FNEP #969152, VOL 20, ISS 4

## **Book Reviews**

## QUERY SHEET

This page lists questions we have about your paper. The numbers displayed at left can be found in the text of the paper for reference. In addition, please review your paper as a whole for correctness.

There are no Editor Queries for this paper.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS LISTING

The table of contents for the journal will list your paper exactly as it appears below:

**Book Reviews** 

1

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

2.7

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

Nationalism and Ethnic Politics, 20:1-3, 2014 Copyright © Taylor & Francis Group, LLC ISSN: 1353-7113 print / 1557-2986 online DOI: 10.1080/13537113.2014.969152



## **Book Reviews**

- James Hampshire, *The Politics of Migration*. Cambridge, UK and Malden, MA:
- Polity Press, 2013. Pp. 224. \$69.95 (hbk); \$24.95 (pbk); ISBNs 0745638996
- and 978-0-7456-3899-7.
- In 2013, the United States welcomed nearly a million lawful permanent res-5
- idents. Simultaneously, Homeland Security detained tens of thousands of 6
- migrants—including thousands of unaccompanied minors fleeing violence 7
- in Central America—and an acrimonious Congress failed to pass compre-
- hensive immigration reform. The contradictory nature of US immigration 9 policy is typical: Liberal states around the world exhibit a similar tension 10
- between openness and closure in their migration policies. What causes this paradoxical combination of inclusion and exclusion? 12

James Hampshire's The Politics of Migration is an ambitious synthesis of what political science tells us about how the liberal state influences and regulates migration through policy. He argues that the response of liberal states to migration can be explained by four conflicting facets: representative democracy, nationhood, constitutionalism, and capitalism. Nationalism and representative democracy generally encourage restrictive immigration policies, whereas constitutionalism and capitalism usually lead to more expansive policies.

Chapter 2 addresses the politics of closure. In the last century, liberal states carried out explicitly racist policies that treated immigrants as threats to national identity. Though explicitly racist language has largely disappeared from mainstream liberal politics, far-right political movements have seized on the language of culture to a similar effect. Since opposition to immigration is largely identity based rather than driven by economic motives, it is hard to change. Surveys suggest that the public tends to be "moderately anti-immigrant" (p. 21), so nationalism affects democratic politics. Politicians respond to public opinion, often in a political environment partly shaped by far-right European parties with explicitly anti-immigrant platforms.

Why, then, have liberal states not reduced the number of immigrants? In Chapter 3 on the politics of openness, Hampshire answers that nationalism and representative democracy are counterbalanced by capitalism and constitutionalism. In a competitive, global economic environment, politicians and administrators find that their own interests are closely aligned with the interests of multinational corporations. Governments treat the global competition

Book Reviews

for highly skilled migrants as a key element in promoting growth. They also support provisions for low-skilled labor migrants to meet the demand either for temporary migrants or for officially condemned but in fact tolerated irregular migrants to fill low-status, low-wage jobs.

Legal and moral commitments to freedom and equality also constrain liberal states' migration policies. Liberal states can no longer use explicit racial or ethnic criteria to exclude migrants. National laws permit significant family migration and national and international laws create obligations to asylum-seekers. Finally, the measures that liberal states can take to exclude and remove irregular migrants are limited by rights enforced by courts and lobbied for by nongovernmental organizations (NGOs). These four facets help explain why migration policies are so often fragmented, contradictory, and highly contested.

After laying out his framework in the first three chapters, Hampshire uses it to analyze migration governance in the liberal state (Chapter 4), multilateral and international migration governance (Chapter 5), liberal citizenship (Chapter 6), and integration policy (Chapter 7). Hampshire judiciously canvasses the literature, but he does not hesitate to take positions on contentious issues and to suggest future avenues of research. Hampshire's analyses are usually compelling, but, on occasion, he is insufficiently charitable to positions in the literature that he opposes and too brief in making his case.

One instance of Hampshire's lack of charity occurs in the account of why citizenship matters (pp. 110–16). His account rests on a rebuttal of the claim that postnational membership coupled with universal human rights is replacing territorially based citizenship. Hampshire's enumeration of ways that citizenship still matters for many rights refutes the radical claim that "citizenship has become irrelevant for rights" (p. 112), but this claim has been unambiguously rejected in the last decade of work by transnational scholars such as Thomas Faist, Nina Glick Schiller, and Peggy Levitt. Hampshire is correct that the state matters for migration studies, but transnational scholars do not deny this; rather, they contend that *how* it matters cannot be fully understood by approaches like Hampshire's that take the state as the only unit of analysis.

This is partly a result of Hampshire's disciplinary blinders. *The Politics of Migration* exemplifies James Hollifield's call for political scientists to bring the state back into migration studies (p. 2). Given this goal, it offers an excellent overview of the state of the art in the political science of migration by explaining migration policy as a function of domestic politics and—to a much more limited extent—by multilateral negotiation between states. Nonetheless, it also shows the limitations of work confined by the discipline of political science and the need for an interdisciplinary approach. For example, Hampshire includes capitalism as one of his four facets that explain migration policy but provides little sustained discussion of how it comes to affect policy. One reason for this is that an investigation of capitalism would

FNEP\_A\_969152 702xml October 28, 2014 15:22

Book Reviews 3

31	necessarily require going beyond the state to consider how its policies are
32	buffeted by transnational, multinational, and global institutions.
33	These criticisms should not detract from Hampshire's accomplishment
34	The Politics of Immigration is one of the best books available on the pol-
35	itics of migration and is the first place to turn for anyone looking for ar
36	introduction to the political science of migration.

87 Alex Sager 88 Portland State University