

Is it possible to compute an integer d such that any twin prime greater than d proves that the set of twin primes is infinite?

Apoloniusz Tyszka

Abstract

For a positive integer, let $\Gamma(n)$ denote $(n - 1)!$. Let $f(5) = 24!$, and let $f(n + 1) = \Gamma(f(n))$ for every integer $n \geq 5$. For an integer $n \geq 5$, let $T(n)$ denote the statement: if a system of equations $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \{\Gamma(x_i) = x_k : i, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}\} \cup \{x_i \cdot x_j = x_k : i, j, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}$ has at most finitely many solutions in positive integers x_1, \dots, x_n , then each such solution (x_1, \dots, x_n) satisfies $\min(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq f(n)$. We conjecture that the statements $T(5), \dots, T(14)$ are true. The statement $T(6)$ implies that if $x! + 1$ is a square for at most finitely many non-negative integers x then each such x satisfies $x \leq f(6)$. The statement $T(9)$ proves the implication: if there exists an integer $x > f(9)$ such that $x^2 + 1$ is prime, then there are infinitely many primes of the form $n^2 + 1$. The statement $T(14)$ proves the implication: if there exists a twin prime greater than $f(14) + 2$, then there are infinitely many twin primes.

Key words and phrases: Brocard's problem, Brocard-Ramanujan equation, prime numbers of the form $n^2 + 1$, single query to an oracle for the halting problem, twin prime conjecture.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11U05.

1. Introduction and basic lemmas

In this article, we study a conjecture which applies to Brocard's problem, the problem of the infinitude of primes of the form $n^2 + 1$, and the twin prime problem. The conjecture allows us to compute an integer $b(6)$ such that if $x! + 1$ is a square for at most finitely many non-negative integers x then each such x satisfies $x \leq b(6)$. The conjecture allows us to compute an integer $b(9)$ such that any prime number of the form $n^2 + 1$ which is greater than $b(9)$ proves that the set of prime numbers of the form $n^2 + 1$ is infinite. The conjecture allows us to compute an integer $b(14)$ such that any twin prime greater than $b(14) + 2$ proves that the set of twin primes is infinite.

For a positive integer, let $\Gamma(n)$ denote $(n - 1)!$.

Lemma 1. *For every positive integers x and y , $x \cdot \Gamma(x) = \Gamma(y)$ if and only if*

$$(x + 1 = y) \vee (x = y = 1)$$

Lemma 2. *(Wilson's theorem, [2, p. 89]). For every integer $x \geq 2$, x is prime if and only if x divides $\Gamma(x) + 1$.*

Lemma 3. For every integer $x \geq 5$, we have $x \leq \sqrt{\Gamma(x) + 1}$.

Lemma 4. For every integer $x \geq 5$, we have $x \leq \frac{\Gamma(x) + 1}{x}$.

2. Conjectures on the statements $\Psi(n)$

Let G_n denote the system of equations $\{\Gamma(x_i) = x_k : i, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}\} \cup \{x_i \cdot x_j = x_k : i, j, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}$, and let $\Psi(n)$ denote the statement: we can compute an integer $b(n) \geq 4$ such that if a system $\mathcal{S} \subseteq G_n$ has at most finitely many solutions in positive integers x_1, \dots, x_n then each such solution (x_1, \dots, x_n) satisfies $\min(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq b(n)$.

Conjecture 1. The statements $\Psi(1), \dots, \Psi(14)$ are true.

For every positive integer n , the system G_n has a finite number of subsystems. Therefore, every statement $\Psi(n)$ is true with some integer $b(n) \geq 4$.

Let $f(5) = 24!$, and let $f(n + 1) = \Gamma(f(n))$ for every integer $n \geq 5$. For an integer $n \geq 5$, let $\mathcal{U}_n \subseteq G_n$ be a system of equations illustrated in Figure 1. Lemma 1 explains the construction of the system \mathcal{U}_n .




Fig. 1 Construction of the system \mathcal{U}_n

For every integer $n \geq 5$, the system \mathcal{U}_n has exactly two solutions in positive integers, namely $(1, \dots, 1)$ and $(5, 24, 23!, 25, f(5), \dots, f(n))$.

Conjecture 2. For every integer $n \in \{5, \dots, 14\}$, we can define $b(n)$ as $f(n)$.

3. Brocard's problem

A weak form of Szpiro's conjecture implies that there are only finitely many solutions to the Brocard-Ramanujan equation $\Gamma(x) + 1 = y^2$, see [4]. It is conjectured that $\Gamma(x) + 1$ is a square only for $x \in \{5, 6, 8\}$, see [5, p. 297].

Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq G_6$ be a system of equations illustrated in Figure 2. Lemma 1 explains the construction of the system \mathcal{A} .




Fig. 2 Construction of the system \mathcal{A}

Lemma 5. *The system \mathcal{A} has only finitely many solutions $(x_1, \dots, x_6) \in (\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\})^6$ with $x_1 \in \{1, 2\}$. For every integer $x_1 \geq 3$, the system \mathcal{A} is solvable in positive integers x_2, \dots, x_6 if and only if $\Gamma(x_1) + 1$ is a square. In this case, $x_1 \geq 5$, the numbers x_2, \dots, x_6 are uniquely determined by x_1 , and $x_1 = \min(x_1, \dots, x_6)$ (which follows from $x_1 \geq 5$ and Lemma 3).*

Proof. It follows from Lemma 1. □

Theorem 1. *If $\Gamma(x_1) + 1$ is a square for at most finitely many positive integers x_1 , then the statement $\Psi(6)$ implies that each such x_1 satisfies $x_1 \leq b(6)$.*

Proof. Assume that for a positive integer x_1 there exists a positive integer x_2 such that $\Gamma(x_1) + 1 = x_2^2$. Then, $x_1 \geq 5$. By Lemma 5, there exists a unique tuple $(x_2, \dots, x_6) \in (\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\})^5$ such that the tuple (x_1, \dots, x_6) solves the system \mathcal{A} . Lemma 5 guarantees that $x_1 = \min(x_1, \dots, x_6)$. By the antecedent and Lemma 5, the system \mathcal{A} has only finitely many solutions in positive integers x_1, \dots, x_6 . Therefore, the statement $\Psi(6)$ implies that $x_1 = \min(x_1, \dots, x_6) \leq b(6)$. □

4. Are there infinitely many prime numbers of the form $n^2 + 1$?

Landau's conjecture states that there are infinitely many primes of the form $n^2 + 1$, see [3, pp. 37–38].

Let $\mathcal{B} \subseteq G_9$ be a system of equations illustrated in Figure 3. Lemma 1 explains the construction of the system \mathcal{B} .




Fig. 3 Construction of the system \mathcal{B}

Lemma 6. *The system \mathcal{B} has only finitely many solutions $(x_1, \dots, x_9) \in (\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\})^9$ with $x_1 = 1$. For every integer $x_1 \geq 2$, the system \mathcal{B} is solvable in positive integers x_2, \dots, x_9 if and only if $x_1^2 + 1$ is prime. In this case, the numbers x_2, \dots, x_9 are uniquely determined by x_1 , and $x_1 = \min(x_1, \dots, x_9)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 1, for every integer $x_1 \geq 2$, the system \mathcal{B} is solvable in positive integers x_2, \dots, x_9 if and only if $x_1^2 + 1$ divides $\Gamma(x_1^2 + 1) + 1$. By Lemma 2, the last is true if and only if $x_1^2 + 1$ is prime. The inequality $x_1 \geq 2$ and Lemma 4 imply that $x_1 = \min(x_1, \dots, x_9)$. \square

Theorem 2. *The statement $\Psi(9)$ proves the implication: if there exists an integer $x_1 > b(9)$ such that $x_1^2 + 1$ is prime, then there are infinitely many primes of the form $n^2 + 1$.*

Proof. Assume that an integer x_1 is greater than $b(9)$ and $x_1^2 + 1$ is prime. Since $b(9) \geq 4$, we obtain that $x_1 \geq 5$. By Lemma 6, there exists a unique tuple $(x_2, \dots, x_9) \in (\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\})^8$ such that the tuple (x_1, \dots, x_9) solves the system \mathcal{B} . Lemma 6 guarantees that $x_1 = \min(x_1, \dots, x_9)$. Since $\mathcal{B} \subseteq G_9$, the statement $\Psi(9)$ and the inequality $b(9) < x_1 = \min(x_1, \dots, x_9)$ imply that the system \mathcal{B} has infinitely many solutions $(x_1, \dots, x_9) \in (\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\})^9$. According to Lemma 6, there are infinitely many primes of the form $n^2 + 1$. \square

Corollary 1. *Assuming the statement $\Psi(9)$, a single query to an oracle for the halting problem decides the problem of the infinitude of primes of the form $n^2 + 1$.*

5. The twin prime conjecture

A twin prime is a prime number that is either 2 less or 2 more than another prime number. The twin prime conjecture states that there are infinitely many twin primes, see [3, p. 39].

Let $C \subseteq G_{14}$ be a system of equations illustrated in Figure 4. Lemma 1 explains the construction of the system C .




Fig. 4 Construction of the system C

Lemma 7. *The system C has only finitely many solutions $(x_1, \dots, x_{14}) \in (\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\})^{14}$ with $x_1 \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. For every integer $x_1 \geq 5$, the system C is solvable in positive integers x_2, \dots, x_{14} if and only if x_1 and $x_1 + 2$ are prime. In this case, the numbers x_2, \dots, x_{14} are uniquely determined by x_1 , and $x_1 = \min(x_1, \dots, x_{14})$.*

Proof. By Lemma 1, for every integer $x_1 \geq 5$, the system C is solvable in positive integers x_2, \dots, x_{14} if and only if x_1 divides $\Gamma(x_1) + 1$ and $x_1 + 2$ divides $\Gamma(x_1 + 2) + 1$. By Lemma 2, the last is true if and only if x_1 and $x_1 + 2$ are prime. The inequality $x_1 \geq 5$ and Lemma 4 imply that $x_1 = \min(x_1, \dots, x_{14})$. \square

Theorem 3. *The statement $\Psi(14)$ proves the implication: if there exists a twin prime greater than $b(14) + 2$, then there are infinitely many twin primes.*

Proof. Let us assume that there exists a prime number x_1 such that $x_1 + 2$ is prime and $x_1 + 2 > b(14) + 2$. Since $b(14) \geq 4$, we obtain that $x_1 \geq 5$. By Lemma 7, there exists a unique tuple $(x_2, \dots, x_{14}) \in (\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\})^{13}$ such that the tuple (x_1, \dots, x_{14}) solves the system C . Lemma 7 guarantees that $x_1 = \min(x_1, \dots, x_{14})$. Since $C \subseteq G_{14}$, the statement $\Psi(14)$ and the inequality $b(14) < x_1 = \min(x_1, \dots, x_{14})$ imply that the system C has infinitely many solutions in positive integers x_1, \dots, x_{14} . According to Lemma 7, there are infinitely many twin primes. \square

Corollary 2. *(cf. [1]). Assuming the statement $\Psi(14)$, a single query to an oracle for the halting problem decides the twin prime problem.*

References

- [1] F. G. Dorais, *Can the twin prime problem be solved with a single use of a halting oracle?* July 23, 2011, <http://mathoverflow.net/questions/71050>.
- [2] M. Erickson, A. Vazzana, D. Garth, *Introduction to number theory*, 2nd ed., CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2016.
- [3] W. Narkiewicz, *Rational number theory in the 20th century: From PNT to FLT*, Springer, London, 2012.
- [4] M. Overholt, *The Diophantine equation $n! + 1 = m^2$* , Bull. London Math. Soc. 25 (1993), no. 2, 104.
- [5] E. W. Weisstein, *CRC Concise Encyclopedia of Mathematics*, 2nd ed., Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2002.

Apoloniusz Tyszka
Technical Faculty
Hugo Kołłątaj University
Balicka 116B, 30-149 Kraków, Poland
E-mail: rttyszka@cyf-kr.edu.pl