s, \bar{f}), \tag{4.10}$$

where $\Phi_f(s) = L_\infty(1 - s, \bar{f})/L_\infty(s, f)$.

In light of this, axioms (i), (ii), and (iii) of \mathcal{S} for $L(s, f)$ are satisfied.² We now isolate the four properties on $L(s, f)$ for f a primitive cusp form on GL(2) over \mathbf{Q} that we will make use of in our proof of Theorem 1.1. All of these properties are known for such f ; that is, none are conjectural.

P1 $L(s, f)$ is entire.

P2 $L(s, f)$ satisfies a functional equation of the special form

$$\Lambda(s, f) := L_\infty(s, f)L(s, f) = \epsilon_f\Lambda(1 - s, \bar{f}), \tag{4.11}$$

where

$$L_\infty(s, f) = Q^s\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}s + \mu_1\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}s + \mu_2\right), \tag{4.12}$$

² The condition that $\Re(\mu_j) \geq 0$ in axiom (iii) is not proven in the case of Maass cusp forms, though it is conjectured to hold, and is immaterial to the proof of Theorem 1.1. See [10, p. 13] and [54, §1.5].

with $\{\mu_j\}$ stable under complex conjugation and the other notation the same as in (4.7). Note that in the notation of (4.7), $w_j = 1/2$, which is conjectured to hold for arithmetic L -functions. The numbers μ_1, μ_2 are called the archimedean Langlands parameters.

P3 The convolution Dirichlet series given by

$$L(s, f \times \bar{f}) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_f(n)|^2}{n^s}, \quad \Re(s) > 1 \tag{4.13}$$

is an L -function whose analytic continuation has a simple pole at $s = 1$. (This is conjectured to be equivalent to $L(s, f)$ being a primitive L -function.) We denote the residue of this simple pole by c_f .

P4 For sufficiently large X , $\sum_{n \leq X} |a_f(n)|^2 \ll X$.

Note that **P1** is true by general arguments for L -functions associated to primitive cuspidal automorphic representations on $GL(2)$. In the case that f is holomorphic of weight k and level q , the Dirichlet series associated to $L(s, f)$ is formed from the (normalized) coefficients $\lambda_f(n)$ in the Fourier expansion

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_f(n) n^{(k-1)/2} e(nz), \tag{4.14}$$

which satisfy the Deligne divisor bound $|\lambda_f(n)| \leq d(n)$. Hence, axiom (iv) is satisfied for f , though this is immaterial to our arguments towards Theorem 1.1. For $L(s, f)$ attached to such holomorphic f , **P2** holds for $Q = \pi^{-1}$, $\mu_1 = (k - 1)/2$ and $\mu_2 = (k + 1)/2$. In the case that f is instead a Maass cusp form, **P2** is known to hold for $Q = \pi^{-1}$ and complex μ_j . We only require the known condition that the set $\{\mu_j\}$ is stable under complex conjugation.

We note that **P3** was established by the work of Rankin and Selberg for Hecke (holomorphic) cusp forms; it is established in generality far exceeding our needs by Mœglin and Waldspurger [43]; see [29, §5.11–5.12] for details.

For holomorphic f , **P4** holds. Actually, it is known that

$$\sum_{n \leq x} |a_f(n)|^2 = c_f x + o(x); \tag{4.15}$$

see [42, Proposition 5.1]. For real-analytic f , **P4** holds; see [26, §3.2] and [28, Theorem 3.2, (8.7), and (9.34)].

Last, we introduce the notion of the analytic conductor $q(s, f)$ for an automorphic L -function attached to a cusp form of level q on $GL(2)$. In this case, specializing Harcos [26], who follows [30],

$$q(s, f) := \frac{q}{(2\pi)^2} |s + 2\mu_1| |s + 2\mu_2|. \tag{4.16}$$

5. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Let $L(s)$ be a primitive L -function in the Selberg class \mathcal{S} . Recall from (1.5) and (1.6) the definitions

$$N(T, L) := \sum_{0 < \gamma_L \leq T} 1$$

and

$$\mu_L := \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\gamma_L(n + 1) - \gamma_L(n)}{N(T, L)},$$

where $\gamma_L(n)$ denotes the n th nontrivial zero of $L(s)$. In this section, assuming the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis for $L(s)$, we prove an upper bound for μ_L using ideas first introduced by Montgomery [45] to study the pair correlation of zeros of $\zeta(s)$.

Assuming the Riemann Hypothesis and writing the nontrivial zeros of $\zeta(s)$ as $1/2 + \gamma$, if $0 \notin [\alpha, \beta]$ and $T \rightarrow \infty$, the pair correlation conjecture is the statement that

$$\#\left\{0 < \gamma, \gamma' < T : \alpha \leq \frac{(\gamma - \gamma') \log T}{2\pi} \leq \beta\right\} \sim \left(\frac{T}{2\pi} \log T\right) \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \left(1 - \left(\frac{\sin \pi u}{\pi u}\right)^2\right) du, \tag{5.1}$$

which is consistent with the pair correlation function of eigenvalues of random Hermitian matrices. Montgomery originally formulated his pair correlation conjecture for $\zeta(s)$, but strong evidence has accumulated since he made his conjecture to suggest that the nontrivial zeros of any general primitive L -function share the same statistics as eigenvalues of matrices chosen randomly from a matrix ensemble appropriate to the L -function; this philosophy is articulated by Katz and Sarnak in [34] and [35]. In view of this, Montgomery’s pair correlation conjecture has been generalized to all L -functions in the Selberg class \mathcal{S} .

Montgomery proved that $\zeta(s)$ satisfies his pair correlation hypothesis for restricted support, and Murty and Perelli [49] proved a general version for all primitive L -functions in the Selberg class for restricted support inversely proportional to the degree of the function. We use the pair-correlation for zeros of primitive $L \in \mathcal{S}$ to establish an upper bound on small gaps of primitive L -functions in the Selberg class.

Following [45] and [49], we let γ_L, γ'_L denote ordinates of nontrivial zeros of $L(s)$ and put

$$F_L(\alpha) = F_L(\alpha, T) := \left(\frac{m_L T}{2\pi} \log T\right)^{-1} \sum_{0 < \gamma_L, \gamma'_L \leq T} T^{im_L \alpha (\gamma_L - \gamma'_L)} w(\gamma_L - \gamma'_L), \tag{5.2}$$

where $w(u) = 4/(4 + u^2)$ and m_L is the degree of L as defined in (4.4). The pair correlation conjecture then states that as $T \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$F(\alpha) = \begin{cases} |\alpha| + m_L T^{-2|\alpha|m_L} \log T(1 + o(1)) + o(1), & \text{if } |\alpha| \leq 1, \\ 1 + o(1), & \text{if } |\alpha| \geq 1, \end{cases} \tag{5.3}$$

uniformly for α in any bounded interval. Define the functions

$$v_L(n) := b_L(n) \log n \tag{5.4}$$

and

$$v_L(n, x) := \begin{cases} v_L(n) \left(\frac{n}{x}\right)^{1/2}, & n \leq x, \\ v_L(n) \left(\frac{x}{n}\right)^{3/2}, & n > x. \end{cases} \tag{5.5}$$

Murty and Perelli [49] have proved the following result for $F_L(\alpha)$.

Proposition 5.1. *With $L(s) \in \mathcal{S}$ as above and assuming the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis, let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x = T^{\alpha m}$. Then, uniformly for $0 \leq \alpha \leq (1 - \varepsilon)/m_L$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$, we have*

$$F_L(\alpha) = \frac{1}{m_L x \log T} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v(n, x) \overline{v(n, x)} + m_L T^{-2\alpha m_L} \log T(1 + o(1)) + o(1). \tag{5.6}$$

Under the assumptions of [Proposition 5.1](#) and [Hypothesis A](#), we may rewrite $F_L(\alpha)$ via partial summation (cf. [\[49, §4\]](#) for details) as

$$F_L(\alpha) = \alpha + m_L T^{-2\alpha m_L} \log T(1 + o(1)) + o(1). \tag{5.7}$$

For the application to small gaps, we generalize an argument of Goldston, Gonek, Özlük, and Snyder in [\[21\]](#), with a new modification of Carneiro, Chandee, Littmann, and Milinovich in [\[11\]](#).

We use the function $F_L(\alpha)$ to evaluate sums over differences of zeros. We first record a convolution formula involving $F_L(\alpha)$ that will prove to be useful. Let $r(u) \in L^1$, and define the Fourier transform by

$$\hat{r}(\alpha) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} r(u)e(\alpha u) \, du. \tag{5.8}$$

If $\hat{r}(u) \in L^1$, we have almost everywhere that

$$r(u) = \int_{\infty}^{\infty} \hat{r}(\alpha)e(-u\alpha) \, d\alpha. \tag{5.9}$$

Multiplying [\(5.2\)](#) by $\hat{r}(\alpha)$ and integrating, we obtain

$$\sum_{0 < \gamma_L, \gamma'_L \leq T} r \left((\gamma_L - \gamma'_L) \frac{m_L \log T}{2\pi} \right) w(\gamma_L - \gamma'_L) = \left(\frac{m_L T}{2\pi} \log T \right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{r}(\alpha) F_L(\alpha) \, d\alpha. \tag{5.10}$$

We make use of the following bound for $F_L(\alpha)$.

Lemma 5.2. *Assume the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis, and let $A > 1$ be fixed. Then, as $T \rightarrow \infty$, we have*

$$\int_{1/m_L}^{\xi} (\xi - \alpha) F_L(\alpha) \, d\alpha \geq \frac{\xi^2}{2} - \frac{\xi}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{m_L^2} \right) + \frac{1}{3m_L^3} + o(1) \tag{5.11}$$

uniformly for $1 \leq \xi \leq A$.

Proof of Lemma 5.2. Following [\[11\]](#), we start with the Fourier pair

$$r_{\xi}(u) = \left(\frac{\sin \pi \xi u}{\pi \xi u} \right)^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{r}_{\xi}(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\xi^2} \max(\xi - |\alpha|, 0). \tag{5.12}$$

Let \mathfrak{m}_{ρ} denote the multiplicity of the zero with generic ordinate $1/2 + \gamma_L$. By trivially replacing the count of zeros up to height T (counted with multiplicity) with the diagonal of a weighted sum of r_{ξ} evaluated at differences in zeros and using the convolution formula [\(5.10\)](#), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + o(1) &\leq \left(\frac{m_L T}{2\pi} \log T \right)^{-1} \sum_{0 < \gamma_L \leq T} \mathfrak{m}_{\rho} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{m_L T}{2\pi} \log T \right)^{-1} \sum_{0 < \gamma_L, \gamma'_L \leq T} r_{\xi} \left((\gamma'_L - \gamma_L) \frac{m_L \log T}{2\pi} \right) w(\gamma_L - \gamma'_L) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \int_{-\xi}^{\xi} \hat{r}(\alpha)F(\alpha) \, d\alpha. \tag{5.13}$$

Leveraging (5.7), the evenness of the integrand allows us to write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\xi}^{\xi} \hat{r}(\alpha)F_L(\alpha) \, d\alpha &= \frac{2}{\xi^2} \int_0^{1/m_L} (\xi - \alpha)F_L(\alpha) \, d\alpha + \frac{2}{\xi^2} \int_{1/m_L}^{\xi} (\xi - \alpha)F_L(\alpha) \, d\alpha \\ &= \frac{1}{\xi} \left(1 + \frac{1}{m_L^2}\right) - \frac{2}{3\xi^2 m_L^3} + \frac{2}{\xi^2} \int_{1/m_L}^{\xi} (\xi - \alpha)F_L(\alpha) \, d\alpha + o(1) \end{aligned} \tag{5.14}$$

uniformly for $1 \leq \xi \leq A$. Inserting (5.14) into (5.13) establishes the lemma. \square

We now prove the following result.

Theorem 5.3. *Let $L(s) \in \mathcal{S}$ be primitive of degree m_L . Assume the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis and Hypothesis A. Then, with κ_{m_L} as in (5.23) as $T \rightarrow \infty$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{0 < \gamma_L - \gamma'_L \leq \frac{2\pi\lambda}{m_L \log T}} 1 &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon\right) \frac{m_L T}{2\pi} \log T \left(\lambda - 1 + 2\lambda \int_0^{1/m_L} \left(1 - |\lambda\alpha| + \frac{\sin 2\pi|\lambda\alpha|}{2\pi}\right) \alpha \, d\alpha \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 4\pi\lambda^3 \int_{\kappa_{m_L}}^{1/\lambda} \sin(2\pi\lambda\alpha) \left(\frac{\alpha^2}{2} - \frac{\alpha}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{m_L^2}\right) + \frac{1}{3m_L^3} + o(1)\right) \, d\alpha \right). \end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

The upper bounds on μ_L given in Theorem 1.3 now follow upon straightforward numerical computation of the first positive value of λ for which the right side of the inequality in (5.15) in the theorem becomes positive.

Proof of Theorem 5.3. Consider the Fourier pair

$$h(u) = \left(\frac{\sin \pi u}{\pi u}\right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{1 - x^2}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{h}(\alpha) = \max\left(1 - |\alpha| + \frac{\sin 2\pi|\alpha|}{2\pi}, 0\right). \tag{5.16}$$

Here $h(u)$ is the Selberg minorant of the characteristic function of the interval $[-1, 1]$ in the class of functions with Fourier transforms with support in $[-1, 1]$. Take $r(u) = h(u/\lambda)$. Then $r(u)$ is a minorant of the characteristic function on $[-\lambda, \lambda]$, and $\hat{r}(\alpha) = \lambda\hat{h}(\lambda\alpha)$. By (5.10), this allows us to write

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{0 < \gamma_L \leq T} \mathfrak{m}_\rho + 2 \sum_{0 < \gamma_L - \gamma'_L \leq \frac{2\pi\lambda}{m_L \log T}} 1 &\geq \sum_{0 < \gamma_L, \gamma'_L \leq T} r\left((\gamma_L - \gamma'_L) \frac{m_L \log T}{2\pi}\right) w(\gamma_L - \gamma'_L) \\ &= \left(\frac{m_L T}{2\pi} \log T\right) \int_{-1/\lambda}^{1/\lambda} \hat{r}(\alpha)F_L(\alpha) \, d\alpha. \end{aligned} \tag{5.17}$$

We may take

$$\sum_{0 < \gamma_L \leq T} m_\rho \sim N(T) \sim \frac{m_L T}{2\pi} \log T, \tag{5.18}$$

for else the theorem is trivially true. Upon inserting (5.7) in its domain of validity and estimating the integral arising from the $m_L T^{-2\alpha m_L} \log T$ portion trivially, we find

$$\sum_{0 < \gamma_L - \gamma'_L \leq \frac{2\pi\lambda}{m_L \log T}} 1 = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon\right) \frac{m_L T}{2\pi} \log T \left(\lambda - 1 + 2\lambda \int_0^{1/m_L} \hat{h}(\lambda\alpha) \alpha \, d\alpha + 2\lambda \int_{1/m_L}^{1/\lambda} \hat{h}(\lambda\alpha) F_L(\alpha) \, d\alpha \right). \tag{5.19}$$

Next, still following [11] and [20], we define the function

$$I(\xi) = \int_{1/m_L}^{\xi} (\xi - \alpha) F_L(\alpha) \, d\alpha. \tag{5.20}$$

Note that $I(\xi)$ enjoys the properties

$$I'(\xi) = \int_{1/m_L}^{\xi} F_L(\alpha) \, d\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad I''(\xi) = F_L(\xi). \tag{5.21}$$

Integrating by parts twice and observing that $\hat{h}(1) = \hat{h}'(1) = 0$ allow us to write

$$\int_{1/m_L}^{1/\lambda} \hat{h}(\lambda\alpha) F_L(\alpha) \, d\alpha = \int_{1/m_L}^{1/\lambda} \hat{h}(\lambda\alpha) I''(\alpha) \, d\alpha = \lambda^2 \int_{1/m_L}^{1/\lambda} \hat{h}''(\lambda\alpha) I(\alpha) \, d\alpha. \tag{5.22}$$

Provided $\alpha \geq 0$, $\hat{h}''(\lambda\alpha) = -2\pi \sin(2\pi\lambda\alpha)$, which is non-negative for $1 \leq \alpha \leq 1/\lambda$ if $1/2 \leq \beta \leq 1$. Moreover, as $F_L(\alpha)$ is positive, Lemma 5.2 provides a nontrivial bound for

$$\xi \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{m_L^2} + \frac{\sqrt{3 - 8m_L + 6m_L^2 + 3m_L^4}}{m_L^2 \sqrt{3}} \right) := \kappa_{m_L}. \tag{5.23}$$

Since m_L is a positive integer, we always have $\kappa_{m_L} > 1$. Hence, inserting the estimate from Lemma 5.2 into (5.22), we find that

$$\int_{1/m_L}^{1/\lambda} \hat{h}(\lambda\alpha) F_L(\alpha) \, d\alpha \geq -2\pi \lambda^2 \int_{\kappa_{m_L}}^{1/\lambda} \sin(2\pi\lambda\alpha) \left(\frac{\alpha^2}{2} - \frac{\alpha}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{m_L^2} \right) + \frac{1}{3m_L^3} + o(1) \right) \, d\alpha \tag{5.24}$$

for $1/2 \leq \beta \leq 1$. Inserting (5.24) into (5.19) establishes the theorem. \square

6. Lemmata

In this section we collect lemmata that will be used in the proof of [Theorem 1.6](#).

Lemma 6.1 (Convexity bound). *For any $0 < \sigma < 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a uniform bound*

$$L(\sigma + it, f) \ll_{\sigma, \varepsilon} \mathfrak{q}\left(\frac{1}{2} + it, f\right)^{(1-\sigma)/2+\varepsilon}, \tag{6.1}$$

where $\mathfrak{q}(s, f)$ denotes the analytic conductor of $L(s, f)$, and the implied constant depends only on σ and ε .

Proof. See [\[26, Section 1.2\]](#). The uniform bound is deduced by considering upper bounds on $L(\sigma + it, f)$ in the half-plane $\sigma > 1$ and $\sigma < 0$ and then interpolating between the two via the Phragmén–Lindelöf convexity principle. \square

We note that while the implied constant in [\(6.1\)](#) does not depend on the (fixed) form f , this independence is not a necessity for the present work.

Lemma 6.2. *Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{C}$ with $|\alpha|, |\beta| \ll 1/\log T$. Then, as $T \rightarrow \infty$, we have*

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{|a_f(n)|^2}{n^{1+\alpha+\beta}} e^{-2n/T} = L(1 + \alpha + \beta, f \times \bar{f}) + c_f \Gamma(-\alpha - \beta) \left(\frac{T}{2}\right)^{-\alpha-\beta} + O\left(T^{-1/2}\right), \tag{6.2}$$

and, for $|a| \ll 1/\log T$, we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{|a_f(n)|^2}{n^a} e^{-2n/T} \ll T \tag{6.3}$$

as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. The bound in [\(6.3\)](#) follows by partial summation. For the first sum, we begin by writing the main term of the asymptotic expansion using the definition of the convolution sum in property **P3**. We have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_f(n)|^2}{n^{1+\alpha+\beta}} e^{-2n/T} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_f(n)|^2}{n^{1+\alpha+\beta}} \int_{(2)} \Gamma(w) \left(\frac{2n}{T}\right)^{-w} dw \tag{6.4}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(2)} \Gamma(w) \left(\frac{T}{2}\right)^w \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_f(n)|^2}{n^{1+w+\alpha+\beta}} dw \tag{6.5}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(2)} \Gamma(w) \left(\frac{T}{2}\right)^w L(1 + w + \alpha + \beta, f \times \bar{f}) dw. \tag{6.6}$$

As described in Property **P3**, we let c_f denote the residue of $L(s, f \times \bar{f})$ at $s = 1$. Note that

$$\operatorname{res}_{w=-\alpha-\beta} \left[\Gamma(w) \left(\frac{T}{2}\right)^w L(1 + w + \alpha + \beta, f \times \bar{f}) \right] = c_f \Gamma(-\alpha - \beta) \left(\frac{T}{2}\right)^{-\alpha-\beta}, \tag{6.7}$$

and

$$\operatorname{res}_{w=0} \left[\Gamma(w) \left(\frac{T}{2}\right)^w L(1 + w + \alpha + \beta, f \times \bar{f}) \right] = L(1 + \alpha + \beta, f \times \bar{f}). \tag{6.8}$$

Hence, moving the contour of integration to $\Re(w) = -1/2$ and noting that the contribution from the horizontal sides of the contour is zero by the exponential decay of the gamma factor and the finite order of $L(s, f \times \bar{f})$ in vertical strips not containing $s = 1$, we have that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_f(n)|^2}{n^{1+\alpha+\beta}} e^{-2n/T} = c_f \Gamma(-\alpha - \beta) \left(\frac{T}{2}\right)^{-\alpha-\beta} + L(1 + \alpha + \beta, f \times \bar{f}) + O\left(\int_{(-\frac{1}{2})} \Gamma(w) \left(\frac{T}{2}\right)^w L(1 + w + \alpha + \beta, f \times \bar{f}) dw\right). \tag{6.9}$$

We now estimate the error term. Letting $w = u + iv$ as above, we have

$$\int_{(-\frac{1}{2})} \Gamma(w) \left(\frac{T}{2}\right)^w L(w + 1 + \alpha + \beta, f \times \bar{f}) dw \ll O\left(T^{-1/2}\right). \tag{6.10}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 6.3. *Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{C}$ with $|\alpha|, |\beta| \ll 1/\log T$. Then, as $T \rightarrow \infty$, we have*

$$\sum_{n \leq T} |a_f(n)|^2 n^{-1+\alpha+\beta} = L(1 - \alpha - \beta, f \times \bar{f}) + T^{\alpha+\beta} \frac{c_f}{\alpha + \beta} + O\left(T^{-1/4+o(1)\log T}\right).$$

We also have, for $|a| \ll 1/\log T$, that

$$\sum_{n \leq T} |a_f(n)|^2 n^{\sigma+a} \ll \begin{cases} T, & \sigma = 0, \\ T^{3/2}, & \sigma = 1/2, \end{cases}$$

as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. The second bound is immediate from routine summation by parts. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \leq T} |a_f(n)|^2 n^{\sigma+a} &= T^{\sigma+a} \sum_{n \leq T} |a_f(n)|^2 - (\sigma + a) \int_1^T u^{\sigma+a-1} \left(\sum_{n \leq u} |a_f(n)|^2\right) du \\ &\ll T^{1+\sigma+a} - (\sigma + a) \int_1^T u^{\sigma+a} du \ll T^{1+\sigma+a}, \end{aligned} \tag{6.11}$$

where here we have made use of **P4**.

As for the first sum, in view of **P3**, an application of Perron’s formula (see **A.1**) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \leq T} |a_f(n)|^2 n^{-1+\alpha+\beta} &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\kappa-i\gamma}^{\kappa+i\gamma} L(1 - \alpha - \beta + w, f \times \bar{f}) T^w \frac{dw}{w} \\ &+ O\left(T^{\Re(\alpha+\beta)} \frac{\log(T)}{\gamma} + \frac{\tau(2T)^2}{T^{1-\Re(\alpha+\beta)}} \left(1 + T \frac{\log \gamma}{\gamma}\right)\right), \end{aligned} \tag{6.12}$$

where $\kappa = \Re(\alpha + \beta) + 1/\log(T)$. Moving the line of integration to $-1/2$, we pick up residues from the simple poles at $w = 0$ and $w = \alpha + \beta$. We have

$$\operatorname{res}_{w=0} L(1 - \alpha - \beta + w, f \times \bar{f}) \frac{T^w}{w} = L(1 - \alpha - \beta, f \times \bar{f}), \tag{6.13}$$

and

$$\operatorname{res}_{w=\alpha+\beta} L(1 - \alpha - \beta + w, f \times \bar{f}) \frac{T^w}{w} = T^{\alpha+\beta} \frac{cf}{\alpha + \beta}. \tag{6.14}$$

We now estimate the error from closing the contour of integration. This is done by applying Lemma 6.1 for $L(s, f \times \bar{f})$ in the critical strip $0 < \sigma < 1$. From this we have, fixing $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$L(\sigma + it, f \times \bar{f}) \ll_{\varepsilon, \sigma} t^{2(1-\sigma)+\varepsilon} \tag{6.15}$$

for $0 < \sigma < 1$. Finally, we know that $L(s + it, f \times \bar{f}) \ll 1$ for $\Re(s) > 1$ since the L -function is given by an absolutely convergent Dirichlet series. We proceed to estimate the error from the contour itself. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-1/2-i\gamma}^{-1/2+i\gamma} L(1 - \alpha - \beta + w, f \times \bar{f}) T^w \frac{dw}{w} &\ll \int_{-\gamma}^{\gamma} v^{1+2\Re(\alpha+\beta)+2\varepsilon} T^{-1/2} \frac{dv}{-\frac{1}{2} + |v|} \\ &\ll \gamma^{1+2\Re(\alpha+\beta)+2\varepsilon} T^{-1/2} \log \gamma, \end{aligned} \tag{6.16}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-1/2\pm i\gamma}^{\kappa\pm i\gamma} L(1 - \alpha - \beta + w, f \times \bar{f}) T^w \frac{dw}{w} &\ll \int_{-1/2\pm i\gamma}^{\pm i\gamma} L(1 - \alpha - \beta + w, f \times \bar{f}) T^w \frac{dw}{w} + \int_{\pm i\gamma}^{\kappa\pm i\gamma} L(1 - \alpha - \beta + w, f \times \bar{f}) T^w \frac{dw}{w} \\ &\ll \gamma^{-1+2\Re(\alpha+\beta)+2\varepsilon} + \kappa T^\kappa \gamma^{-1+2\Re(\alpha+\beta)+2\varepsilon} \\ &\ll \gamma^{-1+2\Re(\alpha+\beta)+2\varepsilon} + \left(\Re(\alpha + \beta) + \frac{1}{\log T} \right) \gamma^{-1+2\Re(\alpha+\beta)+2\varepsilon} T^{\Re(\alpha+\beta)+1/\log T}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.17}$$

Put $\gamma = T^{1/4}$. Then we find that the right-hand side of (6.16) is

$$\ll T^{-1/4+\Re(\alpha+\beta)/2+\varepsilon/2} \log T \ll T^{-1/4+\varepsilon/2} \log T \tag{6.18}$$

and that the right-hand side of (6.17) is

$$\ll T^{-1/4+\Re(\alpha+\beta)/2+\varepsilon/2} + \left(\Re(\alpha + \beta) + \frac{1}{\log T} \right) T^{-1/4+3\Re(\alpha+\beta)/2+1/\log T+\varepsilon/2} \ll T^{-1/4+\varepsilon/2}. \tag{6.19}$$

Finally, we estimate the error term in (6.12). We have

$$\begin{aligned} T^{\Re(\alpha+\beta)} \frac{\log(T)}{\gamma} + \frac{\tau(2T)^2}{T^{1-\Re(\alpha+\beta)}} \left(1 + T \frac{\log \gamma}{\gamma} \right) &\ll T^{-1/4+\Re(\alpha+\beta)} \log T + \frac{(2T)^{o(1)}}{T} \left(1 + T^{1-1/4} \log T \right) \\ &\ll \log T \left(T^{-1/4+\Re(\alpha+\beta)} + T^{-1/4+o(1)} \right) \\ &\ll T^{-1/4+o(1)} \log T. \end{aligned} \tag{6.20}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 6.4. *Let $a \in \mathbf{C}$ with $|a| \ll 1/\log T$ and $X \sim T$. Then*

$$\sum_{n \leq X} |a_f(n)|^2 n^{-3/4+a} e^{-n/X} \ll X^{1/4}. \tag{6.21}$$

Proof. We use Property **P4** to write

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \leq X} |a_f(n)|^2 n^{-3/4+a} e^{-n/X} &\ll \left(\sum_{n \leq X} |a_f(n)|^2 \right) X^{-3/4} e^{-1} + \int_1^X \left(\sum_{n \leq u} |a_f(u)|^2 \right) u^{-7/4} e^{-u/X} du \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{X} \int_1^X \left(\sum_{n \leq u} |a_f(u)|^2 \right) u^{-3/4} e^{-u/X} du \\ &\ll X^{1/4} + \int_1^X u^{-3/4} e^{-u/X} du + \frac{1}{X} \int_1^X u^{1/4} e^{-u/X} du. \end{aligned} \tag{6.22}$$

Changing variables twice yields

$$\int_1^X u^{-3/4} e^{-u/X} du = X^{1/4} \int_{1/X}^1 u^{-3/4} e^{-u} du = X^{1/4} \int_1^X u^{-5/4} e^{-1/u} du \ll X^{1/4}, \tag{6.23}$$

and, similarly, $\int_1^X u^{1/4} e^{-u/X} du \ll X^{5/4}$. \square

Lemma 6.5 (*Stirling estimate*). *Let $L(s, f)$ be of degree m , $L_1, L_2 \in \{L, \bar{L}\}$, choose $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{C}$, and take notation as in (4.10). As $t \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$\Phi_{L_1}(\tfrac{1}{2} + \alpha + it) \Phi_{L_2}(\tfrac{1}{2} + \beta - it) = Q^{-2(\alpha+\beta)} \left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^{-m(\alpha+\beta)} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)\right) \tag{6.24}$$

and, for some fixed complex number a , there exists a complex number A independent of t (though dependent on a) such that, as $t \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\Phi_L(a - it) = A Q^{1-2(a-it)} e^{-im t} \left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^{m(1/2-a+it)} (1 + O(t^{-1})) \ll t^{m(1/2-a)}. \tag{6.25}$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_L(s) &= \frac{\overline{L_\infty}(1-s, f)}{L_\infty(s, f)} = Q^{1-2s} \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(1-s) + \overline{\mu}_j)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}s + \mu_j)} \\ &= Q^{1-2s} \pi^{-d} \prod_{j=1}^d \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(1-s) + \overline{\mu}_j) \Gamma\left(1 - \frac{s}{2} - \mu_j\right) \sin\left(\pi\left(\frac{s}{2} + \mu_j\right)\right). \end{aligned} \tag{6.26}$$

Let $a, b \in \mathbf{C}$ and $L_1, L_2 = L$ (the other case is established in the same way). Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\Phi_L(a + it) \Phi_L(b - it) \\ &= \frac{\Phi_L(b - it)}{\Phi_{\bar{L}}(1 - a - it)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= Q^{2(1-a-b)} \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{b}{2} + \frac{it}{2} + \bar{\mu}_j\right) \Gamma\left(1 - \frac{b}{2} + \frac{it}{2} - \mu_j\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{a}{2} + \frac{it}{2} + \mu_j\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{a}{2} + \frac{it}{2} - \bar{\mu}_j\right)} \frac{e^{i\pi\left(\frac{b-it}{2} + \mu_j\right)} - e^{-i\pi\left(\frac{b-it}{2} + \mu_j\right)}}{e^{i\pi\left(\frac{1-a-it}{2} + \bar{\mu}_j\right)} - e^{-i\pi\left(\frac{1-a-it}{2} + \bar{\mu}_j\right)}} \\
 &= Q^{2(1-a-b)} \prod_{j=1}^m \left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^{1-a-b+2(-\mu_j+\bar{\mu}_j)} (1 + O(t^{-1})). \tag{6.27}
 \end{aligned}$$

Recalling that $\sum_j \Im(\mu_j) = 0$ (by assumption) completes the first part of the proof.

The bound $\Phi_L(a - it) \ll t^{m(1/2-a)}$ follows in exactly the same way. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Phi_L(a - it) &= Q^{1-2(a-it)} \pi^{-m} \prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(1 - a + it) + \bar{\mu}_j\right) \Gamma\left(1 - \frac{a - it}{2} - \mu_j\right) \sin\left(\pi\left(\frac{a - it}{2} + \mu_j\right)\right) \\
 &= Q^{1-2(a-it)} \pi^{-m} \prod_{j=1}^m \left(\frac{it}{2}\right)^{1/2-a+it-2\Im(\mu_j)} \exp\left(-\frac{3}{2} + a - it + 2\Im(\mu_j)\right) A_1 e^{\pi t/2} (1 + O(t^{-1})) \\
 &= A Q^{1-2(a-it)} e^{-im t} \left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^{m(1/2-a+it)} (1 + O(t^{-1})), \tag{6.28}
 \end{aligned}$$

as desired. \square

Lemma 6.6. *Let $\{a_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$ be two sequences of complex numbers. For any real numbers T and H , we have*

$$\int_T^{T+H} \left| \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n n^{it} \right|^2 dt = H \sum_{n \geq 1} |a_n|^2 + O\left(\sum_{n \geq 1} n |a_n|^2\right) \tag{6.29}$$

and

$$\int_T^{T+H} \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} a_n n^{-it}\right) \overline{\left(\sum_{n \geq 1} b_n n^{-it}\right)} dt = H \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n \bar{b}_n + O\left(\left(\sum_{n \geq 1} n |a_n|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} n |b_n|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right). \tag{6.30}$$

Proof. This is a generalized form of Montgomery and Vaughan’s large sieve proved in [58, Lemma 1]. \square

Lemma 6.7. *Let $\{a_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$ be sequences of complex numbers. Let T_1 and T_2 be positive real numbers and $g(t)$ be a real-valued function that is continuously differentiable on the interval $[T_1, T_2]$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_{T_1}^{T_2} g(t) \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} a_n n^{-it}\right) \overline{\left(\sum_{n \geq 1} b_n n^{it}\right)} dt = \\
 &= \left(\int_{T_1}^{T_2} g(t) dt\right) \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n b_n + O\left(\left[|g(T_2)| + \int_{T_1}^{T_2} |g'(t)| dt\right] \left[\sum_{n \geq 1} n |a_n|^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\sum_{n \geq 1} n |b_n|^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\right). \tag{6.31}
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. This is proved in [41, Lemma 4.1]. \square

7. Proof of Theorem 1.6

In this section we prove Theorem 1.6. We first construct an approximate functional equation for $L(s + \alpha, f)L(1 - s + \beta, \bar{f})$ using a method of Ramachandra [51, Theorem 2].

Lemma 7.1. *Let $\alpha \in \mathbf{C}$, $s = 1/2 + it$, and $T \geq 2$. Then, for $X = T/(2\pi)$ and $T \leq t \leq 2T$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
 L(s + \alpha, f) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^{s+\alpha}} e^{-n/X} + \epsilon_f \Phi_f(s + \alpha) \sum_{n \leq X} \frac{a_{\bar{f}}(n)}{n^{1-s-\alpha}} \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-3/4-i\infty}^{-3/4+i\infty} \epsilon_f \Phi_f(s + \alpha + w) \left(\sum_{n > X} \frac{a_{\bar{f}}(n)}{n^{1-w-s-\alpha}} \right) \Gamma(w) X^w \, dw \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{1/2-i\infty}^{1/2+i\infty} \epsilon_f \Phi_f(s + \alpha + w) \left(\sum_{n \leq X} \frac{a_{\bar{f}}(n)}{n^{1-w-s-\alpha}} \right) \Gamma(w) X^w \, dw. \tag{7.1}
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We first use the Mellin identity

$$e^{-t} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{2-i\infty}^{2+i\infty} \Gamma(w) t^{-w} \, dw, \tag{7.2}$$

which holds for $t \geq 0$, to write

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^{s+\alpha}} e^{-n/X} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{2-i\infty}^{2+i\infty} L(s + \alpha + w, f) \Gamma(w) X^w \, dw. \tag{7.3}$$

Here the interchanging of the summation and integral is justified by the absolute convergence of the Dirichlet series. On the other hand, by shifting the line of integration from $\Re(w) = 2$ to $\Re(w) = -3/4$, we find

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^{s+\alpha}} e^{-n/X} = L(s + \alpha, f) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-3/4-i\infty}^{-3/4+i\infty} L(s + \alpha + w, f) \Gamma(w) T^w \, dw, \tag{7.4}$$

where $L(s + \alpha, f)$ is the residue of the simple pole of the integrand of the right-hand side of (7.3) at $w = 0$. By the functional equation for $L(s, f)$ and the absolute convergence of the Dirichlet series of $L(1 - s, \bar{f})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 L(s + \alpha + w, f) &= \epsilon_f \Phi_f(s + \alpha + w) L(1 - s - \alpha - w, \bar{f}) \\
 &= \epsilon_f \Phi_f(s + \alpha + w) \sum_{n \leq X} \frac{a_{\bar{f}}(n)}{n^{1-w-s-\alpha}} + \epsilon_f \Phi_f(s + \alpha + w) \sum_{n > X} \frac{a_{\bar{f}}(n)}{n^{1-w-s-\alpha}}. \tag{7.5}
 \end{aligned}$$

Replacing $L(s + \alpha + w, f)$ in (7.4) with the right-hand side of (7.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^{s+\alpha}} e^{-n/X} &= L(s + \alpha, f) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-3/4-i\infty}^{-3/4+i\infty} \epsilon_f \Phi_f(s + \alpha + w) \sum_{n \leq X} \frac{a_{\bar{f}}(n)}{n^{1-w-s-\alpha}} \Gamma(w) T^w \, dw \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-3/4-i\infty}^{-3/4+i\infty} \epsilon_f \Phi_f(s + \alpha + w) \sum_{n > X} \frac{a_{\bar{f}}(n)}{n^{1-w-s-\alpha}} \Gamma(w) T^w \, dw. \tag{7.6}
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we shift the line of integration of the integral involving the Dirichlet series over $n \leq X$ from $\Re(w) = -3/4$ to $\Re(w) = 1/2$. We once again pass over the pole at $w = 0$ and recover the residue

$$\epsilon_f \Phi_f(s + \alpha) \sum_{n \leq X} \frac{a_{\bar{f}}(n)}{n^{1-s-\alpha}}. \tag{7.7}$$

Upon rearranging terms, we deduce the claimed approximate functional equation for $L(s + \alpha, f)$. \square

Applying Lemma 7.1 to $L(1 - s + \beta, \bar{f})$, where $\beta \in \mathbf{C}$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} L(s + \alpha, f)L(1 - s + \beta, \bar{f}) &:= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^{s+\alpha}} e^{-n/X} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{\bar{f}}(n)}{n^{1-s+\beta}} e^{-n/X} \\ &\quad + \Phi_f(s + \alpha)\Phi_{\bar{f}}(1 - s + \beta) \sum_{n \leq X} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^{s-\beta}} \sum_{n \leq X} \frac{a_{\bar{f}}(n)}{n^{1-s-\alpha}} + \sum_{i=1}^{14} J_i \\ &:= S_1 + S_2 + \sum_{i=1}^{14} J_i, \end{aligned} \tag{7.8}$$

say, where the J_i for $1 \leq i \leq 14$ denote the terms that arise as products of the integral components of our mixed functional equation. In the next section, we show that S_1 and S_2 contribute to the main term in Theorem 1.6. The remaining J_i terms are absorbed into the error term. In Section 7.2, we give full details for the estimation of one of the J_i ; the other estimations follow similarly or by an application of Cauchy–Schwartz.

7.1. Main term calculations

Recalling $s = 1/2 + it$, let

$$S_1 := \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} a_f(n) n^{-s-\alpha} e^{-n/X} \right) \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} a_{\bar{f}}(n) n^{-1+s-\beta} e^{-n/X} \right). \tag{7.9}$$

Directly applying Lemma 6.6 yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_T^{2T} S_1 dt &= T \sum_n |a_f(n)|^2 n^{-1-\alpha-\beta} e^{-2n/X} \\ &\quad + O \left(\left(\sum_n |a_f(n)|^2 e^{-2n/X} n^{-2\Re(\alpha)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_n |a_f(n)|^2 e^{-2n/X} n^{-2\Re(\beta)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{7.10}$$

With $X \sim T$, Lemma 6.2 allows us to conclude that

$$\int_T^{2T} S_1 dt = T \left(c_f \Gamma(-\alpha - \beta) \left(\frac{X}{2} \right)^{-\alpha-\beta} + L(1 + \alpha + \beta, f \times \bar{f}) \right) + O(T). \tag{7.11}$$

Supposing $|\alpha|, |\beta| \ll 1/\log T$,

$$\int_T^{2T} S_1 dt \ll T \log T. \quad (7.12)$$

We now turn to the second product contributing to the main term in our shifted moment result. Let

$$S_2 := \left(\epsilon_f \Phi_f(s + \alpha) \sum_{n \leq X} a_{\bar{f}}(n) n^{s+\alpha-1} \right) \left(\epsilon_{\bar{f}} \Phi_{\bar{f}}(1 - s + \beta) \sum_{n \leq X} a_f(n) n^{-s+\beta} \right). \quad (7.13)$$

Recalling that $|\epsilon_f| = 1$, we use [Lemma 6.5](#) to break up the integral and write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_T^{2T} S_2 dt &= \int_T^{2T} \Phi_f\left(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha + it\right) \Phi_{\bar{f}}\left(\frac{1}{2} + \beta - it\right) \left(\sum_{n \leq X} a_{\bar{f}}(n) n^{s+\alpha-1} \right) \left(\sum_{n \leq X} a_f(n) n^{-s+\beta} \right) dt \\ &= \int_T^{2T} \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{-2(\alpha+\beta)} \left(\sum_{n \leq X} a_{\bar{f}}(n) n^{s+\alpha-1} \right) \left(\sum_{n \leq X} a_f(n) n^{-s+\beta} \right) dt \\ &\quad + O\left(\int_T^{2T} \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{-2(\alpha+\beta)-1} \left(\sum_{n \leq X} a_{\bar{f}}(n) n^{s+\alpha-1} \right) \left(\sum_{n \leq X} a_f(n) n^{-s+\beta} \right) dt \right). \end{aligned} \quad (7.14)$$

We evaluate the main term using [\[41, Lemma 4.1\]](#). We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_T^{2T} \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{-2(\alpha+\beta)} \left(\sum_{n \leq X} a_{\bar{f}}(n) n^{s+\alpha-1} \right) \left(\sum_{n \leq X} a_f(n) n^{-s+\beta} \right) dt \\ &= \left(\int_T^{2T} \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{-2(\alpha+\beta)} dt \right) \sum_{n \leq X} |a_f(n)|^2 n^{-1+\alpha+\beta} + \\ &\quad + O\left(\left(\left(\frac{T}{\pi}\right)^{-2(\alpha+\beta)} + \int_T^{2T} 2|\alpha + \beta| \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{-2\Re(\alpha+\beta)-1} dt \right) \left(\sum_{n \leq X} |a_f(n)|^2 n^{2\Re(\alpha)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \left(\sum_{n \leq X} |a_f(n)|^2 n^{2\Re(\beta)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (7.15)$$

Since $|\alpha|, |\beta| \ll 1/\log T$, we have

$$\left(\frac{T}{\pi}\right)^{-2(\alpha+\beta)} \ll 1 \quad (7.16)$$

and

$$\int_T^{2T} 2|\alpha + \beta| \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{-2(\alpha+\beta)-1} dt \ll 1. \quad (7.17)$$

Lemma 6.3 contains the estimates that allow us to write (7.15) as

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_T^{2T} \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{-2(\alpha+\beta)} \left(\sum_{n \leq X} a_{\bar{f}}(n)n^{s+\alpha-1}\right) \left(\sum_{n \leq X} a_f(n)n^{-s+\beta}\right) dt \\ &= \left(\int_T^{2T} \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{-2(\alpha+\beta)} dt\right) \left(L(1-\alpha-\beta, f \times \bar{f}) + X^{\alpha+\beta} \frac{c_f}{\alpha+\beta}\right) + O(T) \ll T \log T. \end{aligned} \tag{7.18}$$

7.2. Error term estimates

In this section we estimate a representative error term. The remaining J_i follow from a direct application of Cauchy–Schwartz or from a similar argument. Observe that none of the J_i error terms contribute to the main term, and none dominate the term

$$\mathcal{J} := \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} a_{\bar{f}}(n)n^{-s-\alpha}e^{-n/X}\right) \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)} \epsilon_{\bar{f}} \Phi_{\bar{f}}(1-s+\beta+w) \left(\sum_{n \leq X} a_f(n)n^{w-s+\beta}\right) \Gamma(w)X^w dw\right). \tag{7.19}$$

This term does not occur in (7.1), but it is clear that any J_i is of the same order or dominated by \mathcal{J} . The real difficulty in estimating $\int_T^{2T} \mathcal{J} dt$ follows from

$$\int_T^{2T} \int_{\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)} \Phi_{\bar{f}}(1-s+\beta+w)\Gamma(w)X^w dw dt \ll X^{1/4}T^{1/2}, \tag{7.20}$$

which is immediate from the estimate in Section A.2. Refer to Section A.2 for full details.

Returning to $\int_T^{2T} \mathcal{J} dt$, we interchange the sum and the integral. Lemma 6.7 applies only to real-valued functions $g(t)$, so we apply an absolute value, apply Lemma 6.7, and then use Lemma 6.4 and the estimate (7.20) to write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_T^{2T} \mathcal{J} dt &= \int_T^{2T} \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} |a_{\bar{f}}(n)| n^{-1/2-\alpha} e^{-n/X}\right) \left(\sum_{n \leq X} |a_f(n)| n^{-1/4+\beta}\right) \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)} \epsilon_{\bar{f}} \Phi_{\bar{f}}(1-s+\beta+w)\Gamma(w)X^w dw\right) dt \\ &\ll X^{1/4} \int_T^{2T} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)} |\epsilon_{\bar{f}} \Phi_{\bar{f}}(1-s+\beta+w)\Gamma(w)X^w| dw dt + O(\mathfrak{h}) = O\left(X^{1/2}T^{1/2} + \mathfrak{h}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{7.21}$$

where, if we put

$$g(t) := \int_{\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)} |\epsilon_{\bar{f}} \Phi_{\bar{f}}(1-s+\beta+w)\Gamma(w)X^w| dw \tag{7.22}$$

then

$$\mathfrak{h} = \left(|g(2T)| + \int_T^{2T} |g'(t)| dt \right) \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} |a_{\bar{f}}(n)|^2 n^{-2\Re(\alpha)} e^{-2n/X} \right) \left(\sum_{n \leq X} |a_f(n)|^2 n^{\frac{1}{2} + 2\Re(\beta)} \right). \tag{7.23}$$

The estimates for the sums are contained in [Lemmas 6.2 and 6.3](#). Utilizing the estimate in [Lemma 6.5](#) to differentiate the computations in [Section A.2](#) under the integral, we obtain

$$\int_T^{2T} |g'(t)| dt \ll X^{\frac{1}{4}} T^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{7.24}$$

Thus, letting $X \sim T$,

$$\mathfrak{h} = X^{3/2} T^{-1/2} \sim T \tag{7.25}$$

and we have proved that

$$\int_T^{2T} \mathcal{J} dt \ll T. \tag{7.26}$$

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by NSF Grants DMS1265673 and DMS1347804 and Williams College. We thank Hung Bui, Steve Gonek, Gergely Harcos, Winston Heap, Micah Milinovich, Jeremy Rouse, Frank Thorne, and Ben Weiss for a number of valuable conversations, and the referee for helpful comments on an earlier version.

Appendix A. Additional lemmata and calculations

In this appendix we state an effective version of Perron’s Formula used in the proof of [Lemma 6.3](#). We also provide the details for bounding $\int_{(\frac{1}{4})} \Phi_{\bar{f}}(1 - s + \beta + w) \Gamma(w) dw$, which is used in [Section 7.2](#).

A.1. An effective Perron formula

Lemma A.1 (*Effective Perron*). *Let $F(s) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s}$ be a Dirichlet series with finite abscissa of absolute convergence σ_a . Suppose that there exists some real number $\alpha \geq 0$ s.t.*

$$(i) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n| n^{-\sigma} \ll (\sigma - \sigma_a)^{-\alpha} \quad (\sigma > \sigma_a),$$

and that B is a non-decreasing function satisfying

$$(ii) \quad |a_n| \leq B(n) \quad (n \geq 1).$$

Then for $X \geq 2$, $\gamma \geq 2$, $\sigma \leq \sigma_a$, $\kappa := \sigma_a - \sigma + 1/\log X$, we have

$$\sum_{n \leq X} \frac{a_n}{n^s} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\kappa - i\gamma}^{\kappa + i\gamma} F(s + w) X^w \frac{dw}{w} + O \left(X^{\sigma_a - \sigma} \frac{(\log X)^\alpha}{\gamma} + \frac{B(2X)}{X^\sigma} \left(1 + X \frac{\log \gamma}{\gamma} \right) \right). \tag{A.1}$$

Proof. [\[57, §II.2.1, Corollary 2.1\]](#). \square

A.2. Controlling $\int_{(\frac{1}{4})} \Phi_{\bar{f}}(1 - s + \beta + w)\Gamma(w) dw$

Recall that $|\beta| \ll 1/\log T$ and $s = 1/2 + it$. In this section we provide the details for the bound

$$\int_{(\frac{1}{4})} \Phi_{\bar{f}}(1 - s + \beta + w)\Gamma(w) dw \ll t^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{A.2}$$

Since

$$\Phi_f(s) = \frac{L_\infty(1 - s, \bar{f})}{L_\infty(s, f)}, \tag{A.3}$$

we have the relation

$$\Phi_f(s) = \frac{1}{\Phi_{\bar{f}}(1 - s)}. \tag{A.4}$$

To ease our application of Stirling’s formula by ensuring that we are always applying it as $t \rightarrow +\infty$, we begin by breaking up the integrals as

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{(\frac{1}{4})} \Phi_{\bar{f}}(1 - s + \beta + w)\Gamma(w) dw \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^t \Phi_{\bar{f}}\left(\frac{3}{4} + \beta + i(v - t)\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4} + iv\right) dv + \int_t^\infty \Phi_{\bar{f}}\left(\frac{3}{4} + \beta + i(v - t)\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4} + iv\right) dv. \end{aligned} \tag{A.5}$$

We consider the first integral, which, changing variables, equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-t}^\infty \Phi_{\bar{f}}\left(\frac{3}{4} + \beta - i(v + t)\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4} - iv\right) dv \\ &= \int_0^\infty \Phi_{\bar{f}}\left(\frac{3}{4} + \beta - iv\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4} - i(v - t)\right) dv \\ &= \int_0^t \Phi_{\bar{f}}\left(\frac{3}{4} + \beta - iv\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4} - i(v - t)\right) dv + \int_t^\infty \Phi_{\bar{f}}\left(\frac{3}{4} + \beta - iv\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4} - i(v - t)\right) dv. \end{aligned} \tag{A.6}$$

We first consider

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \Phi_{\bar{f}}\left(\frac{3}{4} + \beta - iv\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4} - i(v - t)\right) dv \\ & \ll \int_0^t v^{-1/2-2\beta} \Gamma(1/4 + i(t - v)) dv \\ & \ll \int_0^t v^{-1/2-2\beta} \exp\left(\left(-\frac{1}{4} + i(t - v)\right) \log\left(\frac{1}{4} + i(t - v)\right) - \left(\frac{1}{4} + i(t - v)\right)\right) dv \\ & := \mathcal{A}_1, \end{aligned} \tag{A.7}$$

say. For the principal branch, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \exp\left(\left(-\frac{1}{4} + i(t-v)\right) \log\left(\frac{1}{4} + i(t-v)\right) - \left(\frac{1}{4} + i(t-v)\right)\right) \\
 & \ll (it)^{-1/4+i(t-v)} \exp\left(\left(-\frac{1}{4} + i(t-v)\right) \log\left(1 - \frac{i}{4t} - \frac{v}{t}\right) - \frac{1}{4}\right) \\
 & \ll (it)^{-1/4+i(t-v)} \exp\left[\left(-\frac{1}{4} + i(t-v)\right) \left(-\frac{1}{t} \left(\frac{i}{4} + v\right)\right) - \frac{1}{4}\right] \\
 & \ll (it)^{-1/4+i(t-v)} \\
 & \ll t^{-1/4} e^{-\pi(t-v)/2}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{A.8}$$

Since $|\beta| \ll 1/\log T$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{A}_1 & \ll t^{-1/4} \int_0^t v^{-1/2-2\beta} e^{-\pi(t-v)/2} dv \ll t^{-1/4} \int_0^t v^{-1/2} e^{-\pi(t-v)/2} dv \\
 & = 2t^{-1/4} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} F\left(\sqrt{\frac{\pi t}{2}}\right) \\
 & \ll t^{-3/4},
 \end{aligned} \tag{A.9}$$

where F is Dawson's integral, which satisfies $F\left(\sqrt{\pi t/2}\right) \ll t^{-1/2}$. Next, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_t^\infty \Phi_{\bar{f}}\left(\frac{3}{4} + \beta - iv\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4} - i(v-t)\right) dv \\
 & \ll \int_t^\infty \frac{v^{-1/2-2\beta} dv}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4} + i(v-t)\right) e^{\pi(v-t)}} \\
 & \ll \int_t^\infty v^{-1/2-2\beta} (v-t)^{-1/4} e^{-\pi(v-t)/2} dv \\
 & = \int_0^\infty (v+t)^{-1/2-2\beta} v^{-1/4} e^{-\pi v/2} dv \\
 & \ll t^{-1/2} \int_0^\infty v^{-1/4} e^{-\pi v/2} dv \\
 & \ll t^{-1/2}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{A.10}$$

Finally, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_t^\infty \Phi_{\bar{f}}\left(\frac{3}{4} + \beta + i(v-t)\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4} + iv\right) dv \\
 & = \int_1^\infty \frac{\Gamma(1/4 + i(v+t-1)) dv}{\Phi_f\left(\frac{1}{4} - \beta - i(v-1)\right)}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\ll \int_1^{\infty} \frac{(i(v+t-1))^{-1/4+i(v+t-1)} dv}{v^{1-2(1/4-\beta+i)}} \\
&\ll \int_1^{\infty} (v+t-1)^{-1/4} v^{-1/2-2\beta} e^{-\pi(v+t-1)/2} dv \\
&\ll t^{-1/4} \int_1^{\infty} v^{-1/2} e^{-\pi(v+t)/2} dv \\
&= t^{-1/4} e^{-\pi(1+t)/2} \left[\sqrt{2} e^{\pi/2} \operatorname{erfc} \left(\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \right) \right] \\
&\ll t^{-1/4} e^{-\pi t/2}, \tag{A.11}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\operatorname{erfc}(z)$ is the complementary error function.

References

- [1] M. Avdispahić, L. Smajlović, On the Selberg orthogonality for automorphic L -functions, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* 94 (2) (2010) 147–154, MR 2592761 (2011b:11068).
- [2] D. Bernard, Modular case of Levinson’s theorem, preprint available on the arXiv at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1404.6432>, 2014.
- [3] V. Blomer, G. Harcos, Hybrid bounds for twisted L -functions, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 621 (2008) 53–79.
- [4] J. Bredberg, Large gaps between consecutive zeros, on the critical line, of the Riemann zeta-function, preprint available on the arXiv at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1101.3197>, 2011.
- [5] H.M. Bui, Large gaps between consecutive zeros of the Riemann zeta-function, *J. Number Theory* 131 (1) (2011) 67–95, MR 2729210 (2011m:11177).
- [6] H.M. Bui, Large gaps between consecutive zeros of the Riemann zeta-function. II, *Acta Arith.* 165 (2014) 101–122.
- [7] H.M. Bui, Large gaps between consecutive zeros of the Riemann zeta-function. III, preprint, 2014.
- [8] H.M. Bui, W. Heap, C.L. Turnage-Butterbaugh, Gaps between zeros of Dedekind zeta-functions of quadratic number fields. II, preprint available on the arXiv at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1410.3888>, 2014.
- [9] H.M. Bui, M.B. Milinovich, N.C. Ng, A note on the gaps between consecutive zeros of the Riemann zeta-function, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 138 (12) (2010) 4167–4175, MR 2680043 (2011j:11163).
- [10] D. Bump, Spectral theory and the trace formula, in: J. Bernstein, S. Gelbart (Eds.), *An Introduction to the Langlands Program*, Birkhäuser, 2003.
- [11] E. Carneiro, V. Chandee, F. Littmann, M.B. Milinovich, Hilbert spaces and the pair correlation of zeros of the Riemann zeta-function, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* (2014), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/crelle-2014-0078>.
- [12] J.B. Conrey, D.W. Farmer, J.P. Keating, M.O. Rubinstein, N.C. Snaith, Integral moments of L -functions, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* 91 (1) (2005) 33–104.
- [13] J.B. Conrey, A. Ghosh, On the Selberg class of Dirichlet series: small degrees, *Duke Math. J.* 72 (1993) 673–693.
- [14] J.B. Conrey, A. Ghosh, D. Goldston, S.M. Gonek, D.R. Heath-Brown, On the distribution of gaps between zeros of the zeta-function, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)* 36 (141) (1985) 43–51, MR 780348 (86j:11083).
- [15] J.B. Conrey, A. Ghosh, S.M. Gonek, A note on gaps between zeros of the zeta function, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* 16 (4) (1984) 421–424, MR 749453 (86i:11048).
- [16] J.B. Conrey, A. Ghosh, S.M. Gonek, Large gaps between zeros of the zeta-function, *Mathematika* 33 (2) (1986) 212–238 (1987), MR 882495 (88g:11057).
- [17] D.W. Farmer, Mean value of Dirichlet series associated with holomorphic cusp forms, *J. Number Theory* 49 (2) (1994) 209–245, MR 1305090 (95k:11074).
- [18] S. Feng, X. Wu, On gaps between zeros of the Riemann zeta-function, *J. Number Theory* 132 (7) (2012) 1385–1397, MR 2903162.
- [19] R. Godement, H. Jacquet, *Zeta Functions of Simple Algebras*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 260, Springer, Berlin, 1972.
- [20] D.A. Goldston, On the function $S(T)$ in the theory of the Riemann zeta-function, *J. Number Theory* 27 (2) (1987) 149–177.
- [21] D.A. Goldston, S.M. Gonek, A.E. Özlük, C. Snyder, On the pair correlation of zeros of the Riemann zeta-function, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (3) 80 (1) (2000) 31–49, MR 1719184 (2000k:11100).
- [22] A. Good, Approximative funktionalgleichungen und mittelwertsätze für dirichletreihen, die spitzenformen assoziiert sind, *Comment. Math. Helv.* 50 (1) (1975) 327–361 (German).
- [23] A. Good, The square mean of Dirichlet series associated with cusp forms, *Mathematika* 29 (1982) 278–295.
- [24] R.R. Hall, The behaviour of the Riemann zeta-function on the critical line, *Mathematika* 46 (2) (1999) 281–313, MR 1832621 (2002d:11108).
- [25] R.R. Hall, A new unconditional result about large spaces between zeta zeros, *Mathematika* 52 (1–2) (2005) 101–113 (2006), MR 2261847 (2007g:11104).
- [26] G. Harcos, New bounds for automorphic L -functions, Ph.D. thesis, Princeton University, 2003.

- [27] G.H. Hardy, J.E. Littlewood, G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1988.
- [28] H. Iwaniec, *Spectral Methods of Automorphic Forms*, Grad. Stud. Math., vol. 53, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1995.
- [29] H. Iwaniec, E. Kowalski, *Analytic Number Theory*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., vol. 53, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.
- [30] H. Iwaniec, P. Sarnak, Perspectives on the analytic theory of L -functions, in: N. Alon, J. Bourgain, A. Connes, M. Gromov, V. Milman (Eds.), *Visions in Mathematics*, in: Modern Birkhäuser Classics, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2010, pp. 705–741 (English).
- [31] H. Jacquet, J.A. Shalika, On Euler products and the classification of automorphic representations I, *Amer. J. Math.* 103 (3) (1981) 499–558 (English).
- [32] M. Jutila, Y. Motohashi, Uniform bound for Hecke L -functions, *Acta Math.* 195 (2005) 61–115.
- [33] J. Kaczorowski, A. Perelli, The Selberg class: a survey, in: Kálmán Györy, Henryk Iwaniec, Jerzy Urbanowicz (Eds.), *Number Theory in Progress*, Vol. 2, Zakopane-Kościelisko, 1997, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1999, pp. 953–992.
- [34] N.M. Katz, P. Sarnak, *Random Matrices, Frobenius Eigenvalues, and Monodromy*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.
- [35] N.M. Katz, P. Sarnak, Zeros of zeta functions and symmetry, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 36 (1999) 1–26.
- [36] J. Liu, Y. Wang, Y. Ye, A proof of Selberg’s orthogonality for automorphic L -functions, *Manuscripta Math.* 118 (2) (2005) 135–149, MR 2177681 (2006j:11072).
- [37] J. Liu, Y. Ye, Selberg’s orthogonality conjecture for automorphic L -functions, *Amer. J. Math.* 127 (4) (2005) 837–849, MR 2154372 (2006d:11047).
- [38] J. Liu, Y. Ye, Zeros of automorphic L -functions and noncyclic base change, in: *Number Theory*, in: Dev. Math., vol. 15, Springer, New York, 2006, pp. 119–152, MR 2213833 (2007f:11056).
- [39] T. Meurman, On the order of the Maass L -function on the critical line, in: K. Györy, G. Halász (Eds.), *Number Theory*, Vol. I, Budapest, 1987, in: Colloq. Math. Soc. Janos Bolyai, vol. 51, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1990, pp. 325–354.
- [40] P. Michel, A. Venkatesh, The subconvexity problem for GL_2 , *Publ. Math IHES* 111 (1) (2010) 171–271 (English).
- [41] M.B. Milinovich, N. Ng, Lower bounds for moments of $\zeta'(p)$, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 2014 (12) (2014) 3190–3216.
- [42] M.B. Milinovich, N. Ng, Simple zeros of modular L -functions, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (3) 109 (6) (2014) 1465–1506.
- [43] C. Moeglin, J.-L. Waldspurger, Pôles des fonctions L de paires pour $GL(n)$, app. to Le spectre résiduel de $GL(n)$, *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér.* 22 (4) (1989) 605–674 (French).
- [44] H.L. Montgomery, The pair correlation of zeros of the zeta function, in: *Analytic Number Theory*, St. Louis Univ., St. Louis, Mo., 1972, in: Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. XXIV, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1973, pp. 181–193, MR 0337821 (49 #2590).
- [45] H.L. Montgomery, The pair correlation of zeros of the zeta function, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.* 24 (1973) 181–193.
- [46] H.L. Montgomery, A.M. Odlyzko, Gaps between zeros of the zeta function, in: *Topics in Classical Number Theory*, Vols. I, II, Budapest, 1981, in: Colloq. Math. Soc. Janos Bolyai, vol. 34, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984, pp. 1079–1106, MR 781177 (86e:11072).
- [47] J. Mueller, On the difference between consecutive zeros of the Riemann zeta function, *J. Number Theory* 14 (3) (1982) 327–331, MR 660377 (83k:10074).
- [48] M.L. Murty, Selberg’s conjectures and Artin L -functions, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 31 (1994) 1–14.
- [49] M.R. Murty, A. Perelli, The pair correlation of zeros of functions in the Selberg class, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 1999 (10) (1999) 531–545.
- [50] N. Ng, Large gaps between the zeros of the Riemann zeta function, *J. Number Theory* 128 (3) (2008) 509–556, MR 2389854 (2008m:11176).
- [51] K. Ramachandra, Some remarks on a theorem of Montgomery and Vaughan, *J. Number Theory* 11 (3) (1979) 465–471.
- [52] M. Rubinstein, Low-lying zeros of L -functions and random matrix theory, *Duke Math. J.* 109 (1) (2001) 147–181.
- [53] Z. Rudnick, P. Sarnak, Zeros of principal L -functions and random matrix theory, *Duke Math. J.* 81 (2) (1996) 269–322.
- [54] P. Sarnak, F. Shahidi, *Automorphic Forms and Applications*, IAS/Park City Math. Ser., American Mathematical Soc., 2007.
- [55] A. Selberg, Old and new conjectures and results about a class of Dirichlet series, in: *Collected Papers*, Vol. II, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991, pp. 47–63, as found in *Proceedings of the Amalfi Conference on Analytic Number Theory* (Maiori, 1989), E. Bombieri, et al. (Eds.), Università di Salerno, Salerno, 1992, pp. 367–385.
- [56] K. Soundararajan, On the distribution of gaps between zeros of the Riemann zeta-function, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser.* (2) 47 (187) (1996) 383–387, MR 1412563 (97i:11097).
- [57] G. Tenenbaum, *Introduction to Analytic and Probabilistic Number Theory*, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [58] K.M. Tsang, Some Ω -theorems for the Riemann zeta-function, *Acta Arith.* 46 (1985) 369–395.
- [59] C.L. Turnage-Butterbaugh, Gaps between zeros of Dedekind zeta-functions of quadratic number fields, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 418 (1) (2014) 100–107.
- [60] Y. Yashiro, Approximate functional equation and mean value formula for the derivatives of L -functions attached to cusp forms, preprint available on the arXiv at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1402.3800>, 2014.