Rethinking Research in a Warming World: Toward Climate-Conscious Methodologies

Chim Điên Mặt Xanh 19-04-2025



"While eating much less, the chicks in our village still gain weight very well; for example, the Sham-bird family's children increased their weight by 50% during the campaign! Members of all other families also gain weight, although they ate less and defecated much less! Upon checking their house's droppings site, only Mr. Sparrow's family pooped more than the permitted threshold. However, the village decides not to prohibit them from defecating because they seem to try really hard to eat less. The whole family of Mr. and Mrs. Sparrows is now emaciated, with only skin and bones being seen. Even their feathers molt seriously.

Mr. Sparrow's family then flies to the middle and shows the village their severe malnutrition to prove they have tried their best to eat the least possible. All the birds show their sympathy and agree not to punish."

In "GHG Emissions"; Wild Wise Weird [1]

సా • చ

• • • • •

As climate-related disasters grow in frequency and severity, the ethical and practical foundations of research are under pressure. In response, Valerie Berseth and Angeline Letourneau [2] propose a transformative framework—Climate Change-Conscious (CCC) Methodologies—that reorients how research is designed, conducted, and communicated in the context of escalating environmental disruption.

At the heart of CCC methodologies lies a commitment to ethical, reflexive, and human-centered research. Traditional approaches often isolate science from its social and ecological consequences [3-5]. Berseth and Letourneau [2] argue that this separation is no longer tenable. Research methods, whether technical or theoretical, inevitably shape and are shaped by the communities and ecosystems in which they are applied. For example, immersive technologies like AI-generated visualizations of climate futures can promote awareness but also risk psychological distress or cultural misrepresentation if not used with care [6].

The authors identify four guiding pillars [2]: responsible and human-centered research, shifting vulnerabilities, methodological adaptability, and ethical presence in disaster-affected areas. Researchers must acknowledge the dynamic nature of vulnerability—among participants, communities, and even themselves—during and after environmental crises. Trauma-informed and culturally sensitive practices are essential to support ethical engagement, particularly in communities experiencing historical marginalization or compounding emergencies.

CCC methodologies also call for greater flexibility. Rigid adherence to conventional methods may be inappropriate in disrupted settings. Instead, adaptive approaches—such as methodological bricolage or shifting field sites—enable researchers to maintain rigor while responding to evolving conditions. Moreover, CCC research highlights the need to assess and reduce the environmental footprint of scientific activity, from travel-related emissions to the consumption of climatesensitive local resources.

Ultimately, CCC methodologies emphasize research as a relational, situated, and justice-oriented practice. They challenge the idea of research as detached observation and instead advocate for deeper engagement with the socio-ecological realities of climate-affected communities. In doing so, they help ensure that academic inquiry contributes not only to scientific knowledge but also to resilience, equity, and collective well-being [7,8].

References

[1] Vuong QH. (2024). Wild Wise Weird. https://www.amazon.com/dp/B0BG2NNHY6/

[2] Berseth, V., & Letourneau, A. (2025). *Climate change-conscious methodologies: Ethical research in a changing world*. WIREs Climate Change, 16(1), e933. https://doi.org/10.1002/wcc.933

[3] Davidson D. (2024). Feeling Climate Change: How Emotions Govern Our Responses to the Climate Emergency. Routledge.

[4] Reghezza-Zitt M, Rufat S. (2019). Disentangling the range of responses to threats, hazards and disasters. Vulnerability, resilience and adaptation in question. *European Journal of Geography*, 16. <u>https://doi.org/10.4000/cybergeo.32917</u>.

[5] Gaillard JC, Peek L. (2019). Disaster-zone research needs a code of conduct. *Nature*, 575, 440-442. <u>https://www.nature.com/articles/d41586-019-03534-z</u>

[6] Luccioni A, et al. (2021). Using artificial intelligence to visualize the impacts of climate change. *IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications*, 41, 8-14. https://doi.org/10.1109/MCG.2020.3025425

[7] Vuong QH. (2018). The (ir)rational consideration of the cost of science in transition economies. *Nature Human Behaviour*, 2, 5. <u>https://www.nature.com/articles/s41562-017-0281-4</u>

[8] Nguyen MH. (2024). How can satirical fables offer us a vision for sustainability? *Visions for Sustainability*. <u>https://ojs.unito.it/index.php/visions/article/view/11267</u>