

Russell's-Paradox-Intercepting Corollary to the Axiom of Extensionality

1 – It follows from the axiom of extensionality that

$$\forall A \forall B \neq A \left[\forall x \neq y (x \in A \Leftrightarrow x \in B) \implies y \in A \Leftrightarrow y \notin B \right].$$

2 – A corollary to the axiom of extensionality:

$$\forall A \forall B \left[\forall x \neq y \exists C \neq A \left(\begin{array}{l} x \in A \Leftrightarrow x \in C \\ \wedge x \in B \Leftrightarrow x \in C \\ \wedge y \in B \Leftrightarrow y \in C \end{array} \right) \implies y \in A \Leftrightarrow y \notin B \right].$$

3 – The set of all sets that are not members of themselves appears to be a member of itself if and only if it is not a member of itself:

$$R = \{\forall x \mid x \notin x\} \Rightarrow R \in R \Leftrightarrow R \notin R.$$

However we must read the putatively paradoxical conclusion as

$$R \in R \Leftrightarrow R \notin \{\forall x \mid x \notin x\}$$

and that R is not simply $\{\forall x \mid x \notin x\}$ but

$$R = \left\{ \forall x \mid \left(R \in R \Leftrightarrow R \notin \{\forall x \mid x \notin x\} \right) \wedge x \notin x \right\}.$$

4 – The emendation in R is dictated in compliance with the corollary where $y = A = R$ and $B = \{\forall x \mid x \notin x\}$ and $C = x$.