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#### Abstract

For a positive integer $x$, let $\Gamma(x)$ denote $(x-1)$ !. Let $\Gamma^{-1}:\{1,2,6,24, \ldots\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \backslash\{0\}$ denote the inverse function that satisfies $\Gamma^{-1}(1)=2$. For a positive integer $n$, by a $\Gamma$-computation of length $n$ we understand any sequence of terms $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ such that $x_{1}$ is identical to the variable $x$ and for every integer $i \in\{2, \ldots, n\}$ there exist integers $j, k \in\{1, \ldots, i-1\}$ such that $x_{i}$ is identical to $x_{j} \cdot x_{k}$, or $\Gamma\left(x_{j}\right)$, or $\Gamma^{-1}\left(x_{j}\right)$. Let $f(6)=15$ !, and let $f(n+1)=\Gamma(f(n))$ for every integer $n \geqslant 6$. For an integer $n \geqslant 6$, let $\Psi_{n}$ denote the following statement: if a $\Gamma$-computation of length $n$ produces positive integers $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ for at most finitely many positive integers $x$, then $\max \left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \leqslant f(n)$ for every such $x$. For every integer $n \geqslant 6$, we formulate the statements $\Phi_{n}$ and $\Theta_{n}$. We prove: (1) the statement $\Psi_{6}$ implies that if the equation $x(x+1)=y$ ! has at most finitely many solutions in positive integers, then each such solution $(x, y)$ belongs to the set $\{(1,2),(2,3)\}$; (2) if $y!+1$ is a square for at most finitely many positive integers $y$, then the statement $\Psi_{8}$ implies that every such $y$ is smaller than $f(7)$; (3) the statement $\Phi_{7}$ implies that the set of Wilson primes is infinite; (4) the statement $\Theta_{6}$ implies that there are infinitely many primes of the form $n^{2}+1$; (5) the statement $\Theta_{6}$ implies that there are infinitely many primes of the form $n!+1$; (6) the statement $\Theta_{6}$ implies that there are infinitely many primes of the form $n!-1$; (7) the statement $\Theta_{8}$ implies that any twin prime that is greater than $\Gamma(\Gamma(120))$ proves that the set of twin primes is infinite.
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For a positive integer $x$, let $\Gamma(x)$ denote $(x-1)$ !. Let $\Gamma^{-1}:\{1,2,6,24, \ldots\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \backslash\{0\}$ denote the inverse function that satisfies $\Gamma^{-1}(1)=2$. For positive integers $x$ and $y$, let rest $(x, y)$ denote the rest from dividing $x$ by $y$.

Definition 1. For a positive integer n, by a $\Gamma$-computation of length $n$ we understand any sequence of terms $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ such that $x_{1}$ is identical to the variable $x$ and for every integer $i \in\{2, \ldots, n\}$ there exist integers $j, k \in\{1, \ldots, i-1\}$ such that $x_{i}$ is identical to $x_{j} \cdot x_{k}$, or $\Gamma\left(x_{j}\right)$, or $\Gamma^{-1}\left(x_{j}\right)$.

Definition 2. For a positive integer $n$, by a $Q$-computation of length $n$ we understand any sequence of terms $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ such that $x_{1}$ is identical to the variable $x$ and for every integer $i \in\{2, \ldots, n\}$ there exist integers $j, k \in\{1, \ldots, i-1\}$ such that $x_{i}$ is identical to $x_{j} \cdot x_{k}$, or $\frac{x_{j}}{x_{k}}$, or $\Gamma\left(x_{j}\right)$, or $\Gamma^{-1}\left(x_{j}\right)$.

Definition 3. For a positive integer n, by a $R$-computation of length $n$ we understand any sequence of terms $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ such that $x_{1}$ is identical to the variable $x$ and for every integer $i \in\{2, \ldots, n\}$ there exist integers $j, k \in\{1, \ldots, i-1\}$ such that $x_{i}$ is identical to $x_{j} \cdot x_{k}$, or $\operatorname{rest}\left(x_{j}, x_{k}\right)$, or $\Gamma\left(x_{j}\right)$, or $\Gamma^{-1}\left(x_{j}\right)$.

Let $f(6)=15$ !, and let $f(n+1)=\Gamma(f(n))$ for every integer $n \geqslant 6$. For an integer $n \geqslant 6$, let $\Psi_{n}$ denote the following statement: if a $\Gamma$-computation of length $n$ produces positive integers $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ for at most finitely many positive integers $x$, then $\max \left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \leqslant f(n)$ for every such $x$.

Theorem 1. For every integer $n \geqslant 6$ and for every positive integer $x$, the following $\Gamma$-computation
produces positive integers $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ if and only if $x=1$. If $x=1$, then $\max \left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=f(n)$.
Proof. If $x=1$, then $x_{1}=x_{2}=1, x_{3}=2, x_{4}=4, x_{5}=16$, and $x_{i}=f(i)$ for every integer $i \in\{6, \ldots, n\}$. Hence, $\max \left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=f(n)$. If an integer $x$ is greater than 1 , then the term $x_{3}$ (that is identical to $\Gamma^{-1}\left(x^{2}\right)$ ) is not a positive integer ([4] p. 46]), see also [5], where a more general problem is solved.

Theorem 2. For every integer $n \geqslant 6$, the bound $f(n)$ in the statement $\Psi_{n}$ cannot be decreased.
Proof. It follows from Theorem 1 .
Let $g(6)=24!$, and let $g(n+1)=\Gamma(g(n))$ for every integer $n \geqslant 6$. For an integer $n \geqslant 6$, let $\Phi_{n}$ denote the following statement: if a Q-computation of length $n$ produces positive integers $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ for at most finitely many positive integers $x$, then $\max \left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \leqslant g(n)$ for every such $x$.

Theorem 3. For every integer $n \geqslant 6$ and for every positive integer $x$, the following Q-computation

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
x_{1} & :=x \\
x_{2} & :=x_{1} \cdot x_{1} \\
x_{3} & :=\Gamma\left(x_{2}\right) \\
x_{4} & :=\Gamma\left(x_{1}\right) \\
x_{5} & :=\Gamma\left(x_{4}\right) \\
x_{6} & :=\frac{x_{3}}{x_{5}} \\
x_{7} & :=\Gamma\left(x_{3}\right) \quad(\text { if } n \geqslant 7) \\
\forall i \in\{8, \ldots, n\} x_{i} & :=\Gamma\left(x_{i-1}\right)(\text { if } n \geqslant 8)
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

produces positive integers $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ if and only if $x \in\{1,2,3,4,5\}$. If $x \in\{1,2,3,4\}$, then $\max \left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)<g(n)$. If $x=5$, then $\max \left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=g(n)$.

Proof. If $x=1$, then $x_{1}=\ldots=x_{6}=1$. Since $x_{3}$ is a positive integer, we obtain that $x_{7}, \ldots, x_{n}$ are positive integers, if $n \geqslant 7$. Since $\max \left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{6}\right)<24$ !, we obtain that $\max \left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)<g(n)$.

If $x=2$, then $x_{1}=2, x_{2}=4, x_{3}=6, x_{4}=1, x_{5}=1, x_{6}=6$. Since $x_{3}$ is a positive integer, we obtain that $x_{7}, \ldots, x_{n}$ are positive integers, if $n \geqslant 7$. Since $\max \left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{6}\right)<24$ !, we obtain that $\max \left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)<g(n)$.
If $x=3$, then $x_{1}=3, x_{2}=9, x_{3}=8!, x_{4}=2, x_{5}=1, x_{6}=8!$. Since $x_{3}$ is a positive integer, we obtain that $x_{7}, \ldots, x_{n}$ are positive integers, if $n \geqslant 7$. Since $\max \left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{6}\right)<24$ !, we obtain that $\max \left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)<g(n)$.
If $x=4$, then $x_{1}=4, x_{2}=16, x_{3}=15!, x_{4}=6, x_{5}=120, x_{6}=\frac{15!}{120}=10897286400$. Since $x_{3}$ is a positive integer, we obtain that $x_{7}, \ldots, x_{n}$ are positive integers, if $n \geqslant 7$. Since $\max \left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{6}\right)<24$ !, we obtain that $\max \left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)<g(n)$.
If $x=5$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{1} & =5 \\
x_{2} & =x_{1} \cdot x_{1}=25 \\
x_{3} & =\Gamma\left(x_{2}\right)=24! \\
x_{4} & =\Gamma\left(x_{1}\right)=24 \\
x_{5} & =\Gamma\left(x_{4}\right)=23! \\
x_{6} & =\frac{x_{3}}{x_{5}}=\frac{24!}{23!}=24
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $x_{3}$ is a positive integer, we obtain that $x_{7}, \ldots, x_{n}$ are positive integers, if $n \geqslant 7$. Since $x_{3}=\max \left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{6}\right)=24$ !, we obtain that $\max \left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=g(n)$.
If an integer $x$ is greater than 5 , then

$$
x_{6}=\frac{x_{3}}{x_{5}}=\frac{\Gamma\left(x^{2}\right)}{\Gamma(\Gamma(x))}<1
$$

Theorem 4. For every integer $n \geqslant 6$, the bound $g(n)$ in the statement $\Phi_{n}$ cannot be decreased.
Proof. It follows from Theorem 3 .
Let $h(6)=119!$, and let $h(n+1)=\Gamma(h(n))$ for every integer $n \geqslant 6$. For an integer $n \geqslant 6$, let $\Theta_{n}$ denote the following statement: if a R-computation of length $n$ produces positive integers $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ for at most finitely many positive integers $x$, then $\max \left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \leqslant h(n)$ for every such $x$.

Lemma 1. ([I]] pp.214-215]). For every positive integer $x$, $x$ does not divide $\Gamma(x)$ if and only if $x=4$ or $x$ is prime.

Theorem 5. For every integer $n \geqslant 6$ and for every positive integer $x$, the following $R$-computation

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
x_{1} & :=x \\
x_{2} & :=x_{1} \cdot x_{1} \\
x_{3} & :=\Gamma\left(x_{2}\right) \\
x_{4} & :=\operatorname{rest}\left(x_{3}, x_{2}\right) \\
x_{5} & :=\Gamma\left(x_{3}\right) \\
\forall i \in\{6, \ldots, n\} x_{i} & :=\Gamma\left(x_{i-1}\right)
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

produces positive integers $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ if and only if $x=2$. If $x=2$, then $\max \left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=h(n)$.

Proof. If $x=1$, then $x_{1}=x_{2}=x_{3}=1$ and $x_{4}=0$. If $x=2$, then $x_{1}=2, x_{2}=4, x_{3}=6, x_{4}=2$, $x_{5}=120$, and $x_{i}=h(i)$ for every integer $i \in\{6, \ldots, n\}$. Therefore, $\max \left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=h(n)$. If an integer $x$ is greater than 2 , then $x^{2}$ is composite and greater than 4 . By Lemma 1 ,

$$
x_{4}=\operatorname{rest}\left(x_{3}, x_{2}\right)=\operatorname{rest}\left(\Gamma\left(x_{2}\right), x_{2}\right)=\operatorname{rest}\left(\Gamma\left(x^{2}\right), x^{2}\right)=0
$$

Theorem 6. For every integer $n \geqslant 6$, the bound $h(n)$ in the statement $\Theta_{n}$ cannot be decreased.
Proof. It follows from Theorem 5 .
Lemma 2. For every positive integer $n$, there are only finitely many $\Gamma$-computations of length $n$. For every positive integer $n$, there are only finitely many $Q$-computations of length n. For every positive integer $n$, there are only finitely many $R$-computations of length $n$.

Theorem 7. For every integer $n \geqslant 6$, the statement $\Psi_{n}$ is true with an unknown integer bound that depends on $n$. For every integer $n \geqslant 6$, the statement $\Phi_{n}$ is true with an unknown integer bound that depends on $n$. For every integer $n \geqslant 6$, the statement $\Theta_{n}$ is true with an unknown integer bound that depends on $n$.

## Proof. It follows from Lemma 2 .

Theorem 8. For every integer $n \geqslant 6$, the statement $\Psi_{n+1}$ implies the statement $\Psi_{n}$. For every integer $n \geqslant 6$, the statement $\Phi_{n+1}$ implies the statement $\Phi_{n}$. For every integer $n \geqslant 6$, the statement $\Theta_{n+1}$ implies the statement $\Theta_{n}$.

Proof. We present only the proof for the statement $\Psi_{n+1}$ as the proofs for the statements $\Phi_{n+1}$ and $\Theta_{n+1}$ are essentially the same. Let $n \in\{6,7,8, \ldots\}$. Let us assume that a $\Gamma$-computation $\mathcal{W}$ of length $n$ produces positive integers $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ for at most finitely many positive integers $x$. This implies that for every integer $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ the $\Gamma$-computation $\mathcal{W}$ with added instruction $x_{n+1}:=\Gamma\left(x_{i}\right)$ produces positive integers $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n+1}$ for at most finitely many positive integers $x$. The statement $\Psi_{n+1}$ implies that

$$
\forall i \in\{1, \ldots, n\} \Gamma\left(x_{i}\right)=x_{n+1} \leqslant f(n+1)=\Gamma(f(n))
$$

Since $f(n)>1$, we obtain that $x_{i} \leqslant f(n)$ for every integer $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$.
Hypothesis. The statements $\Psi_{8}, \Phi_{7}$, and $\Theta_{8}$ are true.
Lemma 3. For every positive integer $x$, the term $\Gamma^{-1}(x \cdot \Gamma(x))$ represents $x+1$.
Lemma 4. For every positive integer $x, x(x+1)$ is a factorial of a positive integer if and only if the following $\Gamma$-computation $\mathcal{A}$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{1}:=x \\
x_{2}:=\Gamma\left(x_{1}\right) \\
x_{3}:=x_{1} \cdot x_{2} \\
x_{4}:=\Gamma^{-1}\left(x_{3}\right) \\
x_{5}:=x_{1} \cdot x_{4} \\
x_{6}:=\Gamma^{-1}\left(x_{5}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

produces positive integers $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{6}$.

Proof. By Lemma 3, for every positive integer $x$ the terms $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{5}$ represent positive integers and $x_{5}=x(x+1)$. Hence, $x_{6}$ (that is identical to $\Gamma^{-1}\left(x_{5}\right)$ ) represents a positive integer if and only if $\Gamma^{-1}(x(x+1))$ represents a positive integer. The last means that $x(x+1)$ equals $y$ ! for some positive integer $y$.

Theorem 9. The statement $\Psi_{6}$ implies that if the equation $x(x+1)=y$ ! has at most finitely many solutions in positive integers, then each such solution $(x, y)$ belongs to the set $\{(1,2),(2,3)\}$.

Proof. Let us assume that the equation $x(x+1)=y$ ! has at most finitely many solutions in positive integers. By Lemma 4, the $\Gamma$-computation $\mathcal{A}$ produces positive integers $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{6}$ for at most finitely many positive integers $x$. We take positive integers $n$ and $m$ that satisfy $n(n+1)=m!$. By Lemma 4, the $\Gamma$-computation $\mathcal{A}$ for $x=n$ produces positive integers $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{6}$. The statement $\Psi_{6}$ implies that

$$
x_{3}=n \cdot \Gamma(n)=\Gamma(n+1) \leqslant f(6)=\Gamma(16)
$$

Since $16>1$, we obtain that $n+1 \leqslant 16$. Consequently, $n \leqslant 15$. For every integer $n \in\{1, \ldots, 15\}, n(n+1)$ is a factorial of a positive integer if and only if $n \in\{1,2\}$.

The question of solving the equation $x(x+1)=y$ ! was posed by P. Erdős, see [1]. F. Luca proved that the $a b c$ conjecture implies that the equation $x(x+1)=y$ ! has only finitely many solutions in positive integers, see [7].

A weak form of Szpiro's conjecture implies that there are only finitely many solutions to the equation $y!+1=x^{2}$, see [9]. Let

$$
F_{1}=\left\{y \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{0\}: \exists x \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{0\} y!+1=x^{2}\right\}
$$

It is conjectured that $F_{1}=\{4,5,7\}$, see [14, p. 297].
Lemma 5. The set $F_{1}$ is is finite if and only if the set

$$
F_{2}=\{x \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{0\}: \exists y \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{0\} \quad x(x+2)=y!\}
$$

is finite.
Proof. If $y!+1=x^{2}$, then $x \geqslant 5$ and $(x-1)((x-1)+2)=y!$. If $x(x+2)=y!$, then $y!+1=(x+1)^{2}$.

Lemma 6. For every positive integer $x$, the following $\Gamma$-computation $\mathcal{B}$

$$
\begin{cases}x_{1} & :=x \\ x_{2} & :=\Gamma\left(x_{1}\right) \\ x_{3} & :=x_{1} \cdot x_{2} \\ x_{4} & :=\Gamma^{-1}\left(x_{3}\right) \\ x_{5} & :=x_{4} \cdot x_{3} \\ x_{6} & :=\Gamma^{-1}\left(x_{5}\right) \\ x_{7} & :=x_{1} \cdot x_{6} \\ x_{8} & :=\Gamma^{-1}\left(x_{7}\right)\end{cases}
$$

produces positive integers $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{8}$ if and only if $x(x+2)$ is a factorial of a positive integer.
Proof. By Lemma3, for every positive integer $x$, the terms $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{7}$ represent positive integers and $x_{7}=x \cdot(x+2)$. The term $x_{8}$ (that is identical to $\Gamma^{-1}(x(x+2))$ ) represents a positive integer if and only if $x(x+2)$ is a factorial of a positive integer.

Theorem 10. If $y!+1$ is a square for at most finitely many positive integers $y$, then the statement $\Psi_{8}$ implies that every such $y$ is smaller than $f(7)$.

Proof. If positive integers $n$ and $m$ satisfy $n!+1=m^{2}$, then $m \geqslant 5$ and

$$
(m-1) \cdot((m-1)+2)=\Gamma(n+1)
$$

By this and Lemma 6, the $\Gamma$-computation $\mathcal{B}$ produces for $x=m-1$ positive integers $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{8}$. The antecedent and Lemma 5 imply that the set $F_{2}$ is finite. Therefore, the statement $\Psi_{8}$ guarantees that $\Gamma(n+1)=x_{7} \leqslant f(8)=\Gamma(f(7))$. Since $f(7)>1$, we obtain that $n+1 \leqslant f(7)$. Thus, $n<f(7)$.

Lemma 7. (Wilson's theorem, [6] p. 89]). For every positive integer $x$, $x$ divides $\Gamma(x)+1$ if and only if $x=1$ or $x$ is prime.

A Wilson prime is a prime number $p$ such that $p^{2}$ divides $(p-1)!+1$. It is conjectured that the set of Wilson primes is infinite, [2] and [13].

Lemma 8. For every positive integer $x$, the following $Q$-computation $C$

$$
\begin{cases}x_{1} & :=x \\ x_{2} & :=\Gamma\left(x_{1}\right) \\ x_{3} & :=\Gamma\left(x_{2}\right) \\ x_{4} & :=x_{2} \cdot x_{3} \\ x_{5} & :=\Gamma^{-1}\left(x_{4}\right) \\ x_{6} & :=\frac{x_{5}}{x_{1}} \\ x_{7} & :=\frac{x_{6}}{x_{1}}\end{cases}
$$

produces positive integers $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{7}$ if and only if $x=1$ or $x$ is a Wilson prime.
Proof. By Lemma3, for every positive integer $x$, the terms $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{5}$ represent positive integers and $x_{5}=\Gamma(x)+1$. By Lemma 7 , the term $x_{6}$ (that is identical to $\frac{\Gamma(x)+1}{x}$ ) and the term $x_{7}$ (that is identical to $\frac{\Gamma(x)+1}{x^{2}}$ ) represent positive integers if and only if $x=1$ or $x$ is a Wilson prime.
Theorem 11. The statement $\Phi_{7}$ implies that the set of Wilson primes is infinite.
Proof. The number 563 is a Wilson prime, see [2] and [13]. By Lemma 8, for $x=563$ the Q-computation $C$ produces positive integers $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{7}$. We have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}=563 \\
& x_{2}=\Gamma(563) \\
& x_{3}=\Gamma(\Gamma(563)) \\
& x_{4}=\Gamma(563) \cdot \Gamma(\Gamma(563))=\Gamma(\Gamma(563)+1) \\
& x_{5}=\Gamma(563)+1 \\
& x_{6}=\frac{\Gamma(563)+1}{563} \\
& x_{7}=\frac{\Gamma(563)+1}{563^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\max \left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{7}\right)=x_{4}=\Gamma(\Gamma(563)+1)>\Gamma(24!)=\Gamma(g(6))=g(7)$, the statement $\Phi_{7}$ implies that the Q -computation $C$ produces positive integers $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{7}$ for infinitely many positive integers $x$. By Lemma 8 , we obtain that the set of Wilson primes is infinite.

Lemma 9. For every positive integer $x$, the following $R$-computation $\mathcal{D}$

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
x_{1} & :=x \\
x_{2} & :=x_{1} \cdot x_{1} \\
x_{3} & :=\Gamma\left(x_{2}\right) \\
x_{4} & :=x_{2} \cdot x_{3} \\
x_{5} & :=\Gamma^{-1}\left(x_{4}\right) \\
x_{6} & :=\operatorname{rest}\left(x_{4}, x_{5}\right)
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

produces positive integers $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{6}$ if and only if $x^{2}+1$ is prime.
Proof. It follows from Lemma 1 because $x^{2}+1 \neq 4$.
Edmund Landau's conjecture states that there are infinitely many primes of the form $n^{2}+1$, see [8, pp. 37-38].
Theorem 12. The statement $\Theta_{6}$ implies that there are infinitely many primes of the form $n^{2}+1$.
Proof. The number $14^{2}+1$ is prime. By Lemma 9 , for $x=14$ the R-computation $\mathcal{D}$ produces positive integers $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{6}$. Since $x_{4}=\Gamma\left(14^{2}+1\right)>\Gamma(120)=h(6)$, the statement $\Theta_{6}$ guarantees that the R-computation $\mathcal{D}$ produces positive integers $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{6}$ for infinitely many positive integers $x$. By Lemma 9 , we obtain that there are infinitely many primes of the form $n^{2}+1$.
Lemma 10. For every positive integer $x$, the following $R$-computation $\mathcal{E}$

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
x_{1} & :=x \\
x_{2} & :=\Gamma\left(x_{1}\right) \\
x_{3} & :=\Gamma\left(x_{2}\right) \\
x_{4} & :=x_{2} \cdot x_{3} \\
x_{5} & :=\Gamma^{-1}\left(x_{4}\right) \\
x_{6} & :=\operatorname{rest}\left(x_{4}, x_{5}\right)
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

produces positive integers $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{6}$ if and only if $\Gamma(x)+1$ is prime.
Proof. It follows from Lemma 1 because $\Gamma(x)+1 \neq 4$.
It is conjectured that there are infinitely many primes of the form $n!+1$, see [3, p. 443] and [11].

Theorem 13. The statement $\Theta_{6}$ implies that there are infinitely many primes of the form $n!+1$.
Proof. The number $\Gamma(12)+1$ is prime, see [3, p. 441] and [11]. By Lemma 10, for $x=12$ the R-computation $\mathcal{E}$ produces positive integers $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{6}$. Since $x_{4}=\Gamma(\Gamma(12)+1)>\Gamma(120)=$ $h(6)$, the statement $\Theta_{6}$ guarantees that the R -computation $\mathcal{E}$ produces positive integers $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{6}$ for infinitely many positive integers $x$. By Lemma 10 , we obtain that there are infinitely many primes of the form $\Gamma(x)+1$.

Let $\mathcal{P}$ denote the set of prime numbers, and let $U=\{\Gamma(n)-1: n \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{0\}\}$.
Lemma 11. For every positive integer $x$, the following $R$-computation $\mathcal{F}$

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
x_{1} & :=x \\
x_{2} & :=\Gamma\left(x_{1}\right) \\
x_{3} & :=x_{1} \cdot x_{2} \\
x_{4} & :=\Gamma^{-1}\left(x_{3}\right) \\
x_{5} & :=\Gamma^{-1}\left(x_{4}\right) \\
x_{6} & :=\operatorname{rest}\left(x_{2}, x_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

produces positive integers $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{6}$ if and only if $x \in \mathcal{P} \cap U$.

Proof. By Lemma 1, for every positive integer $x$,

$$
x_{6}=\operatorname{rest}\left(x_{2}, x_{1}\right)=\operatorname{rest}(\Gamma(x), x) \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{0\} \Longleftrightarrow x \in\{4\} \cup \mathcal{P}
$$

By Lemma 3, $x_{4}=x+1$. Hence, for every positive integer $x$,

$$
x_{5}=\Gamma^{-1}\left(x_{4}\right)=\Gamma^{-1}(x+1) \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{0\} \Longleftrightarrow x+1 \in\{\Gamma(n): n \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{0\}\} \Longleftrightarrow x \in U
$$

Since $4 \notin U$, we get $(\{4\} \cup \mathcal{P}) \cap U=\mathcal{P} \cap U$, which completes the proof.
It is conjectured that there are infinitely many primes of the form $n!-1$, see [3, p. 443] and [12].

Theorem 14. The statement $\Theta_{6}$ implies that there are infinitely many primes of the form $n!-1$.
Proof. The number $719=\Gamma(7)-1$ belongs to $\mathcal{P} \cap U$. By Lemma 11, for $x=719$ the R-computation $\mathcal{F}$ produces positive integers $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{6}$. Since

$$
x_{2}=\Gamma(719)>119!=h(6)
$$

the statement $\Theta_{6}$ guarantees that the R-computation $\mathcal{F}$ produces positive integers $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{6}$ for infinitely many positive integers $x$. By Lemma 11, we obtain that the set $\mathcal{P} \cap U$ is infinite.

Lemma 12. For every positive integer $x$, the following $R$-computation $\mathcal{H}$

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
x_{1} & :=x \\
x_{2} & :=\Gamma\left(x_{1}\right) \\
x_{3} & :=x_{1} \cdot x_{2} \\
x_{4} & :=\Gamma^{-1}\left(x_{3}\right) \\
x_{5} & :=x_{4} \cdot x_{3} \\
x_{6} & :=\Gamma^{-1}\left(x_{5}\right) \\
x_{7} & :=\operatorname{rest}\left(x_{2}, x_{1}\right) \\
x_{8} & :=\operatorname{rest}\left(x_{5}, x_{6}\right)
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

produces positive integers $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{8}$ if and only if $x=2$ or both $x$ and $x+2$ are prime.
Proof. It follows from Lemma 1 .
A twin prime is a prime number that is either 2 less or 2 more than another prime number. The twin prime conjecture states that there are infinitely many twin primes, see [8, p. 39].

Theorem 15. The statement $\Theta_{8}$ implies that any twin prime that is greater than $h(7)$ proves that the set of twin primes is infinite.

Proof. Let us assume that there exists a twin prime that is greater than $h(7)$. Then, there exists a positive integer $n$ such that both $n$ and $n+2$ are prime and $n+2>h(7)$. By Lemma 12, for $x=n$ the R-computation $\mathcal{H}$ produces positive integers $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{8}$. Since

$$
x_{5}=\Gamma(n+2)>\Gamma(h(7))=h(8)
$$

the statement $\Theta_{8}$ guarantees that the R -computation $\mathcal{H}$ produces positive integers $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{8}$ for infinitely many positive integers $x$. By Lemma 12 , we obtain that there are infinitely many twin primes.
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