

History of Rationalities



History of Rationalities

Ways of Thinking from Vico to Hacking and Beyond

Luca Sciortino

oəlgrəve nacmillan

palgrave macmillan

History of Rationalities

Luca Sciortino

History of Rationalities

Ways of Thinking from Vico to Hacking and Beyond



Luca Sciortino eCampus University Novedrate, Italy

ISBN 978-3-031-24003-4 ISBN 978-3-031-24004-1 (eBook) https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-24004-1

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2023

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are solely and exclusively licensed by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use. The publisher, the authors, and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Palgrave Macmillan imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Switzerland AG.

The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland



Preface

This is a book about the idea that in human history it is possible to recognize distinct ways of thinking. It is my contention that, although this idea is dense with important philosophical implications and constitutes a significant ingredient of the philosophies of several noteworthy thinkers, it has not always received the attention it deserves. To think means to direct one's mind towards someone or something in order to accomplish certain tasks, the most interesting of which will, for us, be those concerning the acquisition of knowledge. Thus, before delving deeper into the concept, we can say that a 'way of thinking' is a manner of using one's mind actively for finding out, understanding the world, acquiring knowledge, solving problems, evaluating issues, deciding between various options, analysing ideas or reflecting on one's experience. These are examples of mental actions that can be performed in different ways. For instance, one can understand the world from a certain perspective, make a choice by appealing to a particular value or acquire knowledge by relying on certain methods and preconceptions rather than others.

For the time being, I will consider the characterization above as a working definition of the concept of 'ways of thinking'. I will modify and refine it in the next chapter with additional commentary and nuances, once I have provided the relevant philosophical context for the discussion of why the concept of 'ways of thinking' commands our attention. As to the label I am using, by no means is 'ways of thinking' the only possible choice: 'forms of thought', 'modes of thinking', 'ways of knowing', 'ways of

reasoning', 'mental attitudes' and 'worldviews' are only some of the phrases that are used in the same sense and which would have been just as apt.

The concept of 'ways of thinking' has played a crucial role in philosophy at least since the Enlightenment. It appears in different versions, as if they were species of the same genus. In other words, implicitly or not, philosophers have proposed different notions of the concept of 'ways of thinking'. Thinkers such as Giambattista Vico (1668-1744) or Auguste Comte (1798–1857), who have combined the study of history with that of philosophy in an attempt to understand human knowledge, had argued that human beings have thought differently in different historical contexts. However, it was with the emergence of a French tradition in philosophy of science called historical epistemology, which studies the changes and the evolution of epistemological concepts, that the concept of 'ways of thinking' began to acquire considerable weight. Within that tradition, the philosopher Lucien Lévy-Bruhl (1857-1939) was the first to reject the idea of a timeless and universal way of thinking. Based on anthropological studies, he suggested that certain traditional non-literate societies thought in a different way from modern people. His notion of 'primitive mentality', the way of thinking of primitive societies, was of help to other historical epistemologists who reflected on the role in the history of science of concepts such as objectivity, rationality, truth and many others. After him, philosophers and historians of science such as Hélène Metzger Bachelard (1884–1962), Ludwik (1889–1944), Gaston (1896-1961), Alexandre Koyré (1892-1964) and Michel Foucault (1926-1984) put forward, implicitly or not, their own notions of the concept of 'ways of thinking'. Their ideas were then crucial for the development of other notions, such as that of Thomas Kuhn's 'paradigm' and that of Ian Hacking's 'style of reasoning'. In the 1980s, the latter put forward the idea that there exist distinct styles of reasoning which can be viewed as broad frameworks that govern a certain way of investigating the world. Styles of reasoning have emerged and stabilized at different points within the history of the sciences and involve new types of evidence, questions and methods of inquiry.

This book is motivated by the following thoughts. In its different notions, the concept of 'ways of thinking' is central to historical epistemology. Therefore, a careful analysis of it could provide a new perspective

for studying this tradition of thought. Furthermore, a comparative analysis of the different notions of 'ways of thinking' could help us to highlight their merits and defects for the study of the development of human knowledge; and going back in time to the uses of the concept of 'ways of thinking' could allow us to understand more deeply notions that have been put forward more recently, especially when thinking about scientific research. For example, Hacking's project of styles of reasoning can be considered the latest sophisticated attempt to reconstruct the different ways of finding out that can be recognized in the history of science. Among the other things, his original thesis has never been assessed and developed into a comprehensive theory.

Furthermore, the concept of 'ways of thinking' is at the root of two crucial philosophical problems that have always attracted the attention of philosophers: the problem of relativism and the problem of contingency. As for the first problem, one may ask whether or not there are transhistorical and independent criteria for justifying claims made using different ways of thinking. This is an issue of incommensurability between different frameworks: the claim that there might not be common standards of evaluation external to distinct ways of thinking. As for the second problem, in literature it is often asked whether a particular field of science could have taken a different route from the actual one or whether the achievement of certain scientific result has been contingent. However, the achievement of certain scientific results might depend on the emergence of a certain way of thinking. It might therefore be useful to include the concept of 'ways of thinking' in the discussions above regarding the contingency issue.

This book addresses the above problems and, in order to provide a taxonomy of the concept of 'ways of thinking', I shall in the first part discuss what its different notions have in common and how they differ. Since, as I shall show, these notions can be viewed as transient replacements of Kant's a priori, it is possible to say that this book presents and compares different frameworks for the study of how objectivity is possible. I shall also discuss the relativism issue with particular focus on the notion of 'style of reasoning', which I shall develop and present in a more systematic way in the central part of the book. In the final part of the book I shall use Hacking's notion to discuss the contingency issue. The following are some of the questions I shall address: to what extent are the emergence of the styles of reasoning at a certain point of history a contingent circumstance?

Is science bound to converge on a single answer to a given question? Are styles of reasoning long lasting?

To sum up, the concept of 'ways of thinking' is an absolutely fundamental element of most of the philosophies that fall into a tradition of research called 'historical epistemology'. Of this concept. philosophers and historians of science have presented different notions. This book presents, compares and contrasts them. It then focuses on Hacking's notion of style of reasoning in order to assess and develop it into a more systematic theory of scientific thought. Finally, this book argues that Hacking's notion of 'style of reasoning' implies epistemic relativism and discusses its implications for the contingency issue. In the first chapter, I shall outline a history of the concept of 'ways of thinking'. At the end of this presentation, I will better explain the objectives of the book and describe the content of its chapters in more detail.

Most of the reflections that make up this book are the fruit of my doctoral studies at the Department of Philosophy at The Open University and my experience as Research Fellow at the School of Philosophy, Religion and History of Science at the University of Leeds. In those years my work was focused on Hacking's notion of 'style of reasoning'. In the following years, as my research on historical epistemology continued, my project became larger until it became a study on the concept of 'ways of thinking' in historical epistemology. Consequently, this book can be read on three levels: first, as an introduction to the different notions of way of thinking in historical epistemology; second, as an attempt to develop, correct and present in a more systematic way Hacking's notion of 'style of reasoning'; third, as a case-study for a general view on the relativism issue in historical epistemology. There are many people to whom I owe gratitude and appreciation. First of all, I would like to thank Professor Cristina Chimisso for the advice she has provided throughout this project and for all I have learned from her writings. I would also like to thank those members of staff at the Open University, Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences (UK), who have read and provided feedback on the second part of this work when it was still in its infancy, in particular my former second supervisor Professor Sophie Grace Chappell. I am grateful to Professor Gregory Radick for his support and the opportunity to perform research as Visiting Research Fellow in the School of Philosophy, Religion and History of Science, University of Leeds. I should also mention that I really appreciate

the valuable suggestions of an anonymous reviewer which helped me to improve the quality of the manuscript. Furthermore, I want to thank the Senior Editor Philip Getz at Palgrave Macmillan for believing in my project and giving me the time to complete it. Finally, I want to say that writing this book would have been all the more difficult were it not for the support provided by my family and my friends. I am indebted to them for their help.

Novedrate, Italy

Luca Sciortino

Keywords

Ways of thinking • Historicized Kantianism • French epistemology • Historical epistemology • Thomas Kuhn • Ludwik Fleck • Michel Foucault • Ian Hacking • Styles of reasoning • Objectivity • Relativism • Contingency

ABOUT THE BOOK

This book centres on Ian Hacking's proposal that within the history of Western thought a number of distinct 'styles of reasoning' have accumulated since antiquity. The first part of the book places Hacking's proposal within a lineage of thinkers stretching back to Vico who have shared a concern with exploring rationalities, plural. The second part of the book expounds Hacking's proposal more thoroughly and systematically than Hacking himself has done, then examines the implications for debates about relativism and the contingency of knowledge. The idea that human beings have thought, known or found out in totally different ways in the course of history is an absolutely fundamental element of most of the philosophies that fall into a tradition of research called 'historical epistemology'. This is also a book on the concept of 'ways of thinking' and its different notions proposed in philosophy of science. By providing a comparative analysis of these notions, it gives a new perspective for studying historical epistemology. It then focuses on one of them, Hacking's notion of 'style of reasoning', in order to assess and develop it into a more systematic theory of scientific thought. Ultimately, this work can be read on three levels: first, as an introduction to the different notions of the concept of 'ways of thinking' introduced in philosophy of science; second, as an attempt to develop, correct and present in a more systematic way Hacking's notion of 'style of reasoning'; third, as a case-study for a general view on the relativism issue in historical epistemology.

CONTENTS

1	A Brief History of the Concept of 'Ways of Thinking':				
	Introduction and Plan of Work				
	1.1	The Concept of 'Ways of Thinking': Early Notions	1		
	1.2	The Concept of 'Ways of Thinking' from Kant to Hegel and			
		Comte	4		
	1.3	Historicized Kantianism and the Concept of 'Ways of Thinking'	8		
	1.4	Sociology of Knowledge, Artistic Styles and the Concept of			
		'Ways of Thinking'	11		
	1.5	Phenomenology and the Concept of 'Ways of Thinking'	16		
	1.6	Classical Historical Epistemology and the Concept of Ways of			
		Thinking'	24		
	1.7	Modern Approaches of Historical Epistemology and the			
		Concept of 'Ways of Thinking'	30		
	1.8	Main Questions Addressed in This Work	37		
	1.9	Plan of the Work	39		
	Bibli	iography	41		
2		ions of the Concept of 'Ways of Thinking': From			
	Clas	sical Historical Epistemology to Kuhn	47		
	2.1	Introduction	47		
	2.2	Lévy-Bruhl's 'Mentalities'	50		
	2.3	Brunschvicg's 'Ages of Intelligence'	57		
	2.4	Metzger's 'A Priori'	61		
	2.5	Bachelard's 'Eras of Scientific Thought'	65		

xviii CONTENTS

	2.6	Koyré's	'Types of Thinking'	71
	2.7		'Thought Styles'	75
	2.8		ılt's Epistemes'	78
	2.9		bend's 'Styles of Thought'	82
	2.10		r Paradigms'	89
	2.11	Conclu	sions	94
	Bibli	ography		95
3	Not	ions of	the Concept of 'Ways of Thinking': From	
	Hac	king to	Daston and Galison	101
	3.1	Introdi	uction	101
	3.2	The Ro	ots of Hacking's 'Styles Project': The Emergence of	
		Probab	ility as a Study on the Statistical Style of Reasoning	104
	3.3	From C	Crombie's Styles of Thinking to Hacking's 'Styles Project'	112
	3.4	Hackin	ng's 'Styles of Reasoning'	117
		3.4.1	The 'Styles Project'	117
		3.4.2	A List of Styles of Reasoning	118
		3.4.3	The Characterizing Properties of Styles of	
			Reasoning	120
		3.4.4	Doing	122
		3.4.5	The 'Styles Project' as a Study in Cognitive History	123
	3.5	The La	boratory Style of Reasoning	125
			New Evidence and Discontinuity	127
		3.5.2	A New Way of Thinking and Doing	128
		3.5.3	Techniques of Self-vindication	130
		3.5.4	New Candidates for Truth or Falsehood	133
	3.6	Daston	and Galison's 'Epistemic Virtues'	136
	<i>3.7</i>	Reinter	rpreting Styles of Reasoning	139
		3.7.1	Truth and Truthfulness	139
		3.7.2	Styles of Reasoning and Epistemic Virtues as Ways	
			of Telling the Truth	142
	3.8	Conclu	sions	145
	Bibliography			145
4	Taxo	onomy (of the Notions of 'Ways of Thinking'	151
	<i>4.1</i>	4.1 Introduction		
	4.2		acters' of the Notions of the Concept of Ways of	152
		Thinki	ny — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	152

		4.2.1	Techne	152		
		4.2.2	Sociological and Archaeological Perspectives	153		
		4.2.3		156		
		4.2.4	Selection and Exclusion	158		
	4.3	A Com	abined Framework for Studying Objectivity	160		
	4.4		ns in Historical Epistemology: Ways of Thinking and			
		Relati		164		
	4.5	Problems in Historical Epistemology: Ways of Thinking and				
		Contin		173		
	4.6	Styles of Reasoning, Relativism, Contingency				
	4 .7					
	Bibli	iography		179		
5	Dev	eloping	the 'Styles Project': Towards a 'Theory of			
	Styl	Styles of Reasoning'				
	5.1	Introd	uction	183		
	5.2	The 'Algorithmic Style of Reasoning'				
		5.2.1		186		
		5.2.2		188		
		5.2.3	Mathematical Ways of Thinking in Egypt,			
			Babylonia and Greece	189		
		5.2.4	Doing	190		
		5.2.5	The Emergence of the Algorithmic Style of			
			Reasoning	191		
		5.2.6	New Sentences, Evidence	192		
	5.3	The Postulational Style of Reasoning				
		5.3.1	Greek Mathematics	193		
		5.3.2	Postulational Thinking	196		
		5.3.3	Doing	198		
		5.3.4	Techniques of Self-vindication, New Evidence,			
			New Objects	199		
		5.3.5	New Sentences	200		
		5.3.6	Discontinuity	201		
	5.4	The H	istorico-genetic Style of Reasoning	202		
		5.4.1	Historico-genetic Thinking Versus Laboratory			
			Thinking	203		
		5.4.2	Doing	206		
		5.4.3	Discontinuity	208		

		5.4.4	A New Kind of Evidence	210
		5.4.5	Techniques of Self-vindication	211
		5.4.6	New Sentences	212
	5.5	The Ta	xonomic Style of Reasoning	214
		5.5.1	Taxonomic Thinking	216
		5.5.2	Emergence and Discontinuity	219
		5.5.3	A New Kind of Evidence	222
		5.5.4	Meaningful and Meaningless Classifications	223
		5.5.5	Techniques of Self-vindication	224
	5.6	Candi	dates for Being Styles of Reasoning	226
		5.6.1	Are Religions Styles of Reasoning?	226
		5.6.2	Internal and External Techniques of Self-	
			vindication	229
		5.6.3	A Scholastic-inquisitorial Style of Reasoning?	231
		5.6.4	A Chemists' Style of Reasoning?	232
		5.6.5	An Astrological Style of Reasoning?	235
	<i>5.7</i>	Conclu	usions	237
	Bibliography			
6	Styles of Reasoning and Relativism			
	6.1	Introd	uction	243
	6.2	Styles of Reasoning in Action		
		6.2.1	One Problem, Two Alternative Styles of Reasoning	244
		6.2.2	The Accumulation of Styles of Reasoning	244 245
	6.3	Intelligibility, Understanding, Projectibility		
		6.3.1	Truth-value, Intelligibility, Pure Nonsense	245
		6.3.2	Questions and Candidates for Truth-or-Falsehood	250
		6.3.3	Intelligibility Versus Understanding	252
		6.3.4	Projectibility	253
		6.3.5	Taxonomy of Declarative Sentences: A Summary	255
	6.4	The R	elativism Issue'	257
		6.4.1	Trust and Presuppositions	257
		6.4.2	What Kind of Relativism?	258
		6.4.3	What Hacking Says	260
		6.4.4	Solutions Proposed to the Relativism Issue	263
	6.5	Conclu	usions	267
	Bibliography			

7	The Incommensurability of Styles of Reasoning: The Case of						
	the Existence of Theoretical Entities						
	7.1	Introd	uction	271			
	7.2	Hacking's Experimental Realism					
		7.2.1	Entity Realism	272			
		7.2.2	Experiments-with, Experiments-on	275			
		7.2.3	Causal Effects as Style-Independent Sentences	277			
		7.2.4	Putnam's Theory of Reference and Experimental				
			Realism	280			
	7.3	The Incommensurability of Styles of Reasoning					
		7.3.1	Incommensurability and Theory Anti-realism	284			
		7.3.2	The Incommensurability of Styles of Reasoning:				
			First Argument	285			
		7.3.3	Supporting the First Argument: An Historical				
			Example	287			
		7.3.4	The Incommensurability of Styles of Reasoning:				
			Second Argument	291			
	<i>7.4</i>	Conclu	usions	298			
	Bibliography						
8	Styles of Reasoning, Contingency and the Evolution of						
Ŭ	Science						
	8.1	Introd	uction	301 301			
	8.2	Styles of Reasoning, Contingency, Inevitability					
		8.2.1	Inevitability and the Algorithmic Style of	302			
			Reasoning	302			
		8.2.2	Contingency and the Postulational Style of				
			Reasoning	304			
		8.2.3	Contingency, Laboratory Style and 'the				
			evolutionary tree of styles of reasoning'	305			
		8.2.4	Contingency and Pseudo-styles of Reasoning	307			
	8.3	Whv D	o Styles of Reasoning Endure?	309			
		8.3.1	Explaining the World	309			
		8.3.2	Laboratory Style, Historico-genetic Style and the				
			Time's Arrow	310			
		8.3.3	Taxonomic Style of Reasoning and the Logic of				
			Evolution	312			

xxii CONTENTS

		8.3.4	Algorithmic Style of Reasoning, Postulational Styl	e
			of Reasoning and the Phyllotaxis	313
		8.3.5	Statistical Style of Reasoning, Induction and	
			Probabilistic World	314
	8.4	Questi	ons, Answers, Inevitability	315
	8.5	The Lo	ng-Term Evolution of Science	318
	8.6	Conclu	usions	321
	Bibli	iography		321
9	Epilogue			325
In	dex			329

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Luca Sciortino received his PhD in Philosophy of Science from the Open University (UK) with a thesis on the project of scientific styles of reasoning put forward by the Canadian philosopher of science Ian Hacking. He has been Armenise-Harvard Science Writer Fellow at the Harvard University and Research Fellow in Philosophy of Science at the University of Leeds, Centre for History and Philosophy of Science (UK). His research focuses on the history and philosophy of scientific thought, especially on 'historical epistemology'. He has written various research articles on the idea that in the history of human thought we can recognize different ways of knowing. Alongside his work as a researcher and adjunct professor at eCampus University (Italy), Luca Sciortino is also a writer and scientific popularizer, author of several books in Italian.