

A common approach to solving the equation $x(x + 1) = y!$ and proving the infinitude of Wilson primes

Apoloniusz Tyszka

Abstract

For a positive integer x , let $\Gamma(x)$ denote $(x - 1)!$. Let $\Gamma^{-1}: \{1, 2, 6, 24, \dots\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ denote the inverse function that satisfies $\Gamma^{-1}(1) = 2$. For a positive integer n , by a Γ -computation of length n we understand any sequence of terms x_1, \dots, x_n such that x_1 is identical to the variable x and for every integer $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ there exist integers $j, k \in \{1, \dots, i - 1\}$ such that x_i is identical to $x_j \cdot x_k$, or $\Gamma(x_j)$, or $\Gamma^{-1}(x_j)$. For a positive integer n , by a Q-computation of length n we understand any sequence of terms x_1, \dots, x_n such that x_1 is identical to the variable x and for every integer $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ there exist integers $j, k \in \{1, \dots, i - 1\}$ such that x_i is identical to $x_j \cdot x_k$, or $\frac{x_j}{x_k}$, or $\Gamma(x_j)$, or $\Gamma^{-1}(x_j)$. Let $f(6) = 15!$, and let $f(n + 1) = \Gamma(f(n))$ for every integer $n \geq 6$. Let $g(6) = 24!$, and let $g(n + 1) = \Gamma(g(n))$ for every integer $n \geq 6$. For an integer $n \geq 6$, let Ψ_n denote the following statement: if a Γ -computation of length n produces positive integers x_1, \dots, x_n for at most finitely many positive integers x , then $\max(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq f(n)$ for every such x . For an integer $n \geq 6$, let Φ_n denote the following statement: if a Q-computation of length n produces positive integers x_1, \dots, x_n for at most finitely many positive integers x , then $\max(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq g(n)$ for every such x . We prove: (1) the statement Ψ_6 implies that if the equation $x(x + 1) = y!$ has at most finitely many solutions in positive integers, then each such solution (x, y) belongs to the set $\{(1, 2), (2, 3)\}$; (2) if $y! + 1$ is a square for at most finitely many positive integers y , then the statement Ψ_8 implies that every such y is smaller than $f(7)$; (3) the statement Φ_7 implies that the set of Wilson primes is infinite.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11D85, 11A41.

Key words and phrases: Brocard-Ramanujan equation, Brocard's problem, equation $x(x + 1) = y!$, primes of the form $n^2 + 1$, primes of the form $n! + 1$, Sophie Germain primes, twin primes, Wilson primes, Wilson's theorem.

For a positive integer x , let $\Gamma(x)$ denote $(x - 1)!$. Let $\Gamma^{-1}: \{1, 2, 6, 24, \dots\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ denote the inverse function that satisfies $\Gamma^{-1}(1) = 2$. For positive integers x and y , let $\text{rest}(x, y)$ denote the rest from dividing x by y .

Definition 1. For a positive integer n , by a Γ -computation of length n we understand any sequence of terms x_1, \dots, x_n such that x_1 is identical to the variable x and for every integer $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ there exist integers $j, k \in \{1, \dots, i - 1\}$ such that x_i is identical to $x_j \cdot x_k$, or $\Gamma(x_j)$, or $\Gamma^{-1}(x_j)$.

Definition 2. For a positive integer n , by a Q -computation of length n we understand any sequence of terms x_1, \dots, x_n such that x_1 is identical to the variable x and for every integer $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ there exist integers $j, k \in \{1, \dots, i-1\}$ such that x_i is identical to $x_j \cdot x_k$, or $\frac{x_j}{x_k}$, or $\Gamma(x_j)$, or $\Gamma^{-1}(x_j)$.

Definition 3. For a positive integer n , by a R -computation of length n we understand any sequence of terms x_1, \dots, x_n such that x_1 is identical to the variable x and for every integer $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ there exist integers $j, k \in \{1, \dots, i-1\}$ such that x_i is identical to $x_j \cdot x_k$, or $\text{rest}(x_j, x_k)$, or $\Gamma(x_j)$, or $\Gamma^{-1}(x_j)$.

Let $f(6) = 15!$, and let $f(n+1) = \Gamma(f(n))$ for every integer $n \geq 6$. For an integer $n \geq 6$, let Ψ_n denote the following statement: if a Γ -computation of length n produces positive integers x_1, \dots, x_n for at most finitely many positive integers x , then $\max(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq f(n)$ for every such x .

Theorem 1. For every integer $n \geq 6$ and for every positive integer x , the following Γ -computation

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_1 := x \\ x_2 := x_1 \cdot x_1 \\ x_3 := \Gamma^{-1}(x_2) \\ x_4 := x_3 \cdot x_3 \\ x_5 := x_4 \cdot x_4 \\ \forall i \in \{6, \dots, n\} x_i := \Gamma(x_{i-1}) \end{array} \right.$$

produces positive integers x_1, \dots, x_n if and only if $x = 1$. If $x = 1$, then $\max(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f(n)$.

Proof. If $x = 1$, then $x_1 = x_2 = 1$, $x_3 = 2$, $x_4 = 4$, $x_5 = 16$, and $x_i = f(i)$ for every integer $i \in \{6, \dots, n\}$. Hence, $\max(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f(n)$. If an integer x is greater than 1, then the term x_3 (that is identical to $\Gamma^{-1}(x^2)$) is not a positive integer, see [3] for a more general result. \square

Theorem 2. For every integer $n \geq 6$, the bound $f(n)$ in the statement Ψ_n cannot be decreased.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 1. \square

Let $g(6) = 24!$, and let $g(n+1) = \Gamma(g(n))$ for every integer $n \geq 6$. For an integer $n \geq 6$, let Φ_n denote the following statement: if a Q -computation of length n produces positive integers x_1, \dots, x_n for at most finitely many positive integers x , then $\max(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq g(n)$ for every such x .

Theorem 3. For every integer $n \geq 6$ and for every positive integer x , the following Q -computation

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_1 := x \\ x_2 := x_1 \cdot x_1 \\ x_3 := \Gamma(x_2) \\ x_4 := \Gamma(x_1) \\ x_5 := \Gamma(x_4) \\ x_6 := \frac{x_3}{x_5} \\ x_7 := \Gamma(x_3) \text{ (if } n \geq 7) \\ \forall i \in \{8, \dots, n\} x_i := \Gamma(x_{i-1}) \text{ (if } n \geq 8) \end{array} \right.$$

produces positive integers x_1, \dots, x_n if and only if $x \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$. If $x \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, then $\max(x_1, \dots, x_n) < g(n)$. If $x = 5$, then $\max(x_1, \dots, x_n) = g(n)$.

Proof. If $x = 1$, then $x_1 = \dots = x_6 = 1$. Since x_3 is a positive integer, we obtain that x_7, \dots, x_n are positive integers, if $n \geq 7$. Since $\max(x_1, \dots, x_6) < 24!$, we obtain that $\max(x_1, \dots, x_n) < g(n)$.

If $x = 2$, then $x_1 = 2, x_2 = 4, x_3 = 6, x_4 = 1, x_5 = 1, x_6 = 6$. Since x_3 is a positive integer, we obtain that x_7, \dots, x_n are positive integers, if $n \geq 7$. Since $\max(x_1, \dots, x_6) < 24!$, we obtain that $\max(x_1, \dots, x_n) < g(n)$.

If $x = 3$, then $x_1 = 3, x_2 = 9, x_3 = 8!, x_4 = 2, x_5 = 1, x_6 = 8!$. Since x_3 is a positive integer, we obtain that x_7, \dots, x_n are positive integers, if $n \geq 7$. Since $\max(x_1, \dots, x_6) < 24!$, we obtain that $\max(x_1, \dots, x_n) < g(n)$.

If $x = 4$, then $x_1 = 4, x_2 = 16, x_3 = 15!, x_4 = 6, x_5 = 120, x_6 = \frac{15!}{120} = 10897286400$. Since x_3 is a positive integer, we obtain that x_7, \dots, x_n are positive integers, if $n \geq 7$. Since $\max(x_1, \dots, x_6) < 24!$, we obtain that $\max(x_1, \dots, x_n) < g(n)$.

If $x = 5$, then

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= 5 \\ x_2 &= x_1 \cdot x_1 = 25 \\ x_3 &= \Gamma(x_2) = 24! \\ x_4 &= \Gamma(x_1) = 24 \\ x_5 &= \Gamma(x_4) = 23! \\ x_6 &= \frac{x_3}{x_5} = \frac{24!}{23!} = 24 \end{aligned}$$

Since x_3 is a positive integer, we obtain that x_7, \dots, x_n are positive integers, if $n \geq 7$. Since $x_3 = \max(x_1, \dots, x_6) = 24!$, we obtain that $\max(x_1, \dots, x_n) = g(n)$.

If an integer x is greater than 5, then

$$x_6 = \frac{x_3}{x_5} = \frac{\Gamma(x^2)}{\Gamma(\Gamma(x))} < 1$$

□

Theorem 4. For every integer $n \geq 6$, the bound $g(n)$ in the statement Φ_n cannot be decreased.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 3. □

Let $h(6) = 119!$, and let $h(n+1) = \Gamma(h(n))$ for every integer $n \geq 6$. For an integer $n \geq 6$, let Θ_n denote the following statement: if a R-computation of length n produces positive integers x_1, \dots, x_n for at most finitely many positive integers x , then $\max(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq h(n)$ for every such x .

Lemma 1. ([7, pp. 214–215]). For every positive integer x , x does not divide $\Gamma(x)$ if and only if $x = 4$ or x is prime.

Theorem 5. For every integer $n \geq 6$ and for every positive integer x , the following R-computation

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_1 := x \\ x_2 := x_1 \cdot x_1 \\ x_3 := \Gamma(x_2) \\ x_4 := \text{rest}(x_3, x_2) \\ x_5 := \Gamma(x_3) \\ \forall i \in \{6, \dots, n\} \ x_i := \Gamma(x_{i-1}) \end{array} \right.$$

produces positive integers x_1, \dots, x_n if and only if $x = 2$. If $x = 2$, then $\max(x_1, \dots, x_n) = h(n)$.

Proof. If $x = 1$, then $x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = 1$ and $x_4 = 0$. If $x = 2$, then $x_1 = 2, x_2 = 4, x_3 = 6, x_4 = 2, x_5 = 120$, and $x_i = h(i)$ for every integer $i \in \{6, \dots, n\}$. Therefore, $\max(x_1, \dots, x_n) = h(n)$. If an integer x is greater than 2, then x^2 is composite and greater than 4. By Lemma 1,

$$x_4 = \text{rest}(x_3, x_2) = \text{rest}(\Gamma(x_2), x_2) = \text{rest}(\Gamma(x^2), x^2) = 0$$

□

Theorem 6. For every integer $n \geq 6$, the bound $h(n)$ in the statement Θ_n cannot be decreased.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 5. □

Lemma 2. For every positive integer n , there are only finitely many Γ -computations of length n . For every positive integer n , there are only finitely many Q -computations of length n . For every positive integer n , there are only finitely many R -computations of length n .

Theorem 7. For every integer $n \geq 6$, the statement Ψ_n is true with an unknown integer bound that depends on n . For every integer $n \geq 6$, the statement Φ_n is true with an unknown integer bound that depends on n . For every integer $n \geq 6$, the statement Θ_n is true with an unknown integer bound that depends on n .

Proof. It follows from Lemma 2. □

Theorem 8. For every integer $n \geq 6$, the statement Ψ_{n+1} implies the statement Ψ_n . For every integer $n \geq 6$, the statement Φ_{n+1} implies the statement Φ_n . For every integer $n \geq 6$, the statement Θ_{n+1} implies the statement Θ_n .

Proof. We present only the proof for the statement Ψ_{n+1} as the proofs for the statements Φ_{n+1} and Θ_{n+1} are essentially the same. Let $n \in \{6, 7, 8, \dots\}$. Let us assume that a Γ -computation \mathcal{W} of length n produces positive integers x_1, \dots, x_n for at most finitely many positive integers x . This implies that for every integer $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ the Γ -computation \mathcal{W} with added instruction $x_{n+1} := \Gamma(x_i)$ produces positive integers x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} for at most finitely many positive integers x . The statement Ψ_{n+1} implies that

$$\forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \quad \Gamma(x_i) = x_{n+1} \leq f(n+1) = \Gamma(f(n))$$

Since $f(n) > 1$, we obtain that $x_i \leq f(n)$ for every integer $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. □

Lemma 3. For every positive integer x , the term $\Gamma^{-1}(x \cdot \Gamma(x))$ represents $x + 1$.

Lemma 4. For every positive integer x , $x(x+1)$ is a factorial of a positive integer if and only if the following Γ -computation \mathcal{A}

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_1 := x \\ x_2 := \Gamma(x_1) \\ x_3 := x_1 \cdot x_2 \\ x_4 := \Gamma^{-1}(x_3) \\ x_5 := x_1 \cdot x_4 \\ x_6 := \Gamma^{-1}(x_5) \end{array} \right.$$

produces positive integers x_1, \dots, x_6 .

Proof. By Lemma 3, for every positive integer x the terms x_1, \dots, x_5 represent positive integers and $x_5 = x(x+1)$. Hence, x_6 that is identical to $\Gamma^{-1}(x_5)$ represents a positive integer if and only if $\Gamma^{-1}(x(x+1))$ represents a positive integer. The last means that $x(x+1)$ equals $y!$ for some positive integer y . \square

Theorem 9. *The statement Ψ_6 implies that if the equation $x(x+1) = y!$ has at most finitely many solutions in positive integers, then each such solution (x, y) belongs to the set $\{(1, 2), (2, 3)\}$.*

Proof. Let us assume that the equation $x(x+1) = y!$ has at most finitely many solutions in positive integers. By Lemma 4, the Γ -computation \mathcal{A} produces positive integers x_1, \dots, x_6 for at most finitely many positive integers x . We take positive integers n and m that satisfy $n(n+1) = m!$. By Lemma 4, the Γ -computation \mathcal{A} for $x = n$ produces positive integers x_1, \dots, x_6 . The statement Ψ_6 implies that $x_2 = \Gamma(n) \leq f(6) = \Gamma(16)$. Since $16 > 1$, we obtain that $n \leq 16$. For every integer $n \in \{1, \dots, 16\}$, $n(n+1)$ is a factorial of a positive integer if and only if $n \in \{1, 2\}$. \square

The question of solving the equation $x(x+1) = y!$ was posed by P. Erdős, see [1]. F. Luca proved that the *abc* conjecture implies that the equation $x(x+1) = y!$ has only finitely many solutions in positive integers, see [5].

A weak form of Szpiro's conjecture implies that there are only finitely many solutions to the equation $y! + 1 = x^2$, see [6]. Let

$$F_1 = \{y \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\} : \exists x \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\} \ y! + 1 = x^2\}$$

It is conjectured that $F_1 = \{4, 5, 7\}$, see [9, p. 297].

Lemma 5. *The set F_1 is finite if and only if the set*

$$F_2 = \{x \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\} : \exists y \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\} \ x(x+2) = y!\}$$

is finite.

Proof. If $y! + 1 = x^2$, then $x \geq 5$ and $(x-1)((x-1)+2) = y!$. If $x(x+2) = y!$, then $y! + 1 = (x+1)^2$. \square

Lemma 6. *For every positive integer x , the following Γ -computation \mathcal{B}*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_1 := x \\ x_2 := \Gamma(x_1) \\ x_3 := x_1 \cdot x_2 \\ x_4 := \Gamma^{-1}(x_3) \\ x_5 := x_4 \cdot x_3 \\ x_6 := \Gamma^{-1}(x_5) \\ x_7 := x_1 \cdot x_6 \\ x_8 := \Gamma^{-1}(x_7) \end{array} \right.$$

produces positive integers x_1, \dots, x_8 if and only if $x(x+2)$ is a factorial of a positive integer.

Proof. By Lemma 3, for every positive integer x , the terms x_1, \dots, x_7 represent positive integers and $x_7 = x \cdot (x+2)$. The term x_8 (that is identical to $\Gamma^{-1}(x(x+2))$) represents a positive integer if and only if $x(x+2)$ is a factorial of a positive integer. \square

Theorem 10. *If $y! + 1$ is a square for at most finitely many positive integers y , then the statement Ψ_8 implies that every such y is smaller than $f(7)$.*

Proof. If positive integers n and m satisfy $n! + 1 = m^2$, then $m \geq 5$ and

$$(m - 1) \cdot ((m - 1) + 2) = \Gamma(n + 1)$$

By this and Lemma 6, the Γ -computation \mathcal{B} produces for $x = m - 1$ positive integers x_1, \dots, x_8 . The antecedent and Lemma 5 imply that the set F_2 is finite. Therefore, the statement Ψ_8 guarantees that $\Gamma(n + 1) = x_7 \leq f(8) = \Gamma(f(7))$. Since $f(7) > 1$, we obtain that $n + 1 \leq f(7)$. Thus, $n < f(7)$. \square

Lemma 7. *(Wilson's theorem, [4, p. 89]). For every positive integer x , x divides $\Gamma(x) + 1$ if and only if $x = 1$ or x is prime.*

A Wilson prime is a prime number p such that p^2 divides $(p - 1)! + 1$. It is conjectured that the set of Wilson primes is infinite, see [2].

Lemma 8. *For every positive integer x , the following Q -computation C*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_1 := x \\ x_2 := \Gamma(x_1) \\ x_3 := \Gamma(x_2) \\ x_4 := x_2 \cdot x_3 \\ x_5 := \Gamma^{-1}(x_4) \\ x_6 := \frac{x_5}{x_1} \\ x_7 := \frac{x_6}{x_1} \end{array} \right.$$

produces positive integers x_1, \dots, x_7 if and only if $x = 1$ or x is a Wilson prime.

Proof. By Lemma 3, for every positive integer x , the terms x_1, \dots, x_5 represent positive integers and $x_5 = \Gamma(x) + 1$. By Lemma 7, the term x_6 (that is identical to $\frac{\Gamma(x) + 1}{x}$) and the term x_7 (that is identical to $\frac{\Gamma(x) + 1}{x^2}$) represent positive integers if and only if $x = 1$ or x is a Wilson prime. \square

Theorem 11. *The statement Φ_7 implies that the set of Wilson primes is infinite.*

Proof. The number 563 is a Wilson prime, see [2] and [8]. By Lemma 8, for $x = 563$ the Q -computation C produces positive integers x_1, \dots, x_7 . We have:

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= 563 \\ x_2 &= \Gamma(563) \\ x_3 &= \Gamma(\Gamma(563)) \\ x_4 &= \Gamma(563) \cdot \Gamma(\Gamma(563)) = \Gamma(\Gamma(563) + 1) \\ x_5 &= \Gamma(563) + 1 \\ x_6 &= \frac{\Gamma(563) + 1}{563} \\ x_7 &= \frac{\Gamma(\Gamma(563) + 1)}{563^2} \end{aligned}$$

Since $\max(x_1, \dots, x_7) = x_4 = \Gamma(\Gamma(563) + 1) > \Gamma(24!) = \Gamma(g(6)) = g(7)$, the statement Φ_7 implies that the Q -computation C produces positive integers x_1, \dots, x_7 for infinitely many positive integers x . By Lemma 8, we obtain that the set of Wilson primes is infinite. \square

Let

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}_1 &= \{n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\} : n! + 1 \text{ is prime}\} \\ \mathcal{T}_2 &= \{n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\} : (n \text{ is prime}) \wedge (n! + 1 \text{ is prime})\} \\ \mathcal{T}_3 &= \{n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\} : (n \text{ is prime}) \wedge (n + 2 \text{ is prime})\} \\ \mathcal{T}_4 &= \{n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\} : n^2 + 1 \text{ is prime}\} \\ \mathcal{T}_5 &= \{n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\} : (n^2 + 1 \text{ is prime}) \wedge (n^2 + 3 \text{ is prime})\} \\ \mathcal{T}_6 &= \{n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\} : (n \text{ is prime}) \wedge (2n + 1 \text{ is prime})\}\end{aligned}$$

It is commonly conjectured that the sets $\mathcal{T}_1, \dots, \mathcal{T}_6$ are infinite.

Theorem 12. *For every integer $i \in \{1, \dots, 6\}$ we can compute positive integers j and k such that the statement Φ_j implies that any element of \mathcal{T}_i that is greater than k proves that the set \mathcal{T}_i is infinite.*

Proof. The proof is left to the reader, because for every integer $i \in \{1, \dots, 6\}$ the proof essentially goes as in the proof of Theorem 11. \square

Lemma 9. *For every positive integer x , the following R-computation \mathcal{D}*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_1 := x \\ x_2 := x_1 \cdot x_1 \\ x_3 := \Gamma(x_2) \\ x_4 := x_2 \cdot x_3 \\ x_5 := \Gamma^{-1}(x_4) \\ x_6 := \text{rest}(x_4, x_5) \end{array} \right.$$

produces positive integers x_1, \dots, x_6 if and only if $x^2 + 1$ is prime.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 1 because $x^2 + 1 \neq 4$. \square

Theorem 13. *The statement Θ_6 implies that there are infinitely many primes of the form $n^2 + 1$.*

Proof. The number $14^2 + 1$ is prime. By Lemma 9, for $x = 14$ the R-computation \mathcal{D} produces positive integers x_1, \dots, x_6 . Since $x_4 = \Gamma(14^2 + 1) > \Gamma(120) = h(6)$, the statement Θ_6 guarantees that the R-computation \mathcal{D} produces positive integers x_1, \dots, x_6 for infinitely many positive integers x . By Lemma 9, we obtain that there are infinitely many primes of the form $n^2 + 1$. \square

Lemma 10. *For every positive integer x , the following R-computation \mathcal{E}*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_1 := x \\ x_2 := \Gamma(x_1) \\ x_3 := \Gamma(x_2) \\ x_4 := x_2 \cdot x_3 \\ x_5 := \Gamma^{-1}(x_4) \\ x_6 := \text{rest}(x_4, x_5) \end{array} \right.$$

produces positive integers x_1, \dots, x_6 if and only if $\Gamma(x) + 1$ is prime.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 1 because $\Gamma(x) + 1 \neq 4$. \square

Theorem 14. *The statement Θ_6 implies that there are infinitely many primes of the form $n! + 1$.*

Proof. The number $\Gamma(12) + 1$ is prime. By Lemma 10, for $x = 12$ the R-computation \mathcal{E} produces positive integers x_1, \dots, x_6 . Since $x_4 = \Gamma(\Gamma(12) + 1) > \Gamma(120) = h(6)$, the statement Θ_6 guarantees that the R-computation \mathcal{E} produces positive integers x_1, \dots, x_6 for infinitely many positive integers x . By Lemma 10, we obtain that there are infinitely many primes of the form $\Gamma(x) + 1$. \square

Lemma 11. *For every positive integer x , the following R-computation*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_1 := x \\ x_2 := \Gamma(x_1) \\ x_3 := x_1 \cdot x_2 \\ x_4 := \Gamma^{-1}(x_3) \\ x_5 := x_4 \cdot x_3 \\ x_6 := \Gamma^{-1}(x_5) \\ x_7 := \text{rest}(x_2, x_1) \\ x_8 := \text{rest}(x_5, x_6) \end{array} \right.$$

produces positive integers x_1, \dots, x_8 if and only if $x = 2$ or both x and $x + 2$ are prime.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 1. \square

Theorem 15. *The statement Θ_8 implies that any twin prime greater than $h(7)-2$ proves that the set of twin primes is infinite.*

Proof. The proof is based on Lemma 11. We omit this proof because is similar to the proof of Theorem 11. \square

References

- [1] D. Berend and J. E. Harmse, *On polynomial-factorial Diophantine equations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 358 (2006), no. 4, 1741–1779.
- [2] C. K. Caldwell, *The Prime Glossary: Wilson prime*, <http://primes.utm.edu/glossary/xpage/WilsonPrime.html>.
- [3] P. Erdős and J. L. Selfridge, *The product of consecutive integers is never a power*, Illinois J. Math. 19 (1975), 292–301.
- [4] M. Erickson, A. Vazzana, D. Garth, *Introduction to number theory*, 2nd ed., CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2016.
- [5] F. Luca, *The Diophantine equation $P(x) = n!$ and a result of M. Overholt*, Glas. Mat. Ser. III 37 (57) (2002), no. 2, 269–273.
- [6] M. Overholt, *The Diophantine equation $n! + 1 = m^2$* , Bull. London Math. Soc. 25 (1993), no. 2, 104.
- [7] W. Sierpiński, *Elementary theory of numbers*, 2nd ed. (ed. A. Schinzel), PWN (Polish Scientific Publishers) and North-Holland, Warsaw-Amsterdam, 1987.

- [8] N. J. A. Sloane, *The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*, A007540, *Wilson primes: primes p such that $(p - 1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p^2}$* , <http://oeis.org/A007540>.
- [9] E. W. Weisstein, *CRC Concise Encyclopedia of Mathematics*, 2nd ed., Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2002.

Apoloniusz Tyszka
University of Agriculture
Faculty of Production and Power Engineering
Balicka 116B, 30-149 Kraków, Poland
E-mail: rttyszka@cyf-kr.edu.pl