GLENN WALLIS

The Buddha's Remains: *mantra* in the *Mañjuśrīmūlakalpa**

The lord of the world, the maker of light remains through the form of the mantra.

The omniscient one, possessing all forms, appears on the surface of the earth. (Mañjuśrīmūlakalpa 25.286.9-10)

An abiding concern of Mahāyāna Buddhists has been the accessibility of a *buddha*'s power in the world. Some Buddhists, notably philosophers and their commentators, have grappled with the very coherence of such a possibility. Viewing the question from a logical perspective, it has been necessary for such systematic thinkers to reconcile the apparent inconsistency ensuing from the two essential qualities deemed definitive of a *buddha*. A *buddha* is one who, by virtue of his awareness of the nature of reality, is completely liberated from the life-impelling force of mental defilements, and is thus beyond the scope of our world; *and* he is one who, by virtue of his profound compassion, is naturally compelled to continue engagement with beings still delusively ensnared in the world. Logically, these two qualities are at odds. Not all Buddhists, however, have sought a solution to the dilemma of accessing a *buddha*'s

- * I am grateful to Jens-Uwe Hartmann and Kidder Smith for their thoughtful comments on this article and suggestions for improvement, and to Charles Hallisey, Leonard van der Kuijp, and Stephanie Jamison for critiquing an earlier version.
- 1. "Power" corresponds to the Sanskrit word *adhiṣṭhāna*, which, in its Indian Buddhist context, refers to the sustained presence of a salvific force. This force is believed to follow spontaneously from a person's attainment of enlightenment. It is a natural consequence of the practices that result in enlightenment; for example, the aspirant's repeated, ritualized taking of the vow (*samaya*) never to abandon living beings.
- 2. See John MAKRANSKY's tour de force, Buddhahood Embodied: Sources of Controversy in India and Tibet, Albany 1997; Paul GRIFFITHS, On Being Buddha: the classical doctrine of Buddhahood, Albany, 1994; John DUNNE, "Thoughtless Buddha, Passionate Buddha," Journal of the American Academy of Religions, LXIV/3 (1995): 525-556; and M. David ECKEL, To See the Buddha: a philosopher's quest for the meaning of emptiness, Princeton 1992.

power on the basis of logical or epistemological theory. Some Buddhists have, rather, sought an imaginative-cultic solution. Relics, statues, paintings, architectural monuments, books, remembrance, meditation, *guru* veneration, and visualization have, at various times and in various places, been held to be the most effective means of rendering present the otherwise inaccessible or obscured power that accompanies a *buddha*. In this article, I trace the idea of the *mantra* as a vehicle of enlightened presence as it was presented to Indian Mahāyāna Buddhists in the medieval period.

The source for this presentation is the eighth century Indian Buddhist ritual manual called the *Mañjuśrīmūlakalpa*. My choice of locating the presentation of mantra in a text, and in this text distinctively, implicitly indicates two points that I would like to make in this article about our understanding of mantra, as well as of Indian cultic practice per se. First, although certain recognizable Indian cultural and philosophical axioms may be present in a given theory of mantra, theoretical presentations always concern the specific; that is, they are always bound to self-delineated groups, communities, texts, and so on. The axiomatic features of cultic practice are never sufficient for understanding what is being posited as unique and specific to that practice. For example, we learn little from the fact that a ritual practitioner performs an oblation (homa), since this is a widely shared cultural form. (The assumptions concerning the general worthiness, usefulness, effectiveness, and theoretical grounding of the *homa* remain unstated in the ritual manuals; hence, they are axiomatic.) But that the practitioner may burn only aśoka wood and not āmla wood in the fire teaches us a good deal about the basic orientation of the practice. This tells us, for example, that the practi-

3. The printed text that forms the basis of this study, *Āryamañjuśrīmūlakalpa*, was prepared by T. Gaṇapati Śāstrī from the single known manuscript of the work, discovered near Padmanabhapuram, in South India, in 1909. This was published in three parts in the Trivandrum Sanskrit Series: Part I = no. LXX, 1920; Part II = LXXXII, 1922; Part III = LXXXIV, 1925, Trivandrum. This was reprinted in a single volume by CBH Publications, Trivandrum 1992, and recast with superficial changes by P. L. VAIDYA, *Mahāyānasūtrasaṃgraha*, Part II, Buddhist Sanskrit Texts, no. 18, Bihar, 1964. I have occasionally consulted an eleventh century Tibetan translation as well: Taipei Edition, volume XVIII *bka' 'gyur*, *'phags pa 'jam dpal gyi rtsa ba'i rgyud*, 540 no. 543, 25/175 (1)-96/667. This is referred to as "T" in the transliterations below. My translations of the text, however, are based on the Sanskrit. For the dating of the *Mmk*, see MATSUNAGA 1985.

tioner is engaged in one of the cults directed towards pacification (of evil supernatural influences, etc.) and increase (of worldly or spiritual fortune, etc.). Conversely, we can conclude that the practitioner is not a devotee of one of the "left-handed" (vāmācāra) cults. When we additionally learn of the hand gestures, verbal formulas, and so on, that are employed during the oblation, the specific nature of the cult - its cosmology, doctrine, and broader affiliations - begins to emerge. We might use the phrase the economy of forms to capture this kind of specific borrowing, fashioning, and preservation of *Indian* modes and theoretical bases of cultic activity.⁴ The Mañjuśrīmūlakalpa's theory of mantra provides us with an illuminating example of how common elements of India's religious culture get economized yet creatively transformed into emblems of a unique practice. Second, by analyzing mantra (or any other cultic constituent) as presented in a ritual manual, we are confronted with a form of argumentation that differs significantly from other, more frequently studied, genres. The rhetoric of the Mañjuśrīmūlakalpa is spatial and imaginal. The text does not venture to say what a mantra is. Rather, its aim is to show the reader what mantra does. In other words, the nature of the mantra in the ritual manual can only be understood from the images of *mantric* use presented in the text; it can not be known from explicit statements. This is characteristic of the Mañjuśrīmūlakalpa and ritual literature as a whole. Certainly, there is nothing approaching the sort of "theological" discussions concerning the mantra found in the jñānapada sections of Vaisnava and Śaivite ritual texts.5 There is, in the Mañjuśrīmūlakalpa, nonetheless, a richly presented imaginal discussion.

The nature of mantra in the Mañjuśrīmūlakalpa

In the *Mañjuśrīmūlakalpa* (*Mmk*), a *mantra* is presented as a linguistic space occupied by the force of some enlightened being, such as a *buddha* or a *bodhisattva*. It is thus analogous to a relic or an icon. A *mantra* is spoken, so it is a form of speech. Like ordinary speech, it must be learned. Learning it means knowing how to use it, and in which contexts. But the sense of a *mantra* relies on a "grammar" completely different from ordinary speech. That is, the system of rules implicit in *mantric* language does not concern linguistic features, but social,

- 4. See DAVIDSON 1995.
- 5. See, for example, *Lakṣmītantra* 18, summarized in SMITH 1975: 353.

doctrinal, and ritual ones. A *mantra*, like an ordinary word, is effective only when spoken under the proper conditions; and the proper conditions exist only once numerous social, doctrinal, and ritual rules have been strictly followed. These conditions are discussed below.

The *mantra* is a central component of the form of Buddhist practice propagated in the *Mmk*. Indeed, the very term for its mode of practice is called *mantracaryā* – *mantra* performance. In the *Mmk*, the "word of the Buddha," the *buddhavacana*, consists not of his discourses, but of the *mantra*s that he, and "all *buddhas*," have spoken throughout time.

The *Mmk* begins and ends with *mantra*. The text is preceded by a phrase that commonly marks the appearance of either a *sūtra* or a *mantra*: *namaḥ sarvabuddhabodhisattvebhyaḥ*.⁶ And it ends, 721 pages later, with the statement: "in short, every *mantra* causes success" (*samāsena sarvamantraṃ sādhayati*).⁷ The former phrase intimates that every word that follows is to be regarded broadly as *mantra*, as a form occupied by the power of an enlightened being. The fact that the book itself, as a repository of such forms, is to be treated as a potent object of veneration supports this.⁸ The position of the latter statement, too, tells us something about the nature of the *mantra*; namely, that its success is dependent on a considerable infrastructure. In the text that lies between the two phrases are found the social, doctrinal, and ritual foundations upon which the success of the *mantra* rests.

The *Mmk* community's reticence to make explicit statements about the *mantra* should not be passed over too quickly. As authors and practitioners of a ritual manual (*kalpa*), those who embraced the text would have been well aware of the exegetical and apologetic traditions governing ritual discourse. All the major groups – Vedic, Śaiva, Vaiṣṇava, Śākta, Buddhist – in their numerous varieties have developed such traditions. So why is the *Mmk*, and ritual manuals generally, silent on philosophical justification?

It is not the case that the text is devoid of rhetorical justification; rather, what is significant is the form that the justification takes. The Mmk shows what other texts say. It presents images – of, for instance,

^{6.} *Mmk* 1.1.1 (the notation refers to chapter, page, and line in T. Gaṇapati Śāstrī's edition). This is followed by, *evaṃ mayā śrutaṃ*, marking the beginning of the text.

^{7.} *Mmk* 55.721.23-24.

^{8.} See, for example, *Mmk* 1.24.14-22.

iconographical paintings (pata), rituals in action (sādhana) or imagined (called dhyāna in the text) – and teaches the reader how to make those images his own, in reality. In this sense, the Mmk reflects an extra-intellectualist and extra-theoretical tradition. Here, philosophical propositions are considered instruments of a logic that applies only to the most limited aspects of the world. The authors of the Mmk avoid philosophical modes of discourse because they – this tradition – apparently view it as ineffectual in the pursuit of enlightened power. This attitude evokes the ancient image of the Buddha as one who speaks only about that which is conducive to the end of suffering and to enlightenment – or, more to the point, as one who shows (deśika) the direct way. To this way of thinking, language embodies the limits of the world. Transcending the limitations of the immediate world – which is the purpose of cultic practice – can therefore not be spoken about, but only shown. This is not to say that the Mmk is exempt from criticism concerning its "pictoral" propositions. That is, the text is still making claims that can be tested for their coherency. But if a skeptic argued in terms of foundations and justifications, the practitioner of the Mmk would respond by showing him an image - imaginative or actual - and teaching him how to realize it as his own. This is the spirit behind the text: a theory about mantra has nothing to do with mantra; a theory is a mere calculus, a lifeless symbolic notation; this sort of thing is of no use to a sādhaka – for he is one who practices.

The text, thus, shows the *mantra*. It does this by ascribing it authority, describing its use, and demonstrating its effect. Ascription of authority, description of use, and demonstration of effect are the means by which the several dimensions of the *mantra* in the *Mmk* are revealed. Therefore, I will present the *mantra* in the *Mmk* along these lines.

Ascription of authority

The *Mmk* shows that its *mantra*s are inscribed with the authority of *buddhas*. The following passage is the first presentation of *mantras* in the text. Mañjuśrī is abiding in the "*buddha*-field" known as the Land of Flowers (*kusumāvatī*), presided over by the *buddha* Saṅkusumitarājendra. Saṅkusumitarājendra is enjoining the *bodhisattva* to go and "stand in the presence" of Śākyamuni in order to receive the instructions which comprise the *mantra* practice (*mantracaryā*) of the *Mmk*. The vehicle for attaining this "presence," in spite of the Buddha's location in a distant *buddha*-field, is invocation of a *mantra*.

The blessed tathāgata Sankusumitarājendra further said to the princely Manjuśrī: "Moreover, O prince, your mantra practice ... has been pronounced, and will be pronounced, by one hundred thousand tathāgatas, perfected ones, perfectly enlightened ones, equaling the sands of the Ganges river ... Now consented to by me as well, you must go, O princely Mañjuśrī, if you think the time is fit, and stand in the presence of Śākyamuni. You will listen to this discourse on the doctrine, and then you, too, will proclaim that. The *mantra* [for this purpose] is: namah sarvatathāgatānām acintyāpratihataśāsanānām om ra ra smara / apratihataśāsanakumārarūpadhārina hūm hūm phat phat svāhā (Homage to the inconceivable, unobstructed teachings of the tathāgatas: Om ra ra remember O unobstructed teaching O bearer of the princely form hūm hūm phat phat hail!) This, O princely Mañjusrī, is the basic mantra, the essence of all buddhas. It has been, and will be, uttered by all buddhas. Now, you, too, will utter it. When you have arrived in the Sahā world, [utter] each all-accomplishing [mantra] in turn. The [mantra of] supreme essence has been authorized by the tathāgata Śākyamuni. It is: *Om vākye da nama*; and the *upahrdaya* is: *vākye hūm*."⁹

- 9. (Note on the Sanskrit text: The *Mmk* is written in a form of Sanskrit that deviates regularly from the norms of Pāṇini. In virtually every sentence examples of the following are found: homogeneity of nominative and accusative; use of plural subject with singular verb, or *vice versa*; mixing of passive and active forms; variant and inconsistent spellings. While many of these forms can be found in other *vaipulya* works, as is documented by EDGERTON in both volumes of the *Buddhist Hybrid Sanskrit Grammar and Dictionary*, others await further analysis of internal consistency, as well as a comparison of the printed text with the manuscript, in order to determine whether they are viable local forms of written Sanskrit, editor's errors, or printer's errors.)
 - Mmk 1.2.20-22; 27-3.1-9: atha bhagavān sankusumitarājendras tathāgato mañjuśriyam kumārabhūtam etad avocat [/] api tu kumāra śatasahasragangānadīsikataprakhyais tathāgatair arhadbhiḥ samyaksambuddhais tvadīyam mantracaryā° ... bhāṣitavantaḥ bhāṣiṣyante ca [/] mayāpy etarhi anumoditum eva [/] gaccha tvam mañjuśrīḥ kumārabhūta yasyedānīm kālam manyase / śākyamunisamīpam sammukham / iyam dharmaparyāyam śroṣyasi / tvam api bhāṣiṣyase bhavati cātra mantraḥ [/] namaḥ sarvatathāgatānām acintyāprati-

Mañjuśrī then enters into a deep meditation. The four directions are filled with *buddhas*. He is praised for achieving this deep meditation. Saṅkusumitarājendra then reveals the "utmost essential, utmost secretive" *mantra* (*paramaḥṛdayaṃ paramaguhyaṃ*). Saṅkusumitarājendra suddenly becomes quiet. Entering into meditation, he brings forth the *mantra* with his benevolent mind (*maitrātmakena cetasā*): *namaḥ sarva-buddhānām* (homage to all *buddhas*). This *mantra*, the text states, *is* Mañjuśrī, is the utmost essence of that being, whose power is a *panacea* for all ills (*mantraḥ eṣa mañjuśrīḥ paramaḥṛdayaḥ sarvakarmakaraḥ*).¹⁰

When the text ascribes authority to mantra utterance, it is doing several things at once. It is, first of all, making a claim about mythic origin. The mantras were originally uttered by not only Śākyamuni Buddha, but by all buddhas throughout space and time. The fact that Sankusumitarājendra accesses the mantra by entering into a contemplative state suggests that this is where mantras originate: in the minds of the buddhas, which are infused with benevolence. Similarly, that Mañjuśrī receives the mantra only after he has entered into a deep meditation suggests that it is in the deeper layers of consciousness that such mantras are held to resonate fully. We read, for instance, that dhāranīs, a type of mantra, "arise from the penetrative mind, which ensues naturally from meditative absorption" (samādhiniṣpandaparibhāvitamānasodbhavā), and that vidyārājñīs, the bearers of mantras called vidyās, "issue forth from the meditative absorption on the body of Avalokiteśvara" (vidyārājñībhir lokeśvaramūrttisamādhivisrtaih).11 The "inconceivable, unobstructed teaching of the tathāgatas," furthermore, is equivalent to the ur-transmission of the mantras and accompanying practices that have constituted the practice of all buddhas. This is a picture of both a lineage and a particular relationship. The teaching on mantra practice is given to the bodhisattva Mañjuśrī by the buddha Sankusumitarājendra. Once he has received it, Manjuśrī must then teach it to beings in the world, where it will be inscribed into the text. The

hataśāsanāmām om ra ra smara | apratihataśāsanakumārarūpadhāriņa hūm hūm phaṭ phaṭ svāhā || ayaṃ sa kumāra mañjuśrīḥ mūlamantraḥ | sarveṣāṃ tathāgatānām hṛdayaḥ sarvaiś ca tathāgatair bhāṣiṭaḥ bhāṣiṭyante | sa tvam apīdānīṃ bhāṣiṣyase | sahāṃ lokadhātuṃ gatvā vistaravibhāgaśaḥ sarvakarma-karam | śākyamuninā tathāgatenābhyanujñātaḥ | paramahṛdayaṃ bhavati cātra om vākye da namaḥ | upahṛdayaṃ cātra vākye hūm ||

^{10.} Summarizes Mmk 1.3.21-24.

^{11.} Mmk 1.12.20, Mmk 1.10.14-15.

reader of the text, past and present, is thus placed within the lineage, into direct relation to all *buddhas*.

The presentation of mythic origin leads easily into a claim about the means of knowledge (called pramāna in Indian epistemology): the validity of the knowledge about mantras contained in the passage is established precisely on the fact that both text and mantras were spoken by buddhas. To a non-adherent, the argument from authority is a weak form of pramāna. The logical incertitude of this claim, however, is overcome by a further dimension of the ascription of authority, since this aspect lays the theoretical foundation for efficacy and, thus, for "direct perception," the strongest form of pramāṇa. The Mmk makes it clear in its opening statement that the theoretical basis for the mantra is "the inconceivable, wonderous, miraculous transformation of the bodhisattva," or vikurvana. 12 This process is alluded to above in the statement, "this mantra is Mañjuśrī, the utmost essence, the panacea" (mantraḥ eṣa mañjuśrīh paramahrdayah sarvakarmakarah). The vikurvana of the bodhisattva is a wide-ranging concept. Elsewhere in the Mmk this concept serves as the mechanism of embodiment (avatāra) in general. Here, I would like to consider its bearing on the text's claims about mantra.

The statement, "that upon which all beings depend: the miraculous transformation of the *bodhisattva* (*bodhisattvavikurvaṇa*)," refers to a foundational axiom in the *Mmk* concerning both the method of the Buddha's activity in the world and the constitution of ritual efficacy. The mode of practice recorded in the *Mmk* has no basis – as *Buddhist* practice – removed from this foundation. It might even be argued that it is primarily the framework supported by the concept of *vikurvaṇa*, "miraculous transformation," that distinguishes the Buddhist ritual of the *Mmk* from other forms of medieval Indian cultic activity.

The term *vikurvaṇa* has several layers of meaning. Combining the root \sqrt{kr} (to make), with the affix vi (apart, asunder, different directions), it means "to make different, change, transform." As the Pāli equivalent vikubbana indicates, however, Buddhists employed the term technically from an early date to denote a transformation effected by potent mental forces (iddhivikubbana).¹³ Being on the same scale as a bodhisattva – albeit at a lower point – the practitioner of the Mmk develops such psychic powers, enabling him to perform several supernatural transfor-

^{12.} At, for example, *Mmk* 1.1.6.

^{13.} PED s.v. vikubbana.

mations, or "miracles," such as becoming invisible, walking on water, flying through the air, ascending to the highest heavens.

The implications of the term bodhisattvavikurvana in the Mmk, however, exceed even these technical meanings. As one of the ten powers of the bodhisattva (bodhisattvabala),14 the power of miraculous transformation (vikurvanabala) is, for the Buddhist engaged in the Mmk, the mechanism generating the mantra. Mañjuśrī, by means of his powers of transformation, becomes the mantra. The mantra is an effective instrument by virtue of its being nothing less than a form assumed by the bodhisattva Mañjuśrī. As the various categories of mantras mentioned above indicate - hrdaya, upahrdaya, paramahrdaya - the mantras are the very essence, the heart (hrdaya) of the bodhisattva. The paramahrdaya mantra is "Mañjuśrī himself" (svayam eva mañjuśrīh), existing (upasthitah) through the form of the mantra (mantrarūpena). 15 One indication of the force believed to pervade the mantra is the power attributed to it: "when merely remembered, it [the paramahrdaya mantra] cleanses [the practitioner] of the five acts entailing immediate retribution" (yatra smaritamātrena pañcānantaryāni pariśodhayati). 16

Since the *bodhisattva* and the "form of the *mantra*" are, in essence, one, and because the text is not explicit about its claims, an analysis of one of these forms should reveal a clearer picture of the relationship between the *mantra* and the *bodhisattva* in the *Mmk*.

om śodhaya śodhaya sarvavighnaghātaka mahākāruṇika kumārarūpadhāriṇe / vikurva vikurva / samayam anusmara / tiṣṭha tiṣṭha hūm hūm phaṭ phaṭ svāhā // (Om purify purify! O destroyer of all obstacles! O you of great compassion! O bearer of youthful form! perform a miraculous transformation, perform a miraculous transformation! remember your vow! be present, be present! hūm hūm phaṭ phaṭ hail!)¹⁷

In the opening scene of the *Mmk*, Mañjuśrī was "impelled" (*codaṇa*)¹⁸ by the radiating force of Śākyamuni's omniscience to perform his obligation as a tenth-stage *bodhisattva*. His existence as the *mantra* is one mode through which that obligation is fulfilled. The power that

- 14. Mahāvyupatti 767, cited in BHSD s.v. vikurvaņa.
- 15. Mmk 2.26.24-25.
- 16. *Mmk* 2.26.25. "The five acts entailing immediate retribution" (*pañcānantarya*): killing one's own mother or father, killing an arhant, causing dissension in the monastic order, deliberately causing a *buddha's* blood to flow; see *BHSD*:95.
- 17. Mmk 4.55.23-26.
- 18. Mmk 1.1.20.

enables this equivalency, in turn, involves two additional doctrinal stances operating in the mantra. The first, as we have seen, is indicated by the imperative to "perform a miraculous transformation" (vikurva); the second, in the imperative "remember your vow" (samayam anusmara). The power of vikurvana is one of the ten supernatural powers of the bodhisattva (bodhisattvabala). Based, in turn, on the doctrinal axiom of "the ontological equivalence or ultimate convertibility of phenomena and absolute,"19 vikurvana is, in Luis GÓMEZ' words, "the capacity to effect, by sheer psychic power, the transformation, displacement or multiplication of the human body."20 The bodhisattva is a being situated in the world. Because, however, the *bodhisattva* is an enlightened being, it follows that he or she possesses complete knowledge of the illusory nature of the world, and thereby gains the ability to move unimpededly through the world, manipulating its forms at will. The world of the bodhisattva becomes the dharmadhātu, the world seen as a composition of ultimately non-substantial components subject – precisely because of their lack of real substance - to manipulation. The Samādhirājā, referred to in the Mmk,²¹ likens the freedom of movement that ensues from this understanding of reality to "wind blow[ing] swiftly through space" or the unbounded flight of birds in the sky.

As birds do not leave a path in space, thus do Bodhisattvas awaken to the true nature of Awakening. The sky is said to be ungraspable, in it there is nothing to grasp. This is the true nature of *dharmas*, ungraspable like the sky.²²

The invocation of the *bodhisattva* by means of the purificatory *mantra* above impells him to inhabit (*tiṣṭha*), and thus become identical with, in the *Mmk* passage cited above, certain ritual implements. The means generating this result is alluded to in the plea that the *bodhisattva* remember his vow, and in the invoking of his universal compassion. Another text referred to in the *Mmk*, the *Gaṇḍavyūha*,²³ contains an elaborate version on the *bodhisattva* vow (called *samaya* in the *Mmk*,

^{19.} GÓMEZ 1977:225.

^{20.} Ibid.

^{21.} This text is also known as *Candrapradīpasamādhi* (see WARDER 1991 [1970]: 395), by which it is referred at *Mmk* 2.38.12.

^{22.} GÓMEZ 1977:225-226.

^{23.} Mmk 2.38.12.

and *pranidhāṇa* in the *Gaṇḍavyūha*).²⁴ In the following extract, allusions are made to the several points of doctrine mentioned above.

By the power of supernatural abilities, swiftly abounding everywhere; by the power of universally eminent knowledge; by the power of perfectly virtuous conduct; by the power of universal love; by the power of perfectly pure merit; by the power of unimpeded knowledge; by the power of wisdom, means, and contemplation; acquiring (samudānayamānaḥ)²⁵ the power of enlightenment; completely purifying the power of retributive actions (karma). completely grinding the power of afflictions; rendering powerless the power of death and time (māra) I fulfill all of the powers of good conduct.

Having completely fulfilled all of those [vows], may I act for the happiness of beings

The above purificatory *mantra* is thus inscribed with the authority of the *bodhisattva*. The *bodhisattva*'s original vow to attain enlightenment for the benefit of all beings eventually produces the being capable of traversing the world, and of playfully entering and transforming linguistic "shells," or spaces.

Description of use

From the angle of the ascription of authority, we learned that the *mantra* is a sound, word, or series of words that was spoken by enlightened beings in the past and, through the mechanism of *vikurvaṇa*, embodied by their force in the present and future. A *mantra* is therefore presented as a sonic embodiment or crystalization of a particular type of power.

- 24. The vow is sometimes referred to as *pranidhāna* in the *Mmk*; for example, at *Mmk* 22.230.6 and 34.354.5, where a short vow is given.
- 25. See BHSD s.v. samudānayana.

as long as [they remain] in the world.²⁶

26. Gaṇḍavyūha 433.7-18 and 436.3-4: ṛddhibalena samantajavena jñānabalena samantamukhena / caryabalena samantaguṇena maitrabalena samantagatena // puṇyabalena samantaśubhena jñānabalena asaṃgagatena / prajñ[o]pāyasamādhibalena bodhibalaṃ samudānayamānaḥ // karmabalaṃ pairśodhayamānaḥ kleṣabalaṃ parimardayamānaḥ // mārabalaṃ abalaṃkaramāṇaḥ pūrayi bhadracarībala sarvān // ... tāṃś ca ahaṃ paripūrya aśeṣān sattvahitaṃ kari yāvata loke //

When we consider the *mantra* from the angle of the text's descriptions of its use, these sounds, words, and series of words begin to separate out into subtly different types of utterance stemming from distinct aspects of that power.

Essence (hṛdaya) mantras

At the beginning of *Mmk* 2, there is reference to Mañjuśrī's "class of *mantras*" (*tvadīyaṃ mantragaṇaṃ*).²⁷ This is followed by a compendium of the *mantras* used in the *Mmk* rituals. The first group comprises *hṛdaya mantras*. Examples of these were given above (at *Mmk* 1.2.20-22, 27-3.9): the *hṛdaya, paramahṛdaya,* and *upahṛdaya mantras*. There, it was said that the *hṛdaya mantra* accomplishes the task of leading Mañjuśrī into the presence of Śākyamuni, while the other two are called "all-accomplishing," or "*panaceaic*" (*sarvakarmakara*) – *mantras* to be employed for any purpose. These *mantras* are "all-accomplishing" because they are the "utmost essence" (*paramahṛdaya*) of compassionate, enlightened power, which is unlimited. These are the same *mantras* suggested for use in the preparation phase (*puraścaraṇa*) of the *Mmk*'s *mantracaryā*. A paradigmatic sequence of this *mantracaryā* is that given in the passage on the "ritual for superior attainment" (*uttamasādhana*):

First, he who has observed the vow, fulfilled the preliminary practices, received the initiation, taken the essential (*hṛdaya*), basic *mantra* from this best of ordinances, or the *upahṛdaya* or some other *mantra*, or having received a single syllable [*mantra*] or another one – according to one's wishes – and who, having gone to a great forest, eats leaves and roots, who subsists on fruits and water, should recite [the *mantra*] three million times. He becomes one who has completed the preliminary practice.²⁸

Here, by means of the mental and physical purity attained through prolonged recitation, the *sādhaka* is able to "come into the presence" (*sākṣāt paśyati*) of *buddhas* and *bodhisattvas*.²⁹ The image presented at *Mmk* 2 of the power inhering in these *mantras* emphasizes the purifying, protective, and *panaceaic* nature of these *mantras*. Mañjuśrī addresses

- 27. Mmk 2.25.10.
- 28. Mmk 8.79.10-28: adau tāvat dṛṣṭasamayaḥ kṛtapuraścaraṇaḥ labdhābhiṣekaḥ asmin kalparājamūlamantrahṛdayaṃ upahṛdayaṃ vā anyataraṃ vā mantraṃ gṛhītvā ekākṣaraṃ vā anyaṃ vā yathepsitaṃ mahāraṇyaṃ gatvā triśallakṣāṇi jape phalodakāhāraḥ mūlaparṇabhakṣo vā kṛtapuraścaraṇo bhavati //
- 29. Page 80 is missing from my copy; I am thus referring to VAIDYA's 1964 reprint (see Citations): p. 56.12.

the section to Vajrapāṇi. Vajrapāṇi appears in the *Mmk* as the "lord of *yakṣas*, the master of *guhyakas*" (āguhyakādhipatin yakṣendra):³⁰ by mastering these destructive divinities, Vajrapāṇi converts them into powers serving the aims of the practitioner. Thus, the *mantras* presented here are of this nature. They destroy, purify, and convert energy of various forms of embodiment, including mental, supernatural, and physical.

The first *mantra* presented is that of Yamāntaka, the "sovereign of wrath" (*krodharāja*),³¹ who, in later *tantric* theory, though not here, is identified as an emanation of Mañjuśrī himself. For the practitioner of the *Mmk*'s rituals, the first step towards acquiring essential knowledge is protection and the destruction of obstacles.

Then Mañjuśrī [bestowed] the preeminently heroic, all achieving essence (*hṛdaya*) of the sovereign of wrath, Yamāntaka ... oṃ āḥ hūṁ. This is the essence (*hṛdaya*) of him whose wrath is great; it is all-accomplishing; it is taught by the great being Mañjughoṣa for [use in] all *maṇḍala* and *mantra* rituals; it destroys all obstacles.

Then Mañjuśrī lifted his right hand and placed it on the head of Krodha, and spoke thus: "Obeisance to all *buddhas*! May the blessed *buddhas* pay heed! May the *bodhisattvas*, who are dwelling in whatever world of the ten directions, and who possess unlimited, infinite, supernatural power (*maharddhika*), be firm in their vow!" Saying that, he circled [the Tibetan text reads: his hand] around the king of wrath, and dismissed him. The instant that the great king of wrath was dispatched to the entire world-realm, beings possessing great supernatural powers immediately restrained all evil-minded beings. He made them enter the Śuddhāvāsa, the great assembly. Making them remain there, becoming the family of those who are engulfed in flaming garlands, he stood at the head, among the evil-beings.³²

- 30. Mmk 2.25.11.
- 31. Mmk 2.25.17.
- 32. Mmk 2.25.17-18; 2.25.22-26.7: atha mañjuśrīḥ kumārabhūtaḥ yamāntakasya krodharājasya hṛdayaṃ sarvakarmikaṃ ekavīraṃ ... oṁ āḥ hūṁ / idaṃ tan mahākrodhasya hṛdayaṃ / sarvakarmikaṃ sarvamaṇḍaleṣu sarvamantra-caryāsu ca nidiṣṭaṃ mahāsattvena mañjughoṣeṇa sarvavighnavināśanam / atha mañjuśrīḥ kumārabhūtaḥ dakṣiṇaṃ pāṇim udyamya krodhasya mūrdhni sthāpayām āsa / evañcāha / namas te sarvabuddhānām / samanvāharanta [>°antu] buddhā bhagavantaḥ / ye kecid daśadig lokadhātuvyavasthitā anantā-paryāntāś ca bodhisattvā maharddhikāḥ samayam adhitiṣṭhanta [>°antu] / ity evam uktvā taṃ krodharājānaṃ bhrāmayitvā kṣipiti sma / samanantaranikṣipte mahākrodharāje sarvāvantaṃ lokadhātuṃ sattvā kṣaṇamātreṇa ye duṣṭāśayāḥ sattvā maharddhikāḥ tāṃ nigṛhānayati sma / taṃ mahāparṣan maṇḍalaṃ śuddhāvāsabhavanaṃ praveśayati sma / vyavasthāyāñ ca sthāpayitvā samanta-jvālāmālākulo bhūtvā duṣṭasattveṣu ca mūrdhni tiṣṭhate sma //

The *mantra oṃ āḥ hūṁ* embodies the "essence" of Yamāntaka; it is therefore used in any ritual for the purpose of destroying malevolent obstacles. Here, the text presents an image of the violent, pre-linguistic archetype operating behind the use of this *mantra*. Placing his hand on the head of Yamāntaka, Mañjuśrī invokes the authorizing presence of all *buddhas*. Yamāntaka becomes an agent of the *bodhisattva*, who, in turn, is an agent of all *buddhas*. So empowered, Yamāntaka gains mastery over all evil forces within the world. In subduing "all evil-minded beings," Yamāntaka converts them into agents of his own violently purifying, protective force. When the practitioner recites the sounds *oṃ āḥ hūṁ*, this image, capturing the essential (*hṛdaya*) function and activity of Yamāntaka, is effected. That is, hindering forces are dispelled from the ritual space; protection is achieved, and the area where a given ritual is performed thereby consecrated.

Additional "essence *mantras*" given at *Mmk* 2 are presented as belonging to the *bodhisattva* Vajrapāṇi, although the references within the *mantras* point to, respectively, Yamāntaka or Mañjuśrī. Perhaps the ambiguity is intentional: the protective function of Mañjuśrī is effected by Vajrapāṇi and Yamāntaka; the forms of each are ultimately undifferentiated. In any case, the *mantras* of this class are presented as serving as "rulers of great wrath that destroy all obstacles."

Then the youthful Mañjuśrī spoke to the *bodhisattva* Vajrapāṇi: "O master of secrets, these *mantras* are esoteric and supremely mysterious ...

Homage to all buddhas and bodhisattvas, whose teachings are indestructible. um kara kara kuru kuru mama kāryam bhañja bhañja sarvavighnām daha daha sarva vajravināyakam mūrdhaṭakajīvitāntakara mahāvikṛtarūpine paca paca sarvaduṣṭām mahāgaṇapatijīvitāntakara bandha bandha sarvagrahām ṣaṇmukha ṣaḍbhuja ṣaṭcaraṇa rudramānaya viṣṇumānaya brahmādyām devān ānaya mā vilamba mā vilamba iyal iyal maṇḍalamadhye praveśaya samayam anusmara hūm hūm hūm hūm hūm hūm phaṭ phaṭ svāhā (O maker O maker do do for me what should be done shatter shatter all obstacles burn burn all adamantine impediments O killer of Mūrdhaṭaka O you of extraordinary appearance cook cook all evil O killer of great Gaṇapati bind bind all demons O six-faced one O six-armed one O six-legged one subdue Rudra subdue Viṣṇu subdue the gods, beginning with Brahman do not delay do not delay become silent become silent enter into the maṇḍala remember your vow! hail!)

O supreme master of secrets, this [mantra] is the supreme secret, the great hero, Mañjuśrī; it is called "six-faced one," and is the ruler of the great wrath which destroys all obstacles. By merely reciting that, bodhisattvas who are established in the ten stages are dispersed, let alone evil obstructions. By merely

reciting that, great protection is created. There is also a sealing gesture $(mudr\bar{a})$ known as "the great spike," the destroyer of all obstacles."³³

This mantra, equated with both Manjuśrī ("this is ... Manjuśrī") and Yamāntaka (the "six-faced one"), begins with an interjection of anger and pacification (um). Among the powers that it serves to shatter and subdue are those connected to other cults: Visnu, Śiva (Rudra), Ganapati, Mūrdhataka, and Brahma. The mantra counteracts the power of these deities that has been set in motion by their adherents, and subjects that power – these deities – to the ends of the *Mmk* practitioner. This point is made explicit several pages later when the *mantras* of these cultic deities are presented as having been taught by Śākyamuni. Like an antibody, this mantra repels not only alien forms of power encroaching on the ritual space of the practitioner, but even the most advanced, allied bodhisattvas (tenth-stage ones). This indicates a degree of power bordering on the noxious. It is a small step from incapacitating the effected powers of rival deities to incapacitating those who effect such power. Indeed, the next mantra given justifies the destruction of "all enemies," presumably human as well as non-human.

This is the essence (hṛdaya) of the ruler of wrath [Yamāntaka]: om hrīḥ jñīḥ vikṛtānana hum / sarvaśatrūṃ nāśaya stambhaya phaṭ phaṭ svāhā (shame! destroy all enemies incapacitate! hail!) By means of this mantra, all enemies are seized by the great spike disease or by the fever that arises every four days. With a hundred recitations, or as many as desired, benevolence is not practiced. Then, he obtains a compassionate mind. May there not be liberation at the end of the recitation. Those offending the three jewels, saying, "he dies," should not be treated entirely as those of gentle mind. The sealing gesture (mudrā) called "the great spike," should be used. In this instance, the secondary essence [mantra] (upahrdaya) is this: om hrīmh kālarūpa hūm kham svāhā (shame O you with the

33. Mmk 2.28.21-22-29.1-11: atha khalu mañjuśrīḥ kumārabhūtaḥ vajrapāṇim bodhisattvam āmantrayate sma / imāni guhyakādhipate mantrapadāni sarahasyāni paramaguhyakāni [...] namaḥ sarvabuddhabodhisattvānām apratihataśāsanānām / um kara kara kuru kuru mama kāryam bhañja bhañja sarvavighnām daha daha sarva vajravināyakam mūrdhaṭakajīvitāntakara mahāvikṛtarūpiṇe paca paca sarvaduṣṭām mahāgaṇapatijīvitāntakara bandha bandha sarvagrahām ṣaṇmukha ṣaḍbhuja ṣaṭcaraṇa rudramānaya viṣṇumānaya brahmādyām devānānaya mā vilamba mā vilamba iyal iyal maṇḍalamadhye praveśaya samayam anusmara hūm hūm hūm hūm hūm hūm phaṭ phaṭ svāhā / eṣa saḥ paramaguhyakādhipate paramaguhyaḥ mahāvīryaḥ mañjuśrīḥ ṣaṇmukho nāma mahākrodharājā sarvavighnavināśakaḥ / anena paṭhitamātreṇa daśabhūmipratiṣṭhāpitabodhisattvā vidravante / kim punarduṣṭavighnāḥ / anena paṭhitamātreṇa mahārakṣā kṛtā bhavati / mudrā cātra bhavati mahāśūleti vikhyātā sarvavighnavināśikā /

appearance of a crow! hail!) The sealing gesture to be used is also "the great spike." Whatever evil he desires, that he accomplishes. The paramahṛdaya [mantra] is indeed the single syllable empowered by all buddhas: $h\bar{u}m$. This accomplishes all deeds. The sealing gesture to be used is also "the great spike." It hinders all misfortunes. In short, O ruler of wrath, this [mantra] is to be employed in every ritual for the subjugation of all demons.³⁴

The mention of the $mudr\bar{a}$ in this mantra passage points to a significant aspect of the mantra as it is used in the Mmk. The hand gesture is an indispensable aspect of the type of ritual promulgated in the Vaisnava Pañcarātra samhitas, Śaiva Siddhānta āgamas, as well as in the Mmk. By the early medieval era, the *mudrā* becomes an increasingly widespread element of the type of worship known as mantracaryā or tantra.35 The importance of the mudrā for the practitioners of the Buddhist form of mantracaryā is evident from the fact that ten of the fifty-five chapters of the Mmk are devoted to it. At Mmk 34 we read of a mudrākośa, a treasury of ritual gestures.³⁶ Mmk 34-37 and 41-46 is an extensive compendium, a "text on gestures" (mudrātantra).37 In the Mmk, these gestures invariably accompany verbal actions. The two, mudrās and mantras, are in fact so closely bound that they can be said to form a single instrumental act: 38 "The mudrās are the seals of the mantras; and with the mantras they are well-sealed. There is no mantra without a mudrā; devoid of the mudrā, there is no seal."39 In many instances, the

- 34. Mmk 2.29.11-22: asyaiva krodharājasya hṛdayam / om hṛīmḥ jñīḥ vikṛtānana hum / sarvaśatrum nāśaya stambhaya phaṭ phaṭ svāhā / anena mantreṇa sarvaśatrūm mahāśūlarogeṇa caturthakena vā gṛhṇāpayati / śatatajapena vā yāvad rocate maitratām vā na pratipadyate / atha karuṇācittam labhate / jāpānte muktir na syāt / mṛyate iti ratnatrayāpakariṇām kartavyam nāśeṣam saumyacittānām [/] mudrā mahāśūlaiva prayojanīyā / upahṛdayam cātra bhavati / om hṛīmḥ kālarūpa hūm khaṃ svāhā / mudrā mahāśūlyaiva prayojanīyā / sarvaduṣṭām yam icchati tam kārayati / paramahṛdayam / sarvabuddhādhiṣṭhitam ekākṣaram nāma / hūm / eṣa sarvakarmakaraḥ / mudrā mahāśūlyaiva prayojanīyā / sarvānarthanivāraṇam / sarvabhūtavaśaṃkaraḥ saṃkṣepataḥ / eṣa krodhrāja sarvakarmeṣu prayoktavyaḥ [/]
- 35. See SMITH:1980 s.v. mudrā; DAVIS 1991:32f; GONDA 1977:73.
- 36. Mmk 34.351.8 and 35.355.10.
- 37. Mmk 34.350.16.
- 38. See, for example, Mmk 2.26.8-35.10, where numerous mantras and $vidy\bar{a}s$ are given with their corresponding $mudr\bar{a}s$. The correspondences are made fairly explicit here.
- 39. Mmk 34.351.20-21: mantrāṇāṃ mudritā mudrā mantraiś cāpi sumudritā // na mantraṃ mudrahīnaṃ tu na mudrā mudravarjitā.

mudrās seem to be bodily presentations of the object either invoked or offered by means of the mantra (e.g., the "three-headed" and "fiveheaded" gestures imitating the head dress of Mañjuśrī; "the spike," and the "seat of the peacock"). Stephan BEYER calls these types of mudrās, "mimetic representations of the objects being offered – simulacra that control the transmission of worship to the god, just as the mantras of offering enjoin its acceptance and response."40 Beyer also mentions a "stereotyped gesture," that is, a ritualized use of a common gesture for threat. Such mudrās correspond to mantras such as phat - i.e., the ritual use of sounds that are employed in everyday expression. Examples of this type of *mudrā* are gestures of "reverence, threat, welcome, or farewell."41 (No such gestures are prescribed at Mmk 2.) In sum, the $mudr\bar{a}$, when employed by a serious initiate⁴² in conjunction with the proper mantra, creates quick and infallible results (mudrā mantrasamopetā samyuktā kṣiprakarmikā; mudrā mantrasamopetā samyuktā sarvakarmikā).43

So far, I have discussed *hṛdaya mantras*. The text describes several uses of these *mantras*. Those related directly to the Buddha/all *buddhas* and to Mañjuśrī are "all-accomplishing;" that is, their application is manifold, ranging from the fulfillment of personal wishes, good health, and fortunate rebirth, to enlightenment. Those attached specifically to the "fierce" aspect of Mañjuśrī – in the form of Vajrapāṇi and Yamāntaka – are used to purify and protect the mental and physical space of the practitioner.

Invocation (āhvānana) mantras

After the presentation of the "powerful eight syllabled" *hṛdaya mantra* (*Mmk* 2.26.13-27.3), *Mmk* 2 presents what it calls *āhvānana mantras*. As the term indicates, these are to be used specifically for the invocation (*āhvānana*) of both enlightened forces (Mañjuśrī, all *bodhisattvas*, all solitary *buddhas*, noble hearers) and worldy forces and spirits.

- 40. BEYER 1973:146. See *Mmk* 35.355.24ff. for obvious examples of this category of *mudrā*. Gestures given there include *utphala*, *svasitka*, *dhvaja*, *chatra*, *ghaṭa*, *mālā*, *śūla*, *kumbha*, and *Mmk* 2.27.10ff. for similar correspondences.
- 41. BEYER 1973:146.
- 42. See, for instance, *Mmk* 34.350.10-21, a section on the requirements of the the practitioner who receives *mudrā*: he must be adorned with *bodhicitta*, follow the *buddhas*' path interminably, etc.
- 43. Mmk 34.351.9 and 22.

Here are the mantras for invocation: Om he he kumārarūpisvarūpiņe sarvabālabhāṣitaprabodhane āyāhi bhagavam āyāhi kumārakrīḍotpaladhāriņe maṇḍalamadhye tiṣṭha tiṣṭha samayam anusmara apratihataśasana hūm mā vilamba ru ru phaṭ svāhā (O you whose own form is the form of a prince O awakening spoken by all youth approach O blessed one approach O you who bear the lotus playing as a prince abide abide in the middle of the maṇḍala! remember the vow! O indestructible teaching hūm! do not delay! hail!) This is the mantra for invoking the blessed Mañjuśrī, and [for invoking] all beings, all bodhisattvas, all solitary buddhas, noble hearers, gods, nāgas, yakṣas, gandharvas, [asuras], garuḍas, kinnaras, mahoragas, piśācas, rākṣasas, bhūtas.44

Several of the *mantras* presented so far have referred to the *maṇḍala*. The fact that this section on *mantras* precedes the prescriptions for the initiation (*abhiṣeka*) ritual indicates that the *mantras* are to be applied specifically during that ritual. The initiation is performed within a *maṇḍala*. The act of invoking auspicious, protective, and potentially threatening forces is a standard feature of the *Mmk* ritual practice. One example should suffice to show this. The raw cotton used for making the cult image must be consecrated (*abhimantraṇa*) before it is woven into a canvas. This is achieved, as mentioned above, by invoking the force of "all *buddhas*" in the form of Mañjuśrī.

om śodhaya śodhaya sarvavighnaghātaka mahākāruṇika kumārarūpadhāriṇe vikurva vikurva samayam anusmara tiṣṭha tiṣṭha hūm hūm phaṭ phaṭ svāhā (purify purify! O destroyer of all obstacles O you of great compassion O bearer of youthful form! perform a miraculous transformation perform a miraculous transformation! remember your vow! be present be present! hūm hūm phaṭ phaṭ hail!)⁴⁵

Similarly, the $\bar{a}hv\bar{a}nana$ mantras consecrate the object into which some force is being drawn, or, in the language of the text, is being implored to approach $(\bar{a}y\bar{a}hi)$ the object and abide (tistha) within it. While the mantra is always specific in that its terms refer directly to the effected object, and its corresponding $mudr\bar{a}$ often "mimicks" the object, the pattern of invocation is consistently generalized throughout the Mmk.

^{44.} Mmk 2.27.3-9: āhvānanamantrā cātra bhavati / om he he kumārarūpisvarūpiņe sarvabālabhāṣitaprabodhane āyāhi bhagavam āyāhi / kumārakrīdotpaladhāriņe maṇḍalamadhye tiṣṭha tiṣṭha / samayam anusmara / apratihataśāsana hūm / mā vilamba ru ru phaṭ svāhā / eṣa bhagavam mañjuśriyaḥ āhvānanamantrā / sarvasattvānām sarvabodhisattvānām sarvapratyekabuddhārya śrāvakadevanāgayakṣagandharvagaruḍakinnaramahoragapiśācarākṣasasarvabhūtānām [/]

Offering *mantras*

From the *mantras* used to summon powers into the *maṇḍala* or to any other place where rituals are performed, the text moves to the objects of offering that are being directed to these powers. Since the goal of these offerings is to make present the invoked object, these *mantras* may be considered a sub-category of *āhvānana mantras*. The following example shows the sensual nature of the language of these passages.

Having prepared the sandalwood water, consecrated seven times, he should scatter it everywhere: in all four directions, upwards, downwards, horizontally. All buddhas and bodhisattvas, the retinue of Mañjuśrī himself, all mantras, ordinary and extraordinary, all classes of creatures, and all beings must appear. Homage to all buddhas, whose teachings are indestructible! Om dhu dhura dhura dhūpavāsini dhūpārciṣi hūm tiṣṭha samayam anusmara svāhā (O you dwelling in the incense O luster of the incense abide remember your vow! hail!) [This is the] "incense mantra." Then, having prepared the saffron, camphor, and sandalwood, [the incense mantra] should be bestowed on the incense. All tathāgatas and bodhisattvas come, and they are drawn out of the heart of the gratified incense. The *mudrā* of this [mantra] is known as "the garland," and is auspicious, attracting all beings. These mantras of invocation and their mudrās are beautiful garlands of lotuses. They should be offered to all the buddhas, bodhisattvas and other beings who come. After stirring water with camphor, sandlewood and saffron, and preparing a mixture of two draughts of crushed bakula flowers, white lotuses grown in the rainy season and fresh garlands of jasmine with some other fragrant flower that is in season, an offering should be made along with the mantra. Homage to all buddhas, whose teachings are indestructible! The mantra is: he he mahākārunika viśvarūpadhārini arghyam pratīcchad pratīcchāpaya samayam anusmara tistha tistha mandalamadhye praveśaya praviśa sarvabhūtānukampaka grhna grhna hūm ambaravicārine svāhā (hey hey you of great compassion, bearer of manifold forms regard this offering receive this offering remember your vow! abide abide in the center of the mandala! lead into it enter into it! O you who possess compassion for all beings seize seize O you who traverse the sky! hail!) The mudrā for this is known as "abundance," and it is followed by all buddhas. 46

46. Mmk 2.27.10-26: saptābhimantritam candanodakam kṛtvā / caturdiśam ity ūdhvamadhastiryaksarvataḥ kṣipet / sarvabuddhabodhisattvāḥ mañjuśriyaḥ svayam tasya parivāraḥ sarvalaukikalokottarāś ca mantrāḥ sarve ca bhūtagaṇāḥ sarvasattvāś ca āgatā bhaveyuḥ / namaḥ sarvabuddhānām apratihataśāsanānām / om dhu dhura dhura dhūpavāsini dhūpārciṣi hūm tiṣṭha samayam anusmara svāhā / dhūpamantraḥ / candanam karpūram kuṃkumam caikīkṛtya dhūpam dāpayettataḥ / āgatānām tathāgatānām sarvabodhisattvānām ca dhūpāpyāyitamanasaḥ ākṛṣṭā bhavanti / bhavati cātra mudrā yasya māleti vikhyātā sarvasattvākarṣaṇī śivā / āhvānanamantrāyāś ca ayameva mudrā padmamālā śubhā / āgatānām ca sarvabuddhabodhisattvānām sarvasattvānām cāgatānām arghyo deyaḥ / karpūracandanakuṃkumair udakamāloḍyajātīkusu-

The equivalency of the *mantra*, *mudrā*, object of consecration, and possessing force is explicit in these offering *mantras*. These are called variously incense *mantras* (*dhūpamantra*), fragrance *mantras* (*gandhamantra*), oblative *mantras* (*balimantra*), illumination *mantras* (*pradīpamantra*), and fire *mantras* (*agnimantra*).

And here are the perpetually fragrant mantras (gandhamantra). Homage to all buddhas! Homage to the tathāgata, whose glory, brilliance and fragrance are universal! The mantra is: gandhe gandhe gandhāḍhye gandhamanorame pratīcche pratīcchemaṃ gandham samantānusāriṇe svāhā! (O fragrant one O fragrant one O you abounding in fragrance O joy within the fragrance attend attend to me O you who entirely penetrate this fragrance! hail!) The sealing gesture (mudrā) in this case is called "the bud that completely fulfills all desires." And here are the flower mantras (puṣpamantra). Homage to all buddhas, whose teachings areindestructible! Homage to the tathāgata, the ruler of those who have fully blossomed! The mantra is this: kusume kusume kusumāḍhye kusumapuravāsini kusumāvati svāhā (O blossoming O blossoming O you abounding in blossoms O you dwelling in the city of blossoms O land of blossoms! hail!) He should thus fumigate with the incense mantra (dhūpamantra), mentioned above, [and] with incense.

Making obeisance to the *buddhas*, who possess inconceivably wonderous forms, I will proclaim this oblative *mantra* (*balimantra*), which has been spoken by the perfectly enlightened *buddhas*.

Homage to all buddhas and bodhisattvas, whose teachings are indestructible! The mantra is this: he he bhagavam mahāsattva buddhāvalokita mā vilamba idam balim gṛḥṇāpaya gṛḥṇa hūm hūm sarvaviśva ra ra ṭa ṭa phaṭ svāhā hey hey blessed one! (O great being! do not delay take this offering take! O all and everything! hail!) Along with [reciting] this, he should present the offering and the oblation to all sentient beings. The mudrā has the power to ward off all evil. Homage to the indestructible teaching of all buddhas and bodhisattvas, which completely destroys the darkness of delusions! Homage to the tathāgata whose glory, resplendence and fragrance shines universally! The [illumination mantra (pradīpamantra: 28.15)] is: he he bhagavam jyotiraśmiśatasahasrapratimaṇḍitaśarīra virkurva vikurva mahābodhisattvasamantajvālodyotitamūrti khurda khurda avalokaya avalokaya sarvasattvānām svāhā (O you whose body is adorned with a hundred thousand rays of light transform transform O mani-

manavamālikavārṣikapunnāganāgavavakulapiṇḍitagarābhyāṃ eteṣām anyatamena puṣpeṇa yathārttukena vā sugandhapuṣpeṇa miśīkṛtya anena mantreṇa arghyo deyaḥ / namaḥ sarvabuddhānāṃ apratihataśāsanānāṃ tadyathā / he he mahākāruṇika viśvarūpadhāriṇi arghyaṃ pratīcchad pratīcchāpaya samayam anusmara tiṣṭha tiṣṭha maṇḍalamadhye praveśaya praviśa sarvabhūtānukampaka gṛḥṇa gṛḥṇa hūm ambaravicāriṇe svāhā / mudrā cātrapūrṇeti vikyātā sarvabuddhānuvartinī /

festation who shines replendently and universally on the great bodhisattvas play play behold all beings! hail!) These are the illumination mantras. Together with this, the lamp (pradīpa) should be offered. The mudrā is called "the beam of light that beholds all beings." Homage to all buddhas and bodhisattvas, whose teachings are indestructible! The [mantra] is: jvala jvala jvālaya jvālaya hūm vibodhaka harikṛṣṇapiṅgala svāhā (blaze blaze illuminate illuminate O awakening O reddish-brown dark green one! hail!)

These are the fire mantras. The $mudr\bar{a}$, called "the covered box" (samputa), is famous throughout the world. Shining brilliantly on all beings, it was previously proclaimed by those best of munis for the wise bodhisattva.⁴⁷

The offering *mantras* highlight the fact that a *mantra* must be preceded by a liturgical formula acknowledging the glory of the *buddhas*. As with any ritual practice in the *Mmk*, preparation is a central feature of all forms of *mantra* recitation. In every instance, preparation involves mentally focussing on the authority that stands behind the power being made manifest by means of the *mantra*.

Dismissal (visarjana) mantra

Following the offering *mantras*, the text gives the "dismissal *mantra*" (*visarjanamantra*). This is used for withdrawing the power of the *mantra* after it has "effected" the goal of the practitioner.

47. Mmk 2.27.27-28.20: dhruvā gandhamantrā cātra bhavati / namaḥ sarvabuddhānām namah samantagandhāvabhāsaśriyāya tathāgatāya / tadyathā / gandhe gandhe gandhāḍhye gandhamanorame pratīcche pratīccheyam gandham samantānusārine svāhā / bhavati cātra mudrā pallavā nāma sarvāśāparipūrikā / puspamantrā cātra bhavati / namah sarvabuddhānām apratihataśāsānām / namaḥ saṃkusumitarājasya tathāgatasya / tadyathā / kusume kusume kusumādhye kusumapuravāsini kusumāvati svāhā / tenaiva dhūpamantreņa pūrvoktenaiva dhūpena dhūpayet / sarvabuddhām namaskrtya acintyādbhutarūpiņām / balimantram pravaksyāmi samyaksambuddhabhāṣitām // namaḥ sarvabuddhabodhisattvānām apratihataśāsānām tadyathā / he he bhagavam mahāsattva buddhāvalokita mā vilamba idam balim grhnāpaya grhna hūm hūm sarvaviśva ra ra ta ta phat svāhā / nivedyam cānena dāpayet balim / ca sarvabhautikam / bhavati cātra mudrā śāktiḥ sarvaduṣṭanivāriṇī / namaḥ sarvabuddhānām apratihataśāsānām sarvatamo 'ndhakāravidhvamsinām namah samantajyotigandhāvabhāsaśriyāya tathāgatāya / tadyathā / he he bhagavam jyotiraśmiśatasahasrapratimanditaśarīra virkurva vikurva mahābodhisattvasamantajvālodyotitamūrti khurda khurda avalokaya avalokaya sarvasattvānām svāhā / pradīpamantrā / pradīpam cānena dāpayet / mudrā vikāsinī nāma sarvasattvāvalokinī / namah samantabuddhānām apratihataśāsānām / tad yathā / jvala jvala jvālaya jvālaya / hūm / vibodhaka harikrsnapimgala svāhā / agnikārikā mantrā / bhavati cātra mudrā samputa nāma lokaviśrutā / sarvasattvaprabhodyotanī bhāṣitā munivaraiḥ pūrvam bodhisattvasya dhīmata /

The dismissal *mantras*. Homage to all *buddhas*, whose teachings are indestructible. [The *mantra*] is: *jaya jaya sujaya mahākāruṇika viśvarūpiṇe* gaccha gaccha svabhavanam sarvabuddhāṃś ca visarjaya saparivārāṃ svabhavanaṃ cānupraveśaya samayam anusmara sarvārthāś ca me siddhyantu mantrapadāḥ manorathaṃ ca me paripūraya svāhā (conquer conquer completely conquer O you of great compassion who appears in various forms go go to your own abode and dismiss all buddhas enter your own abode along with your retinue remember your vow may the mantra words effect all of my goals and my heart's desire completely fulfill! hail!) This dismissal mantra should be employed in all rituals. The sealing gesture is known as "the throne of good" (bhadrapīṭha). Together with this, a seat should be offered. The mantra adept (mantrasiddhi) should employ the visarjana together with seven [silent] mental recitations (manasā saptajaptena) for all ordinary and extraordinary [rituals], maṇḍala [rituals] and mantra [rituals], and when under occasional vows – during jāpa recitation.⁴⁸

Vidyā mantras

Following this is a long section on a class of *mantras* called *vidyā*, taught by Mañjuśrī to the assembly gathered in the Śuddhāvāsa palace. As with the above classes of *mantra*, the *Mmk* does not offer explicit explanations of the *vidyā*, but presents images and descriptions of use. The image of the *vidyā* is of a "female companion" (*anucarī*) of Mañjuśrī – all *vidyās* are given in the feminine gender. The *vidyās* are "possessed of beautiful hair" (*keśinī*, *upakeśinī*), "star-like" (*tārāvatī*), "possessed of brilliant, glorious beauty" (*śvetaśrīvapu*), "of great loveliness" (*mahālakṣmī*).⁴⁹ As with all other *mantras*, *mudrās* – usually "mimetic" – invariably accompany *vidyās*. And, as the following examples illustrate, *vidyās* are applied for various purposes.

Homage to all *buddhas*, whose teachings are indestructible: *om riți svāhā!* This is the *vidyā* that does everything; it is called "lovely hair" (*keśinī*), [and is] the female companion of Mañjuśrī. During all rituals requiring an attendant the great

- 48. Mmk 2.29.22-29: visarjanamantrā bhavanti / namaḥ sarvabuddhānām apratihataśāsanānām / tadyathā / jaya jaya sujaya mahākāruṇika viśvarūpiṇe gaccha gaccha svabhavanaṃ sarvabuddhāṃś ca visarjaya / saparivārāṃ svabhavanaṃ cānupraveśaya / samayam anusmara / sarvārthāś ca me siddhyantu mantrapadāḥ manorathaṃ ca me paripūraya[°ntu = T.] svāhā / ayaṃ visarjanamantrāḥ sarvakarmeṣu prayoktavyaḥ / mudrā bhadrapīṭheti vikhyātā / āsanaṃ cānena dāpayet / manasā saptajaptena visarjanaṃ sarvebhyaḥ laukikalokottarebhyo maṇḍalebhyaḥ mantrebhyaś caiva mantrasiddhiḥ / samayajapakālaniyameṣu ca prayoktavyeti //
- 49. *Mmk* 2.30.4, 7, 25, 31.11, and 22, respectively.

sealing gesture, "five-crests," is used. Homage to the universal *buddhas*, whose teachings are indestructible: *om niṭi*. This *vidyā*, called *upakeśinī*, does everything. [This] should be used with the sealing gesture "blooming" (*vikāsini*) in all rituals of seizure (*sarvagrahakarma*).

Homage to the universal *buddhas*, who possess inconceivably wondrous forms. *Om nu re* [T. = $t\bar{a}re$] $sv\bar{a}h\bar{a}$. This $vidy\bar{a}$, called "star-like" $(t\bar{a}r\bar{a}vat\bar{\imath})^{50}$ is commended for all rituals. Done together with the sealing gesture "staff of force" $(\acute{s}aktiyasti)$, [this $vidy\bar{a}$] is a destroyer of obstacles.

Homage to the universal *buddhas*, who proceed on an unobstructed course. [The *vidyā* is] *oṁ śrīḥ*. This spell, "she of great loveliness" (*mahālakṣmī*), was taught by the protectors of the world. Practiced with the sealing gesture "bowl-shaped" (*saṃpuṭa*), she grants the rank of "emperor."⁵¹

The *vidyās* refer to feminine deities that were appropriated by Buddhists. As such, they are classed as belonging not to the family of *buddhas* (*tathāgatakula*), but to that of the "lotus" (*abjakula*). *Mmk* 1 mentions numerous *vidyārājñīs* "proceeding from the *samādhi* of the manifest Lokeśvara" (*vidyārājñībhir lokeśvaramūrttisamādhivisṛtaiḥ*);⁵² the *vidhārājñīs* "proceed from the *mantras* and penetrate the vow of the lotus family" (*abjakulasamayānupraveśamantravicāribhih*).⁵³

- 50. At *Mmk* 10.16 *tārā* heads a list of *vidyārājñīs*; at *Mmk* 4.65.9 called "compassion of Avalokiteśvara."
- 51. Mmk 2.30.3-7; 30.23-26; 31.20-23: namaḥ sarvabuddhānām apratihata-śāsānām / oṁ riṭi svāhā // mañjuśriyasyedam anucarī keśinī nāma vidyā sarva-karmikā / mahāmudrāyā pañcaśikhāyā yojyasarvaviṣakarmasu / namaḥ samantabuddhānām apratihataśāsānām / oṁ niṭi / upakeśinī nāma vidyeyaṃ sarvakarmikā mudrayā vikāsinyā ca yojayet / sarvagrahakarmeṣu/ namaḥ samantabuddhānām acintyādbhūtarūpiṇām [/] oṁ nu re [T. = tāre] svāhā / vidyā tārāvatī nāma praśastā sarvakarmasu / mudrayā śaktiyaṣṭayā tu yojitā vighnaghātinī // namaḥ sarvabuddhānām apratihatagata[T. śākti]pracāriṇām [/] tadyathā / oṁ śrīḥ / eṣā vidyā mahālakṣmī lokanāthaistu deśitā / mudrā sampuṭayā yuktā mahārājyapradāyikā //
- 52. *Mmk* 1.10.14-15.
- 53. Mmk 1.11.3.

Non-Buddhist mantras

In this vein, the section on *mantras* at *Mmk* 2 ends with an appropriation of the mantras of major non-Buddhist deities. This sub-section is prefaced by a polemical "revisionist" history of the *mantras* that are then presented. The central contention of the history is that all previous mantras – those of Brahma, Śiva, Visnu, etc. – were originally spoken by the Buddhist bodhisattva Mañjuśrī, though in the form of Brahma, Śiva, etc. Mañjuśrī merely took the form of these Hindu deities as an $up\bar{a}ya$ – in this case, as a means of conversion. Specifically, the preface identifies Mañjuśrī with Kārttikeya (also called Skanda), the six-headed son of Śiva in Purāṇic mythology.⁵⁴ In this manner, the *Mmk* presents its own Purāna fragment of sorts, rewriting the history of Kārttikeya, revealing essential facts about his life that had been left out of the Saivite account. In the Mmk version, Karttikeya's name is combined with Mañjuśrī's: Kārttikeyamañjuśrī. This synthetic name gives a clear picture of the authors' intention to co-opt Saivite claims and subordinate these to those of the Mmk. Although there are allusions to Kārttikeya/Skanda's role as the leader of the demons who cause illness in children, here that role is reversed: Kārttikeyamañjuśrī declares a mantra that "completely frees from illness during the period of youth." Finally, Karttikeya is assigned the roll of attendant (anucara) to the bodhisattva.

This was spoken by the *bodhisattva* Mañjughoṣa, the protector, whose six[-faced] transformation shook the entire world.

54. See O'FLAHERTY 1975: 161ff. The Mmk emphasizes this equivalency by presenting an unmistakable image of Mañjuśrī as "six-faced" (sanmukha), and as making the gesture mimicking the seat of the peacock (the vehicle of Kārttikeya). This occurs immediately before the "Purāṇa," as the final vidyā. It reads as follows (note the masculine forms). om kumāra mahākumāra krīda krīda sanmukha bodhisattvānujñāta mayūrāsanasamghodyatapāni raktāmga raktagandhānulepanapriya kha kha khāni khāni khāni hum nṛtya nṛtya raktapuspārcitamūrti samayam anusmara bhrama bhrama bhrāmaya bhrāmaya lahu lahu mā vilamba sarvakāryāni me kuru kuru tistha tistha hum hum sarvabuddhānujñāta svāhā (O youthful one O great youth play play O six-faced one authorized by bodhisattvas you whose hand is raised in the [mudrā] seat of the peacock flock O red-limbed one O beloved anointed with myrrh dancing dancing O you whose body is aflame with red flowers remember your vow wander wander cause to wander cause to wander quickly quickly do not delay do do for me all work O you who bear a bright-colored form abide abide O you who have been authorized by all buddhas hail!)

To hinder evil beings for the sake of all beings' welfare, the terrible son of Maheśvara (= of Śiva) came here in order to convert others.

Well marked by the emblems of demons and with charcoal, he who speaks sweetly (*mañjubhāṣinī*⁵⁵) spoke with a mind engrossed in compassion to Skanda.

This the great-souled *bodhisattva*, for creating welfare for children, proclaimed wherever beings wandered throughout the world.

Combined with the sealing gesture of the great-souled one, [called] "staff of force" (śaktiyaṣṭi), he leads one to Brahma, and so forth [i.e., to all the gods], let alone to human results.

Kārttikeyamañjuśrī declared this *mantra*, in brief, so that one may be completely free from illness during the period of youth.

Desirous of conferring benefits on beings, the *bodhisattva* came here to proclaim the three-syllabled essence of his *mantra*.

He attends closely to attracting fortune for the welfare of every beings, and, fixed with the sealing gesture "staff of force," accomplishes all deeds.

om hūm jaḥ

This mantra would achieve human results fully.

Homage to all *buddhas*, whose embodiments manifest universally. *oṁ vikṛtagraha hūṃ phaṭ svāhā (O mutilated demon phaṭ hail!)* And the employment of its *upahṛdaya* together with the force of the sealing gesture, averts *bhūtas*, *grahas*, *and mātaras*.

Fixing it with sealing gestures that seal all, it would be fruitful.

55. Inexplicably, the Sanskrit gives feminine *mañjubhāṣinī*. I translate the more consistent masculine form of the Tibetan, 'jam pa' i nag gis.

It causes terror to *bhūtas*, releasing those intent on evil.

This is the youthful, all-achieving attendant of Mañjuśrīkumārabhūta, named Kārttikeyamañjuśrī. Through mere repetition [of the *mantra*], he accomplishes all deeds, terrifies all *bhūtas*, attracts, subjugates, hurts, kills, or whatever is desired by the practitioner of spells (*vidyādhara*),⁵⁶ all of that is effected.⁵⁷

The *mantras* given here reflect the synthetic nature of the section as a whole. The one *mantra*, *om hūm jaḥ*, has both the formal and functional elements of the *buddha/bodhisattva hṛdaya mantras*, while the other, *om vikṛtagraha hūm phaṭ svāhā*, has those of the *abjakula* protective forces. This double function of the *mantras* is apparent when the text turns to those of Brahma, Śiva, and Viṣṇu.

Homage to the universal *buddhas*, whose teachings are indestructible. [The *mantra* is]: *om brahma subrahma bramavarcase śāntim kuru svāhā* (O Brahma perfect Brahma O divine splendor make peace! hail!)

This *mantra*, "great Brahmā," was spoken by the *bodhisattva*. Beings attained peace; from this moment on they are gentle.

Employed with the five-crested sealing gesture ($mudr\bar{a}$), he would quickly make auspicious progress.

- 56. For vidyādhara, see PRYZLUSKI 1923.
- 57. Mmk 2.32.17-33.18: bhāsitā bodhisattvena mañjughosena nāyinā [T. skyob pa < tāyinā] / ṣaḍvikārā mahī kṛtsnā pracacāla samantatah // hitārtham sarvasattvānām dustasattvanivāranam / maheśvarasya [T. mi $bzad < sah\bar{a}^{\circ}$] sūto ghoro vaineyārthamihāgatah // skandamamgārakaś caiva grahacihnaih sucihnitah / mañjubhāsinī tato bhāse karunāvistena [T. brlan pa < °āvrsti] cetasā // mahātmā bodhisattvo 'yam bālānām hitakārinah / sattvacaryā yatah prokto viceruh sarvato jagat // mudrāśaktiyastyānusamyukto sa mahātmanaḥ / āvartayati brahmādyām kim punar mānusam phalam // kaumārabhittamakhilam kalyamasya samāsatah / kārttikeyamañjuśrīh mantro 'yam samudāhrtah // sattvānugrahakāmyartham bodhisattva ihāgatah / tryaksaram nāma hrdayam mantrasyāsya udāhṛtam // sarvasattvahitārthāya bhogākarṣaṇatatparaḥ [T. mchog < °parama] / mudrayā śaktiyastyā tu vinyastah sarvakarmikah // oṁ hūṁ jah / esa mantrah samāsena kurānmānusakam phalam / namah samantabuddhānām samantodyotitamūrtinām [/] vikrtagraha hūm phat svāhā // upahrdayam cāsya samyukto mudrā śaktinā tathā / āvartayati bhūtāni sagrahām mātārām tathā // sarvamudritamudresu vinyastā saphalā bhavet / vitrāsayati bhūtānām dustāvistavimocanī // esa mañjuśriyasya kumārabhūtasya kārttikeyamañjuśrī nāma kumārah anucarah sarvakarmikah japamātrenaiva sarvakarmāni karoti sarvabhūtāni trāsayati ākarsayati vaśamānayati śosayati ghātayati yathepsitam vā vidyādharasya tat sarvam sampādayati /

It is mentioned in the Atharva Veda⁵⁸ for all of the rites of malediction. In short, this is taught in the abridged [version] of that ordinance.

Homage to the universal buddhas, whose teachings are indestructible.

[The mantra is]: om garūḍavāhana cakrapāṇi caturbhuja hūm hūm samayam anusmara / bodhisattvo jñāpayati (O you who ride upon Garūḍa O you who hold the discus in your hand O four-armed one! hūm hūm remember your vow! the bodhisattva has revealed this!)

Authorized by Mañjughoṣa, [this *mantra*] accomplishes all matters quickly and is auspicious. With the form of Viṣṇu as a body for the people, it causes demons to be put to flight.

Employed with the "three-crested" sealing gesture it is steadfast, accomplishing all matters quickly. Those extensive ordinances that were proclaimed in the Vaiṣṇava *tantra* were spoken by Mañjughoṣa as but a means for converting people.⁵⁹

After making identical claims about the *mantras* used in the cults of Śiva and Garūḍa,⁶⁰ the *Mmk* ends this section on *mantras* with an image showing the relationship between these cults and the *bodhisattva* Mañjuśrī. According to this image, those who employ non-Buddhist – non-*Mmk* – *mantras*, do so foolishly, like playing children who wander dangerously far from their mother. But these non-Buddhist practitioners are ultimately saved from their transgression since the forms they

- 58. Correct text's reading of *athavā ceda* on basis of Tibetan: see MACDONALD: 39, fn. 3.
- 59. Mmk 2.33.19-24; 26-34.5: namaḥ samantabuddhānām apratihataśāsānām / tadyathā / oṁ brahma subrahma bramavarcase śāntiṃ kuru svāhā // eṣa mantro mahābrahmā bodhisattvena bhāṣitaḥ / śāntiṃ prajagmurbhūtāni tat kṣaṇād eva śūtalā // mudrā pañcaśikhāyuktā kṣipraṃ svastyayanaṃ bhavet / ābhicārukeṣu sarveṣu athavo cedapaṭhyate [T. srid sruṃ gi ni rig byed < atharvaveda°] [/] eṣa saṃkṣepata ukto kalpamasya samāsataḥ [//] namaḥ samantabuddhānām apratihataśāsanānām [/] tadyathā / oṁ garūḍavāhana cakrapāṇi caturbhuja hūṁ hūṁ samayam anusmara / bodhisattvo jñāpayati svāhā // ājñapto mañjughoṣeṇa kṣipramarthakaraḥ śivaḥ / vidrāpayati bhūtāni viṣṇurupeṇa dehinām // mudrā triśikhe yuktaḥ kṣipramarthakaraḥ sthiraḥ / ya eva vaiṣṇave tantre kathitāḥ kalpavistarāḥ / upāyavaineyasattvānāṃ mañjughoṣeṇa bhāṣitāḥ //
- 60. Mmk 2.34.6-13 and 14-26, respectively.

worship, and the *mantras* they recite, are really aspects of the *bodhisattva*, gently prodding them into the family of the *buddhas*.

Just as a mother watchfully plays with her children in various ways, I (Mañjuśrī) wander among those of child-like intelligence in the form of the *mantra*.

Previously proclaimed by *buddhas*, and now uttered by me – the resplendant prince – is the meaning of all *mantra* texts.

Those [mantras] which were sung by the greatest of victors, those [mantras] which were sung by the sons of the buddhas – those were sung by him whose voice is pleasant in the aspect of miraculous, inconceivable forms.⁶¹

The image of the mother $(dh\bar{a}tr\bar{i})$ playing $(l\bar{a}lati)$ with her children evokes the mythological image of the cosmic play $(l\bar{i}l\bar{a})$ of the creator $(dh\bar{a}tr)$ with his creation, and of the *bodhisattva* playfully entering and transforming material forms. It also calls to mind the *uttamasādhana*, where the $s\bar{a}dhaka$ "becomes one who playfully enjoys immortality" $(ajar\bar{a}maral\bar{i}l\bar{i}\ bhavati^{62})$ and other results of *mantra* practice. The metaphor of play is apt for a ritual text like the *Mmk*. In a sense, it can be argued that the very purpose of such a text is to provide the rules for playing. The game being played is of course the game of *mantric* utterance. Like a mother protecting her children by setting limits, the *Mmk* protects its aspiring $s\bar{a}dhakas$ by laying down the rules for what its community holds to be real achievement, namely, the efficacious use of the *mantra* and all the benefits that that entails.

Demonstration of effect

By "demonstration of effect," I mean the *Mmk*'s presentation of a passage describing the results of *mantra* practice. An example is as follows.

He proceeds instantly to the Brahmaloka. He stays in the world-realm Kusumāvātī, where the *tathāgata* Saṅkusumitarājendra dwells, exists, abides and

- 61. Mmk 2.35.5-10: yathā hi dhātrī bahudhā bālānām lālati yatnataḥ / tathā bāliśa-buddhīnām mantrarūpī carāmyaham // daśabalaiḥ kathitam pūrve adhunā ca mayoditam / sakalam mantratantrārtham kumāro 'pyāham mahādyutiḥ // jina-varaiś ca ye gītā gītā daśabalātmajaiḥ / mañjusvareṇa te gītā acintyādbuta-rūpiṇām //
- 62. VAIDYA 1964: p.56.14.

teaches the *dharma*. He beholds Mañjuśrī directly (*sākṣāt*). He hears the true teaching (*dharma*). He also sees several thousand *bodhisattvas*, and worships them. He becomes one who playfully enjoys non-aging and immortality for a thousand great eons. The *paṭa* is also there. He is empowered by all *buddhas* and *bodhisattvas*, and he declares to them his firm resolution to attain enlightenment] and proceeds to their hundred thousand paradises. [Their] hundred thousand bodies are revealed to him. He becomes possessed of numerous powers and supernatural abilities. The noble Mañjuśrī becomes his virtuous friend. He becomes one for whom the goal of enlightenment is certain.⁶³

When the Mmk presents a passage demonstrating the effect of the mantra, it is showing the end of its own form of mantracary \bar{a} – end, in several senses: purpose, consummation, extent, realization. But the ablity to effect the power of the mantra represents more than the culmination of a religious practice; it represents the ends of both Buddhism as a whole and of the culture from which this practice emerges. If, as is the view of religious practitioners, such practices lead to levels of meaning and satisfaction not attainable through non-religious means, then demonstrations of effects are eschatological, in the most literal sense of the word: they are discourses (logos) on what lies furthest (eschatos) furthest from the culture of which they are the culmination. A civilization that cultivates a Christian worldview will present as "last things" such issues as the end of history, redemption, final judgement, heaven, and hell. Such concerns follow from the temporal and spatial notions embedded in, and generating, Christian cosmology. Christian liturgy, worship, prayer, etc., are, then, believed to be the keys for unlocking that cosmic structure. A society that cultivates Buddhist views will offer a different set or sets of final things, such as nirvāna, salvific knowledge, liberation, cessation of suffering and of samsāra, and it will mold the keys, produced by its culture, to fit its specific cosmology. So, when the Mmk demonstrates the effect of its mantra practice it is revealing what its community held to be the most valuable ends

63. Mmk 8.79.27-28: acchaṭāmātreṇa brahmalokamatikrāmati / kusumāvatīṃ lokadhātuṃ sampratiṣṭhati / yatrāsau bhagavāṃ saṃkusumitrājendras tathāgataḥ tiṣṭhati dhriyate [VAIDYA 1964: p. 56.12-16] yapāyati dharmaṃ ca deśayati / āryamañjuśriyaṃ ca sākṣāt paśyati / dharmaṃ śṛṇoti / anekānyapi bodhisattvaśatasahasrā paśyati / tāṃś ca parupāste / mahākalpasahasraṃ ajarāmaralīlī bhavati / paṭas tatraiva tiṣṭhati / sarvabuddhabodhisattvādhiṣṭhito bhavati / teṣāṃ cādhiṣṭānaṃ saṃjānīte kṣetraśatasahasraṃ cākrāmati / kāyaśatasahasraṃ vā darśayati / anekaṛddhiprabhāvasamudgato bhavatī / āryamañjuśriyaś ca kalyāṇamitra bhavati / niyataṃ bodhiparāyaṇo bhavatīti //

grounded in, though transcending, the social world that gives that practice life and meaning.

At the beginning of this article, I noted that a *mantra* is a form of speech, and that, like ordinary speech, it must be learned, and then used in specific contexts, if it is to be effective. I mentioned too that the system of rules implicit in *mantric* language is not dependent on linguistic features. The first two sub-sections then considered some of the ritual and doctrinal features of *mantric* "grammar." This sub-section will look at the social dimension of *mantric* utterance.

"The social dimension of *mantric* utterance" is a phrase used by Harvey ALPER to emphasize the fact that the acceptance of the ideas revolving around the Indian *mantra* is "not itself discursive, it is social." ALPER has drawn his inspiration from categories developed by Wittgenstein – particularly in his *Philosophical Investigations* – and attempted to apply "Wittgensteinian concepts to the study of mantras." However, I want to limit my observations to three points made by ALPER, which, interestingly, correspond closely to points made in the *Mmk*. These points are as follows (in ALPER's words): (1) uttering a *mantra* is a thing done, and hence, a learned activity; (2) uttering a *mantra* is both a context- and a rule-dependent activity; (3) the activity of uttering a *mantra* may be compared profitably to a move in a game.

Before turning to the *Mmk*, it will be helpful to give as background the general sense of what is meant by "the social dimension of *mantric* utterance." ALPER offers a clear statement in this regard.

In the Hindu tradition ... there is an explicit awareness that achieving religious consummation involves the mastery of specifiable techniques. Ironically, this situation obscures the fact that the mastery of specifiable techniques itself presupposes a prior mastery of skills that resist specification. The successful use of an "instrument" such as mantric utterance presupposes that one has already acquired the proper attitudes, demeanor, and expectations – that is the proper frame of mind – by having been successfully socialized in the society that recognizes mantric utterance as an "authorized" technique that makes possible one of the kinds of transcendence it is deemed acceptable to experience.

The confident, routine use of mantras surely presupposes a specific, identifiable set of convictions concerning the human condition, the ideal social order, and the purpose of existence. Acceptance of these convictions is a tacit ground without which Mantraśāstra would neither have been invented nor have remained vital. Whatever reasons might be adduced to defend these convictions, their

acceptance is not itself discursive, it is social. As lived, they are part of the forms of life, "the formal conditions, the patterns in the weave of our lives," that give meaning to the language-game of uttering mantras.

... Self-evidently, the language-game of uttering mantras is situated within a social cosmos organized according to the principles of caste hierarchy, culminating in and yet transcended by institutional renunciation (saṃnyāsa), which, as such, recognizes the authority of an elite of "perfect spiritual masters" (gurus) and which experiences the cosmos as a fabric interwoven of various "powers," as śāktic. These are, in general, the "situation and facts" that are invariably concomitant with mantric utterance. They are the preconditions that make it possible and lend it meaning.

(1) Uttering a *mantra* is a thing done, and hence, a learned activity.

The *Mmk sādhaka* must learn how to employ a *mantra*. The force of a *mantra* can be harnessed only through acquired technique, the possibility of which was embedded in medieval Indian religious culture. The efficacy of the *mantra* is thus equally dependent on both the power of enlightened force, as shown above, *and* proper training within a socially authorized structure. As great as it is, the force of *buddhas* alone does not ensure the success of the *mantra*; rather, the activation of this force is dependent on the presence of further, social, conditions.

The clue to the social nature of effective *mantric* utterance is given at the beginning of a primary ritual passage.

First, he who has observed the vow, fulfilled the preliminary practices (*puraścaraṇa*), received the initiation, taken the essential (*hṛdaya*), basic *mantra* from this best of ordinances, or the *upahṛdaya*⁶⁵ or some other *mantra*, or having received a single syllable [*mantra*] or another one – according to one's wishes – and who, having gone to a great forest, eats leaves and roots, who subsists on fruits and water, should recite [the *mantra*] three million times. He becomes one who has completed the preliminary practice.⁶⁶

In the *Mmk*, even the briefest ritualized act, in order to succeed, must be preceded by a long period of preparatory training (*puraścaraṇa*). For our purposes here, we could translate *puraścaraṇa* as *inculturation*. "Preparation" entails an infusion into the practitioner of everything his culture might bring to bear on his quest for enlightenment, liberation, power, etc. Hidden behind the description here is the agent behind that

- 65. At *Mmk* 1.3.8-9 the *hṛdayamantra* and *upahṛdayamantra* are given respectively as *om vākyeda namaḥ* and *vākye hūm*. The *hṛdayamantra* appears again at *Mmk* 29.322.7-16 as Mañjuśrī's "incomparable," etc., six-syllable *mantra*. There, it is employed in a *caitya* ritual.
- 66. Sanskrit above, footnote 28.

infusion: the *guru*. The *guru* is the person who "socializes" the *sādhaka*, guiding him through the process that will enable him to use *mantric* speech appropriately and effectively. Another passage, at *Mmk* 11, brings the *guru*, and his socializing role, more into the open.

First, one must take upon oneself the undertaking of knowledge, the vow, and moral conduct. First of all, one must obey the precepts and instructions of the *maṇḍala* master [i.e., the *guru* presiding over the initiation].

The *sādhaka* ... should make a request to the *maṇḍala* master [*guru*] in this manner: "I desire to enter into, through the agency of the master, the vow (*samaya*) of the great *bodhisattva*, the princely, noble Mañjuśri. This having been said, [may] the master [become] compassionate, his mind impelled by sympathy for us!" Then, having been carefully examined by the *maṇḍala* master, by whom instruction, in accordance with the ordinance, was previously given, as previously described [at *Mmk* 2] the student is introduced [to the practice]. Having conferred the initiation, as previously mentioned [at *Mmk* 2], he should bestow the *mantra*. Duly, by degrees, he should reveal the vow. And, having considered very carefully that the time has arrived, and knowing the mental disposition [of the *sādhaka*] he should reveal the esoteric *mudrās* from the text (*tantra*) as well as the subsequent rituals ...

Then, the mandala master has to bring about the notion "son" (putraka). He [the $s\bar{a}dhaka$] should behave like a son, who says "the benefits (bhoga) are to be offered to my mother."⁶⁷

^{67.} Mmk 11.93.14-15: ādau tāvad vidyāvrataśīlacaryāsamādānam prathamata eva samādadet / prathamam tāvan maṇḍalācāryopadeśanasamayam anupraviśet / Mmk 11.93.26-94.6; 94.10-11: sādhakaś ca ... maṇḍalācāryamabhyarthya prārthayet / icchāmyācāryeṇa mahābodhisattvasya kumārabhūtasyāryamañjuśriyasya samayam anupraviṣṭum / tad vadatvācāryo 'smākam anukampārtham hitacitto dayāvāṃ / tatas tena maṇḍalācāryeṇa pūrvanirdiṣṭena vidhinā śiṣyāṃ yathāpūrvaṃ parīkṣya praveśayet / pūrvavadabhiṣekaṃ dattvā mantraṃ dadyāt / yathāvat kramaśo samayaṃ darśayet / rahasyatantramudrām anukarmāṇi karmāṇi ca prabhūtakālenaiva suparīkṣya āśayaṃ jñātvā darśayet / ... [94.10-11] tatas tena maṇḍalācāryeṇa putrasaṃjñā upasthāpayitavyā / putravat pratipattavyam / mātuś ca bhogā upasamhartavyā iti //

nīyo prayatnena putro dharmavatsalah sadā).69 The ultimate form of protection that the Mmk guru can extend to his disciple is that afforded by effective mantric utterance. As an embodiment of his culture's highest spiritual ideals, the guru is thus extending that culture's ultimate form of protection, too. Mantric speech is both meaningful and effective only when properly learned and applied. This fact is significant because it complicates the understanding of mantras as "magical speech," commonly found in scholarly studies on the subject.⁷⁰ Rather, it is like ordinary speech. Someone who utters incoherent sounds will fail in social life; even someone who speaks with poor grammar or a "low class" accent will be limited through his language. Assuming, for the sake of argument, the desirability of attaining the upper levels of a culture's material promise – status, wealth, etc. – then social protection involves teaching a child the proper forms of language. This analogy can be applied to mantric speech. Though the interface of this type of speech is not limited to social reality, it is a form of speech whose efficacy depends on the user's ability as a speaker of mantras (mantravādin), or, as the text often puts it, as "one who mantras" (mantrin). Like ordinary speech, mantras can fail to serve as instruments serving the speaker's goals. That point is made explicit throughout the Mmk, as in the inverse of this statement.

The disciple who honors that teacher (*guru*) obtains an excellent destiny. His *mantras* are successful because he has been thoroughly shown the path of the ordinances.⁷¹

(2) Uttering a *mantra* is both a context- and a rule-dependent activity. As that last statement shows, there is a direct correlation between being socialized into *mantric* speech, and the adherence to rules: like regular speech, the rules are what make it social ("one person alone cannot follow a rule").

The *Mmk* is nothing if it is not a text of rules. It is, in this sense, a sort of etiquette for *sādhakas*. It records the community's prescriptions for

^{69.} Mmk 11.96.24.

^{70.} See, for example, ALPER:1989c:330 for bibliographical references.

^{71.} Mmk 11.96.3-4: puṣkalaṃ gatiṃ āpnoti śiṣyo pūjyas tu taṃ guruṃ / mantrāsta-sya ca sidhyanti vidhimārgopadarśanāt//

all of the forms of behavior expected of the *sādhaka*. From gathering the wood for prayer beads (*Mmk* 12), sleeping, eating, and begging for alms (*Mmk* 11), to constructing the oblation pit (*Mmk* 13), the text binds its practitioner to a strictly delineated mode of acting in the world. The promise behind its prescribed limits is that real power and freedom, both social (*laukika*) and "spiritual" (*lokottara*), will follow from observing the rules. The reason that this is so is that the rule-dependent activities of the *sādhaka* produce the conditions – the necessary context – for effective *mantric* utterance.

When the *mantra*s are applied according to the ordinances, then one rapidly succeeds.⁷²

(3) The activity of uttering a *mantra* may be compared profitably to a move in a game.

The metaphor of *mantric* utterance as a move in a game follows easily from the previous two assertions. Games are clear instances of learned, and context- and rule-dependent activities. The movement of a piece of wood on a checkered board or the kicking of a leather ball on a gridded field must be interpreted within the larger framework within which they take place – the games of chess and soccer; otherwise, they appear to be senseless activites. Efficacy, furthermore, follows from sense - these moves are effective within the strictures provided by the rules, and the rules orient the player toward the accepted notion of success, or victory. Uttering a mantra is like this. Saying om āh hūm only makes sense within the larger game-matrix of mantracaryā. The meaningfulness of mantracaryā, in turn, is founded on the assumed possibility of what ALPER calls an "epistemological event" (the sādhaka sees the buddha, attains enlightenment) and an "ontological fact" (the existence of the beings and forces that are embodied in the mantras) - mantracaryā notions of victory.

The *Mmk* is the book of rules for the game of *mantracaryā*. Recitation of *mantras* comprises the moves in the game, leading the player, the *sādhaka*, to victory. It is profitable to compare the activity of uttering a *mantra* to a move in a game because this brings out points that the text is emphatic about. These are: *mantras* are effective (1) because of the presupposed cosmological situation (the "unlocking" of which constitutes winning the game), (2) when socially learned becoming a

player, (3) when the rules of their utterance are adhered to (playing by the rules), (4) when engaged in (playing). This appreciably clarifies the context for such seemingly trivial statements – pervading the text – such as "those well-recited mantras are majestic, extremely powerful" (sujaptā mantrā hy ete tejavanto maharddhikā), "the majestic mantras succeed for those of faith, and for no others" (sidhyante mantrarāt tasya śrāddhasyaiveha nānyathā), "the success of the mantra is not impelled by an ascetic of bad morals" (duhśīlasya munīndrena mantrasiddhir na coditā).⁷³ A mantra is effective by virtue of its being a "key that unlocks the śaktic structure of the cosmos." But the ability to employ a mantra effectively requires that the practitioner properly negotiate the complex game of mantracaryā. To the extent that he does this, recitation of a mantra becomes the linguistic game-piece, which, like a wooden chessman, is indispensable to the game. Enlightened power abides, dwells (adhisthana) through the deft moves of a skillfully formed player. But unlike other games, the promise held out to the sādhaka is nothing less than the ability to wield with efficacy the now vivified remains of the Buddha's speech.

Citations

Alper, Harvey, P.

"The Cosmos as Śiva's Language-Game: 'Mantra' According to Kṣemendra's Śivasūtravimarśinī," in *Understanding Mantras* (H. Alper ed.), New York, pp. 240-294.

1989b "A Working Bibliography for the study of Mantras," in *Under-standing Mantras* (H. Alper ed.), New York, pp. 327-443.

Āryamañjuśrīmūlakalpa. T. Gaṇapati Śāstrī (ed.). Part I = no. LXX, 1920; Part II = LXXXII, 1922; Part III = LXXXIV, 1925, Trivandrum.

Tibetan translation: Taipei Edition, volume XVIII bka' 'gyur, 'phags pa 'jam dpal gyi rtsa ba'i rgyud, 540 no. 543, 25/175 (1)-96/667

Beyer, Stephan

1973 *The Cult of Tārā*, Berkeley.

BHSD = Edgerton 1953 B.

BHSG = Edgerton 1953 A.

Davidson, Ronald

"Atiśa's *A Lamp for the Path to Awakening*." In *Buddhism in Practice* (Donald Lopez, ed.). Princeton, pp. 290-301.

Gaṇḍavyūhasūtra (P. L. Vaidya, ed.) 1960: Mithila Institute of Post-graduate Studies and Research in Sanskrit Learning (Bauddha Samskṛta Pratyavali 5), Darbhanga, India.

Gómez, Luis O.

1977 "The Bodhisattva as Wonder-worker," in LANCASTER 1977, pp. 221-261.

Gupta, Sanjukta

"The Pañcarātra Attitude to Mantra," in *Understanding Mantras* (H. Alper ed.), New York, pp. 224-248.

Lancaster, Lewis (ed.)

1977 Prajñāpāramitā and Related Systems: Studies in Honor of Edward Conze (Berkeley Buddhist Studies Series 1), Berkeley.

MacDonald, Ariane

1962 Le Mandala du Mañjuśrīmūlakalpa, Paris.

Matsunaga, Yūkei

"On the Date of the *Mañjuśrīmūlakalpa*," in *Tantric and Taoist Studies in Honour of R. A. Stein*, Michel Strickmann (ed.), vol. 3, in vol. 22 of *Mélanges Chinois et Bouddhiques*, Brussels, pp. 882-894.

O'Flaherty, Wendy

1975 *Hindu Myths*, London and New York.

PED = The Pāli Text Society's Pali English Dictionary. Edited by T. W. Rhys Davids and William Stede 1986 (1921), London.

JIABS 24.1 38

Pryzluski, Jean

"Les Vidyārāja, contribution a l'histoire de la magie dans les sectes Mahāyānistes," *BEFEO, XXIII* : 301-18.

Smith, H. Danial

1975 A Descriptive Bibliography of the Printed Texts of the Pañca-rātrāgama, vol. I. (Gaekwad's Oriental Series, no. 158.), Baroda.

Vaidya, P. L.

1964 *Mahāyānasūtrasaṃgraha*, Part II, Buddhist Sanskrit Texts, no. 18, Bihar.

Warder, A. K.

1991 (1970) Indian Buddhism. Delhi.