

A common approach to the problem of the infinitude of twin primes, primes of the form $n! + 1$, and primes of the form $n! - 1$

Apoloniusz Tyszka

Abstract

For a positive integer x , let $\Gamma(x)$ denote $(x - 1)!$. Let $\text{fact}^{-1}: \{1, 2, 6, 24, \dots\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ denote the inverse function to the factorial function. For positive integers x and y , let $\text{rem}(x, y)$ denote the remainder from dividing x by y . For a positive integer n , by a computation of length n we understand any sequence of terms x_1, \dots, x_n such that x_1 is identical to the variable x and for every integer $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ there exist integers $j, k \in \{1, \dots, i - 1\}$ such that x_i is identical to $\text{rem}(x_j, x_k)$, or $\Gamma(x_j)$, or $\text{fact}^{-1}(x_j)$. Let $f(4) = 3$, and let $f(n + 1) = f(n)!$ for every integer $n \geq 4$. For an integer $n \geq 4$, let Ψ_n denote the following statement: if a computation of length n returns positive integers x_1, \dots, x_n for at most finitely many positive integers x , then every such x does not exceed $f(n)$. We prove: (1) the statement Ψ_4 implies that there are infinitely many primes of the form $n! + 1$; (2) the statement Ψ_6 implies that for infinitely many primes p the number $p! + 1$ is prime; (3) the statement Ψ_6 implies that there are infinitely many primes of the form $n! - 1$; (4) the statement Ψ_7 implies that there are infinitely many twin primes.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11A41, 68Q05.

Key words and phrases: computation of length n , primes of the form $n! + 1$, primes of the form $n! - 1$, primes p such that $p! + 1$ is prime, twin primes.

For a positive integer x , let $\Gamma(x)$ denote $(x - 1)!$. Let $\text{fact}^{-1}: \{1, 2, 6, 24, \dots\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ denote the inverse function to the factorial function. For positive integers x and y , let $\text{rem}(x, y)$ denote the remainder from dividing x by y .

Definition. For a positive integer n , by a computation of length n we understand any sequence of terms x_1, \dots, x_n such that x_1 is identical to the variable x and for every integer $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ there exist integers $j, k \in \{1, \dots, i - 1\}$ such that x_i is identical to $\text{rem}(x_j, x_k)$, or $\Gamma(x_j)$, or $\text{fact}^{-1}(x_j)$.

Let $f(4) = 3$, and let $f(n + 1) = f(n)!$ for every integer $n \geq 4$. For an integer $n \geq 4$, let Ψ_n denote the following statement: if a computation of length n returns positive integers x_1, \dots, x_n for at most finitely many positive integers x , then every such x does not exceed $f(n)$.

Lemma 1. For every positive integer n , there are only finitely many computations of length n .

Theorem 1. For every integer $n \geq 4$, the statement Ψ_n is true with an unknown integer bound that depends on n .

Proof. It follows from Lemma 1. □

Theorem 2. For every integer $n \geq 4$ and for every positive integer x , the following computation

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_1 := x \\ \forall i \in \{2, \dots, n-3\} x_i := \text{fact}^{-1}(x_{i-1}) \\ x_{n-2} := \Gamma(x_{n-3}) \\ x_{n-1} := \Gamma(x_{n-2}) \\ x_n := \text{rem}(x_{n-1}, x_{n-3}) \end{array} \right.$$

returns positive integers x_1, \dots, x_n if and only if $x \in \{2, f(n)\}$.

Proof. We make three observations.

Observation 1. If $x_{n-3} = 3$, then $x_1, \dots, x_{n-3} \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ and $x = x_1 = f(n)$.

If $x = f(n)$, then $x_1, \dots, x_{n-3} \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ and $x_{n-3} = 3$.

Hence, $x_{n-2} = \Gamma(x_{n-3}) = 2$ and $x_{n-1} = \Gamma(x_{n-2}) = 1$. Therefore, $x_n = \text{rem}(x_{n-1}, x_{n-3}) = 1$.

Observation 2. If $x_{n-3} = 2$, then $x = x_1 = \dots = x_{n-3} = 2$. If $x = 2$, then $x_1 = \dots = x_{n-3} = 2$.

Hence, $x_{n-2} = \Gamma(x_{n-3}) = 1$ and $x_{n-1} = \Gamma(x_{n-2}) = 1$. Therefore, $x_n = \text{rem}(x_{n-1}, x_{n-3}) = 1$.

Observation 3. If $x_{n-3} = 1$, then $x_{n-2} = \Gamma(x_{n-3}) = 1$. Hence, $x_{n-1} = \Gamma(x_{n-2}) = 1$.

Therefore, $x_n = \text{rem}(x_{n-1}, x_{n-3}) = 0 \notin \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$.

Observations 1–3 cover the case when $x_{n-3} \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. If $x_{n-3} \geq 4$, then $x_{n-2} = \Gamma(x_{n-3}) > x_{n-3}$. Hence, $x_{n-2} - 1 \geq x_{n-3}$. By this, x_{n-3} divides $(x_{n-2} - 1)! = \Gamma(x_{n-2}) = x_{n-1}$. Therefore, $x_n = \text{rem}(x_{n-1}, x_{n-3}) = 0 \notin \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. \square

Corollary 1. For every integer $n \geq 4$, the bound $f(n)$ in the statement Ψ_n cannot be decreased.

Let \mathcal{P} denote the set of prime numbers.

Lemma 2. ([4, pp. 214–215]). For every positive integer x , $\text{rem}(\Gamma(x), x) \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ if and only if $x \in \{4\} \cup \mathcal{P}$.

Theorem 3. For every integer $n \geq 4$ and for every positive integer x , the following computation

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_1 := x \\ \forall i \in \{2, \dots, n-3\} x_i := \text{fact}^{-1}(x_{i-1}) \\ x_{n-2} := \Gamma(x_{n-3}) \\ x_{n-1} := \Gamma(x_{n-2}) \\ x_n := \text{rem}(x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}) \end{array} \right.$$

returns positive integers x_1, \dots, x_n if and only if $x = f(n)$.

Proof. We make three observations.

Observation 4. If $x_{n-3} = 3$, then $x_1, \dots, x_{n-3} \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ and $x = x_1 = f(n)$.

If $x = f(n)$, then $x_1, \dots, x_{n-3} \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ and $x_{n-3} = 3$.

Hence, $x_{n-2} = \Gamma(x_{n-3}) = 2$ and $x_{n-1} = \Gamma(x_{n-2}) = 1$. Therefore, $x_n = \text{rem}(x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}) = 1$.

Observation 5. If $x_{n-3} = 2$, then $x = x_1 = \dots = x_{n-3} = 2$.

If $x = 2$, then $x_1 = \dots = x_{n-3} = 2$. Hence, $x_{n-2} = \Gamma(x_{n-3}) = 1$ and $x_{n-1} = \Gamma(x_{n-2}) = 1$.

Therefore, $x_n = \text{rem}(x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}) = 0 \notin \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$.

Observation 6. If $x_{n-3} = 1$, then $x_{n-2} = \Gamma(x_{n-3}) = 1$. Hence, $x_{n-1} = \Gamma(x_{n-2}) = 1$.

Therefore, $x_n = \text{rem}(x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}) = 0 \notin \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$.

Observations 4–6 cover the case when $x_{n-3} \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. If $x_{n-3} \geq 4$, then $x_{n-2} = \Gamma(x_{n-3})$ is greater than 4 and composite. By Lemma 2, $x_n = \text{rem}(x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}) = \text{rem}(\Gamma(x_{n-2}), x_{n-2}) = 0 \notin \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. \square

Lemma 3. (Wilson’s theorem, [2, p. 89]). For every positive integer x , x divides $\Gamma(x) + 1$ if and only if $x \in \{1\} \cup \mathcal{P}$.

Corollary 2. If $x \in \mathcal{P}$, then $\text{rem}(\Gamma(x), x) = x - 1$.

Lemma 4. For every positive integer x , the following computation \mathcal{A}

$$\begin{cases} x_1 & := & x \\ x_2 & := & \Gamma(x_1) \\ x_3 & := & \text{rem}(x_2, x_1) \\ x_4 & := & \text{fact}^{-1}(x_3) \end{cases}$$

returns positive integers x_1, \dots, x_4 if and only if $x = 4$ or x is a prime number of the form $n! + 1$.

Proof. For an integer $i \in \{1, \dots, 4\}$, let A_i denote the set of positive integers x such that the first i instructions of the computation \mathcal{A} returns positive integers x_1, \dots, x_i . We show that

$$A_4 = \{4\} \cup (\{n! + 1 : n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}\} \cap \mathcal{P}) \quad (1)$$

For every positive integer x , the terms x_1 and x_2 belong to $\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. By Lemma 2, the term x_3 (which equals $\text{rem}(\Gamma(x), x)$) belongs to $\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ if and only if $x \in \{4\} \cup \mathcal{P}$. Hence, $A_3 = \{4\} \cup \mathcal{P}$. If $x = 4$, then $x_1, \dots, x_4 \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. Hence, $4 \in A_4$. If $x \in \mathcal{P}$, then Corollary 2 implies that $x_3 = \text{rem}(\Gamma(x), x) = x - 1 \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. Therefore, for every $x \in \mathcal{P}$, the term $x_4 = \text{fact}^{-1}(x_3)$ belongs to $\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ if and only if $x \in \{n! + 1 : n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}\}$. This proves equality (1). \square

It is conjectured that there are infinitely many primes of the form $n! + 1$, see [1, p. 443] and [5].

Theorem 4. The statement Ψ_4 implies that the set of primes of the form $n! + 1$ is infinite.

Proof. By Lemma 4, for $x = 3! + 1$ the computation \mathcal{A} returns positive integers x_1, \dots, x_4 . Since $x = 7 > 3 = f(4)$, the statement Ψ_4 guarantees that the computation \mathcal{A} returns positive integers x_1, \dots, x_4 for infinitely many positive integers x . By Lemma 4, there are infinitely many primes of the form $n! + 1$. \square

Conjecture. If the set of primes of the form $n! + 1$ is infinite, then the statement Ψ_4 is true.

For a computation \mathcal{W} of length n , let $\text{dom}(\mathcal{W})$ denote the set of positive integers x such that the computation \mathcal{W} returns positive integers x_1, \dots, x_n . Let Comp denote the set of all computations \mathcal{W} of length 4 such that $\mathcal{W} \neq \mathcal{A}$ and \mathcal{W} does not contain instructions of the form $x_i := \text{rem}(x_j, x_j)$. The set Comp has

$$(1 + 1 + (1^2 - 1)) \cdot (2 + 2 + (2^2 - 2)) \cdot (3 + 3 + (3^2 - 2)) - 1 = 143$$

elements. In order to prove the Conjecture, it suffices to prove the inclusion $\text{dom}(\mathcal{W}) \subseteq \{1, 2, 3\}$ for every computation $\mathcal{W} \in \text{Comp}$ such that $\text{dom}(\mathcal{W})$ is finite.

Hypothesis. The statements Ψ_4, \dots, Ψ_7 are true.

Lemma 5. For every positive integer x , the following computation \mathcal{B}

$$\begin{cases} x_1 & := & x \\ x_2 & := & \Gamma(x_1) \\ x_3 & := & \text{rem}(x_2, x_1) \\ x_4 & := & \text{fact}^{-1}(x_3) \\ x_5 & := & \Gamma(x_4) \\ x_6 & := & \text{rem}(x_5, x_4) \end{cases}$$

returns positive integers x_1, \dots, x_6 if and only if $x \in \{4\} \cup \{p! + 1 : p \in \mathcal{P}\} \cap \mathcal{P}$

Proof. For an integer $i \in \{1, \dots, 6\}$, let B_i denote the set of positive integers x such that the first i instructions of the computation \mathcal{B} returns positive integers x_1, \dots, x_i . Since the computations \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} have the same first four instructions, the equality $B_i = A_i$ holds for every $i \in \{1, \dots, 4\}$. In particular,

$$B_4 = \{4\} \cup (\{n! + 1 : n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}\} \cap \mathcal{P})$$

We show that

$$B_6 = \{4\} \cup (\{p! + 1 : p \in \mathcal{P}\} \cap \mathcal{P}) \quad (2)$$

If $x = 4$, then $x_1, \dots, x_6 \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. Hence, $4 \in B_6$. Let $x \in \mathcal{P}$, and let $x = n! + 1$, where $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. Hence, $n \neq 4$. Corollary 2 implies that $x_3 = \text{rem}(\Gamma(x), x) = x - 1 = n!$. Hence, $x_4 = \text{fact}^{-1}(x_3) = n$ and $x_5 = \Gamma(x_4) = \Gamma(n) \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. By Lemma 2, the term x_6 (which equals $\text{rem}(\Gamma(n), n)$) belongs to $\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ if and only if $n \in \{4\} \cup \mathcal{P}$. This proves equality (2) as $n \neq 4$. \square

Theorem 5. The statement Ψ_6 implies that for infinitely many primes p the number $p! + 1$ is prime.

Proof. The number $11! + 1$ is prime, see [1, p. 441] and [7]. By Lemma 5, for $x = 11! + 1$ the computation \mathcal{B} returns positive integers x_1, \dots, x_6 . Since $x = 11! + 1 > 720 = f(6)$, the statement Ψ_6 guarantees that the computation \mathcal{B} returns positive integers x_1, \dots, x_6 for infinitely many positive integers x . By Lemma 5, for infinitely many primes p the number $p! + 1$ is prime. \square

Lemma 6. If $x \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}$, then $\text{fact}^{-1}(\Gamma(x)) = x - 1$.

Lemma 7. For every positive integer x , the following computation \mathcal{C}

$$\begin{cases} x_1 & := & x \\ x_2 & := & \text{fact}^{-1}(x_1) \\ x_3 & := & \Gamma(x_1) \\ x_4 & := & \text{fact}^{-1}(x_3) \\ x_5 & := & \Gamma(x_4) \\ x_6 & := & \text{rem}(x_5, x_4) \end{cases}$$

returns positive integers x_1, \dots, x_6 if and only if $x \in \{n! : (n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}) \wedge (n! - 1 \in \mathcal{P})\}$.

Proof. For an integer $i \in \{1, \dots, 6\}$, let C_i denote the set of positive integers x such that the first i instructions of the computation \mathcal{C} returns positive integers x_1, \dots, x_i . If $x = 1$, then $x_6 = 0$. Therefore, $C_6 \subseteq \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}$. For every positive integer x , the term $\text{fact}^{-1}(x_1)$ belongs to $\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ if and only if $x \in \{n! : n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}\}$. Hence, $C_6 \subseteq C_2 = \{n! : n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}\}$. Thus, $C_6 \subseteq \{n! : n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}\}$. Let $x = n!$, where $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}$. By Lemma 6, the terms x_3 and x_4 belong to $\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ and $x_4 = x_1 - 1 = x - 1$. Hence, $x_5 = \Gamma(x_4) = \Gamma(x - 1)$.

Next, $x_6 = \text{rem}(x_5, x_4) = \text{rem}(\Gamma(x-1), x-1)$. By Lemma 2, for every integer $x \geq 2$, the term $\text{rem}(\Gamma(x-1), x-1)$ belongs to $\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ if and only if $x \in \{5\} \cup \{p+1 : p \in \mathcal{P}\}$. Since $5 \notin \{n! : n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}\}$, we conclude that

$$C_6 = \{n! : (n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}) \wedge (n! - 1 \in \mathcal{P})\} = \{n! : (n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}) \wedge (n! - 1 \in \mathcal{P})\}$$

□

It is conjectured that there are infinitely many primes of the form $n! - 1$, see [1, p. 443] and [6].

Theorem 6. *The statement Ψ_6 implies that there are infinitely many primes of the form $n! - 1$.*

Proof. The number $7! - 1$ is prime, see [1, p. 441] and [6]. By Lemma 7, for $x = 7!$ the computation C returns positive integers x_1, \dots, x_6 . Since $x = 7! > 720 = f(6)$, the statement Ψ_6 guarantees that the computation C returns positive integers x_1, \dots, x_6 for infinitely many positive integers x . By Lemma 7, the set $\{n! : (n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}) \wedge (n! - 1 \in \mathcal{P})\}$ is infinite. □

Lemma 8. *For every positive integer x , the following computation \mathcal{D}*

$$\begin{cases} x_1 & := & x \\ x_2 & := & \Gamma(x_1) \\ x_3 & := & \text{rem}(x_2, x_1) \\ x_4 & := & \Gamma(x_3) \\ x_5 & := & \text{fact}^{-1}(x_4) \\ x_6 & := & \Gamma(x_5) \\ x_7 & := & \text{rem}(x_6, x_5) \end{cases}$$

returns positive integers x_1, \dots, x_7 if and only if both x and $x - 2$ are prime.

Proof. For an integer $i \in \{1, \dots, 7\}$, let D_i denote the set of positive integers x such that the first i instructions of the computation \mathcal{D} returns positive integers x_1, \dots, x_i . If $x = 1$, then $x_3 = 0$. Hence, $D_7 \subseteq D_3 \subseteq \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}$. If $x \in \{2, 3, 4\}$, then $x_7 = 0$. Therefore,

$$D_7 \subseteq (\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}) \cap (\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 2, 3, 4\}) = \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$$

By Lemma 2, for every integer $x \geq 5$, the term x_3 (which equals $\text{rem}(\Gamma(x), x)$) belongs to $\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ if and only if $x \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \{2, 3\}$. By Corollary 2, for every $x \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \{2, 3\}$, $x_3 = x - 1 \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$. By Lemma 6, for every $x \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \{2, 3\}$, the terms x_4 and x_5 belong to $\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ and $x_5 = x_3 - 1 = x - 2$. By Lemma 2, for every $x \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \{2, 3\}$, the term x_7 (which equals $\text{rem}(\Gamma(x_5), x_5)$) belongs to $\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ if and only if $x_5 = x - 2 \in \{4\} \cup \mathcal{P}$. From these facts, we obtain that

$$D_7 = (\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}) \cap (\mathcal{P} \setminus \{2, 3\}) \cap (\{6\} \cup \{p+2 : p \in \mathcal{P}\}) = \{p \in \mathcal{P} : p - 2 \in \mathcal{P}\}$$

□

A twin prime is a prime number that is either 2 less or 2 more than another prime number. The twin prime conjecture states that there are infinitely many twin primes, see [3, p. 39].

Theorem 7. *The statement Ψ_7 implies that there are infinitely many twin primes.*

Proof. Harvey Dubner proved that the numbers $459 \cdot 2^{8529} - 1$ and $459 \cdot 2^{8529} + 1$ are prime, see [8, p. 87]. By Lemma 8, for $x = 459 \cdot 2^{8529} + 1$ the computation \mathcal{D} returns positive integers x_1, \dots, x_7 . Since $x > 720! = f(7)$, the statement Ψ_7 guarantees that the computation \mathcal{D} returns positive integers x_1, \dots, x_7 for infinitely many positive integers x . By Lemma 8, there are infinitely many twin primes. □

References

- [1] C. K. Caldwell and Y. Gallot, *On the primality of $n! \pm 1$ and $2 \times 3 \times 5 \times \cdots \times p \pm 1$* , Math. Comp. 71 (2002), no. 237, 441–448.
- [2] M. Erickson, A. Vazzana, D. Garth, *Introduction to number theory*, 2nd ed., CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2016.
- [3] W. Narkiewicz, *Rational number theory in the 20th century: From PNT to FLT*, Springer, London, 2012.
- [4] W. Sierpiński, *Elementary theory of numbers*, 2nd ed. (ed. A. Schinzel), PWN – Polish Scientific Publishers and North-Holland, Warsaw-Amsterdam, 1987.
- [5] N. J. A. Sloane, *The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*, A002981, Numbers n such that $n! + 1$ is prime, <http://oeis.org/A002981>.
- [6] N. J. A. Sloane, *The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*, A002982, Numbers n such that $n! - 1$ is prime, <http://oeis.org/A002982>.
- [7] N. J. A. Sloane, *The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*, A093804, Primes p such that $p! + 1$ is also prime, <http://oeis.org/A093804>.
- [8] S. Y. Yan, *Number theory for computing*, 2nd ed., Springer, Berlin, 2002.

Apoloniusz Tyszka
Technical Faculty
Hugo Kołłątaj University
Balicka 116B, 30-149 Kraków, Poland
E-mail: rtytzka@cyf-kr.edu.pl