Crafting Better Questions for Healthier Lands: Rethinking Social Surveys in Farming and Natural Resource Management

Gà Tre 06-04-2025



"At a high level of knowledge, learning naturally has to be paired with practice. Kingfisher assigns Field Sparrow a "field trip" to nearby markets to study consumer needs, especially anything that may affect the Bird Village economy, particularly the Pond sub-economy, i.e., Kingfisher's territory."

In "Bird Village Economics"; Wild Wise Weird [1]

ర్తు • చి

.

Understanding how farmers and landholders make decisions about land use is essential for the effective stewardship of natural resources. In a recent comprehensive review, Hanabeth Luke examines how well-designed social surveys can inform policymakers, researchers, and practitioners about the values, motivations, and constraints that influence land management practices [2].

Luke [2] traces the evolution of social surveys over the past century, from early face-to-face interviews to contemporary web-based instruments increasingly enhanced by big data and artificial intelligence (AI). She categorizes this progression into four distinct eras: the Invention Era (1930–1960), the Expansion Era (1960–1990), the Integration Era (1990s–2022), and the present Brave New Era (2022 onward). While the Integration Era is characterized by designed data and organic data, the Brave New Era is characterized by AI-driven data integration and rapidly changing information technologies.

Crucially, the review emphasizes that effective survey design extends beyond technological advancements. For data to be meaningful and reliable, surveys must be purposefully designed with clear research objectives, coherent structure, and carefully formulated questions. Engaging local stakeholders during the design phase and conducting thorough pre-testing is fundamental to ensuring contextual relevance and respondent comprehension [3]. Furthermore, the inclusion of both closed and open-ended questions enables researchers not only to measure behavioral patterns but also to explore the deeper reasoning and values underlying those behaviors [4].

The paper underscores the value of applying theoretical frameworks—such as the Theory of Planned Behaviour [5] and the Value-Belief-Norm theory [6]—to investigate how identity, personal values, and social norms influence landholder decision-making. For example, research shows that farmers with a strong sense of connection to their land are more likely to adopt environmentally sustainable practices [2].

Amid growing concerns about declining response rates and survey fatigue, Luke advocates for the use of mixed-methods and multi-mode delivery strategies. These include combining postal, online, and in-person approaches to engage a diverse range of rural participants effectively. Additionally, the integration of artificial intelligence presents new possibilities for synthesizing large, disparate datasets and streamlining research processes. However, the adoption of such technologies must be approached with caution, given ongoing concerns about data quality, transparency, and ethical use.

Effective land management policies must be grounded in a nuanced understanding of human behavior. Social surveys, when thoughtfully designed and ethically implemented, serve as a critical link between people and the landscapes they inhabit [7]. By combining scientific rigor with local engagement, these tools offer vital insights that can support both ecological sustainability and the well-being of rural communities.

References

[1] Vuong QH. (2024). Wild Wise Weird. https://www.amazon.com/dp/B0BG2NNHY6/

[2] Luke H. (2025). Designing social surveys for understanding farming and natural resource management: A purposeful review of best-practice survey methods. *Land Use Policy*, 153, 107526. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2025.107526</u>

[3] Curtis A, et al. (2005). Integrating socio-economic and biophysical data to underpin collaborative watershed management. *Journal of American Water Resources Association*, 41(3), 549-563. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-1688.2005.tb03754.x</u>

[4] Luke H. (2017). Social resistance to coal seam gas development in the Northern Rivers region of Eastern Australia: proposing a diamond model of social license to operate. *Land Use Policy*, 69, 266-280. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2017.09.006</u>

[5] Ajzen I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 50(2), 179-211. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978(91)90020-T</u>

[6] Stern PC. (2000). Toward a coherent theory of environmentally significant behaviour. *Journal of Social Issues*, 56(3), 407-424. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/0022-4537.00175</u>

[7] Nguyen MH. (2024). How can satirical fables offer us a vision for sustainability? *Visions for Sustainability*. <u>https://ojs.unito.it/index.php/visions/article/view/11267</u>