

# Contents

Acknowledgments — V

Introduction — 1

- 1 The quest for a foundation in early modern philosophy:  
A historical-historiographical overview — 8**
  - 1.1 HPS, &HPS, HOPOS (and history of philosophy) — 8
  - 1.2 Descartes’s foundationalism: A historiographical appraisal — 11
  - 1.3 From foundation to philosophy of science: Leading problems — 18
  
- 2 The ‘crisis’ of foundationalism: Regius and Descartes — 23**
  - 2.1 Regius and the Utrecht Crisis (1641) — 23
  - 2.2 A medical standpoint on philosophy — 26
  - 2.3 Regius’s clash with Descartes — 29
  - 2.4 Medicine and the method of natural philosophy — 34
  - 2.5 The necessity of a foundation? — 38
  
- 3 Cartesianism as the Philosophy of the School: Logic, metaphysics, and  
rational theology — 39**
  - 3.1 Critiques and replies — 39
    - 3.1.1 The critiques of Descartes — 42
    - 3.1.2 The co-ordinated strategy of defence of Cartesianism — 45
  - 3.2 Logic as introduction to Cartesian philosophy: Clauberg’s *Defensio cartesiana* and *Logica vetus et nova* — 51
  - 3.3 Metaphysics and natural theology in the foundation of philosophy and arts — 55
  - 3.4 The ‘re-duplication’ of metaphysics and the birth of ontology — 64
  
- 4 Dutch Cartesianism in the 1650s and 1660s: Philosophy, theology, and  
ethics — 69**
  - 4.1 Cartesianism in Leiden in the 1650s: Physics without metaphysics — 69
  - 4.2 Philosophy, theology, and ethics (and the separation thesis) — 72
  - 4.3 Cartesianism and rational ethics: Geulincx between Reformed theology and Spinozism — 76
    - 4.3.1 The architectonic of philosophy — 78

- 4.4 Geulincx's threefold metaphysics: Autology, somatology, and theology — **83**
- 4.4.1 An Aristotelian axiom — **85**
- 4.4.2 The body — **88**
- 4.4.3 The freedom of God — **92**
- 4.5 The foundation of experience and intellectual evidence — **97**
- 4.5.1 The hierarchy of knowledge — **100**
- 4.6 Physics de-metaphysicised — **104**
  
- 5 Foundationalism confronting radical Cartesianism around 1670 — 105**
- 5.1 The 'misuse' and 'corruption' of Cartesianism — **105**
- 5.2 De Raey's foundation of scientific knowledge: Logic as metaphysics — **112**
- 5.2.1 The intersections of logic and metaphysics in early modern philosophy — **114**
- 5.3 The developments of De Raey's logic — **119**
- 5.3.1 A bifurcation in the academic curriculum — **123**
  
- 6 Bridging *scientia* and experience: the last evolution of Cartesian foundationalism — 126**
- 6.1 Late Cartesianism in Leiden and Amsterdam — **126**
- 6.2 Burchard de Volder's 'Cartesian empiricism' — **127**
- 6.2.1 From Descartes to De Volder: Iatrochemistry in Leiden — **130**
- 6.2.2 Experimental teaching in Leiden: De Volder and Senguerd — **132**
- 6.2.3 From Cartesianism to Newtonianism — **135**
- 6.3 The quest for principles: philosophy of science without a foundation — **142**
- 6.4 The foundation of the principles of nature: A vindication of Descartes's metaphysics — **148**
- 6.5 Foundation and philosophy of science go separate ways: De Volder and De Raey — **157**
- 6.6 Philosophy of language as philosophy of science: De Raey's *Cogitata de interpretatione* — **159**
- 6.6.1 A novelty in the philosophical reflections on language — **159**
- 6.6.2 The realm of sensibility — **165**
- 6.6.3 Intellectual ideas and *modi considerandi* — **167**
- 6.7 Dutch Cartesian philosophy at the turn of the century — **169**

- 7 The aftermath: The Cartesian heritage in 's Gravesande's foundation of Newtonian physics — 171**
- 7.1 Leiden University in the early eighteenth century — **171**
  - 7.2 The introduction of Newtonianism in Leiden by 's Gravesande — **172**
  - 7.2.1 The didactic of Newton's physics — **175**
  - 7.3 Mathematics and experience in the discovery of natural laws — **178**
  - 7.4 A first foundation: The survival axiom — **181**
  - 7.5 Logic and metaphysics as the introduction to natural philosophy — **182**
  - 7.6 The theological foundation of moral evidence — **187**
  - 7.7 's Gravesande's Newtonian philosophy — **194**
- 8 Conclusion: From *ancilla theologiae* to philosophy of science: a systematic assessment — 198**

**Bibliography — 204**

**Index — 235**

